Jan Vymazal Editor

The Role of Natural and Constructed Wetlands in **Nutrient Cycling** and Retention on the Landscape



Jan Vymazal Editor

The Role of Natural and Constructed Wetlands in Nutrient Cycling and Retention on the Landscape



Editor
Jan Vymazal
Czech University of Life Sciences Prague
Faculty of Environmental Sciences
Department of Applied Ecology
Praha
Czech Republic
and
ENKI, o.p.s.
Třeboň
Czech Republic

ISBN 978-3-319-08176-2 ISBN 978-3-319-08177-9 (eBook) DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-08177-9 Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2014951701

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exc usive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

The Role of Natural and Constructed Wetlands in Nutrient Cycling and Retention on the Landscape

Preface

The first workshop bearing the title "Nutrient Cycling in Natural and Constructed Wetlands" was organized at Třeboň, South Bohemia, in September 1995. The major organizing principle was to bring together scientists and researchers dealing with both natural and constructed wetlands; the majority of previous wetland conferences and seminars on these topics had been held separately. The first edition of this seminar was attended mostly by people dealing with constructed wetlands, but over the years, natural wetlands had become an increasingly substantial part of this workshop. The joint meeting has proven to be beneficial for both groups as interaction of natural and constructed wetland researchers is still limited. The International Water Association conferences on constructed wetlands and WETPOL conferences are usually not attended by many natural wetland researchers, while the Society of Wetland Scientists and INTECOL WETLANDS conferences mostly deal with natural wetlands. We believe that the interactions fostered by communication among all wetland researchers will continue to be a benefit for the full community.

The eighth meeting of this series took place again at Třeboň on May 17–22, 2013, this time entitled "The Role of Natural and Constructed Wetlands in Nutrient Cycling and Retention on the Landscape." The workshop was attended by participants from 17 countries in Europe, North America, and Australia. This volume contains a selection from the papers presented during the workshop —the first part of the book is mostly devoted to natural wetlands, while the second part deals with the use of constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment.

The organization of the workshop was partially supported by grant No. 5.1 PPO4/015 from the Ministry of Industry and Trade of the Czech Republic.

Praha, Czech Republic April 2014 Jan Vymazal



Photo: Ketil Haarstad

Contributors

Anto Aasa Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia

Neil O. Anderson Department of Horticultural Science, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN, USA

Jacob M. Bannister School of Public and Environmental Affairs, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA

Jan Barak School of Applied Sciences, Cranfield University, Cranfield, Bedfordshire, UK

Herbert John Bavor Centre for Water and Environmental Technology – Water Research Laboratory, University of Western Sydney – Hawkesbury, Penrith, NSW, Australia

Anne-Grete Buseth Blankenberg Bioforsk, Norwegian Institute for Agricultural and Environmental Research, Aas, Norway

Miria Bracali Casa Vinicola Luigi Cecchi e Figli, Castellina in Chianti, SI, Italy

Riccardo Bresciani IRIDRA Srl, Firenze, Italy

Hana Čížková Faculty of Agriculture, University of South Bohemia, České Budějovice, Czech Republic

Christopher B. Craft School of Public and Environmental Affairs, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA

Etienne Dantan Atelier Reeb, Strasbourg, France

Gabriela Dotro School of Applied Sciences, Cranfield University, Cranfield, Bedfordshire, UK

Waste Water Research and Development, Severn Trent Water, Coventry, West Midlands, UK

xii Contributors

Sergey Egorov Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia

Olivier Faure GéoSciences and Environnement Département, Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Mines, Saint-Etienne Cedex 2, France

Monika Filipová Faculty of Agronomy, Mendel University, Brno, Czech Republic

Ilya Filippov UNESCO Chair of Environmental Dynamics and Climate Change, Yugra State University, Khanty-Mansiysk, Russia

Raul Prieto Fort School of Applied Sciences, Cranfield University, Cranfield, Bedfordshire, UK

