

SINGAPORE COURT PRACTICE 2014

Jeffrey Pinsler, SC

Volume I
Orders 1 to 43

Commencement of
proceedings to judgment



LexisNexis

Singapore Court Practice 2014

Volume I **Orders 1–43** **Commencement of proceedings** **to judgment**

Jeffrey Pinsler, SC

LLB (Hons) (L'pool), LL.M (Cantab), LL.D (L'pool),
FSI Arb, Principal Mediator (SMC),
Senior Professorial Fellow (SILE),
Advocate and Solicitor, Supreme Court of Singapore,
Barrister of the Middle Temple,
Geoffrey Bartholomew Professor, Faculty of Law,
National University of Singapore

LexisNexis

Singapore • Malaysia • Hong Kong • India
2014

The Members of the LexisNexis Group worldwide

Singapore	LexisNexis, Singapore 3 Killiney Road #08-08 Winsland House I Singapore 239519
Malaysia	LexisNexis Malaysia Sdn Bhd T1-6, Jaya 33 3, Jalan Semangat, Seksyen 13 46100 Petaling Jaya Selangor Darul Ehsan
Hong Kong	LexisNexis, HONG KONG 39/F, Hopewell Centre, 183 Queen's Road, East
India	Butterworths Wadhwa Nagpur 14th Floor, Building No 10, DLF Cyber City, Phase-II, Gurgaon, HARYANA, India 122002
Argentina	LexisNexis Argentina, BUENOS AIRES
Australia	LexisNexis Butterworths, Chatswood, NEW SOUTH WALES
Austria	LexisNexis Verlag ARD Orac GmbH & Co KG, VIENNA
Canada	LexisNexis Butterworths, Markham, ONTARIO
Chile	LexisNexis Chile Ltda, SANTIAGO DE CHILE
Czech Republic	Nakladatelství Orac sro, PRAGUE
France	Editions du Juris-Classeur SA, PARIS
Ireland	Butterworths (Ireland) Ltd, DUBLIN
Italy	Giuffrè Editore, MILAN
New Zealand	Butterworths of New Zealand, WELLINGTON
PRC	LexisNexis Beijing Representative Office, BEIJING
Poland	Wydawnictwo Prawnicze LexisNexis, WARSAW
South Africa	Butterworths SA, DURBAN
Switzerland	Stämpfli Verlag AG, BERNE
United Kingdom	LexisNexis Butterworths Tolley, LONDON and EDINBURGH
USA	LexisNexis, Dayton, OHIO

© LexisNexis 2014

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any material form or by any means, including photocopying and recording, or storing in any medium by electronic means and whether or not transient or incidentally to some other use of this publication, without the written permission of the copyright holder, application for which should be addressed to the publisher. Such written permission must also be obtained before any part of this publication is stored in a retrieval system of any nature.

ISBN : 978-981-440-681-9

Printed by Vivar Printing Sdn Bhd

Publisher's Note

The publisher, authors, contributors and endorsers of this publication each excludes liability for loss suffered by any person resulting in any way from the use of, or reliance on, this publication.

Contributors to Singapore Court Practice 2014

ORDER 70

Vivian Ang

LLB (Hons) Singapore, LLM (Cardiff),
Advocate and Solicitor, Supreme Court of Singapore

[With the acknowledgement of the contributions of her team]

ORDERS 69 and 69A

Chan Leng Sun, SC

LLB (Malaya), LLM (Cambridge),
Advocate and Solicitor, Supreme Court of Singapore

ORDERS 87 and 87A

Tan Tee Jim, SC

LLB (Hons) Singapore, LLM (London),
Advocate and Solicitor, Supreme Court of Singapore

Contributors to Singapore Court Practice 2009

ORDER 70

Vivian Ang

LLB (Hons) Singapore, LLM (Cardiff),
Advocate and Solicitor, Supreme Court of Singapore

ORDERS 87 and 87A

Tan Tee Jim, SC

LLB (Hons) Singapore, LLM (London),
Advocate and Solicitor, Supreme Court of Singapore

Ms Anne-Louise McMenemy

LLB (Hons) Aberdeen, DLP (Edinburg),
Solicitor, Scotland

Contributors to Singapore Court Practice 2003, 2005 and 2006

Ang Cheng Hock, SC (Reviewer)

Vivian Ang (Order 70)

Govindarajulu Asokan (Order 89C)

Marina Chin (Orders 78, 79 and 80)

Vinodh Coomaraswamy, SC (Order 73)

Louis D'Souza (Orders 89A and 89B)

Gan Hiang Chye (Orders 81 and 83)

Michael Hwang, SC (Orders 69 and 69A)

Philip Jeyaretnam, SC (Reviewer)

Hri Kumar, SC (Reviewer)

Lee Eng Beng, SC (Order 82)

Jack Lee Tsen-Ta (Orders 85, 85A, 74 and 75)

Lok Vi Ming, SC (Reviewer)

Quek Mong Hua (Reviewer)

G Raman (Orders 71 and 72)

Tan Kok Quan, SC (Orders 78, 79 and 80)

Tan Tee Jim, SC (Orders 87 and 87A)

Lawrence Teh (Orders 77 and 78)

Gregory Vijayendran (Order 68, 71, 72, 84 and 86)

Acknowledgments

Legislation in this work has been reproduced with permission from the Attorney-General's Chambers of Singapore.

