

CAMBRIDGE

International
Trade and
Economic Law

FREEDOM OF TRANSIT AND ACCESS TO GAS PIPELINE NETWORKS UNDER WTO LAW

Vitaliy Pogoretskyy



CAMBRIDGE

Gas transit is network-dependent and it cannot be established without the existence of pipeline infrastructure in the territory of a transit state or the ability to access this infrastructure. Nevertheless, at an inter-regional level, there are no sufficient pipeline networks allowing gas to travel freely from a supplier to the most lucrative markets. The existing networks are often operated by either private or state-controlled vertically integrated monopolies who are often reluctant to release unused pipeline capacity to their potential competitors. These obstacles to gas transit can diminish the gains from trade for states endowed with natural gas resources, including developing land-locked countries, as well as undermine WTO Members' energy security and their attempts at sustainable development.

This book explains how the WTO could play a more prominent role in the international regulation of gas transit and promote the development of an international gas market.

Vitaliy Pogoretsky works as Counsel at the Advisory Centre on WTO Law, where he assists developing-country Members of the ACWL and the least-developed countries in WTO dispute settlement proceedings, and provides to these countries legal advice and training on WTO law. He has also worked at the Rules Division of the WTO Secretariat, FratiniVergano – European Lawyers, and as a civil servant of the Government of Ukraine.

Series cover design by Phil Treble.

Cover illustration: James E. Allen, *Pipe Layers I*, 1937.
Courtesy of Mary Ryan Gallery, New York.

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS
www.cambridge.org

ISBN 978-1-107-16364-5



POGORETSKY

FREEDOM OF TRANSIT AND
ACCESS TO GAS PIPELINE NETWORKS
UNDER WTO LAW

CAMBRIDGE

FREEDOM OF TRANSIT
AND ACCESS TO GAS
PIPELINE NETWORKS
UNDER WTO LAW

VITALIY POGORETSKY

Advisory Centre on WTO Law



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

4843/24, 2nd Floor, Ansari Road, Daryaganj, Delhi – 110002, India

79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107163645

10.1017/9781316681497

© Vitaliy Pogoretsky 2017

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2017

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Pogoretskiĭ, V. N. (Vitalii Nikolaevich) author.

Title: Freedom of transit and access to gas pipeline networks under WTO law / Vitaliy Pogoretsky.

Description: Cambridge [UK] ; New York : Cambridge University Press, 2017. |

Series: Cambridge international trade and economic law ; 35

Identifiers: LCCN 2017000017 | ISBN 9781107163645 (hardback)

Subjects: LCSH: Petroleum law and legislation. | Energy industries – Law and legislation. | Petroleum industry and trade. | World Trade Organization. | Foreign trade regulation. | Natural gas pipelines – Security measures.

Classification: LCC K3915 .P64 2017 | DDC 343.09/396–dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2017000017>

ISBN 978-1-107-16364-5 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



FREEDOM OF TRANSIT AND ACCESS TO GAS PIPELINE NETWORKS UNDER WTO LAW

Gas transit is network-dependent and it cannot be established without the existence of pipeline infrastructure in the territory of a transit state or the ability to access this infrastructure. Nevertheless, at an inter-regional level, there are no sufficient pipeline networks allowing gas to travel freely from a supplier to the most lucrative markets. The existing networks are often operated by either private or state-controlled vertically integrated monopolies who are often reluctant to release unused pipeline capacity to their potential competitors. These obstacles to gas transit can diminish the gains from trade for states endowed with natural gas resources, including developing land-locked countries, as well as undermine WTO Members' energy security and their attempts at sustainable development.

This book explains how the WTO could play a more prominent role in the international regulation of gas transit and promote the development of an international gas market.

VITALIY POGORETSKY works as Counsel at the Advisory Centre on WTO Law (ACWL), where he assists developing-country Members of the ACWL and the least-developed countries in the WTO dispute settlement proceedings, and provides to these countries legal advice and training on WTO law. He has also worked at the Rules Division of the WTO Secretariat, FratiniVergano – European Lawyers, and as a civil servant of the Government of Ukraine.

CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL TRADE AND ECONOMIC LAW

Series Editors

Dr Lorand Bartels, *University of Cambridge*
Professor Thomas Cottier, *University of Berne*
Professor William Davey, *University of Illinois*

As the processes of regionalisation and globalisation have intensified, there have been accompanying increases in the regulations of international trade and economic law at the levels of international, regional and national laws.

