



PUBLICATIONS ON OCEAN DEVELOPMENT

ESTABLISHING CONTINENTAL SHELF LIMITS BEYOND 200 NAUTICAL MILES BY THE COASTAL STATE

A Right of Involvement
for Other States?

Signe Veierud Busch

Establishing Continental Shelf Limits Beyond 200 Nautical Miles by the Coastal State

A Right of Involvement for Other States?

By

Signe Veierud Busch



BRILL
NIJHOFF

LEIDEN | BOSTON

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Busch, Signe Veierud, author.

Title: Establishing continental shelf limits beyond 200 nautical miles by the coastal state : a right of involvement for other states? / By Signe Veierud Busch.

Description: Leiden : Brill Nijhoff, 2016. | Series: Publications on ocean development ; 81 | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2016024218 (print) | LCCN 2016024334 (ebook) | ISBN 9789004326231 (hardback : alk. paper) | ISBN 9789004326248 (E-book)

Subjects: LCSH: Continental shelf. | Territorial waters. | Continental shelf--Measurement.

Classification: LCC KZA1660 .B87 2016 (print) | LCC KZA1660 (ebook) | DDC 341.4/48--dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2016024218>

Typeface for the Latin, Greek, and Cyrillic scripts: "Brill". See and download: brill.com/brill-typeface.

ISSN 0924-1922

ISBN 978-90-04-32623-1 (hardback)

ISBN 978-90-04-32624-8 (e-book)

Copyright 2016 by Koninklijke Brill NV, Leiden, The Netherlands.

Koninklijke Brill NV incorporates the imprints Brill, Brill Hes & De Graaf, Brill Nijhoff, Brill Rodopi and Hotei Publishing.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher.

Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use is granted by Koninklijke Brill NV provided that the appropriate fees are paid directly to The Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Suite 910, Danvers, MA 01923, USA.

Fees are subject to change.

This book is printed on acid-free paper and produced in a sustainable manner.

Printed by Printforce, the Netherlands

Establishing Continental Shelf Limits Beyond 200 Nautical Miles by the Coastal State

Limits Beyond 200 Nautical Miles

by the Coastal State

by the International Law Commission

Working Group on the Limits of the Continental Shelf

A Report of the International Law Commission

United Nations

General Assembly

Resolution 55/208

1991

United Nations

General Assembly

Resolution 55/208

1991

United Nations

General Assembly

Resolution 55/208

1991

United Nations

General Assembly

Resolution 55/208

1991

United Nations

General Assembly

Resolution 55/208

1991

United Nations

General Assembly

Resolution 55/208

1991

United Nations

General Assembly

Resolution 55/208

1991

United Nations

General Assembly

Resolution 55/208

Publications on Ocean Development

A SERIES OF STUDIES ON THE INTERNATIONAL,
LEGAL, INSTITUTIONAL, AND POLICY ASPECTS OF
OCEAN DEVELOPMENT

General Editors

Robin Churchill
Alex Oude Elferink

VOLUME 81



The titles published in this series are listed at *brill.com/pood*

Acknowledgements

This book is based on my Ph.D. thesis “Third State Involvement in the Context of Establishing the Outer Limits of the Continental Shelf”, completed in 2014.

I would like to express my gratitude to the Faculty of Law at the University of Tromsø and the Research Council of Norway financing the project and facilitating my work. The Faculty of Law has also provided me with the best working conditions. During the work on my Ph.D. thesis, I was fortunate to have two academic supervisors, Professor Dr. Erik Jaap Molenaar of the Netherlands Institute for the Law of the Sea and K.G. Jebsen Centre for the Law of the Sea at the University of Tromsø and Professor Dr. Tore Henriksen at the K.G. Jebsen Centre for the Law of the Sea at the University of Tromsø. Thank you Erik for sharing your broad knowledge and experience with me, and for always taking the time to read and discuss my work. Thank you for your endless patience, optimism and moral support. Thank you Tore for your valuable comments and discussions particularly in the last phase of the work.