Readers are to consult:-

<http://statutes.agc.gov.sg>

or

<http://www.lawnet.com.sg>

for the latest version of the legislation.

The Supreme Court Practice Directions have been reproduced with permission from the Supreme Court of Singapore.

The State Courts Practice Directions have been reproduced with permission from the State Courts of Singapore.

Foreword

This is the 15th anniversary edition of the *Singapore Court Practice*. First published in 1999 by Professor Jeffrey Pinsler, it was a milestone in the development of procedural law in Singapore and has since then been one of the reference texts of choice in this area. As a leading treatise, its importance has only grown over the years as our rules of civil procedure, tailored to fit our own litigation environment, acquire their own unique flavour.

This new edition could hardly have come at a more critical time. In the five years since the publication of the *Singapore Court Practice 2009*, our Rules of Court have undergone many changes and innovations designed to meet the needs of litigants and the legal profession. Notable examples include the amendments to Order 53 empowering the court to grant declarations in judicial review proceedings and to Order 5 concerning the *locus standi* of corporations and unincorporated associations to sue without legal representation. This year has seen another significant milestone with the renaming of our Subordinate Courts as the State Courts and consequential amendments to the Subordinate Courts Act. Moreover, a growing number of cases in the area of civil procedure are being heard by the Court of Appeal, which has resulted in a slew of important additions to a growing corpus of jurisprudence. These include decisions concerning the scope and nature of the court's inherent powers as well as the proper approach to the making and implementation of 'unless' orders.

These developments have been documented, clearly expounded upon, and analysed in a concise but in-depth manner. Professor Pinsler has also sought to provide an overview and understanding of the role of alternative sources of procedure including, practice directions as well as judicial guidelines on professional practice. Volume I of the text covers all proceedings until judgment whereas Volume II is concerned with enforcement, appeals, costs, judicial review, all the specialist proceedings such as arbitration and admiralty as well as other Orders. A practical innovation introduced in this new edition is the inclusion of the Forms referred to by each Order immediately after that Order for the reader's ease of reference. I have little doubt that this compendious work will prove to be an invaluable guide to any lawyer looking to navigate our increasingly complex procedural environment in a quick and efficient manner.

It is appropriate here to sound a note of caution about the proliferation of procedure – it is crucial that we do not lose sight of the fact that our rules are ultimately a means of ensuring that justice is done. As Lord Woolf remarked in his final report to the Lord Chancellor in *Access to Justice* (July 1996), '[a]lthough the rules can offer detailed directions for the technical

steps to be taken, the effectiveness of those steps depends upon the spirit in which they are carried out. That in turn depends on an understanding of the fundamental purpose of the rules and the underlying system of procedure.' Professor Pinsler echoes this in his astute observation that civil procedure depends for its efficacy and integrity on a professional and ethical approach to court proceedings. Lawyers would do well to bear this in mind even during the cut and thrust of adversarial litigation.

I am sure that the legal community will join me in extending my warmest congratulations and appreciation to Professor Pinsler for this superb culmination of his tireless efforts. It gives me great pleasure to commend this work.

The Honourable the Chief Justice Sundaresh Menon

April 2014

Preface

Civil procedure has continued to develop apace as evidenced by the 20 sets of amendments to the Rules of Court since the 2009 edition of *Singapore Court Practice*. Earlier this year, the new 2014 edition of the Rules of Court came into effect (S 163/2014). Not long after, on 1 May 2014, the latest Rules of Court (Amendment) Rules 2014 (S 299/2014) came into operation. We also have the new State Courts and the governing State Courts Act, which were introduced in March 2014 by the Subordinate Courts (Amendment) Act 2014 (Act No 5 of 2014). These advances have been matched by the role of the courts in enhancing the values of the Singapore legal system. Anyone who has any doubt about judicial responsiveness and vibrancy need only refer to the innovative approaches of the Court of Appeal in recent years, and the manner in which the courts in general have met the demands of the complex landscape of modern civil litigation.