The subject matter of this series is international economic law. Its core is the regulation of international trade, investment and cognate areas such as intellectual property and competition policy. The series publishes books on related regulatory areas, in particular human rights, labour, environment and culture, as well as sustainable development. These areas are vertically linked at the international, regional and national levels, and the series extends to the implementation of these rules at these different levels. The series also includes works on governance, dealing with the structure and operation of related international organisations in the field of international economic law, and the way they interact with other subjects of international and national law.

Books in the series

Freedom of Transit and Access to Gas Pipeline Networks under WTO Law
Vitaliy Pogoretsky

Reclaiming Development in the World Trading System
Yong-Shik Lee

Developing Countries and Preferential Services Trade
Charlotte Sieber-Gasser

WTO Dispute Settlement and the TRIPS Agreement: Applying Intellectual Property Standards in a Trade Law Framework
Matthew Kennedy

Establishing Judicial Authority in International Economic Law Edited by
Joanna Jemielniak, Laura Nielsen and Henrik Palmer Olsen

Trade, Investment, Innovation and Their Impact on Access to Medicines: An Asian Perspective
Locknie Hsu

The Law, Economics and Politics of International Standardisation
Panagiotis Delimatsis

The WTO and International Investment Law: Converging Systems
Jürgen Kurtz

Export Restrictions on Critical Minerals and Metals: Testing the Adequacy of WTO Disciplines

Ilaria Espa

Optimal Regulation and the Law of International Trade: The Interface between Societal Values and WTO Law

Boris Rigod

The Social Foundations of World Trade: Norms, Community and Constitution

Sungjoon Cho

Public Participation and Legitimacy in the WTO

Yves Bonzon

The Challenge of Safeguards in the WTO

Fernando Piérola

General Interests of Host States in International Investment Law

Edited by

Giorgio Sacerdoti, Pia Acconci, Mara Valenti and Anna De Luca

The Law of Development Cooperation: A Comparative Analysis of the World Bank, the EU and Germany

Philipp Dann

WTO Disciplines on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures: Balancing Policy Space and Legal Constraints

Dominic Coppens

Domestic Judicial Review of Trade Remedies: Experiences of the Most Active WTO Members

Müslüm Yilmaz

International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Public Services and International Trade Liberalization: Human Rights and Gender Implications

Barnali Choudhury

The Law and Politics of WTO Waivers: Stability and Flexibility in Public International Law

Isabel Feichtner

African Regional Trade Agreements as Legal Regimes

James Thuo Gathii

Liberalizing International Trade after Doha

David Gantz

Processes and Production Methods (PPMs) in WTO Law: Interfacing Trade and Social Goals

Christiane R. Conrad

*Non-discrimination in International Trade in Services: 'Likeness' in WTO/
GATS*

Nicolas Diebold

The Law, Economics and Politics of Retaliation in WTO Dispute Settlement

Edited by

Chad P. Bown and Joost Pauwelyn

The Multilateralization of International Investment Law

Stephan W. Schill

*Trade Policy Flexibility and Enforcement in the WTO: A Law and
Economics Analysis*

Simon A. B. Schropp

To my wife and best friend, Charlene, my parents
and my grandfather, Victor

FOREWORD

I gladly comply with Vitaliy Pogoretskyy's request to write a foreword by way of introduction to his book, which I previously read in the form of a PhD thesis as an external examiner. This book addresses the very contentious subject of the freedom of gas transit and access to gas pipeline networks, which is not sufficiently explored, and, at first glance, may seem exceedingly technical and complex. Dr Pogoretskyy's presentation of this subject, however, is both interesting and highly thought-provoking, addressing many issues relevant to regulatory authorities, WTO lawyers, energy analysts and generalists in the field of public international law. Energy security, sustainable development, the right of access to the sea for land-locked countries and the risk of the fragmentation of international law are among the topical issues discussed.

Only a handful of WTO rules directly regulates the issue of access to energy networks, including for purposes of gas transit. These rules are not located in the multilateral agreements on trade in goods. They can be found mainly in the positive commitments on trade in services, or in the accession commitments of a few individual WTO Members. Does this mean that the issue of gas transit, which is highly network-dependent, is of little concern to the WTO? Does this issue fall within the realm of WTO provisions regulating trade in goods, services or both? Similar questions can be asked with regard to other areas of network-dependent trade. Dr Pogoretskyy's book examines these questions by providing a comprehensive and original analysis of all major WTO provisions directly and indirectly relevant to the subject of gas transit.

