

European Union Corporate Tax Law

CHRISTIANA HJI PANAYI

TAX
LAW

CAMBRIDGE TAX LAW SERIES

CAMBRIDGE

EUROPEAN UNION CORPORATE TAX LAW

CHRISTIANA HJI PANAYI



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town,
Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107018990

© Christiana HJI Panayi 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2013

Printed and bound in the United Kingdom by the MPG Books Group

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data
HJI Panayi, Christiana.

European Union corporate tax law / Christiana HJI Panayi.

pages cm. – (Cambridge tax law series)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-01899-0 (hardback)

1. Corporations–Taxation–Law and legislation–European Union countries. I. Title.
KJE7198.P36 2013
343.2406'7–dc23
2012044896

ISBN 978-1-107-01899-0 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or
accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in
this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is,
or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

EUROPEAN UNION CORPORATE TAX LAW

How does EU law affect Member State corporate tax systems and the cross-border activities of companies? This unique study traces the historical development of EU corporate tax law and provides an in-depth analysis of a number of issues affecting companies, groups of companies and permanent establishments. Existing legislation, soft law and the case law of the Court of Justice are examined. The proposed CCCTB Directive and its potential application through enhanced cooperation are also considered. In addition to the tax issues pertaining to direct investment, the author examines the taxation of passive investment income, corporate reorganisations, exit taxes and the restrictive effect of domestic anti-abuse regimes. By doing so, the convergences and divergences arising from the interplay of EU corporate tax law and international tax law, especially the OECD Model, are uncovered and highlighted.

CHRISTIANA HJI PANAYI is a senior lecturer in tax law at the Centre for Commercial Law Studies, Queen Mary, University of London, where she teaches EU tax law and international tax law. She is also a research fellow at the Institute for Fiscal Studies and a visiting professor at University of Paris IV, Paris-Sorbonne. She has published extensively in the area of EU and international tax law, and speaks regularly at tax conferences. She is also a solicitor of England and Wales and an advocate of the Cyprus Supreme Court.

CAMBRIDGE TAX LAW SERIES

Tax law is a growing area of interest, as it is included as a subdivision in many areas of study and is a key consideration in business needs throughout the world. Books in this series will expose the theoretical underpinning behind the law to shed light on the taxation systems, so that the questions to be asked when addressing an issue become clear. These academic books, written by leading scholars, will be a central port of call for information on tax law. The content will be illustrated by case law and legislation.

The books will be of interest for those studying law, business, economics, accounting and finance courses.

Series Editor

Dr Peter Harris, Law Faculty, University of Cambridge,
Director of the Centre for Tax Law.

Dr Harris brings a wealth of experience to the Series. He has taught and presented tax courses at a dozen different universities in nearly as many countries and has acted as an external tax consultant for the International Monetary Fund for more than a decade.

*To my precious daughter,
Maria Zacharia Palexa*

FOREWORD BY MALCOLM GAMMIE QC

For more than fifty years the European Project, currently incarnated as the European Union, has struggled with the issues of corporate taxation.¹ At an early stage the European Commission recognised that, in an ideal world, Member States should address these issues. So long as two or more States are engaged in a project to create a single market, national taxation of corporate profits is likely to present an obstacle to that project. The production of a single market system for taxing corporate profits raises a number of significant difficulties, however. The corporate tax is in effect a compromise: a surrogate, or an essential backstop, for the national system of taxing personal income. As a result, the corporate tax is likely to be tailored to the policies and priorities of national taxes on income. Nowhere is this more apparent than in the choices that States make for taxing company dividends. Dividend taxation systems link the corporate and personal tax systems and are the mechanism through which States integrate the two. They can be designed to reduce the distortion in financing via debt and equity by matching the deductibility of interest in computing profits with a credit for the corporate tax paid on profits, or by conferring a partial or complete dividend deduction or exemption.

At the same time, the jurisdictional limits of national taxation demand as a practical matter that States draw a line between what is national or domestic and what is international or cross-border. The international corporate tax system is founded on concepts of residence, of arm's length transfer pricing and of crediting foreign tax or exempting foreign income. At the same time cross-border taxation of dividends works on a classical basis, under which the residence country taxes profit distributions without regard to the source country's taxation of the profits. The economic and juridical taxation that results, and the distortion that these taxes produce in comparison to debt finance, are likely to be significant barriers to cross-border investment and the single market. None of these are

¹ I include dividend taxation as part of corporate taxation.

ordinarily found as features of a true single market, where 'residence' in a particular place in the market is irrelevant and where sub-national taxing jurisdictions more likely operate on a territorial basis, with formulary apportionment as necessary, and do not assert any right to tax dividends.