The publication of *Singapore Court Practice* 2014 marks the 15th anniversary of this work. Its readers would have noticed that, unlike its predecessors, the 2014 edition takes the form of two volumes. Volume I consists of Orders 1 to 43, which concern proceedings from their outset until judgment. Volume II (which contains the remaining Orders) focuses on post-judgment processes and specific actions, proceedings and procedures. The length of the entire work means that it is no longer possible to publish it in a single volume. Readers will also notice other differences between the new and 2009 edition. Much of the content has been revamped to take into account the new rules (which have resulted from the 20 sets of amendment rules referred to in the preceding paragraph), the plethora of judgments which cover almost the entire spectrum of procedural law, and the incessant development of the practice directions over the last 5 years.

I am deeply grateful to the Honourable Chief Justice Sundaresh Menon for his time in writing the foreword. My thanks to LexisNexis for their continuing support of this work. Again I am indebted to Mr Terence Lim (Associate Director, Publishing) for his dedication and skill in editing this work despite his many other important responsibilities as an associate publishing director. He has been instrumental in putting the thousands of pages of manuscript in such excellent publishable form.

I have endeavoured to state the law as it stood on 1 May 2014.

Jeffrey Pinsler, SC
May 2014

Updates to Singapore Court Practice

Regular online updates to Singapore Court Practice by Professor Jeffrey Pinsler are exclusive to online subscribers of Lexis Singapore.

TABLE OF CASES

References are to paragraph numbers

13 Jalan Besar, Singapore, Re [1996] 2 SLR(R) 470.....	38/2/14
462 Green Lane, Ilford, Re [1971] 1 WLR 138	28/8/4

A

A v B [1883] WN 174	12/2/4
A v B [1989] 2 Lloyd's Rep 423	29/1/40
A v B [1996] 1 WLR 665	23/2/1
A v C [1980] 2 All ER 347	24/5/3
A v C [1981] QB 956	24/6/7, 29/1/49
A v C [1982] RPC 509	29/1/64
A v C (No 2) [1981] 2 WLR 634	29/1/48
AAR v AAS [2009] 4 SLR(R) 33	29/1/8
Aaron v Cheong Yip Seng [1996] 1 SLR(R) 258	38/1/12
AAY and others v AAZ [2011] 2 SLR 528	42/2/1
A-B Chew Investments v Lim Tjoen Kong [1989] 3 MLJ 328	24/3/10
A-B Chew Investments v Lim Tjoen Kong [1991] 3 MLJ 4	24/3/10
AB Contractor v Flaherty Brothers (1978) 16 BLR 10	14/4/3
Abacus Realty v Indian Overseas Bank [1998] 3 SLR(R) 720	18/19/14
Abbey National Mortgages v Key Surveyors Nationwide [1995] 75 BLR 124 (HC); [1996] 3 All ER 184	40/1/1
Abbey Panel & Sheet Metal v Barson Products [1948] 1 KB 493	13/3/2A
ABC Supermarket v Kosma Holdings [2005] SGHC 44	38/1/14
Abderdeen Asset Management Asia v Fraser & Neave [2001] 3 SLR(R) 355	14/12/3
Abdul Aziz bin Mohamed Yatim v Rubiah binte Rahmat [2007] 1 SLR(R) 782	15/6A/1A, 15/6A/2A
Abdul Gaffer v Chua Kwang Yong [1994] 2 SLR(R) 99	15/6/1, 15/6/5, 15/6/9, 18/8/5, 20/5/14
Abdul Gaffer v Chua Kwang Yong [1994] 3 SLR(R) 1056	10/1/12, 13/8/9A, 15/6/1, 15/6/5, 15/6/9, 15/6/19, 18/8/5, 20/5/17, 21/2/10
Abdul Halim bin Abdul Hanan v Pengarah Penjara, Taiping [1996] 4 MLJ 54	5/4/1, 28/8/1, 38/14-16/6, 41/5/3
Abdul Latif Bin Mohammed Tahir (trading as Canary Agencies) v Saeed Husain s/o Hakim Gulam Mohiudin (trading as United Limousine) [2003] 2 SLR(R) 61	O 18
Abdul Majeed v Dato' Sulaiman [1981] 2 MLJ 335	18/19/12, 18/19/14
Abdul Rahim v Ling How Doong [1994] 1 SLR(R) 1054	15/12/2