This book makes a particularly useful contribution to the existing literature by placing the discussion of WTO provisions regulating gas transit within the broader context of public international law. As is well known, the interaction between WTO law and public international law has been an important and challenging topic in the academic literature. This book presents a thorough review of many non-WTO legal sources relevant to WTO transit obligations. These sources are then used to

interpret WTO law by applying, among others, the principle of systemic integration. In his book, Dr Pogoretsky demonstrates his in-depth understanding of the methods of treaty interpretation, WTO jurisprudence, public international law and the relevant regulatory context.

Offering an interesting perspective on the relationship between WTO law and public international law, this book in a timely manner fills a very important gap in the literature. I have no doubts it will be a valuable reference source for the on-going discussions on the place of energy in the WTO, as well as for a broader debate on the role of public international law in the WTO legal system.

Prof Dr Peter Van den Bossche

Member, Appellate Body, World Trade Organization, Geneva

Director of Studies, World Trade Institute, Bern

Geneva, July 2016

PREFACE, ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND DISCLAIMER

This monograph is based on more than five years of PhD research, inspired by my general interest in energy and the theme of the fragmentation of international law, which, after the landmark rulings in *US – Gasoline*, *US – Shrimp*, *Korea – Procurement* and *EC – Approval and Marketing of Biotech Products*, has got its second wind in the WTO case law and is vividly discussed in the academic literature. This monograph explores whether the problem of the fragmentation of international law is also pertinent in the context of the WTO's regulation of energy trade, in particular gas transit. I set out my preliminary ideas on this subject in an article: Vitaliy Pogoretsky, 'Freedom of Transit and the Principles of Effective Right and Economic Cooperation: Can Systemic Interpretation of GATT Article V Promote Energy Security and the Development of an International Gas Market?', 16(2) *Journal of International Economic Law* (2013) 313, which were further developed into a PhD thesis, defended at the Centre for Energy, Petroleum and Mineral Law and Policy (CEPMLP, the University of Dundee), and, subsequently, into this monograph.

During the period of my research, I spent two and a half years as a full-time PhD researcher at the CEPMLP, and three years working in the WTO Secretariat, Rules Division and the Advisory Centre on WTO Law (ACWL). In all of these places, I found an intellectually stimulating environment for completing this monograph. I also met many great personalities and competent experts in various fields: international lawyers, energy economists, political scientists, energy industry professionals, who, in one way or another, shaped the outcome of this study. Their contributions took different forms, including a thorough and critical review of particular chapters of this monograph, offering valuable and creative advice or sharing professional experience and knowledge while supervising my professional activities, which I subsequently applied to my research. This monograph has greatly benefited from all of these contributions and I would like to thank all of my colleagues and friends for their help and support. I am also profoundly grateful to the

CEPMLP for its PhD Research Scholarship, without which this research would not be feasible.

Among the individuals whom I would like to thank are my PhD supervisors, Professor Melaku Geboye Desta and Professor Joost Pauwelyn. They were excellent mentors and their comprehensive reviews of my PhD chapters were truly priceless. I would also like to thank Professor Peter Van den Bossche, Dr Jacques Hartmann and Dr Sarah Hendry for challenging my ideas during the examination of my PhD thesis and providing critical comments. I am deeply grateful to Professor Van den Bossche for agreeing to write the foreword for this monograph.

At the CEPMLP, I greatly benefited from intellectual discussions with Dr Abba Kolo, Professor Kaj Hobér, Professor Jonathan Stern, Stephen Dow and Dr Sergei Vinogradov, as well as many of my research fellows, including my good friends Dr Daniel Behn and Dr Ana Maria Daza-Clark. Among my former colleagues at the WTO Secretariat, I would like to thank Mark Koulen and Professor Gabrielle Marceau for providing valuable comments on the draft of my article mentioned earlier and Mireille Cossy, Dr Nora Neufeld, Graham Cook and Pierre Latrille for insightful comments on various aspects of my research. I would like to thank my colleagues at the ACWL for their understanding and support throughout the first year and a half of my tenure, during which I had to combine my professional responsibilities with completing my research, and for sharing their profound knowledge and expertise in WTO law that I applied to this study.

Many other friends and colleagues contributed to this research. I am grateful to Dr Yulia Selivanova and Graham Coop for facilitating my access to the negotiating history of the Energy Charter Treaty, and Dr Daniel Behn, Sergii Melnyk, Tetyana Payosova, and Alexander Volkov for reviewing some parts of my study. I would like to thank Dr Carol Ní Ghiollarnáth for her help in editing different versions of this monograph.

Last but not least, my warmest thanks go to my wife, Charlene, my parents, my brother Nikolay, and my grandparents. Without their love and support throughout more than five years of my research, this monograph would not have seen the light of day.