Hannu Fritze Finnish Forest Research Institute, Vantaa, Finland

Alenka Gaberščik Department of Biology, Biotechnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Geofrey Gabiri Department of Geography, Kenyatta University, Nairobi, Kenya

Department of Agricultural Production, College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda

Magdalena Gajewska Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Gdansk University of Technology, Gdansk, Poznaň, Poland

Joan García GEMMA – Group of Environmental Engineering and Microbiology, Department of Hydraulic, Maritime and Environmental Engineering, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya-BarcelonaTech, Barcelona, Spain

Iuliana Gheorghe Faculty of Ecology and Environmental Protection, Ecological University of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania

Primož Gnezda Department of Biology, Biotechnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Bernard Guy GéoSciences and Environnement Département, Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Mines, Saint-Etienne Cedex 2, France

Ketil Haarstad Bioforsk, Norwegian Institute for Agricultural and Environmental Research, Aas, Norway

Raimund Haberl Institute for Sanitary Engineering and Water Pollution Control, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna (BOKU), Vienna, Austria

Libuše Hamadejová Faculty of Agriculture, University of South Bohemia, České Budějovice, Czech Republic

Petra Hesslerová ENKI, o.p.s, Třeboň, Czech Republic

Contributors xiii

Hanna Huryna Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, České Budějovice, Czech Republic

ENKI, o.p.s, Třeboň, Czech Republic

Hannu Ilvesniemi Finnish Forest Research Institute, Vantaa, Finland

Järvi Järveoja Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia

Bruce Jefferson School of Applied Sciences, Cranfield University, Cranfield, Bedfordshire, UK

Vladimír Jirka ENKI, o.p.s, Třeboň, Czech Republic

Mark Jones Waste Water Research and Development, Severn Trent Water, Coventry, West Midlands, UK

Annu Kaila Finnish Forest Research Institute, Vantaa, Finland

Kuno Kasak Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia

Katja Klančnik Department of Biology, Biotechnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Harri Koivusalo Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Aalto University School of Science and Technology, Aalto, Finland

Katarzyna Kołecka Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Gdansk University of Technology, Gdańsk, Poznaň, Poland

Markku Koskinen Department of Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

Jan Květ Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, České Budějovice, Czech Republic

Fatima Laggoun-Défarge Institut des Sciences de la Terre d'Orléans, CNRS-Université d'Orléans, Orléans, France

Günter Langergraber Institute of Sanitary Engineering and Water Pollution Control, University of Natural Resources and Applied Life Sciences, Vienna (BOKU), Vienna, Austria

Ari Laurén Finnish Forest Research Institute, Joensuu, Finland

Piet L. N. Lens UNESCO-IHE Institute for Water Education, Delft, The Netherlands

Richard Lhotský ENKI, o.p.s, Třeboň, Czech Republic

Charles Kizza Luswata Department of Agricultural Production, College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda

Martin Maddison Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia

Ülo Mander Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia

Hydrosystems and Bioprocesses Research Unit, National Research Institute of Science and Technology for Environment and Agriculture (Irstea), Antony Cedex, France

Fabio Masi IRIDRA Srl, Firenze, Italy

Njenga Mburu UNESCO-IHE Institute for Water Education, Delft, The Netherlands

Department of Civil and Structural Engineering, Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology, Kakamega, Kenya

Daniel Meyer Freshwater Systems, Ecology and Pollution Research Unit, IRSTEA, Villeurbanne Cedex, France

William J. Mitsch Everglades Wetland Research Park, Kapnick Center, Florida Gulf Coast University, Fort Myers, FL, USA

Mika Nieminen Finnish Forest Research Institute, Vantaa, Finland

Hannu Nousiainen Finnish Forest Research Institute, Vantaa, Finland

Hanna Obarska-Pempkowiak Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Gdansk University of Technology, Gdańsk, Poznaň, Poland

Hlynur Óskarsson Faculty of Environmental Sciences, Agricultural University of Iceland, Borgarnes, Iceland

