THE ICC GUIDE

to the Uniform Rules for Bank Payment Obligations

Bank Payment Obligations (BPOs) enable banks to mitigate the risks associated with international trade to the benefit of both buyers and sellers. They enable flexible financing propositions across the entire transaction lifecycle, including pre-shipment, post-shipment and buyer finance.

The ICC drafted the first-ever *Uniform Rules for Bank Payment Obligations* (URBPO), a 21st century standard in supply chain finance that governs BPO transactions worldwide and facilitates international trade. The rules were developed by the Banking Commission of the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC), in partnership with financial messaging provider SWIFT to take into account the legitimate expectations of all relevant sectors.

The ICC Guide to the Uniform Rules for Bank Payment Obligations closely examines the ways in which the three critical components of standards, platform and rules must interact and complement one another in order to facilitate the successful completion of a BPO transaction.

It explains workflow in detail and provides examples of how a Bank Payment Obligation may be applied in practice to support a variety of customer value propositions, enabling corporates to take full advantage of a host of bank-assisted open account solutions designed to optimise the management of the cash conversion cycle and of working capital.

This manual is designed not only to guide practitioners in their interpretation of the Uniform Rules for Bank Payment Obligations but also to provide substance to the practical application of the BPO in the context of real life business scenarios. It is a vital reference for anyone involved in financial supply chain transactions and for students of international commerce.



ICC Publication: 751E ISBN: 978-92-842-0191-4 ICC Business Bookstore www.iccbooks.com



THE ICC GUIDE to the Uniform Rules for Bank Payment Obligations



The ICC Guide to the Uniform Rules for Bank Payment Obligations

Copyright © 2013

International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) All rights reserved.

ICC holds all copyright and other intellectual property rights in this work. No part of this work may be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, translated or adapted in any form or by any means, except as permitted by law, without the written permission of ICC.

Permission can be requested from ICC through pub@iccwbo.org.

ICC Services
Publications Department
38 Cours Albert 1er
75008 Paris
France

ICC Publication No. 751E ISBN: 978-92-842-0191-4

Acknowledgements/Disclaimer

The author would like to thank those companies, banks, other institutions and individuals who have given their advice and support in the creation of this book.

While every care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of this work, no responsibility for any loss occasioned to any person or company acting or refraining from action as a result of any statement made therein can be accepted by the author or the publisher.

Special thanks

Special thanks to all those people who have persevered in the long and arduous process of making the Bank Payment Obligation a reality. With apologies to those not mentioned here, they include: Doris Braun, John Bugeja, Neil Chantry, Chris Conn, Gary Collyer, Mary De Tuerk, Jose Carlos Guedes, Hank Hsu, Daisuke Kamai, Michael Kang, Urs Kern, Jana Kies, Michelle Knowles, Patrick Krekels, Ashutosh Kumar, Sara Joyce, Nadine Louis, Alexander Malaket, Manoj Menon, David Meynell, Robert Marchal, Murray McGuire, Evy Passa, Shin Mizutani, Thiago Fernandes Nascimento, Mike Quinn, Harriette Resnick, Lakshmanan Sankaran, Jay Singh, Tan Kah-Chye, Sanjay Tandon, Dan Taylor, Peter Tijou. Sharyn Trainor, David Vermylen, Hugo Verschoeren, Suwatchai Visanvit, Wang Guosheng, Alan Wong and Xiong Yuanmeng.

Dedication

For Miriam, Tamsin, Jeni, Kerenza and Serena

About the Author

David Hennah is an Associate of the Institute of Financial Services, formerly the Chartered Institute of Bankers. He has considerable expertise, accumulated over many years, in transaction banking, software services, financial services marketing and business consultancy and has a track record of driving change through the innovative use of new technology to deliver business benefit. He has previously lived in France, Belgium and Germany, as well as in the UK, and has worked for Barclays Bank, ICL/Fujitsu Services, Misys Banking Systems and SWIFT.

At SWIFT, David held a key role in bringing the Bank Payment Obligation to market and was a member of the ICC Drafting Group that worked on version 1.0 of the Uniform Rules for Bank Payment Obligations (URBPO). He is a well-known chairperson, speaker and moderator at trade and industry events worldwide. He is also the author of numerous articles, often related to product innovation and the use of technology in international payments and cash management, trade and supply chain finance. He is a regular contributor to Trade and Forfaiting Review.

David is Managing Director of Hennah FSC Advisory (http://hennahfscadvisory.co.uk), whose clients include the International Chamber of Commerce, Demica and Wilmington Publishing & Information Limited, while also working in close collaboration with Trade Finance Associates (www.www.tradefinanceassociates.com) and advising International Financial Bridge. David may be contacted directly at david@hennahfscadvisory.co.uk or alternatively at davidhennah@hotmail.co.uk.

