

edited by D. F. METTRICK
and S. S. DESSER

PARASITES— THEIR WORLD AND OURS

PARASITES – THEIR WORLD AND OURS

Proceedings of the Fifth International Congress of Parasitology held in Toronto, Canada, on 7-14 August, 1982. Under the auspices of The World Federation of Parasitologists.

Editors **D. F. Mettrick** and **S. S. Desser**

*Department of Zoology,
University of Toronto,
Toronto, Canada*



1982

ELSEVIER BIOMEDICAL PRESS
AMSTERDAM – NEW YORK – OXFORD

© 1982 Elsevier Biomedical Press

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

ISBN 0-444-80433-1

The theme and title for the proceedings of the Fifth International Congress of Parasitology was also the title of the symposium sponsored by the Royal Society of Canada in June 1977 under the editorship of A.M. Fallis. We acknowledge with gratitude permission from the Royal Society of Canada to also use this title for ICOPA V.

Published by:
Elsevier Biomedical Press
P.O. Box 211
1000 AE Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Sole distributors for the USA and Canada:
Elsevier Science Publishing Company Inc.
52 Vanderbilt Avenue
New York, N.Y. 10017

Printed in The Netherlands

PREFACE

It is 18 years since the First Congress of Parasitology was held in Rome in 1964. Since then, successive congresses have attempted the difficult task of creating that ideal mix of environment, speakers, and a social programme that results in an outstanding congress. As we write this introduction to the proceedings of the ICOPA V we hope that it will indeed turn out to be a most successful meeting.

In the 18 years since ICOPA I, the field of parasitology, like other areas of science, has continued to become more specialized, as seen by the formation of new societies interested in specific aspects of parasitology, and in the publication of new specialist journals, of which molecular and biochemical parasitology, systematic parasitology, and parasite immunology are recent examples. Similarly, the Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux now publish separate abstract journals for animal and human helminthology, plant nematology and protozoology.

As parasitology continues to fragment and become more interdisciplinary, so the importance of ICOPA becomes greater, by providing an increasingly unique opportunity for clinicians, veterinarians, epidemiologists, immunologists, ecologists, economists, and many other specialists, to get a broader perspective of the whole picture as it relates to the importance of diseases and losses attributable to parasitic infections around the world.

Our first task, therefore, in planning ICOPA V was to select 10 major fields covering the breadth of parasitology, and then to invite 30 speakers to address the difficult task of reviewing new findings in each of the major fields of our discipline. These plenary reviews will provide both the expert and the general parasitologist with a critical summary of recent advances.

For those readers and participants who wish to be brought up to date in more narrow specialist fields, we also invited a further 90 speakers to present a review of their own area of expertise. These speakers, which we termed "discipline lecturers", were selected in consultation with the appropriate plenary lecturers, and the sessions were planned so that the subjects covered were inter-related.

The complete text of the plenary lectures and 3-4 page summaries of the discipline reviews, which are the subject of this volume, therefore provide an overview of the state of parasitology in 1982. For further detailed information readers are referred to the companion volume, "Abstracts of Papers presented at ICOPA V", which reports on the most recent laboratory and field research. Together, they represent a significant contribution to our discipline.

Recognizing that the expected 2000 participants represent only a fraction of the world-wide interest in parasitology we have arranged to have this volume published concurrently with the congress. Participants will take their copies home with them, and those who unfortunately could not come to Toronto, will be able to purchase these proceedings immediately.

We hope that this rapid publication will greatly facilitate the dissemination of new information and that it will act as a stimulus to our research. The proceedings will also allow the incorporation of the latest advances into our lectures and tutorials.

Finally, we are pleased that so many scientists and accompanying persons from more than 80 countries were able to come to Toronto and participate in ICOPA V.