Table of Cases

Abdul Rahim bin Aki v Krubong Industrial Park (Melaka)	
[1995] 3 MLJ 417	15/6/9, 15/16/8, 28/8/1, 28/8/6
Abdul Rahman bin Mohamed Yunoos v Majlis Ugama Islam Singapura	
[1995] 2 SLR(R) 394	15/6/3, 15/6/9
Abdul Razak Valibhoy v Abdul Rahim Valibhoy	
[1995] 1 SLR(R) 441	42/1/6
Abdul Razak Valibhoy v Keppel Investment Management	
[2002] SGHC 236	20/5/7
Abdul Razak Ahmad v Majlis Bandaraya Johor Bahru	
[1995] 2 MLJ 287	15/16/8, 18/19/7, 18/19/11, 18/19/14, 41/5/4
Abdul Salam Asanaru Pillai (trading as South Kerala Cashew Exporters) v Nomanbhoy & Sons Pte Ltd [2007] 2 SLR(R) 856	13/8/5, 14/4/1, 14/4/2
Abdul Salam Asanaru Pillai (trading as South Kerala Cashew Exporters) v Nomanbhoy & Sons Pte Ltd [2008] SGHC 48	23/1/5
Abdul Samad bin Haji Alias v Public Bank [1985] 1 MLJ 150	30/5/1
Aberdeen Asset Management Asia v Fraser & Neave	
[2001] 3 SLR(R) 355	42/1/1
Abidin bin Umar v Doraisamy [1994] 1 MLJ 617	15/6/4, 15/6/9
Ablitt v Mills & Reeve The Times, 25 October 1995	24/19/1
Abonloff v Oppenheimer (1882) 30 WR 430	15/6/2
Abraham v Law Society of Singapore [1991] 1 SLR(R) 747;	
[1991] 3 MLJ 359	24/6/6
Abraham v Thompson [1997] 4 All ER 362	23/1/10
Abrath v North Eastern Rly (1883) 11 QBD 440; on further appeal	
(1886) 11 App Cas 247	35/4/2
Abu bin Mohamed v Voo Fui Tong [1938] MLJ 140	23/1/5
Abu Dhabi National Tanker v Product Star Shipping	
[1992] 2 All ER 20	1/1/8, 24/1/5, 24/18/4
ACD (by her next friend B) v See Mun Li [2009] SGHC 217	37/7–10/1
Ace King v Circus Americano [1985] 2 MLJ 75	23/1/5, 29/1/51
Acerro v Petroni (1815) 1 Stark 100	38/1/7
Acrow (Automation) v Rex Chainbelt [1971] 3 All ER 1175	29/1/14
Acrux, The [2004] 4 SLR(R) 531	15/16/5
Active Timber Agencies v Allen & Gledhill	
[1995] 3 SLR(R) 334	18/19/7, 18/19/11, 18/19/12
Adair v New River (1805) 11 Ves 429; 32 ER 1153	15/12/1
Adam, Son & Co v Townend (1884) 14 QBD 103	12/1/9
Adams v Adams (1892) 1 Ch 369	15/2/6
Adams v Catley [1892] 66 LT 687	23/3/6
Adams v Lloyd (1858) 3 H & N 351	24/3/10
Adkins v North Metropolitan Tramways (1893) 63 LJQB 361	18/13/2
Admiral Management Services v Para-Protect Europe	
[2002] CP Rep 37	40A/2/1
Aectra Refining and Manufacturing v Exmar NV	
[1994] 1 WLR 1634	14/4/2, 18/17/2
Aeronave SpA v Westland Charters [1971] 3 All ER 531	23/1/5, 23/3/3
Affin Bank v WT Low & Ng Realty [2003] 2 AMR 417	1/1/8
Afro Asia Shipping v Haridass Ho & Partners	
[2003] 2 SLR(R) 491	6/3/1, 18/19/7, 18/19/11, 18/19/14, 32/1/1

