Pregnancy in the Obese Woman

Clinical Management

Edited by Deborah L. Conway



WILEY-BLACKWELL

Pregnancy in the Obese Woman

Clinical Management

EDITED BY

Deborah L. Conway MD

Associate Professor
Division of Maternal-Fetal Medicine
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Texas School of Medicine
San Antonio, Texas, USA

WILEY-BLACKWELL

A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Publication

This edition first published 2011, © 2011 by Blackwell Publishing Ltd

Blackwell Publishing was acquired by John Wiley & Sons in February 2007. Blackwell's publishing program has been merged with Wiley's global Scientific, Technical and Medical business to form Wiley-Blackwell.

Registered office: John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

Editorial offices:
9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK
111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030-5774, USA
The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK
For details of our global editorial offices, for customer services and for information about how to apply for permission to reuse the copyright material in this book please see our website at www.wiley.com/wiley-blackwell

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Pregnancy in the obese woman : clinical management / edited by Deborah L. Conway.
p. ; cm.
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 978-1-4051-9648-2 (alk. paper)
1. Obesity. 2. Pregnancy–Complications. I. Conway, Deborah L.
[DNLM: 1. Obesity. 2. Pregnancy Complications. 3. Pregnancy Outcome. 4. Pregnancy, High-Risk. 5. Risk Factors. WQ 240]
RG580.O24P74 2011
618.2'42–dc22
2010038309

ISBN: 978-1-4051-9648-2

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

This book is published in the following electronic formats: ePDF 9781444391169; Wiley Online Library 9781444391183; ePub 9781444391176

Set in 9.5/13pt Meridien by Toppan Best-set Premedia Limited
Printed and bound in Singapore by Markono Print Media Pte Ltd

1 2011

Pregnancy in the Obese Woman

Preface

As I write in the latter part of 2010, it is no longer newsworthy to declare that the world is experiencing an epidemic of obesity. For many reasons, some well-characterized and others as yet undetermined, overweight and obesity rates have accelerated over recent decades. Recognition of this trend has led to an explosion of research to search for causes and solutions, from the genetic to the population level and all points in between. Strategies to reverse increasing obesity rates and to treat at-risk and affected individuals arrive from many sources: national and international expert panels, government and community agencies, medical researchers, healthcare experts, and others. It can be difficult for a conscientious healthcare provider to stay abreast of it all, and to discern which information is most reliable.

Pregnancy care of obese and overweight women provides a unique set of challenges. Excess weight affects fertility, pregnancy, delivery, and the postpartum period. A specialized knowledge base and skill set are required to provide competent pre-pregnancy, obstetric and postnatal care to obese women. The aim of this book is to supply this knowledge to busy clinicians faced with these challenges. Thus, the reader will find collected in one location information covering every aspect of pregnancy in obese women, beginning with the epidemiological scope of the problem and ending with postpartum care. In addition to the "typical" obstetric topics like prenatal care, fetal surveillance, and delivery, we address bariatric surgery, psychological aspects of obesity in women, nutrition and exercise in obese gravidas, and pre-pregnancy evaluation and preparation.

I am immensely grateful to the phenomenal expert authors who devoted their time and talent to this project. They are not only knowledgeable about their topics, they exemplify dedication to insightful, high quality, evidence-based care of obese patients. I am certain readers will appreciate, as I did, their ability to distill the available data into useful, clear information and recommendations for patient care. My intention as editor has been to maintain a patient-centered focus, and to produce a text that empowers obstetric care providers to do the same for their obese patients.

Deborah L. Conway, MD
San Antonio, TX, USA

Contributor List

Barbara Abrams, DrPH, RD, Professor of Epidemiology, Maternal and Child Health and Public Health Nutrition, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, Division of Epidemiology, California, Berkeley, California, USA

James M. Alexander, MD, Chief of Obstetrics, Parkland Hospital, Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Maternal Fetal Medicine, and Vice Chairman, Institutional Review Board, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas, Texas, USA

Susan Y. Chu, PhD, MSPH, Senior Epidemiologist, Division of Reproductive Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Donald J. Dudley, MD, Vice Chair for Research, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas, USA