David F. Mettrick
Sherwin S. Desser

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The development and operation of the Fifth International Congress of Parasitology, and its publications were supported in part by the following donors to whom we would extend our appreciation on behalf of all participants of ICOPA V:

American Hoechst Corporation
Bell Canada
Burroughs Wellcome and Co.
Canadian Corporate Management Co. Ltd.
Charles Pfizer and Co. Inc.
Charles H. Ivey Foundation
Ciba-Geigy Canada, Ltd.
Connaught Laboratories, Ltd.
Cooper Canada, Ltd.
Dilworth, Secord, Meagher, and Associates, Ltd.
Gulf Oil Company Canada, Ltd.
Health and Welfare Canada
Howe International, Ltd.
International Development Research Corporation
Janssen Pharmaceutical
Kraft Company, Ltd.
Leitch Transport, Ltd.
Maple Leaf Mills, Ltd.
Medical Research Council of Canada
Merck, Frosst Canada, Inc.
Merck Institute for Therapeutic Research
Ministry of Agriculture of British Columbia
National Research Council of Canada
Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada
Nova Corporation
Ortho Pharmaceutical Corporation
Pfizer Inc., Central Research
Procor Ltd.
Shell Canada, Ltd.
Syntex Inc.
The British Council
The Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto
The National Life Assurance Co. of Canada
The Premier and Government of Ontario
The Purdue Frederick Company
The Upjohn Company
U.S.V. Pharmaceutical Corporation

CONTENTS

Preface	v
IMMUNOLOGY	
<i>New Technologies</i>	
New technologies for parasitology G.A.M. Cross	3
Monoclonal antibodies in malaria research L.H. Perrin	13
Analysis of DNA from trypanosomes P. Borst	17
<i>Mechanisms of Immunogenesis</i>	
Proliferation and mobilization of immune cells in response to parasite antigens S.L. James	21
<i>The Confrontation: Antigen Meets Immune Agent</i>	
Effector mechanisms of host-protective immunity to parasites and evasion by parasites G.F. Mitchell	24
Mechanisms of host resistance at the mucosa-parasite interface A.D. Befus	34
Complement activation by parasites - its role in resistance and pathogeny A.U. Krettli	37
MORPHOLOGY AND ULTRASTRUCTURE	
<i>Parasitic Protozoa</i>	
Parasitic protozoa: aspects of the host-parasite interface K. Vickerman	43
The life cycles of piroplasmids and related groups H. Mehlhorn	53
Pathogenic free-living-amebas: <i>Acanthamoeba</i> and <i>Naegleria</i> F.L. Schuster	57

Parasitic Helminths

Morphology and ultrastructure of parasitic helminths
D.W. Halton 60

The nervous system of parasitic helminths
K. Rohde 70

Arthropoda

Envelopes at cell surfaces - a confused area of research
of general importance
M. Locke 73

Structure and function of the midgut of blood feeding insects
H. Hecker 89

Ultrastructure and function of elements of tick neuroendocrine
and endocrine systems
F.D. Obenchain and K.C. Binnington 92

Fine structure and permeability of peritrophic membranes in
insects
W. Peters 96

PHYSIOLOGY AND BIOCHEMISTRY

Bioenergetics

Bioenergetics in parasitic protozoa and helminths
P. Köhler 101

Energy metabolism of anaerobic protozoa
M. Müller 113

Molecular and biochemical approaches to the elucidation of
the control mechanisms involved in differentiation in
trypanosomes
G.C. Hill and B.J.B. Johnson 117

Pathways of energy metabolism in helminths
C. Bryant 122

Ecological Physiology

Ecological physiology of parasites
D.L. Lee 125

The hatching response and the physiology of infection in
nematodes
R.I. Sommerville 135

Pheromone communication in parasitic helminths
L.W. Bone 138

Effect of the host-immune response on physiology of gastro-
intestinal parasites and on their environment
P. Pery 141

Membrane Biology

- Malarial parasite metabolism and erythrocyte membrane function
K. Tanabe, R.B. Mikkelsen and D.F.H. Wallach 144
- Adaptive features of the surface epithelial syncytium favoring
survival in an immunologically hostile environment
R.B. Podesta 149