Arkadiusz Ostojski Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Gdansk University of Technology, Gdansk, Poznaň, Poland

Jaan Pärn Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia

Adam M. Paruch Bioforsk, Norwegian Institute for Agricultural and Environmental Research, Aas, Norway

Stéphanie Pellerin Institut de recherche en biologie végétale, Université de Montréal, Jardin botanique de Montréal, Montréal, QC, Canada

Jan Pokorný ENKI, o.p.s, Třeboň, Czech Republic

Alexander Pressl Institute for Sanitary Engineering and Water Pollution Control, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna (BOKU), Vienna, Austria

Georges Reeb Atelier Reeb, Strasbourg, France

Contributors xv

Brianna Richards School of Public and Environmental Affairs, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA

Diederik P. L. Rousseau Department of Industrial Biological Sciences, Ghent University, Kortrijk, Belgium

Jana Rychterová Faculty of Agriculture, University of South Bohemia, České Budějovice, Czech Republic

Tapani Sallantaus Natural Environment Center, Biodiversity Unit, Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland

Jüri-Ott Salm Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia

Estonian Fund for Nature, Tartu, Estonia

Roger Samsó GEMMA – Group of Environmental Engineering and Microbiology, Department of Hydraulic, Maritime and Environmental Engineering, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya-BarcelonaTech, Barcelona, Spain

Sakari Sarkkola Finnish Forest Research Institute, Vantaa, Finland

Batdelger Shinen Centre for Water and Environmental Technology – Water Research Laboratory, University of Western Sydney – Hawkesbury, Penrith, NSW, Australia

Adam Sochacki Environmental Biotechnology Department, Faculty of Power and Environmental Engineering, Silesian University of Technology, Gliwice, Poland

GéoSciences and Environnement Département, Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Mines, Saint-Etienne Cedex 2, France

Kristina Sohar Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia

Kaido Soosaar Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia

Marzena Stosik Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Gdansk University of Technology, Gdańsk, Poznaň, Poland

Karel Suchý Faculty of Agriculture, University of South Bohemia, České Budějovice, Czech Republic

Joanna Surmacz-Górska Environmental Biotechnology Department, Faculty of Power and Environmental Engineering, Silesian University of Technology, Gliwice, Poland

Alar Teemusk Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia

xvi Contributors

Moses M. Tenywa Department of Agricultural Production, College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda

Eeva-Stiina Tuittila School of Forest Sciences, University of Eastern Finland, Joensuu, Finland

Peter Vale Waste Water Research and Development, Severn Trent Water, Coventry, West Midlands, UK

Johan J. A. van Bruggen UNESCO-IHE Institute for Water Education, Delft, The Netherlands

Harri Vasander Department of Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

Jorge A. Villa Institut des Sciences de la Terre d'Orléans, CNRS-Université d'Orléans, Orléans, France

Christina Vohla Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia

Jan Vymazal Department of Applied Ecology, Faculty of Environmental Sciences, Czech University of Life Sciences, Praha 6, Czech Republic

ENKI, o.p.s, Třeboň, Czech Republic

Ewa Wojciechowska Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Gdansk University of Technology, Gdansk, Poznaň, Poland

Igor Zelnik Department of Biology, Biotechnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Contents

1	Biomass Production in Permanent Wet Grasslands Dominated with Phalaris arundinacea: Case Study of the Třeboň Basin Biosphere Reserve, Czech Republic	1
2	Greenhouse Gas Fluxes from Restored Agricultural Wetlands and Natural Wetlands, Northwestern Indiana	17
3	Assessment of Immobilisation and Biological Availability of Iron Phosphate Nanoparticle-Treated Metals in Wetland Sediments Herbert John Bavor and Batdelger Shinen	33
4	Spatial Variability in Sedimentation, Carbon Sequestration, and Nutrient Accumulation in an Alluvial Floodplain Forest Jacob M. Bannister, Ellen R. Herbert, and Christopher B. Craft	41
5	Natural and Restored Wetland Buffers in Reducing Sediment and Nutrient Export from Forested Catchments: Finnish Experiences	57
6	Do Reflectance Spectra of Different Plant Stands in Wetland Indicate Species Properties?	73