By way of a disclaimer, all errors in this monograph are mine alone and the views expressed in this study should not be attributed to the organisations with which I have been affiliated.

TABLE OF CASES

Permanent Court of International Justice

Short Form (when applicable)	Full Citation
<i>Jurisdiction of the European Commission of the Danube</i>	<i>Jurisdiction of the European Commission of the Danube between Galatz and Braila</i> , Collection of Advisory Opinions, Series B. – No. 14, 8 December 1927 ‘ <i>Lotus</i> ’, Collection of Judgments, Series A. – No. 10, 7 September 1927 <i>Oscar Chinn</i> , Collection of Judgments, Orders and Advisory Opinions, Judgment, Series A/ B. – No. 63, 12 December 1934 <i>Polish Postal Service in Danzig</i> , Collection of Advisory Opinions, Series B. – No. 11, 16 May 1925 <i>Railway Traffic between Lithuania and Poland</i> , Collection of Judgments, Orders and Advisory Opinions, Advisory Opinion, Series A/B. – No. 42, 15 October 1931 S.S. ‘ <i>Wimbledon</i> ’, Collection of Judgments, Series A. – No. 1, 17 August 1923 S.S. ‘ <i>Wimbledon</i> ’, Dissenting Opinion of Judge Schücking, Collection of Judgments, Series A. – No. 1, 17 August 1923, p. 43
<i>River Oder</i>	<i>Territorial Jurisdiction of the International Commission of the River Oder</i> , Collection of Judgments, Series A. – No. 23, 10 September 1929

International Court of Justice

Short Form (when applicable)	Full Citation
<i>Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination</i>	<i>Application of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (Georgia v. Russian Federation)</i> , Judgment of 1 April 2011: I.C.J. Reports 2011, p. 70
<i>Oil Platforms</i>	<p><i>Case Concerning Oil Platforms (Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America)</i>, Judgment of 6 November 2003: I.C.J. Reports 2003, p. 161</p> <p><i>Case Concerning Oil Platforms (Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America)</i>, Separate Opinion of Judge Higgins, Judgment of 6 November 2003: I.C.J. Reports 2003, p. 161, p. 225</p> <p><i>Case Concerning Oil Platforms (Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America)</i>, Separate Opinion of Judge Simma, Judgment of 6 November 2003: I.C.J. Reports 2003, p. 161, p. 324</p>
<i>Right of Passage over Indian Territory</i>	<p><i>Case Concerning Right of Passage over Indian Territory</i>, Judgment of 12 April 1960: I.C.J. Reports 1960, p. 6</p> <p><i>Case Concerning Right of Passage over Indian Territory</i>, Dissenting Opinion of Judge Moreno Quintana (translation), Judgment of 12 April 1960: I.C.J. Reports 1960, p. 6, p. 88</p> <p><i>Case Concerning Right of Passage over Indian Territory</i>, Dissenting Opinion of Judge Chagla, Judgment of 12 April 1960: I.C.J. Reports 1960, p. 6, p. 116</p>

(cont.)

Short Form (when applicable)	Full Citation
	<i>Case Concerning Right of Passage over Indian Territory</i> , Dissenting Opinion of Judge Fernandes (translation), Judgment of 12 April 1960: I.C.J. Reports 1960, p. 6, p. 123
	<i>Case Concerning Right of Passage over Indian Territory</i> , Separate Opinion of Judge V. K. Wellington Koo, Judgment of 12 April 1960: I.C.J. Reports 1960, p. 6, p. 54
	<i>Continental Shelf (Tunisia/Libyan Arab Jamahiriya)</i> , Judgment of 24 February 1982, I.C.J. Reports 1982, p. 18
	<i>Continental Shelf (Tunisia/Libyan Arab Jamahiriya)</i> , Separate Opinion of Judge Jiménez de Aréchaga, Judgment of 24 February 1982: I.C.J. Reports 1982, p. 18, p. 100
<i>Navigational and Related Rights</i>	<i>Dispute Regarding Navigational and Related Rights (Costa Rica v. Nicaragua)</i> , Judgment of 13 July 2009: I.C.J. Reports 2009, p. 213
	<i>Dispute regarding Navigational and Related Rights (Costa Rica v. Nicaragua)</i> , Declaration of Judge Gilbert Guillaume, Judgment of 13 July 2009: I.C.J. Reports 2009, p. 213, p. 290
	<i>Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project (Hungary v. Slovakia)</i> , Separate Opinion of Vice-President Weeramantry, Judgment of 25 September 1997: I.C.J. Reports 1997, p. 7, p. 88