The publication by the European Commission in 1975 of a Draft Directive for the harmonisation of dividend taxation on an 'imputation' model attracted the attention of those in the United Kingdom with an interest in these matters. The UK had adopted a partial imputation system in 1973, abandoning its 1965 classical system and reverting more closely to its pre-1965 model. In the context of the UK's recent entry to the European Economic Community, it seemed as though the UK had made the correct choice and had charted a course compatible with the future development of an EEC corporate tax system.

As with other Commission initiatives, the 1975 Draft Directive came to naught and was finally withdrawn in April 1990. Indeed, the core problem from the outset with any Commission initiative was the failure of governments to truly engage in whatever debate then ensued. It would be many years before this changed. The pressures of the developing single market on corporate and dividend tax systems were not, however, going to abate and work by the Institute for Fiscal Studies drew attention to the importance of addressing the distortions created for cross-border investment by dividend withholding taxes.² It was against that backdrop and the preparations for the 'completion' of the single market from 1993 that the Member States adopted the French package of measures in Dublin on 11 June 1990. In 1990 the Commission also appointed the Ruding Committee to consider the fundamental issues that corporate tax systems raised for the single market. This represented the high-water mark at the time and, for some, offered renewed optimism for future progress; an optimism that was soon dashed by Member States' reaction to the Ruding Committee Report in 1992. It would take until the early 2000s before the Commission, led by Commissioner Mario Monti, would pursue with any real vigour the possibility of radical corporate tax measures.

The real development that was emerging in the mid-1990s, however, was the jurisprudence of the European Court of Justice. In 2012 it is easy to forget how irrelevant Community law was to daily corporate tax practice until well into the 1990s. From early beginnings in the 1986 *Avoir Fiscal*

² M. P. Devereux and Marie Pearson, *Corporate Tax Harmonisation and Economic Efficiency*, IFS Report Series No. 35, October 1989.

case,³ concerning dividend imputation, references from national courts gathered pace throughout the 1990s and into the new millennium. This enabled the Court, with the benefit of the judicial activism of judges such as Michel Wathelet of Belgium and David Edwards of the UK, to assert the priority of the treaty freedoms over national tax systems that discriminated as a matter of course between the national and domestic and the international and cross-border. The extension of a restriction-based analysis to the tax sphere guaranteed the need for governments to take note of the impact of these developments on their tax systems and to reassess fundamentally their approach to and their engagement with the debate on corporate tax systems within the single market.

It is against this backdrop that Christiana HJI Panayi has reviewed the efforts of more than fifty years to achieve some rational progress in the corporate tax field and considers the limited corporate tax measures that have been adopted or are under discussion. A significant part of her book, however, is taken up with an analysis of the current case law and its implications for corporate taxation. The basic parameters set by the case law within which Member States can legislate in the corporate tax field are now relatively clear. There nevertheless remains substantial scope for analysis by commentators such as the current author to elucidate and cast light on the significant number of cases that now exist. Without political agreement on the role and direction for corporate taxes within Europe, Member States are left to strike the right balance between those matters within their competence and those that lie within the sphere and competence of the European Union. There is an ongoing need for expert criticism and commentary on their efforts. Progress in the corporate tax sphere may no longer be 'glacial',⁴ but it may yet be some years before the current author can limit her task in a future edition to describing and analysing a single European corporate tax system.

One Essex Court & Institute for Fiscal Studies

Malcolm Gammie QC

³ Case 270/83, *Commission of the European Communities v. French Republic*, EC Court of Justice, 28 January 1986.

⁴ John Isaac (Deputy Chairman, Inland Revenue), *Corporate Tax Harmonisation, in Beyond 1992: A European Tax System*, Proceedings of the Fourth IFS Residential Conference, Oxford 1989, eds. Malcolm Gammie and Bill Robinson, IFS Commentary No. 13.

FOREWORD BY PROFESSOR
MICHAEL LANG

Tax law is still within the competence of the Member States. However, European Union law has become quite important. The Court of Justice of the European Union has become an important player. Before 1986 probably only a few experts had foreseen which limits the Court of Justice would put on the legislation of the Member States. In the meantime the Court has rendered hundreds of decisions. Today it is fair to say that the Court has developed common rules that serve as a framework for Member States. Judges who are interpreting their domestic law in the various Member States have no choice other than to take European Union law into account when rendering their decisions.