Foreword

The trade finance industry has often been subject to criticism for using outdated platforms and processes. Industry initiatives that are designed to simplify transaction processing and bring the business of trade finance into the 21st century are to be welcomed by bankers and corporates alike. The Bank Payment Obligation (BPO) is a great example of one such initiative.

As an instrument of trade finance, the BPO is similar in nature to a documentary letter of credit. Simply put, it is an irrevocable undertaking given by one bank to another bank that payment will be made on a specified date after the successful matching of electronic data. The key difference here is that the BPO works in an environment that is totally automated, relying on the comparison and matching of structured messages as opposed to the physical examination of paper documents. The ability to process trade data in this way represents a significant leap forward in terms of industry efficiency.

A landmark agreement signed in 2011 between the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) Banking Commission and SWIFT has paved the way for the ICC to assume responsibility for the rules governing the BPO. The importance of this change cannot be understated. Not only will the BPO benefit from the established role and reputation that the ICC has in managing industry rules, but it will also obtain a globally accepted dispute resolution capability, building on the solid foundations that have already been laid. The Uniform Rules for Bank Payment Obligations (URBPO) will underpin the future strength and standing of the BPO in international trade. Over time, these rules may eventually help to elevate the Bank Payment Obligation to a status similar to that enjoyed for decades by the documentary letter of credit.

There are two important aspects of the URBPO to be noted here. The first is that they are technology neutral. This means that the rules can be applied to any BPO transaction, regardless of the underlying Transaction

Matching Application or service provider used for the exchange of BPO-related data. The second is that the rules rely upon mandatory compliance with ISO 20022 messaging standards. This means that the data itself must always be presented consistently and in accordance with established industry requirements.

There can be no doubt that the establishment of the Bank Payment Obligation as an accepted market practice has been significantly enhanced as a result of the transfer of governance to the ICC. The next step is for the market to embrace this new way of doing business and to adapt established processes and procedures accordingly. At a time of restricted risk management and lending practices, transaction bankers have an open opportunity and responsibility to guide their corporate clients to take advantage of new developments in best practice, such as those engendered by the introduction of the Bank Payment Obligation, Education and communication are of vital importance in assuring successful adoption. Works such as this Guide to the URBPO will help to extend knowledge, awareness and understanding as we look forward to the continued evolution of a next generation of financial supply chain solutions.

Gary Collyer

Compe

Chair, ICC BPO Rules Drafting Group

If I had my life to live over again,
I would elect to be a trader of goods
rather than a student of science.

- Albert Einstein

Introduction

The letter of credit is a creation of commerce. There are those who believe that its origins date back to ancient Babylon in the year 3000 B.C. Indeed, a clay promissory note of that era is exhibited in the University Museum of Philadelphia and bears an inscription providing for the repayment of a specified amount plus interest on a specific date.

It is widely believed that the development of letters of credit in Europe was inspired by the discoveries of Marco Polo in China in the 13th century. By the 17th century letters of credit were in common use both on the European continent and in England, and by the 19th century British banks had a virtual monopoly on the issuance of letters of credit, owing to the pre-eminence of both the pound sterling and the grand reputation of the bankers of London in furthering the field of international finance.

The outbreak of World War I severed many of the trusted trading links that had become well established between merchants worldwide. In 1919 the International Chamber of Commerce was created to help facilitate the flow of trade at a time when nationalism and protectionism had taken hold.

Since 1933 the universal usage of lex mercatoria has been supplemented by a set of rules aimed at establishing uniformity of practice. Now in its sixth revision, the Uniform Customs and Practice for Documentary Credits (UCP 600) remains the most successful set of private rules ever developed for trade.

At the start of the 21st century, we are faced with an inexorable flow of more and more trade across borders. At the same time, we are embarking on a new chapter in the history of trade and trade finance. Whatever walk of life we may pursue, whatever business interests we may have, our daily existence cannot fail to have been touched by the irresistible tidal wave of new technology. When applied to positive effect, technology can both form and change culture. The exploitation of new

technology enables us to pursue our business goals and objectives through the application of science.

Today, thanks to the power of new technology, we are facing a paradigm shift in the processing of trade instruments. The demand to mitigate risk is now complemented by an even stronger demand for systems and services that are both smart and simple. Corporates regard clear visibility into their supply chains as key to the unlocking of trapped cash. Technology can be deployed to deliver detailed insights into day-to-day dealings and enable the leveraging of information flows to support the movement of goods and money.

Unlike the letter of credit, the Bank Payment Obligation (BPO) is the brainchild of bankers. It has variously been described as a "game changer" and a "creative vision of the future".

To interpret a BPO as merely an electronic or "lite" letter of credit is an injustice. Nevertheless, the simplest definition of a BPO does rely upon a direct comparison to the letter of credit.