Anne Lang Dunlop, MD, MPH, Assistant Professor and Preventive Medicine Residency Program Director, Department of Family and Preventive Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Hugh M Ehrenberg, MD, Associate Professor, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Maternal Fetal Medicine, Ohio State University Medical Center, Columbus, Ohio, USA

Eran Hadar, MD, Senior Attending Physician, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Helen Schneider Hospital for Women, Rabin Medical Center, Petach Tikva and Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

C.R. Hall, MD, Clinical Assistant Professor, Division of Minimally Invasive Surgery, Department of Surgery, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA

John M. Jakicic, PhD, Chair and Professor, Department of Health and Physical Activity, and Director, Physical Activity and Weight Management Research Center, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

Anatte Karmon, MD, Resident Physician, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Women's Health, Albert Einstein College of Medicine/Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx, New York, USA

Janet King , PhD, Senior Scientist, Children's Hospital Oakland Research Institute and Professor, University of California at Berkeley & Davis, Oakland, California, USA

D. Yvette Lacoursiere, MD, MPH, Assistant Professor and Women's Reproductive and Health Research Scholar, Department of Reproductive Medicine, University of California San Diego Medical Center, San Diego, California, USA

Vita Lam Mayes, MPH, Physician Assistant and Master of Public Health Student, Department of Family and Preventive Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Divya Narayan, MD, Research Associate, Department of Family and Preventive Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Bradley J. Needleman, MD, FACS, Director, Bariatric Surgery Program and Associate Professor of Clinical Surgery, Center for Minimally Invasive Surgery, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

Ashley Parker, MD, Resident, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas, USA

Elizabeth Reifsnider, PhD, RN, WHNP, PHCNS-BC, Constance Brewer Koomey Professor of Nursing and Associate Dean for Research, School of Nursing, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas, USA

Krista L Rompolski, MS, Department of Health and Physical Activity, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

Eyal Sheiner, MD, PhD, Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Soroka University Medical Center, Faculty of Health Sciences, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel

Naomi E. Stotland, MD, Associate Professor, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA

Yariv Yogev, MD, Senior Attending Physician and Associate Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Helen Schneider Hospital for Women, and Rabin Medical Center, Petach Tikva and Sackler Facuylty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

Contents

CHAPTER 1

The Epidemiology of Obesity in Pregnancy

*Susan Y. Chu**

National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

With the rapid increase in the prevalence of obesity in many countries, obesity during pregnancy has become a common high-risk obstetric condition in many populations. The immediate and long-term consequences are considerable. Obesity during pregnancy is associated with several adverse reproductive outcomes, including hypertensive disorders, gestational diabetes mellitus, cesarean delivery, macrosomia, shoulder dystocia, and fetal death [1–7]. Long term, the consequences may be even greater: maternal obesity also is associated with an increased risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus for the mother and child, as well as an increased risk for obesity for the child later in life [8–15].

This chapter will: discuss the definition of overweight and obesity, as well as specific issues concerning the measurement of maternal obesity; present available estimates on the prevalence of maternal obesity in various countries; describe the impact of excessive gestational weight gain on the prevalence of maternal obesity; and summarize studies that have estimated the healthcare costs associated with obesity during pregnancy.

Defining the prevalence of obesity

Estimates of obesity prevalence in populations depend on the definition of obesity. Ideally, obesity should be defined by the amount of excess fat that increases health-related risk factors and associated morbidities; however, in practice, a single, ideal definition of obesity for use in population-based estimates is not possible, for three main reasons. First,

*The findings and conclusions in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Pregnancy in the Obese Woman, 1st edition. Edited by Deborah L. Conway.

an ideal definition requires an exact measurement of excess fat, which involves expensive and complicated methods; second, health risks associated with obesity increase on a continuum, not at a particular defined cut-off point; and third, the impact of excess fat on health varies among individuals and populations.

Historically, the precise measurement of body fat was done using hydrostatic weighing, which involves immersion underwater; currently, the most precise methods for measuring body fat involve the use of computed tomography or imaging techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging [16]. Although these methods most accurately measure body adiposity, the expense, the relative scarcity of the necessary equipment, and the need for an individual clinical visit make these methods impractical for measuring the population prevalence of obesity.