7	Global Boundary Lines of N ₂ O and CH ₄ Emission in Peatlands Jaan Pärn, Anto Aasa, Sergey Egorov, Ilya Filippov, Geofrey Gabiri, Iuliana Gheorghe, Järvi Järveoja, Kuno Kasak, Fatima Laggoun-Défarge, Charles Kizza Luswata, Martin Maddison, William J. Mitsch, Hlynur Óskarsson, Stéphanie Pellerin, Jüri-Ott Salm, Kristina Sohar, Kaido Soosaar, Alar Teemusk, Moses M. Tenywa, Jorge A. Villa, Christina Vohla, and Ülo Mander	87
8	Distribution of Solar Energy in Agriculture Landscape: Comparison Between Wet Meadow and Crops	103
9	Surface Temperature, Wetness, and Vegetation Dynamic in Agriculture Landscape: Comparison of Cadastres with Different Types of Wetlands	123
10	Agricultural Runoff in Norway: The Problem, the Regulations, and the Role of Wetlands	137
11	Subsurface Flow Constructed Wetland Models: Review and Prospects	149
12	Behaviour of a Two-Stage Vertical Flow Constructed Wetland with Hydraulic Peak Loads	175
13	A New Concept of Multistage Treatment Wetland for Winery Wastewater Treatment: Long-Term Evaluation of Performances	189
14	Polishing of Real Electroplating Wastewater in Microcosm Fill-and-Drain Constructed Wetlands Adam Sochacki, Olivier Faure, Bernard Guy, and Joanna Surmacz-Górska	203
15	Relationship Between Filtering Material and Nitrification in Constructed Wetlands Treating Raw Wastewater	229
16	Single-Family Treatment Wetlands Progress in Poland	237

17	Treatment Wetland for Overflow Stormwater Treatment: The Impact of Pollutant Particles Size	249
18	Treatment Wetlands in Rural Areas of Poland for Baltic Sea Protection	259
19	Long-Term Performance of Constructed Wetlands with Chemical Dosing for Phosphorus Removal	273
20	Use of the Macrophyte Cyperus papyrus in Wastewater Treatment Njenga Mburu, Diederik P.L. Rousseau, Johan J.A. van Bruggen, and Piet N.L. Lens	293
21	Does the Presence of Weedy Species Affect the Treatment Efficiency in Constructed Wetlands with Horizontal Subsurface Flow? Jan Vymazal	315
Inde	Index	

Chapter 1 Biomass Production in Permanent Wet Grasslands Dominated with *Phalaris*arundinacea: Case Study of the Třeboň Basin Biosphere Reserve, Czech Republic

Hana Čížková, Jana Rychterová, Libuše Hamadejová, Karel Suchý, Monika Filipová, Jan Květ, and Neil O. Anderson

Abstract Phalaris arundinacea is a highly productive perennial grass which inhabits both natural and human-affected wetlands. Along with natural genotypes, there are a number of cultivars bred for fodder production, especially in cool climatic areas. At present P. arundinacea is being investigated as a potential energy crop. Use of seminatural and natural stands of P. arundinacea as an energy resource requires a knowledge of the variation of aboveground biomass production, which forms the agricultural yield. This work gives an overview of long-term investigation of the production of P. arundinacea on various types of natural biotopes. It also presents results of a detailed field experiment assessing the effects of various management (cutting frequency, mulching, fertilizing) on the production of aboveground biomass in a seminatural wetland dominated by P. arundinacea. The results confirm that monodominant stands of P. arundinacea attain a high production in Central Europe. The seasonal maximum of aboveground biomass of natural stands ranged from 4 to 14 metric tonnes dry weight per hectare (t.ha⁻¹) with an average of 9.5 t ha⁻¹. Among the management types, the lowest annual agricultural yield of 4.1 t ha⁻¹ (dry weight) was found in the treatment one cut per year and no fertilization. The maximum yield of 11 t ha⁻¹ was achieved under three cuts per year and fertilization with a double dose of N and single doses of P and K. Two cuts