Whereas the letter of credit places an obligation on the issuing bank to pay subject to the physical presentation of compliant documents, the BPO places a similar obligation on the issuing bank (the Obligor Bank) to pay subject to the electronic presentation of compliant data.

The execution of a BPO-based transaction relies in practice upon the consistent interaction of three components. The first is a set of structured messages to support the exchange of data in accordance with predefined standards. The second is a platform to support the matching of the data in accordance with a predefined workflow. The third is a set of rules to support uniformity of practice and thus promote universal adoption, just as the UCP has successfully supported uniformity of practice in the universal adoption of documentary letters of credit.

Every student of trade finance will know that the term "letter of credit" is derived from the Latin accreditivus, meaning "a power to do something". The Bank Payment Obligation empowers us to take the business of trade finance to another level and to give birth to a whole new tradition for the next generation of trade financiers.

In this book, we will closely examine the abovementioned three components of standards, platform and rules. We will look at the ways in which these three components must interact and complement one another in order to facilitate the successful completion of a BPO transaction. We will discuss workflow and provide some examples of how a Bank Payment Obligation may be applied in practice to support a variety of value propositions such as pre-shipment and post-shipment finance. As such, this work is designed not only to guide practitioners in their interpretation of the Uniform Rules for Bank Payment Obligations but also to provide substance to the practical application of the BPO in the context of real life business scenarios.

David Hennah

Burnham, Bucks April 2013

Contents

LIST	OF FIGURES		
LIST	OF TABLES		
LIST	OF FLOW	DIAGRAMS	.21
	PTER 1 at is a Ba	nk Payment Obligation?	. 23
1.1	What is	a Baseline?	. 24
1.2	Why do	we need a BPO?	. 25
1.3	Address	ing inefficiencies in the financial supply chain	. 27
1.4	Optimisa	ation of working capital	. 29
1.5	Payment assurance		
1.6	Enhanced process efficiency		
1.7	Reduced risk of discrepancies		
1.8	Mitigatin	g the risk of supplier default	. 31
1.9	Strengthening buyer/supplier relationships		. 31
1.10	Growing the supplier network		
1.11	Integrated technology based on global messaging standards		
1.12	Flexible forms of financing		. 33
1.13	"Silent" BPOs		. 33
1.14	Summary of the main financing opportunities using the BPO		. 34
	1.14.1	Basic financing options	. 34
	1.14.2	Adapting the due date of the BPO	. 35
	1.14.3	Delaying the establishment of the BPO	. 36
	1.14.4	Using the TMA "pre-match" facility to create the BPO later	. 37
1.15	Account	ing Policy for BPOs	. 38

1.16	Capital	Treatment for BPOs	40	
	1.16.1	Probability of Default	40	
	1.16.2	Loss Given Default	40	
	1.16.3	Effective Maturity		
	1.16.4	Leverage	41	
	PTER 2			
The	ISO 200	022 TSMT messages	42	
2.1	What is	ISO?	42	
2.2	What is	ISO 20022?	43	
2.3	What is	ISO 20022 TSMT?	44	
2.4	The ISO) 20022 TSMT messages	44	
СНА	PTER 3			
The	Transac	tion Matching Application	55	
3.1	TMA Su	ubscription	56	
3.2	TMA Ro	oles	57	
3.3	TMA Tra	MA Transaction States5		
3.4	TMA Da	1A Data Sets5		
3.5	ТМА Мі	nimum fields	59	
	3.5.1	Baseline	59	
	3.5.2	Commercial Data Set	59	
	3.5.3	Transport Data Set	60	
	3.5.4	Insurance Data Set	60	
	3.5.5	Certificate Data Set	60	
	3.5.6	Other (Certificate) Data Set	60	
3.6	TMA Es	stablishment of a BPO	61	
3.7	TMA Da	A Data and Message Matching Rules		
3.8	TMA Pr	A Pre-Match6		
3.9	ТМА Ва	MA Baseline Amendments66		
3.10	ТМА Мі	MA Mismatch Acceptance and Rejection6		
3.11	TMA Sir	ngle shipments and partial shipments	68	
3.12	TMA Mu	ultiple Obligor Banks	68	
3.13	TMA Special Messages			
3.14	TMA Reporting			
3.15	TMA Data Storage			
3.16	TMA Tir	mers and Time Violations	71	