I would also like to thank the members of the dissertation adjudication committee: Professor Betsy Baker, visiting Professor at University of Washington School of Law, Professor Geir Ulfstein from the University of Oslo and Professor Alex G. Oude Elferink from Netherlands Institute for the Law of the Sea and K.G. Jebsen Centre for the Law of the Sea at the University of Tromsø. Thank you for your participation in the public defence of the dissertation and for providing a variety of recommendations which have contributed to improving this book. A special thanks goes to Ron Macnab for our interesting discussions and your willingness to share your work with me, allowing me to continue to build on and develop the tables you have so carefully put together. I would also like thank Harald Brekke, Robin Churchill and Ted McDorman for generously sharing your knowledge and always raising the difficult questions.

Further, I would like to thank my outstanding colleagues at the K.G. Jebsen Centre for the Law of the Sea, University of Tromsø, for your advice, our discussions, for your humour, friendships and our travels around the world! In particular, I would like to thank the “mother” of the Centre, Christin Skjervold, for organizing workshops, events and travels, for administering all practical details, and for always caring about the wellbeing of the Centre staff.

To my big, loud and witty Busch family: Thank you for your challenging discussions and endless support. You make me a better (and funnier) person and researcher. Finally, my deepest appreciation goes out to my beloved best

friend and partner Vegard, and our beautiful children Synne and Isak. Thank you for always keeping me grounded and reminding me what life is all about. This book is for you.

Tromsø, February 2016

Signe Veierud Busch

List of Abbreviations and Short Forms

CCS	Geneva Convention on the Continental Shelf, 1958
CLCS	Commission on the Limits of the Continental Shelf. Also referred to as the Commission.
EEZ	Exclusive Economic Zone
DOALOS	Division for Ocean Affairs and the Law of the Sea
ICJ	International Court of Justice
ICNT	Informal Composite Negotiation Text
ILA	International Law Association
ILC	International Law Commission
ISA	International Sea-bed Authority
ISNT	Informal single Negotiation Text
ITLOS	International Tribunal on the Law of the Sea
LOSC	United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, 1982. Also referred to as the Convention.
OCS	Outer Continental Shelf
PCA	Permanent Court of Arbitration
PCIJ	Permanent Court of International Justice/the World Court
RoP	Rules of Procedure of the CLCS
RSNT	Revised Single Negotiation Text
SBDC	Sea-bed Disputes Chamber
SPLOS	Meeting of the States Parties to the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea
UN	United Nations
UNCLOS	United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea (I)–(III)
UNGA	United Nations General Assembly
UNSC	United Nations Security Council

List of Tables

- 1 Information on submissions to the CLCS by 1 November 2015 4
- 2 Declarations under Article 298 on optional exceptions to compulsory dispute settlement 80
- 3 Expected completion of CLCS procedure (Presupposing a total of 100 submissions remaining) 384

Conventions and Other International Instruments

Convention for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes, (Hague 1), Hague, 29 July 1899. Entry into force 4 September 1900.

Convention for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes, (Hague 2), Hague, 18 October 1907. Entry into force 26 January 1910.

General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes, Geneva, 26 September 1928, entered into force 16 August 1929, League of Nations Treaty Series, Vol. 93, pp. 344–363.

Charter of the United Nations, San Francisco, 26 June 1945, entry into force 24 October 1945, 1 UNTS XVI.

Statute of the International Court of Justice, San Francisco, 26 June 1945, entry into force 24 October 1945, 1 UNTS XVI.

U.S. Presidential Proclamation No. 2667, *Policy of the United States with Respect to the Natural Resources of the Subsoil and Sea Bed of the Continental Shelf*, 28 September 1945, 10 Fed. Reg. 12303.

The Antarctic Treaty, Washington, 1 December 1959, entered into force 23 June 1961, 402 UNTS 71.

European Convention for the Peaceful Settlement of Disputes, Strasbourg, 19 April 1957, entered into force 30 April 1958, CETS No. 023.

The Convention on the High Seas, Geneva, adopted 29 April 1958, entry into force 30 September 1962, 450 UNTS 11.

The Convention on the Territorial Sea and Contiguous Zone, Geneva, adopted 29 April 1958, entry into force 10 September 1964, 516 UNTS 205.

Convention on the Continental Shelf, Geneva, adopted 29 April 1958, entry into force 10 June 1964, 499 UNTS 311.

Convention on Fishing and Conservation of the Living Resources of the High Seas, Geneva, adopted 29 April 1958, entry into force 20 March 1966, 559 UNTS 258.

Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, Vienna, concluded 23 May 1969, entry into force 27 January 1980, 1155 UNTS 331.

Treaty between the Republic of Trinidad and Tobago and the Republic of Venezuela on the delimitation of marine and submarine areas (18 April 1990) accessible on <<http://www.un.org/Depts/los/LEGISLATIONANDTREATIES/PDFFILES/TREATIES/TTO-VEN1990SA.PDF>> (accessed 1 November 2015)

United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, Montenegro Bay, 10 December 1982, entered into force 16 November 1994, 1833 UNTS 397.

Statement of Understanding concerning a specific method to be used in establishing the outer edge of the continental margin, The Final Act of the Third United Nations

Conference on the Law of the Sea, Annex II, 27 October 1982, Doc. A/CONF.62/121 p.145.

Convention for the Conservation of Southern Bluefin Tuna, Canberra, 10 May 1993, Entry into force 20 May 1994, 1819 UNTS 359.

Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Antarctic Treaty, Madrid, 4 October 1991, entered into force in 1998.

Scientific and Technical Guidelines of the Commission on the Limits of the Continental Shelf, 13 May 1999, Doc. CLCS/11.

Rules of Procedure of the Commission on the Limits of the Continental Shelf, 11 April 2008, Doc. CLCS/40/Rec.1.

ITLOS, *Rules of the Tribunal*, 17 March 2009, Doc. ITLOS/8.

Contents

Acknowledgements IX

List of Abbreviations and Short Forms XI

List of Tables XII

Conventions and Other International Instruments XIII

PART I

Setting the Scene

- 1 Introduction 3
 - 1.1 Introduction 3
 - 1.2 The Legal Regime of the Continental Shelf in a Nutshell 5
 - 1.3 The Opportunity for Other States to be Involved in a Coastal State's Establishment of OCS Limits 8
 - 1.4 Structure and Outline 10
- 2 Developing a Legal Regime for the Continental Shelf and Its Limits 12
 - 2.1 Introduction 12
 - 2.2 The Continental Shelf Prior to UNCLOS III 12
 - 2.3 The Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS III) 17
 - 2.4 Concluding Remarks 27
- 3 The Commission on the Limits of the Continental Shelf (CLCS) 30
 - 3.1 Introduction 30
 - 3.2 The Submission Procedure to the CLCS 31
 - 3.3 The Documents of the CLCS 40
 - 3.4 Transparency, Confidentiality and Privacy in Establishing OCS Limits 54
 - 3.5 Conclusions 62
- 4 International Disputes and Mechanisms for Their Settlement 65
 - 4.1 Introduction 65
 - 4.2 What is a Dispute? 66
 - 4.3 Compulsory Judicial Dispute Settlement: The LOSC 71
 - 4.4 Dispute Settlement Outside the Scope of the LOSC 81

- 4.5 The Relationship between the CLCS and the Institutions for
Dispute Settlement under Part XV of the LOSC 86
- 4.6 Conclusions 88

PART 2

Involvement by Other States during the CLCS Submission Procedure

- 5 Involvement by Other States in Case of Disputes Relating to an OCS
Submission 93
 - 5.1 Introduction 93
 - 5.2 The Saving Clauses of the LOSC: Developing Rule 46 and Annex I
to the RoP 94
 - 5.3 Initial Assessment: Are There any Disputes in Relation to the
Submission? 98
 - 5.4 The Procedure of Annex I to the RoP 107
 - 5.5 Current Disputes Concerning the Establishment of OCS
Limits 120
 - 5.6 Judicial Dispute Settlement 128
 - 5.7 Conclusions 128
- 6 Continental Shelf Delimitation Disputes 131
 - 6.1 Introduction 131
 - 6.2 The Wording of Rule 46 132
 - 6.3 Judicial Dispute Settlement 144
 - 6.4 Conclusions 160
- 7 Disputes Concerning the Title to Territory 162
 - 7.1 Introduction 162
 - 7.2 Expanding the Scope of the Saving Clauses of the LOSC 162
 - 7.3 Disputes Concerning the Title to Territory in Practice 165
 - 7.4 Judicial Dispute Settlement 175
 - 7.5 Conclusions 176
- 8 Disputes Concerning the Interpretation or Application of the
LOSC 178
 - 8.1 Introduction 178
 - 8.2 The Interpretative Competence of the CLCS 179
 - 8.3 Disputes Concerning the Interpretation or Application of
Article 76 182