Since the Court of Justice of the European Union can only act as a 'negative legislator', the European Commission has tried to propose 'positive legislation'. In the meantime the European legislator has introduced some directives in the area of direct taxation that are part of European secondary law. Those directives do not have a broad scope yet and the Commission has started to propose new initiatives. In the area of corporate tax law the proposal for a CCCTB has become very important.

Christiana HJI Panayi has made the effort to not only collect all the rules and decisions that are relevant in the area of corporate taxation, but has also tried to bring all of the rules into a system and to describe that system in an understandable way. This task has been very successful and Christiana has written an extremely interesting book. She discusses European primary law as well as European secondary law. What is fascinating is the fact that it is much easier to see the full picture having read the whole book. At the same time she points to the open issues that cannot be solved by the Court of Justice of the European Union alone, but which need the action of the European legislator.

Therefore, I hope that not only will students and practitioners study the book, but that policy-makers all over Europe will make use of her research and will draw the conclusion that greater harmonisation is needed in the area of corporate tax law.

*Professor at the Institute for Austrian and
International Tax Law, WU, Vienna*

Michael Lang

PREFACE

I decided to write this book after many years of teaching international tax law and EU tax law at Queen Mary, University of London and many years of research in this field. I assumed it would be easy to write a book that combines the two topics in examining the corporate angle to the tax developments in the European Union. I also assumed it would be easy to write this book while on maternity leave, after having my first child. Both of these assumptions proved wrong.

There was an enormous amount of material to be covered and the case law of the Court of Justice, especially the more recent one, was very challenging. The interplay with the OECD Model and general international tax law was always a source of potential conflict, generating interesting developments. The changes were fast-paced and often unpredictable. Trying to discern the past, present and future of EU corporate tax law was certainly not an easy task.

In writing the various chapters, I often found myself rereading old cases and gaining a different perspective from the one I had initially. I also developed a better understanding of endogenous changes in the case law in various areas. Some of the judgments were, however, completely perplexing – an indication of what I thought was the uneasiness of the Court of Justice in dealing with certain issues. Nevertheless, my admiration for the Court of Justice and its work in this area grew. The fact that today we have a developing body of principles that form what could be considered as the corporate tax law of the European Union is mostly attributable to the Court of Justice, as well as the Commission and national courts that trigger the European judicial process. The book depicts these themes and discusses the existing principles of EU corporate tax law.

This book would not have been written had it not been for my family. I would like to thank my husband Zak Palexas for his continuous inspiration, support and encouragement. I would also like to thank my parents for their help and the endless hours of babysitting they had to endure in order for me to be able to complete the book on time. Most of all I would

like to thank my daughter Maria for her patience in becoming so heavily involved in the tax world at such a young age. The number of times she shook her head in disbelief, deleted paragraphs from the draft and tore up papers laying around is perhaps an indication that the current state of the law is in need of some improvement. It is hoped that future editions of this book will reflect that.

CONTENTS

<i>Foreword – Malcolm Gammie QC</i>	<i>page xi</i>
<i>Foreword – Professor Michael Lang</i>	<i>xv</i>
<i>Preface</i>	<i>xvii</i>

Introduction 1

1 The historical background to EU corporate tax law	3
1.1 Introduction	3
1.2 The historical background	9
1.2.1 The Neumark Report	9
1.2.2 The Segrè Report	11
1.2.3 The Program for the Harmonisation of Direct Taxation	13
1.2.4 The Van den Tempel Report	13
1.2.5 The Commission's 1975 proposal and its aftermath	14
1.2.6 The Ruding Report	18
1.3 Recent developments	21
1.3.1 Harmful tax competition and the tax package	21
1.3.2 The 2001 Company Tax Study	22
1.3.3 The aftermath to the 2001 Company Tax Study	25
1.3.4 Good governance in tax matters	27
1.4 The future of EU corporate tax law	29
2 EU corporate tax legislation	31
2.1 The Parent–Subsidiary Directive	31
2.1.1 Profit distribution	33
2.1.2 Eligibility requirements	36
2.1.3 Taxing the profit distribution	41
2.1.4 What is a withholding tax?	45
2.2 The Merger Directive	50
2.3 The Interest and Royalties Directive	51