EVOLUTION AND TAXONOMIC RELATIONSHIPS

Protozoa

- Evolution and taxonomic relationships: protozoa
J.R. Baker 159
- Hypothèses sur la phylogénie des coccidiomorphes de vertébrés;
évolution des cycles et spectre d'hôtes
I. Landau 169
- Evolutionary and taxonomic relationships among zoomastigophorea
B.M. Honigberg 172
- The evolutionary and taxonomic relationships of microspora and
myxozoa
E.U. Canning 175

Platyhelminthes

- Parasitic platyhelminth evolution and systematics: perspectives
and advances since ICOPA IV, 1978
J.S. Mackiewicz 179
- Higher level classification of parasitic platyhelminthes and
fundamentals of cestode classification
D.R. Brooks 189
- Phylogeny and taxonomy of the malacobothrean flukes
R.M. Cable 194
- On evolutionary processes in monogenea, though basically from a
less traditionally viewpoint
G. Malmberg 198

Arthropoda

- The evolution of host-parasite systems between fishes and
copepoda
Z. Kabata 203
- Adaptive radiation in the parasitic mesostigmata
F.J. Radovsky 213

Nematoda

- Évolution et taxonomie des nématodes - revue -
A.G. Chabaud 216

The nematode parasites of amphibians and reptiles M.R. Baker	222
La phylogénie des trichostrongyloidea M-C. Durette-Desset	225
The strongylate nematodes of Australian marsupials I. Beveridge	228
PATHOGENIC MECHANISMS	
<i>Gastrointestinal Pathology Induced by Enteric Parasites</i>	
Gastrointestinal pathology induced by enteric parasites L.E.A. Symons	233
Immunophysiological interactions in the small intestine G.A. Castro	242
The role of hormones in the reactions of the host to enteric parasites D.A. Titchen	245
Pathophysiology of gastrointestinal parasitism K. Nielsen	248
<i>Hematologic Parasitic Diseases</i>	
Roles for immunoconglutinin in disease from hemoprotozoan infections H.W. Cox	252
Pathophysiological changes during African trypanosomiasis J.R. Seed, J.E. Hall and R.W. Edwards	255
<i>Tissue Invading Parasites</i>	
Pathology and pathogenic mechanisms in tissue infections J.K. Frenkel	258
Tissue invading parasites: <i>Trypanosoma cruzi</i> and leishmanias Z.A. Andrade	267
Immunopathology of tissue invading trematodes and cestodes F. Von Lichtenberg and J.E. Byram	270
ECOLOGY	
<i>Abiotic Factors</i>	
Abiotic factors: an overview G.W. Esch	279

Ecology of schistosome cercarian transmission: production, emergence, dispersion and infectivity of <i>Schistosoma mansoni</i> cercariae A. Theron	289
<i>Biotic Factors</i>	
Biotic factors C.R. Kennedy	293
<i>Host Parasite Population Biology</i>	
Host-parasite population biology R.M. Anderson	303
Parasitoid population dynamics M.P. Hassell	313
THERAPEUTICS AND TOXICOLOGY	
<i>Treatment of Veterinary Protozoan Infections</i>	
Treatment of veterinary protozoan infections J.F. Ryley	319
Profile of contemporary poultry anticoccidials: salinomycin, monensin, lasalocid, arprinocid and halofuginone L.R. Chappel	327
Chemotherapy of tick-transmitted infections N. McHardy	330
FDA attitudes concerning the development of antiparasitic drugs T. Raines	333
<i>Chemotherapy of Human Parasitic Infections</i>	
Present state of mebendazole and flubendazole H. Van den Bossche	336
EPIDEMIOLOGY AND ZOOSES	
<i>Epidemiology of Endemic Parasitic Diseases in the Americas</i>	
The epidemiology of human parasitic disease in the New World P.D. Marsden	343
Schistosomiasis mansoni control in Brazil: the role of chemotherapy N. Katz	349
Control of Chagas' disease in Brazil A. Prata	354