CHAPTER 4

		n Rules for Bank Payment Obligations	/ 2
4.1	Key po	ints	72
4.2		ferences between the URBPO	
		e UCP/eUCP	
		1	
		2	
		3	
	Article	4	84
	Article	5	86
	Article	6	87
		7	
	Article	8	89
	Article	9	89
	Article	10	92
	Article	11	95
	Article	12	96
	Article	13	97
	Article	14	98
	Article	15	98
	Article	16	100
0.00	PTER 5		
Unc	lerstand	ding Workflow	101
5.1	Establis	shing a Baseline	101
	5.1.1	Establishing a Baseline between	
		two primary banks only	
	5.1.2	Establishing a Baseline with additional banks	106
	5.1.3	Role and Baseline Acceptance	107
	5.1.4	Role and Baseline Rejection	108
5.2	Baselin	e Amendment Request	110
	5.2.1	Baseline Amendment Acceptance	
	Long. v.	between two primary banks only	112
	5.2.2	Baseline Amendment Acceptance involving additional banks	113
	5.2.3	Baseline Amendment Rejection between two primary banks only	114
	5.2.4	Baseline Amendment Rejection involving additional banks	

5.3	Data Se	et Submission	116
	5.3.1	Baseline involving two primary banks only	117
	5.3.2	Baseline involving additional banks	118
5.4	Data Se	t Pre-Match	118
5.5	Mismate	ch Acceptance	119
	5.5.1	Baseline involving two primary banks only; Bu Bank is Obligor Bank	
	5.5.2	Baseline involving additional banks	122
5.6	Mismate	ch Rejection	122
	5.6.1	Baseline involving two primary banks only; Bu Bank is Obligor Bank	
	5.6.2	Baseline involving additional banks	124
5.7	Special	Messages	126
	PTER 6		
Cor	porate-t	o-Bank Guidelines and Messaging	128
6.1	Adaptir	ng the ISO 20022 TSMT messages	128
6.2	Differences in scope		134
6.3	End-to-end message flows		
CHA	PTER 7		
Cor	porate A	Agreements	140
7.1	Interact	ions outside the scope of the URBPO	140
7.2	Agreem	nent between a buyer and a seller	142
	7.2.1	The ICC Approach	143
	7.2.2	Specific and General Conditions	143
	7.2.3	Extract from the ICC Model International Sale Contract	144
7.3	Agreement between a corporate customer and a financial institution		146
	PTER 8		
BPC) Busine	ss Scenarios	147
8.1	Bank-as	ssisted Open Account	148
8.2	Open A	ccount Processing/Servicing	150
	8.2.1	Purchase Order Advice	
	8.2.2	Document Presentment and Data Matching	
	8.2.3	Discrepancy Handling/Dispute Resolution	
	8.2.4	Management of Approved Invoices/Drafts	158

	8.2.5	Document Payment	160
	8.2.6	Documents/Payment Reconciliation	160
8.3	Open Ad	ccount Finance	162
	8.3.1	Purchase Order Commitment to Pay	162
	8.3.2	Pre-Shipment Finance	163
	8.3.3	Warehouse Finance	164
	8.3.4	Post-Shipment Finance	166
	8.3.5	Approved Payables Finance	168
	8.3.6	Receivables Purchase	172
	8.3.7	Flexible Due Date	173
8.4	BPO Cas	se Studies	175
	8.4.1	BP Chemicals (Exporter)	175
	8.4.2	Standard Chartered Bank	176
	8.4.3	Ito-Yokado (Importer)	176
	8.4.4	Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ (BTMU)	176
CHA	PTER 9		
Use	ful Links	I	177
	9.1	Uniform Rules for Bank Payment Obligations	177
	9.2	Messaging Standards	177
	9.3	Transaction Matching Application Service	177
	9.4	Industry Organisations	177
	9.5	Software service providers and technology platforms	178
	9.6	Business consultancy and business intelligence	180
	9.7	Banks	181
	9.8	Education and Media	183

List of figures

Figure 1 Example of an Initial Baseline Submission

	with one line item	24
Figure 2	The BPO comprises a complete set of standards, processes and rules	25
Figure 3	The BPO is designed to deliver the best of both worlds	28
Figure 4	ISO 20022 for Cash Management, Trade and Supply Chain Finance	32
Figure 5	The setting up of a BPO as part of the Baseline establishment process at the start of a transaction creates a range of basic financing options	35
Figure 6	Adapting the payment due date supports interbank funding opportunities	35
Figure 7	Delaying the issuance of the BPO reduces the demand on the buyer's credit lines	36
Figure 8	Pre-match enables the creation of the BPO to be delayed to the last possible moment	37
Figure 9	The adoption of mandatory ISO 20022 TSMT messaging standards and the URBPO is only applicable to the exchange of data between an Involved Bank and a TMA	56
Figure 10	A BPO is an optional part of a TMA Baseline	62
Figure 11	The BPO transaction lifecycle	63
Figure 12	In addition to the URBPO, users must consider the terms and conditions of the TMA and any specific matching rules that may be proprietary to that TMA	65
Figure 13	Multiple BPOs create an opportunity for trade asset distribution	70
Figure 14	The URBPO governs interactions between Involved Banks and the TMA	140
Figure 15	Business flows that take place outside the TMA are not governed by the URBPO	141
16		