Afro-Asia Shipping v Da Zhong Investment (No 2)	
[2004] 3 SLR(R) 274	22A/9/4, 35/4/11
A-G v Barker [1990] 3 All ER 257.....	29/1/28
A-G v BBC [1981] AC 303	29/1/30
A-G v Birmingham Tame and Rae District Drainage Board	
[1912] AC 788.....	32/12/1
A-G v Ching Kwong Yew [1993] 1 SLR(R) 687	15/16/5
A-G v Colney Hatch Lunatic Asylum (1868) 4 Ch App 146	29/1/17
A-G v Gaskill (1882) 20 Ch D 519	26/1/1, 26/1/11
A-G v Guardian Newspapers [1987] 1 WLR 1248.....	29/1/30
A-G v Hitchcock (1847) 1 Exch 91; 154 ER 38	38/1/12
A-G v Leveller Magazine Ltd & Ors [1979] AC 440.....	38/1/1, 42/1/1B
A-G v Lord Mayor of Sheffield (1912) 106 LT 367	18/8/14
A-G v North Metropolitan Tramways [1892] 3 Ch 70.....	26/2/1
A-G v Pang Ah Yew [1934] MLJ 184	O 18
A-G v Phang Fook Seng [1999] 2 SLR(R) 900	13/1/4, 19/2/4
A-G v Punch [2003] AC 1046	42/1/1B
A-G v Sillem (1864) 11 ER 1200	1/1/5
AG v Tan Wee Beng [2002] SGHC 261.....	21/2/11
A-G v Times Newspapers [1991] 2 All ER 398	29/1/14
A-G v Times Newspapers [1992] 1 AC 191	29/1/29, 29/1/30
A-G at and by the relation of Pesurohjaya Ibu Kota,	
Kuala Lumpur v Wan Kam Fong [1967] 2 MLJ 72	15/11/1
A-G of Duchy of Lancaster v London and North Western Railway	
[1892] 3 Ch 274	18/19/7, 18/19/8, 18/19/9, 18/19/11, 18/19/12, 18/19/14, 32/1/4
A-G of Hong Kong v Lorrain Esme Osman	
[1992] 2 CLJ 1282	39/1/7, 39/1/10, 39/10/3
A-G of Hong Kong v Lorrain Esme Osman [1993] 2 CLJ 117	39/1/10, 39/10/3
A-G of Hong Kong v Lorrain Esme Osman [1993] 2 MLJ 347	24/3/10
A-G of Hong Kong v Zauyah Wan Chik [1995] 2 MLJ 620	15/16/5, 24/3/10
A-G of Malaysia v Chiow Thiam Guan [1983] 1 MLJ 51	18/19/11
A-G of Malaysia v Gapari bin Kitingan [1990] 3 MLJ 307	39/1/5
A-G of Singapore v Joo Yee Construction (in liquidation)	
[1992] 2 SLR(R) 165	1/1/8
A-G of Singapore v Phang Fook Seng [1999] 2 SLR(R) 900	13/1/4, 19/2/4
A-G, Malaysia v Chiow Thiam Guan [1983] 1 MLJ 51	18/19/11
Agnew v Usher (1884) 54 LJQB 371	11/1/8
Agnew v Usher (1885) 14 QBD 78; 51 LT 742	11/1/9
Ahmed Ibrahim Bilal v Ketua Polis Negara [2011] 1 CLJ 85.....	41/1/3
Aid, The (1881) 6 PD 84.....	33/4/1
Aiden Shipping v Interbulk [1986] AC 965	4/1/4, 15/4/12, 23/1/10
Aik Ming (M) v Chang Ching Chuen [1995] 2 MLJ 770.....	15/4/1, 15/6/14, 15/6/16, 18/8/7, 18/12/2, 38/1/14
Ainsworth, Re [1905] 2 KB 103	12/2/2
Air Canada v Secretary of State for Trade (No 2)	
[1983] 2 AC 394.....	24/15/1, 24/13/4
Airbus Industrie GIE v Patel [1998] 2 WLR 686	29/1/77
Airtrust (Singapore) Pte Ltd v Linda Kao Chai-Chau	
[2014] SGHC 28.....	1/1/7, 3/4/3A

Table of Cases

Airtrust Singapore Pte Ltd v Linda Kai Chai-Chai and another suit	
[2014] SGHC 27	16/6/1, 16/4/2, 16/4/3, 16/4/4
AJ Bekhor & Co v Bilton [1981] QB 923.....	24/5/3
Akzo Nobel Chemicals v Commission of the European Communities	
[2004] CMLR 15	24/3/10
Al Nahkel v Lowe [1986] 1 All ER 729	29/1/49, 29/1/58
Alagamalai s/o Veerasamy v Chan Liau Chuan	
[1994] SGHC 267	22A/9/4, 22A/9/6
Alagappa Chettiar v Coliseum Cafe [1962] 1 MLJ 111	10/1/13
Albright & Wilson v SB Chemicals [1994] RPC 608.....	21/3/2
Alcoy and Grandia Railway and Harbour v Greenhill (1896) 73 LT 452.....	24/1/5
Aldrich v Attorney-General [1968] P 281	15/16/8
Aldrich v British Griffin Chilled Iron & Steel [1904] 2 KB 850	14/4/1
Alex Laurie Factors v Morgan The Times, 18 August 1999.....	38/2/7
Alexander v Rayson [1936] 1 KB 169	35/4/10
Alexander Korda Film Productions v Columbia Picture	
(1946) 175 LT 430	2/2/4
Alexander Mountain & Co v Rumere [1948] 2 KB 436	6/1/1, 15/6/18
Alexandra Palace, Re (1880) 16 Ch D 58	26/2/1
Aleykutty James v Syed Putra bin Syed Omar Shahabudin	
[1979] 1 MLJ 238	12/5/1, 19/2/6
Al-Fayed v Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis	
[2002] EWCA Civ 780, (2002) 99 (30) LSG 39,	
(2002) 146 SJLB153	24/19/1
Alfred Crompton Amusement Machines v Commissioner of	
Customs and Excise (No 2) [1972] 2 QB 102	24/3/10
Alfred Crompton Amusement Machines v Commissioners of	
Customs and Excise (No 2) [1973] 2 All ER 1169.....	24/6/2
Alfred Crompton Amusement Machines v Commissioners of	
Customs and Excise (No 2) [1974] AC 405	24/1/9, 24/3/10
Alfred Dunhill v Ang Kah Choon (Suit No 3049 of 1987)	29/1/35
Alfred Dunhill v Sunoptic SA [1979] FSR 337.....	29/1/25, 29/1/26, 41/5/2
Alfred McAlpine Construction Ltd v Panatown Ltd	
[2001] 1 AC 518.....	15/6/14
Algemene Bank Nederland NV v Happy Valley Restaurant	
[1991] 1 SLR(R) 646; [1991] 2 MLJ 289	20/5/7
Alivestone Investment v Splendore Investments	
[1995] 1 SLR(R) 874	15/16/5
Allagappah Chetty v Tunku Allum bin Sultan Allie Iskander Shah	
(1881) 1 Ky 520.....	24/15/1
Allen v Gulf Oil Refining [1981] 2 WLR 188	33/2/2
Allen v Jambo Holdings [1980] 1 WLR 1252	29/1/42, 29/1/44
Allen v Jambo Holdings [1980] 2 All ER 502	29/1/9
Allen v Sir Alfred McAlpine & Sons [1968] 2 QB 229;	
[1968] 1 All ER 543	1/1/9, 3/4/3B, 25/1/3
Alliance & Leicester Building Society v Ghahremani The Times,	
19 March 1992	24/1/7
Alliance (M) Engineering v San Development	
[1972] 2 MLJ 100 (HC), [1974] 2 MLJ 94 (FC).....	14/2/3, 14/2/5, 14/2/6,
	14/2/7, 14/2/9, 14/3/2, 14/4/1,
	18/19/3, 18/19/7, 41/5/3
Alliance Bank Malaysia v Exelus Corp (S) [2003] 2 AMR 502	14/3/1