Body mass index (BMI; weight (kg)/height squared (m^2)) is highly, although not perfectly, correlated with fat mass [17,18]. For this reason, as well as the ability to use recorded or self-reported data, BMI is perhaps the most widely used measure to estimate adiposity. One primary limitation of this measurement is that it does not distinguish fat mass from lean mass. For example, BMI would underestimate body fat in older persons, because of their differential loss of lean mass and decreased height [19] and overestimate body fat in persons with a muscular build, such as athletes [20]. Nonetheless, for most clinical and epidemiological studies, BMI is considered an efficient and useful measure for estimating increased health risks related to excess body fat [21,22].

Another issue affecting prevalence estimates of obesity is defining BMI cut-off points. In the USA, one of the earliest suggested criteria for categorizing maternal BMI was included in the 1990 Institute of Medicine (IOM) report *Nutrition during Pregnancy* [23]. The IOM guidelines provided guidance on appropriate pregnancy weight gain levels based on pre-pregnancy BMI primarily to address low-birthweight deliveries related to insufficient nutrition and weight gain during pregnancy. Acknowledging that BMI is a better indicator of maternal nutritional status than is weight alone, the IOM subcommittee suggested the weight-for-height categories shown in Box 1.1. These cut-off points generally correspond to 90%, 120%, and 135% of the 1959 Metropolitan Life Insurance Company weight-for-height standards, standards that were in common use in the USA at that time.

In 1997, the World Health Organization (WHO) proposed a BMI classification based on the risk for co-morbidities (Box 1.1) [24]. These categories of underweight, normal weight, overweight, and obese classes I, II, and III are age-independent and the same for both genders.

Although these standards were developed for adults of European descent, they have been frequently used in many countries and have facilitated international comparisons.

Box 1.1 Body mass index (BMI) categories: World Health Organization (WHO) and Institute of Medicine (IOM; 1990) classifications

BMI category (kg/m^2)	WHO	IOM
Underweight	<18.5	<19.8
Normal weight	18.5–24.9	19.8–26.0
Pre-obese/overweight	25.0–29.9	>26.0–29.0
Obese	≥30.0[a]	>29.0

[a]The WHO/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute obese category is sometimes further divided into obese I (30.0–34.9 kg/m^2), obese II (35.0–39.9 kg/m^2), and obese III (≥40.0 kg/m^2), corresponding to moderate, severe, and very severe risk for co-morbidities.

In 1998, the US National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) published *Clinical Guidelines on the Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults* [25]. The BMI criteria published in this report were essentially the same as those recommended by the WHO, except for a difference in labeling BMI 25.0–29.9 kg/m^2 as "overweight" rather than "pre-obese." As stated by the NHLBI expert panel, this BMI classification was based on available scientific evidence from observational and epidemiological studies of BMI and risk for morbidity and mortality. These guidelines specifically excluded pregnant women with the following statement: "Pregnant women who, on the basis of their prepregnant weight, would be classified as obese may encounter certain obstetrical risks. However, the inappropriateness of weight reduction during pregnancy is well recognized; hence, this guideline specifically excludes pregnant women." Nonetheless, these NBHLI/WHO BMI classifications have been used extensively for prevalence estimates and in etiological studies of pregnant women.

The WHO and the IOM criteria will yield different BMI prevalence estimates in the same population; overall, the WHO criteria will result in higher prevalence estimates of overweight and lower prevalences of obese and underweight than estimates based on the IOM criteria [26]. While the differences in BMI criteria would not affect the ability to monitor trends in obesity of a country or subpopulation, criteria differences can affect international comparisons and etiological studies estimating obesity prevalence and the association with adverse health outcomes.

In 2009, the IOM revised the 1990 guidelines for weight gain during pregnancy, in large part to address the high rates of overweight and obesity

in the US population [26]. These new guidelines adopted the WHO BMI cut-off points, recognizing the wider general acceptance of these criteria, which has enabled comparisons between populations, both within countries and internationally. However, these categories were developed using a standard based on adults of European descent, and there is substantial evidence that body fat distribution and the effect of excess body fat on health differ among race and ethnic populations.