2.3.1 Eligible companies, permanent establishments and beneficial ownership	53
2.3.2 Interest, royalties and excluded payments	57
2.3.3 The taxation of the payer/debtor	62
2.3.4 Reform of the Interest and Royalties Directive	64
2.4 The Savings Directive	65
2.5 The Arbitration Convention	70
2.6 The Code of Conduct on Business Taxation	75
2.7 The Mutual Assistance Directives	76
2.8 The Draft Directive for Cross-Border Loss Relief	78
3 The Common Consolidated Corporate Tax Base	81
3.1 Introduction – unanimity or enhanced cooperation?	81
3.2 Key features of the Draft CCCTB Directive	84
3.2.1 Eligibility and membership tests	84
3.2.2 Optionality: coexistence of domestic regimes and the CCCTB	88
3.2.3 The new tax base	90
3.2.4 Consolidation and other benefits	93
3.2.5 The administration of the CCCTB	98
3.3 Taxation of inbound and outbound payments	102
3.3.1 Inbound payments	102
3.3.2 Outbound payments	105
3.4 Anti-abuse rules	108
3.4.1 The GAAR	108
3.4.2 Controlled foreign companies	109
3.4.3 Thin capitalisation	112
3.5 Formulary apportionment	117
3.6 The future of the CCCTB	120
4 The Court of Justice and the development of EU corporate tax law	123
4.1 Tax litigation in the Court of Justice	123
4.2 Fundamental freedoms, state aid and direct taxation	129
4.2.1 Free movement of goods	129
4.2.2 Free movement of persons	129
4.2.3 Freedom to provide services	132
4.2.4 Free movement of capital	135
4.2.5 Citizenship rights	142
4.2.6 The state aid prohibition	144

4.3	Methodology of the Court of Justice	148
4.3.1	Finding the relevant freedom	148
4.3.2	Discrimination and restriction approach	151
4.3.3	Tax treaties and double taxation	157
4.3.4	Overall or per-country approach?	165
4.4	Conclusion	169
5	Tax obstacles to the cross-border movement of companies: direct investment	171
5.1	Company residence	171
5.2	Issues with the taxation of corporate groups	175
5.2.1	Expenses in foreign holdings	177
5.2.2	Cross-border loss relief	182
5.2.3	Controlled foreign companies	196
5.3	Issues with the taxation of permanent establishments	196
5.3.1	Defining permanent establishments	196
5.3.2	The different treatment of permanent establishments and companies	198
5.3.3	Rules for the attribution of profits and expenses	207
5.3.4	Notional payments and expenses	212
5.3.5	Cross-border loss relief	214
6	Tax obstacles to cross-border portfolio investment	229
6.1	Double taxation relief mechanisms	229
6.2	The taxation of inbound dividends	235
6.2.1	The early cases: individual shareholders	237
6.2.2	Later cases: corporate shareholders	238
6.2.3	Economic and juridical double taxation – the home state perspective	249
6.3	The taxation of outbound dividends	252
6.3.1	The early cases – <i>Fokus Bank</i> , <i>ACT GLO</i> , <i>Denkavit</i> and <i>Amurta</i>	252
6.3.2	Later cases – consolidation of the Court's principles	257
6.3.3	The relevance of tax treaties – neutralisation of host state taxes?	264
6.3.4	Economic and juridical double taxation – the host state perspective?	268
6.3.5	Taxation on gross basis or net basis?	270
6.3.6	Other developments	273
6.4	The taxation of interest and royalties	275

7	Reorganisations under EU tax law	282
7.1	Corporate reorganisations and the Merger Directive	283
7.1.1	The scope of the Merger Directive	284
7.1.2	Reliefs under the Merger Directive	286
7.1.3	Case law on the Merger Directive	290
7.2	Corporate migration	299
7.2.1	Corporate law restrictions	299
7.2.2	The transfer of tax residence	306
7.2.2.1	Exit taxes	307
7.2.2.2	The case law of the Court of Justice: emigrating individuals v. emigrating companies?	310
7.2.3	The EU's corporate vehicles	326
7.3	Transfer of assets	328
8	Tax avoidance and EU law	333
8.1	An EU principle of abuse of tax law?	333
8.2	Anti-deferral regimes	338
8.2.1	CFCs in international tax law	339
8.2.2	CFCs in EU tax law	342
8.3	Thin capitalisation	349
8.4	Transfer pricing	360
9	EU corporate tax law: interim conclusions and thoughts	365
	<i>Index</i>	385