Alliance Concrete Singapore Pte Ltd v Sato Kogyo (S) Pte Ltd	
[2010] SGHC 338.....	24/5/13
Alliance Entertainment Singapore Pte Ltd v Sim Kay Teck and Another	
[2006] 3 SLR(R) 712	18/19/11, 18/19/14
Alliance Entertainment Singapore Pte Ltd v Sim Kay Teck and Another	
[2007] 2 SLR(R) 869	15/6/6A, 18/19/11
Alliance Management SA v Pendleton Lane P & Anor	
[2008] 4 SLR(R) 1	1/1/10, 24/1/1A, 24/16/2
Alliance Management SA v Pendleton Lane P and	
Another and Another Suit [2007] 4 SLR(R) 343	24/1/7, 24/1/25,
	24/1/26, 24/11/1, 24/16/2
Allied Arab Bank v Hajjar [1988] QB 787	29/1/49, 29/1/58
Allied Collection Agencies v Wood [1981] 3 All ER 176	14/7/3
Allied Pastoral Holdings v Comr of Taxation [1983] NSWLR 1	38/1/14
Alliot v Smith [1895] 2 Ch 111	26/5/4
Alloy Automotive v Perusahaan Ironfield [1986] 1 MLJ 382	14/2/5, 14/3/1,
	14/3/2, 20/5/8, 20/5/9, 41/5/4
Allport v Securities (1895) LT 533.....	29/1/36
All-Trade Construction v Lo Geok Kwee [2000] 2 SLR(R) 318	14/4/1
Al-Medenni v Mars UK Limited [2005] EWCA Civ 1041	O 18
Alor Janggus Soon Seng Trading v Sey Hoe [1995] 1 MLJ 241	29/1/26
Alpha, Re [1903] 1 Ch 203.....	21/3/8
Alpine Bulk Transport v Saudi Eagle Shipping	
[1986] 2 Lloyd's Rep 221	13/8/9A
Alps Electric Co Ltd v Jinli Freight Express Consolidators Pte Ltd	
[1994] 3 SLR(R) 532	13/8/5
Alrich Development v Rafiq Jumabhoy [1994] 3 SLR(R) 38	20/5/4, 20/5/7,
	20/5/10, 35/4/18, 35/4/19
Als Memasa and another v UBS AG [2012] 4 SLR 992	O 18, 18/19/14, 20/5/3
Altvater Jakob v Toh Eng Peng [2004] SGHC 269.....	3/4/3
Aluminium Industries v Cookmate (M) [1990] 2 CLJ 777	24/3/10
Alwee v Lai Kong Fook [1981] 2 MLJ 82	20/5/9
Amakannu a/p Veerapan v May land Development Sdn Bhd	
[2011] 8 MLJ 682	41/1/3, 41/5/3A
Amalgamated Steel Mills v Ingeback (Malaysia) [1990] 2 MLJ 374	41/5/4
Amanah Merchant Bank v Lim Tow Seng [1993] 2 MLJ 241	18/13/1
Amanuel v Alexandros Shipping [1986] 1 QB 464	11/1/4
Amanullah v Nazir Ahmad [1969] 2 MLJ 1.....	29/1/56
Amar Hoseen Mohammed Revai v Singapore Airlines	
[1994] 3 SLR(R) 290	23/1/5, 23/3/3, 23/3/4
Amara Hotel Properties v Sie Choon Poh [2004] 3 SLR(R) 157	18/7/8
Amara Hotel Properties Pte Ltd v Tremor Entertainment Pte Ltd	
[1995] 3 SLR(R) 702	17/1/4
AmBank (M) Bhd v Yong Kim Yoong, Raymond [2009] 2 SLR(R) 659.....	1/2/3A
Ambrose v Evelyn (1879) 11 Ch D 759.....	19/1/1
Amerada Hess v Rome The Times, 15 March 2000	10/1/13
Amerco Timbers v Chatworth Timber [1975–77] SLR 258	11/2/5
American Cyanamid v Ethicon [1975] AC 396	29/1/23,
	29/1/25, 29/1/27, 29/1/28,
	29/1/29, 29/1/31, 29/1/33
American Express v Dato Wong Kee Tat [1990] 1 MLJ 91	14/12/1