BMI does not necessarily describe the same degree of fatness in different populations, partly because of differences in body proportions. For example, Asians have a more centralized distribution of body fat for a given level of BMI compared to people of European descent, and some studies have shown that obesity-related morbidity and mortality among Asians occur at a lower BMI than in other race and ethnic groups [27–29]. This is particularly relevant for gestational diabetes mellitus: Asians have some of the highest rates among all race and ethnic groups, but have a low prevalence of obesity [30]. Thus, visceral fat measurements may be more predictive of risk than BMI. African-Americans tend to have a lower percentage of body fat than people of European descent at the same BMI [31], and there is some suggestion that certain obesity-related conditions (macrosomia, pre-eclampsia) occur at higher BMI levels among black individuals than other race and ethnic groups [32].

Finally, health risks associated with body mass are on a continuum and do not necessarily correspond to rigid cut-off points. For example, an overweight individual with a BMI of 29 does not acquire additional health consequences associated with obesity simply by crossing the BMI threshold of 30 or above. Although health risks generally increase with increasing BMI, these cut-off points may not be as useful as a diagnostic tool [21].

Measuring the prevalence of maternal obesity

In addition to the issues affecting the measurement of obesity prevalence in the general population, there are concerns about the measurement of the prevalence of obesity in pregnant women. First, national reports generally have used the prevalence of obesity among women of reproductive age as an estimate of the prevalence of obesity among pregnant women [33]. While these data are readily available, pregnant women are a distinct subgroup of all women in that age group and estimates based on all women of reproductive age may not accurately reflect estimates among pregnant women.

Second, many prevalence estimates of maternal obesity are clinic rather than population-based. This also can result in inaccurate prevalence estimates, especially if the clinic serves a specific population, selectively

excludes healthier women, or does not serve large numbers of women in a particular area.

Finally, information on maternal body mass or weight must reflect status preceding any significant pregnancy weight gain. Because of this, most estimates of maternal obesity based on BMI rely on retrospective self-reported data. These values generally result in underestimates of the prevalence of obesity, as individuals tend to underreport their weight and overreport their height [34], although studies that have examined this error among women who recently delivered have found that, on average, the magnitude of underreporting for overweight women was less than 10 lb [35,36].

Worldwide prevalence of obesity during pregnancy

Obesity has reached epidemic proportions globally [37]. Although the prevalence is highest in developed countries, obesity has become an important health issue in many developing countries, often co-existing with undernutrition [38]. Concomitant with the increased rates of obesity in the general population, obesity during pregnancy has also escalated, and it is now a common obstetric high-risk condition. Although data on the prevalence of obesity among pregnant women are limited in most countries, available information demonstrates the extent and range of the problem in many areas in the world. Figure 1.1 displays studies reporting the prevalence of overweight and obesity during pregnancy in various countries; included studies were limited to those that were population-based, used weight or BMI measurements pre-pregnancy or early in pregnancy before substantial weight gain, and included data collected during the year 2000 or after.

In the USA, the reported prevalence of maternal obesity in different cities and states ranged from 10% to 26% [39–42] (Figure 1.1); in part, these disparities reflect differences in populations and years of data collection. In the largest, most recent survey based on data from 26 states and New York City during 2004–05, approximately one in five US women who delivered were obese; in some state, race/ethnicity, and socioeconomic status subgroups, the prevalence was as high as 35% [43]. Race was the strongest predictor of higher obesity prevalence, with black women having an obesity prevalence about 70% higher than white and Hispanic women (black, 29.1%; white, 17.4%; Hispanic, 17.4%). Moreover, these obesity rates are notably higher than in previous years; a previous study of nine US states showed that the prevalence of obesity at the start of pregnancy increased from 13% in 1993–94 to 22.0% in 2002–03, a 70% increase over a 10-year period [44]. The other North American



Figure 1.1 Prevalence of overweight and obesity among pregnant women in population-based studies. (Adapted from Guelinckx et al. [6], with permission.)

country with available data, Canada, reported lower prevalence rates of maternal obesity than for the USA (6%) [45], although a direct comparison is difficult given that years of the studies and body weight measures were not equivalent.