<i>Epidemiology of Parasitic Zoonoses</i>	
Epidemiology of parasitic zoonoses C.W. Schwabe	357
Newly emergent or newly recognized parasitic zoonoses P.M. Schantz	363
Epidemiology of tropical zoonoses J.F. Williams and M.A. Ballard	366
Intraspecific variation and parasite epidemiology R.C.A. Thompson	369
CLINICAL AND DIAGNOSTIC PARASITOLOGY	
<i>Copro and Sero Diagnosis of Parasitic Infection</i>	
Immunodiagnosis of parasitic diseases A. Voller and D. Bidwell	381
Standardization and quality control of immunodiagnostic methods I.G. Kagan	387
Specific IgM and IgE antibodies during parasitosis P. Ambroise-Thomas	391
<i>Vaccines for Parasitic Disease</i>	
Vaccines for parasitic disease F.E.G. Cox	395
A review of the possibilities of vaccination against the nematode parasites of man D.A. Denham	405
Progress in malaria vaccine development S. Cohen	408
<i>Changing Face of Parasitic Disease in Developed Countries</i>	
The changing face of parasitic disease in developed countries M.G. Schultz	412
ECONOMICS AND MANAGEMENT	
<i>Economic Impact Studies on Parasitic Diseases</i>	
The effectiveness of control technology with respect to major parasitic diseases with emphasis on schistosomiasis E. Paulini	425

Cost/Benefit of Controls and/or Eradication Programmes

Cost-benefit and related managerial aspects of control programmes A.P. Ruderman	428
Basic socioeconomic research to establish 8 preconditions for successful cost-benefit analysis: the example of the coffee economy and onchocerciasis in the Soconusco region of Chiapas, Mexico (preliminary analysis) M.G. Ortega and R.O. Miranda	436
<i>Economic Cost of Sub-Clinical Disease</i>	
The impact of subclinical parasitism in ruminants R.L. Coop	439
Treatment of dairy cattle with subclinical intestinal parasitic infections R.P. Herd	451
Treatment of subclinical parasitism in dairy cattle T.J. Kennedy	459
Author index	465

IMMUNOLOGY

New Technologies

Mechanisms of Immunogenesis

*The Confrontation: Antigen Meets
Immune Agent*

pp. 3 - 20

pp. 21 - 23

pp. 24 - 40

NEW TECHNOLOGIES FOR PARASITOLOGY

GEORGE A.M. CROSS*

Department of Immunochemistry, Wellcome Research Laboratories, Beckenham, Kent BR3 3BS (U.K.)

INTRODUCTION

How new are the Monoclonal Antibody and Recombinant DNA technologies? The potential value of specific monoclonal antibody-secreting hybrid cell lines derived from fusion of spleen cells from immune donor mice with myeloma cell lines was first described in 1975 by Kohler & Milstein (1). It is rather more difficult to identify a key starting point for recombinant DNA technology. As we know it today, gene cloning has become possible only through a series of major developments in techniques for the manipulation and characterisation of DNA. If any single event provided the key to gene cloning it was the isolation, in 1970, of the first sequence-specific DNA-cutting enzyme (restriction endonuclease). This led, in 1972-73, to the first precise construction of recombinant DNA molecules *in vitro* and their insertion into bacteria. The years 1973-1976 were clouded by the great safety debate (2) but thereafter the pace of research, discovery and publication in this field has grown exponentially. The first company to be founded exclusively for the commercial exploitation of Recombinant DNA techniques, Genentech, was established in 1977 and a Patent application, for the bacterial synthesis of Human Insulin A and B chains, was filed in the same year. Safety considerations, with emphasis on biological containment of Recombinant DNA molecules, led to the development of the bacteria, plasmid and bacteriophage strains which are now in everyday use. Although *Escherichia coli* remains the workhorse of gene cloning, other bacterial, mammalian and yeast host-vector systems are now available for the selection and expression of Recombinant DNA. Other key developments have occurred in gene synthesis and in DNA sequencing. More than 80 restriction enzymes with over 30 distinct sequence specificities have now been characterized and it is the widespread availability of these and other enzymes and techniques, together with the dissemination of the relevant expertise, which has made gene cloning the universal and powerful technique as we know it today.

*Present address: The Rockefeller University, New York, NY10021 (U.S.A.)