H. Čížková (⋈) • J. Rychterová • L. Hamadejová • K. Suchý Faculty of Agriculture, University of South Bohemia, Branišovská 31, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic e-mail: hcizkova@zf.jcu.cz

M. Filipová

Faculty of Agronomy, Mendel University, Zemědělská 1, 613 00 Brno, Czech Republic

J. Květ

Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, Branišovská 31, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic

N.O. Anderson

Department of Horticultural Science, University of Minnesota, 305 Alderman Hall, 1970 Folwell Avenue, 55108 Saint Paul, MN, USA

[©] Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015

J. Vymazal (ed.), The Role of Natural and Constructed Wetlands in Nutrient Cycling and Retention on the Landscape, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-08177-9_1

2 H. Čížková et al.

per year and fertilization by P and K seem to combine the production and non-production functions in an optimum way.

Keywords Aboveground biomass • Energy crop • Wetland • Yield

1.1 Introduction

Phalaris arundinacea L. (reed canary grass) is a highly productive, C3 cool season perennial grass naturally occurring in floodplains and other wetland biotopes of temperate climates (Merigliano and Lesica 1998). Due to its high biomass production and tolerance of fairly harsh climates, it has been used as a forage crop in areas of northern Europe and North America (Galatowitsch et al. 1999; Merigliano and Lesica 1998). The species is also used as a perennial cover in pastures (Casler et al. 1998; Hoveland 1992; Kading and Kreil 1990; Riesterer et al. 2000) and as a mixture or pure stand forage crop (Buxton et al. 1998; Ostrem 1988; Sheaffer and Marten 1992). Forage cultivars low in alkaloid content have been bred and introduced as commercial cultivars (Coulman 1995; Coulman et al. 1977; Ostrem 1987; Narasimhalu et al. 1995; Wittenberg et al. 1992).

Shoreline restoration and revegetation programmes have planted *Phalaris* (Figiel et al. 1995). Soil and water restoration projects have used *Phalaris* for phytoremediation: impoundment of acid slurries (Olsen and Chong 1991) and soil contaminant removal (Lasat et al. 1997; Samecka-Cymerman and Kempers 2001; Chekol et al. 2002). Wastewater treatment facilities have also employed *Phalaris* for removal of N forms (Groffman et al. 1991; Sikora et al. 1995; Vymazal 1995, 2001; Zhu and Sikora 1995).

Recently *P. arundinacea* has attracted attention also as a potential bioenergy crop (Burvall 1997; Hadders and Olsson 1997; Hallam et al. 2001; Lewandowski et al. 2003; Nilsson and Hansson 2001) and is currently in production for this purpose in Finland and Sweden (Lewandowski and Schmidt 2006). Its fresh and ensilaged biomass can be used for biogas production (Prochnow et al. 2009). Dry biomass can be used for burning both separately and in combination with coal, as feedstock for thermochemical processes such as pyrolysis and gasification to produce methanol, synthesis gas and pyrolysis oils and for biochemical processes (fermentation and anaerobic digestion) to produce ethanol or methane (Hallam et al. 2001). It can also be used as a short fibre raw material for the pulp and paper industry (Finell 2003; Hellqvist et al. 2003; Papatheofanous et al. 1995; Saijonkari-Pahkala 2001).

Phalaris arundinacea is native to Europe, North and Eastern Asia and, to a limited extent, in North America (Merigliano and Lesica 1998). Only a few, non-aggressive populations of *Phalaris* are native in North America – predating European settlements (Merigliano and Lesica 1998). *Phalaris arundinacea* was introduced to North America in the 1850s (Lavergne and Molofsky 2004), although Merigliano and Lesica (1998) noted herbarium specimens from 1825 that