8.4	Disputes Concerning the Interpretation or Application of the Statement of Understanding	193
8.5	Disputes Concerning the Interpretation or Application of Article 121(3)	198
8.6	Disputes Concerning the Interpretation or Application of Article 7	214
8.7	Judicial Dispute Settlement	220
8.8	General Conclusions	223
9	Disputes Due to Other Treaty Obligations: The Antarctic Treaty	227
9.1	Introduction	227
9.2	The Current Regime for Antarctic Claims	228
9.3	Current Controversies about Antarctic Continental Shelf Submissions	231
9.4	Are Disputes Concerning Antarctica 'land or maritime disputes'?	236
9.5	Judicial Dispute Settlement	242
9.6	Conclusions	244
10	Involvement By Other States outside the Scope of Rule 46	246
10.1	Introduction	246
10.2	Current State Practice: State Reactions outside the Scope of Rule 46?	246
10.3	<i>Notes Verbales</i> Addressing Scientific and Technical Issues	250
10.4	Judicial Dispute Settlement	257
10.5	Conclusions	258
11	The CLCS and the Role of Non-parties to the LOSC	260
11.1	Introduction	260
11.2	The Role of States Non-parties as Coastal States	261
11.3	The Role of States Non-parties Having an Interest in the OCS Limit Location	286
11.4	Judicial Dispute Settlement	294
11.5	Conclusions	295

PART 3

Involvement by Other States in the Aftermath of the CLCS Submission Procedure

- 12 **Disputes Relating to OCS Limits *Not* Established 'on the basis of' CLCS Recommendations** 299
 - 12.1 Introduction 299
 - 12.2 The Phrase 'on the basis of' 301
 - 12.3 Judicial Dispute Settlement 320
 - 12.4 Conclusions 335
- 13 **Disputes Relating to 'final and binding' OCS Limits** 337
 - 13.1 Introduction 337
 - 13.2 Reviewing Established OCS Limits 338
 - 13.3 Meaning and Implications of 'final and binding' Limits 348
 - 13.4 Coastal States Challenging CLCS Recommendations 355
 - 13.5 'Final and binding' Limits Established Not 'on the basis of' CLCS Recommendations 357
 - 13.6 Conclusions 359

PART 4

Conclusions and Observations

- 14 **Conclusions and Observations** 365
 - 14.1 Introduction 365
 - 14.2 Involvement By Other States During the CLCS Procedure 366
 - 14.3 Involvement By Other States in the Aftermath of the CLCS Procedure 373
 - 14.4 The Role of Non-parties to the LOSC 376
 - 14.5 Particular Challenges Relating to the Establishment of OCS Limits 379
 - 14.6 The LOSC – A 'coastal States Convention'? 389

Appendices

Appendix I: Continental Shelf Submissions and Reactions by Other States 393

Appendix II: Preliminary Information and Other States' Reactions 410

Appendix III: Current Non-parties to the LOSC 413

Bibliography 415

Index 444

Introduction

Introduction

Part of the journey, the Commission on the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM) was charged with the task of identifying the most important areas of research and practice that will be needed to advance the health of the nation. The Commission has identified a number of key areas of research and practice that will be needed to advance the health of the nation. **PART 1** *Setting the Scene*

The Commission on the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM) was charged with the task of identifying the most important areas of research and practice that will be needed to advance the health of the nation. The Commission has identified a number of key areas of research and practice that will be needed to advance the health of the nation. **Setting the Scene**

The Commission on the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM) was charged with the task of identifying the most important areas of research and practice that will be needed to advance the health of the nation.

The Commission on the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM) was charged with the task of identifying the most important areas of research and practice that will be needed to advance the health of the nation.

The Commission on the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM) was charged with the task of identifying the most important areas of research and practice that will be needed to advance the health of the nation.

The Commission on the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM) was charged with the task of identifying the most important areas of research and practice that will be needed to advance the health of the nation.

The Commission on the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM) was charged with the task of identifying the most important areas of research and practice that will be needed to advance the health of the nation.