MONOCLONAL ANTIBODIES

The crucial experiment which generated the first monoclonals (1) was an extension of studies on the fusion of mutant myeloma cell lines which were initiated with a view to extending the current understanding of the regulation of immunoglobulin gene expression. The original fusions used Sendai virus and were very inefficient, not least because of the apparent lack of Sendai receptors on mouse myeloma cells (3). Polyethylene glycol is now universally used as a fusion-promoting agent (4).

Three types of myeloma cell lines are in general use for the generation of hybridomas. Least used is the original Kohler-Milstein P3/X63 which has the disadvantage of producing indigenous immunoglobulin whose light and heavy chains can also contribute to the formation of all possible hybrids with the spleen cell derived L and H chains, thus producing a complex spectrum of immunoglobulin molecules such that only a small percentage will have the desired specificity. This disadvantage is reduced by using the related NSI cell line which is unable to synthesize H-chains (5). Despite the more recent availability of lines which produce neither H or L chains, NSI has been the myeloma line most widely used for the generation of mouse hybridomas. Although, in principle, hybrid immunoglobulins containing the parental myeloma κ L chain can be produced, this does not always happen in practice. Rat and human hybridoma systems have also been described. Potential advantages of the rat system include the possibility of differing mouse and rat responses to some antigens and a larger sized animal for more efficient serum and ascitic fluid production. The main potential for human hybridomas may lie in the production of therapeutic antibodies but, with the yields of immunoglobulin which can presently be obtained from cell culture, it seems likely to be some time before this objective will be economically viable. Gene cloning may play a role in developing this approach.

The potential uses of monoclonal antibodies are limitless. They may be used in a wide range of serological tests where they have advantages over conventional antisera. They have found widespread use in defining cell populations and for exploring cellular structures by immunocytochemical techniques. A recent publication (6) shows the advantages for high resolution radioimmunocytochemistry in being able to intrinsically label monoclonals produced in tissue culture.

The advantages of monoclonals derive from three basic properties of the technique: first, monoclonal antibodies can have exquisite specificity; secondly, that specificity can be obtained without the need for extensive

prior purification of an antigen; thirdly, the monoclonal product is a defined chemical with constant properties. Monoclonals of different classes and subclasses and of differing affinities and with specificities for different epitopes on the same antigen may be produced. In the event that the specificity of a monoclonal antibody itself might be too narrow, the monoclonal can be advantageously employed in the purification of an antigen which can then be used to produce a highly specific polyclonal antiserum.

In principle, the techniques for producing monoclonal antibodies are simple and have been well described in the literature (for experimental techniques and general discussion see references 3,7). They are very labor-intensive and require meticulous cell culture technique. The primary drawback derives from the random nature of the essential events involved in the practical realisation of the conceived qualities of the antibody which is being sought in each situation. As with most biological techniques, there is no substitute for experience and the best course of action is to take advice from successful exponents of the techniques. If you are starting from scratch, be sure to get your myeloma cells from a reliable authenticated source. They must be growing well to fuse well. Given the relative inefficiency in generating hybrids (about $1/10^5$ spleen cells), it is important to maximise antibody production by the donor spleens. Immunization may be achieved in one of several ways, ranging from injection of purified or enriched antigens, disrupted cell homogenates, fixed or irradiated cells, or live infections which can generate natural immunity. Most fusions have been performed after one or a few immunizations using different protocols, with or without adjuvants as appropriate, but almost all involve a final intravenous injection of antigen 3-5 days prior to fusion. Antibody titer should be determined prior to fusion and spleens from the highest titer mice should be selected for fusion.

In many of the successful fusions performed by my colleagues, several hundred antibody-positive culture wells have been found in the initial screening of hybrids. As the labor involved intensifies as cultures are expanded, cloned and screened, only 10-20 positive wells can generally be selected from the initial screening. It is therefore most important to use appropriate screening techniques at this early stage of hybridoma selection. Unfortunately, on average, 50% of the initially selected hybrids will be lost due to instability, poor growth or cessation of secretion during subsequent handling up to and including growth as ascitic tumors.

The method chosen to screen for hybrids should reflect the properties required of the desired hybrid. This may seem obvious but it is frequently