Table of Cases

American International Assurance Co Ltd v Wong Cherng Yaw & Ors [2009] SGHC 89	14/4/2, 29/12/4, 29/17/1
American International Group v London American International [1982] FSR 441	21/3/6
Amirteymour, deceased, Re [1979] 1 WLR 63	15/6A/2, 15/6A/4
Amixco Asia v Bank Bumiputra Malaysia [1992] 2 SLR(R) 65	18/7/3, 18/7/9, 18/8/10
Amoe Pte Ltd v Otto Marine Ltd [2014] 1 SLR 724	12/7/2C, 12/7/5A, 24/10/1, 24/11/1
Amon v Bobbett (1889) 22 QBD 543	15/2/6, 18/3/5
Amos v Chadwick (1878) 9 Ch D 459	4/1/4
Amos W Dawe v Development & Commercial Bank [1981] 1 MLJ 230	15/2/2, 15/7/1, 18/19/4, 18/19/11
Amrae Benchuan Trading Pte Ltd (in liquidation) v Tan Te Teck Gregory [2006] 4 SLR(R) 969	5/6/4
ANB v ANF [2011] 2 SLR 1	14/12/3A, 33/2/1
Anderson v Bank of British Columbia (1876) LR 2 CD 644	24/3/10, 26/5/4
Andrew Chionh Eng Hua v Kewangan Utama [1998] 4 AMR 3651	20/5/6
Andrews v Andrews [1974] 3 All ER 653	18/8/4
Andrews v Schooling [1991] 3 All ER 723	14/2/8, 29/11/4
Andy Tan Poh Weng v Jee Lee [2013] SGHC 234	42/1/6
Ang Chee Huat v Engelbach Thomas Joseph [1995] 2 MLJ 83	29/1/41
Ang Chee Seng v Tan Khew Swee [1935] MLJ 245	13/8/6
Ang Eng Lee v Lim Lye Soon [1987] 2 MLJ 545	15/6/4
Ang Hua Bee v Leong Miaw Yu [1969] 1 MLJ 192	O 18
Ang Kim Soon v Sunray Marine Pte Ltd [1997] 1 SLR(R) 714	13/8/9B, 19/9/2
Ang Kim Tee, Re Estates of, deceased and Ang Teow Guan, deceased, Re Ordinance No 36 (Conveyancing and Law of Property) and the Trustees Ordinance 1929 [1933] 1 MLJ 185	31/2/2
Ang Koon Kau v Lau Piang Ngong [1984] 2 MLJ 277	O 18, 18/8/13
Ang Leng Hock v Leo Ee Ah [2004] 2 SLR(R) 361	37/1–6/15
Ang Sin Hock v Khoo Eng Lim [2009] 4 SLR(R) 549	1/1/10
Ang Sin Hock v Khoo Eng Lim [2010] 3 SLR 179	5/1/3, O 18, 20/5/3, 20/5/9
Ang Song Huay v Chu Yong Thiam [1995] SGHC 116	37/1–6/13
Ang Swee Chuan v Lim Teng Huan [1988] 3 MLJ 471	14/4/1, 14/5/1
Angel Bell, The [1981] QB 65	29/1/48
Anglian Building Products v W & C French (Construction) (1978) 16 BLR 6	14/4/3
Anglo Group v Winther Browne & Co (2001) WL 191239	40A/2/1
Anglo-Continental School of English (Bournemouth) v Gardiner [1973] ICR 261	3/3/1
Anglo-Italian Bank v Wells (1878) 38 LTR 197	14/3/1, 14/3/2, 14/4/3
Angus & Coote v Qantas Airways [1979] 2 NSWLR 398	11/1/18
Angus v Wooton (1838) 3 M & W 310; 150 ER 1162	17/3/1
Anjalai Ammal v Abdul Kareem [1969] 1 MLJ 22	O 18, 18/12/1
Anlaby v Praetorius (1888) 20 QBD 764	6/2/1, 13/8/8, O 18, 19/2/13, 19/9/3
Ann Masters Lassiter v To Keng Lam [2003] 3 SLR(R) 666	38/2/11
Anon [1884] WN 241	12/2/4