The prevalence of obesity among pregnant women in Europe varied considerably by country, with the highest prevalence rates reported in the UK [46,47]. Both UK studies reported a 50% increase in obesity between

1990 and 2002–04, and found that socioeconomic disadvantage or deprivation was a strong independent predictor of maternal obesity. Race and ethnicity differences were not examined closely as over 90% of the UK study populations were Caucasian. About one in eight pregnant women were obese in studies from France, Italy, and one of the two reports from Sweden [48–51]. Several European countries reported maternal obesity rates below 10% [52–57], although even in the country with the lowest reported prevalence, Denmark, about one in 15 women who are pregnant were obese [55].

Prevalence data on maternal obesity from countries outside the Western hemisphere and Europe are more limited. In one of the more developed countries in the Oceania continent, Australia, prevalence rates of maternal obesity were similar in two east coast areas (Melbourne, 11%; South Brisbane, 13%) [58,59]. Available reports suggest that high levels of maternal obesity are found even in some generally less affluent countries (Bulgaria, 26%; Turkey, 13%; Brazil, 22%; Iran 18%) [60–63]. The prevalence of maternal obesity was lower in the single African study from Tanzania (7%) [64]; however, the prevalence of overweight among these African pregnant women was as high as in Western countries (24%). China was the exception, with low obesity prevalence (2%) even in a well-developed city, Hong Kong [65]. Direct comparisons among countries cannot be made as the reported obesity prevalence is affected by the criteria used (i.e. WHO versus IOM), the size and representativeness of the population surveyed, and the years of the study.

Certain maternal characteristics, such as older maternal age and higher parity, are consistently associated with higher rates of obesity, regardless of culture and geographic location. In the USA, obesity prevalence differs significantly by race and ethnicity, but most studies outside the USA are not able to examine rates by racial and ethnic groups. However, when examined in developed countries (US, UK, Denmark, Sweden), reported maternal obesity was higher in population subgroups with lower socioeconomic status; in contrast, in Tanzania, maternal obesity was associated with higher education and more income earned outside the home. This highlights the importance of considering how differences in economic situation and cultural context can affect the patterns of and the risk factors for obesity in various countries or populations.

Impact of gestational weight gain on trends in maternal obesity

In many countries, the current trend of increasing maternal obesity is in part related to excessive levels of weight gain during pregnancy [66,67].

Historically, gestational weight gain guidelines were developed to reduce the well-known adverse impact of inadequate pregnancy weight gain on reproductive outcome [66], with smaller gains recommended for heavier women. However, major changes have occurred in the body weights of pregnant women, prompting discussion to produce new guidelines that consider the short- and long-term adverse impacts of excessive gestational weight gain. Short-term consequences include preterm delivery, neonatal hypoglycemia, and macrosomic infants [67–71]; long term, excessive gestational weight gain increases the risk for weight retention after pregnancy and excessive body weight later in life [70,72–75].

Excessive weight gains during pregnancy have been documented in several developed countries. In a US study of 52,988 underweight, normal, overweight, and obese women who delivered a singleton, full-term infant in 2004–05, approximately 40% of normal-weight and 60% of overweight women gained excessive weight during pregnancy, with the highest rates of excessive gestational weight gains among the youngest and those who were nulliparous [76]. Similar excessive levels of gestational weight gain have been reported among pregnant women in other developed countries, including Belgium [54], Denmark [70], Australia [77], Sweden [51,73,74], Germany [78], and Switzerland [57]. These trends in excessive gestational weight gains predict a further escalation of the problem of obesity among women of reproductive age in many parts of the world.

Economic costs of maternal obesity

Obesity is not only a health issue, but also has economic consequences. Total costs involve both the direct costs related to medical expenditures from obesity-related diseases, including type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, several types of cancer, and musculoskeletal disorders, as well as indirect costs related to absenteeism, reduced productivity, and disability [79]. Many countries have reported on the substantial and increasing economic burden of obesity, including the USA [80,81], Canada [82], Europe [83], Eastern Europe [84], the UK [85], China [86,87], and Japan [88]. A recent projection based on data from the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey estimated that, by the year 2030, costs related to overweight and obesity will account for 16–18% of total US healthcare costs [81].

However, precise estimates of the economic costs directly related to maternal obesity are very limited. It is clear that the costs are substantial, because maternal obesity not only increases the risk for adverse pregnancy and infant outcomes, but also may be associated with a higher risk for developing type 2 diabetes mellitus later in life for both mother and child