Ansa Teknik (M) v Cygal [1989] 2 MLJ 423	18/19/4, 18/19/14, 21/2/4, 21/4/1
Anson v Trump [1998] 1 WLR 1404	19/2/1
Antares V, The [2001] 2 SLR(R) 616	6/4/5
Antcliffe v Gloucester Health Authority [1992] 1 WLR 1044	25/1/3
Anthony Liew v Seng Heng Guan Development (EM) [2004] 2 Mallal's Digest 3296	17/1/7, 17/5/3
Anthony Wee Soon Kim v UBS AG [2003] 2 SLR(R) 554	20/5/7
Anthony Wee Soon Kim v UBS Ag [2003] 2 SLR(R) 91	24/14/2, 41/5/4
Anton Piller KG v Manufacturing Processes [1976] Ch 55	29/1/58, 29/1/59, 29/1/60, 29/1/65
Anuar bin Mat Amin v Abdullah bin Mohd Zain [1989] 3 MLJ 313	18/8/4
Aolos, The [1983] 2 Lloyd's Rep 25	20/5/15
AON v AOO [2011] 2 SLR 926	13/8/9B, 19/9/2
AOO v AON [2011] 4 SLR 1169	1/2/3A, 13/8/9B, 35/2/1, 42/1/6
AP Moller-Maersk A/S (trading as Maersk Sealand) v Special Entertainment Events, Inc [2005] 1 SLR(R) 603	17/8/2
Apollinaris Co's Trade Marks, Re [1891] 1 Ch 1	23/1/5
Apollinaris v Wilson [1886] 31 Ch D 632	23/1/13
Apple v Apple Computer The Times, 10 April 1991	24/1/21
Arab Malaysian Finance v Meridien International Credit London [1993] 3 MLJ 193	33/2/2
Arab Malaysian Finance v Serajudin bin Mohd Ismail [1998] 7 MLJ 536	41/1/2
Arab Malaysian Merchant Bank v Jamaludin [1991] 1 MLJ 27	15/6/8
Arab Monetary Fund v Hashim [1989] 1 WLR 565	24/3/10
Arab Monetary Fund v Hashim [1989] 3 All ER 466	24/1/21, 29/1/34
Arab Monetary Fund v Hashim (No 4) [1992] 4 All ER 860	4/1/2
Arab Monetary Fund v Hashim (No 5) [1992] 2 All ER 911	24/1/21
Arab Monetary Fund v Hashim (No 7) [1993] 1 WLR 1014	38/3/1
Arab-Malaysia Finance v Malacca Development [1997] 5 MLJ 685	20/11/1
Arab-Malaysian Credit v Tan Seang Meng [1995] 1 MLJ 525	3/4/6, 6/4/6
Arab-Malaysian Merchant Bank v Dominance Resources [2001] 6 MLJ 715	6/2/1
Arbitration, Re An [1995] 3 SLR(R) 354	2/1/2
Arbuthnot Latham Bank v Trafalgar Holdings [1998] 1 WLR 1426, [1998] 2 All ER 181	3/4/1, 25/1/3
Arbuthnot Leasing International v Havelet Leasing [1991] 1 All ER 591	1/9/1, 5/6/1B, 12/1/5, 25/1/3
Arif v Yeo [1990] 1 MLJ 218	29/1/26
Arjunan v Kesatuan Kebangsaan Pekerja-pekerja Ladang [1993] 1 MLJ 326	18/19/7, 24/3/10
Arktis Sky (No 2), The [1999] 3 SLR(R) 177	42/12/4
Armchair Passenger Transport v Helical Bar, Paul Gray [2003] EWHC 367, 2003 WL 1610236	40A/2/1
Armco v Donahue [1999] 2 SLR(R) 481	24/1/20, 29/1/49
Armitage v Parsons [1908] 2 KB 410	13/1/11, 13/8/8, 19/2/12, 19/9/3, 20/11/1, 42/1/9
Armour v Bate [1891] 2 QB 233	35/1/2
Armour v Walker (1883) 25 Ch D 673	39/2-3/2
Armstrong v Great Southern Gold Mining [1911] 12 CLR 382	3/2/4