Computers in Critical Care and Pulmonary Medicine

Edited by P. M. Osswald

Computers in Critical Care and Pulmonary Medicine

Edited by P. M. Osswald in Cooperation with O. Prakash, H.-J. Hartung and H. J. Bender

With 197 Figures and 29 Tables

Springer-Verlag
Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo

Priv.-Doz. Dr. Peter Michael Osswald
Priv.-Doz. Dr. H.-J. Hartung
Dr. Dr. H.-J. Bender
Department of Anesthesiology and Resuscitation
Mannheim Faculty of Clinical Medicine
(Director: Prof. Dr. H. Lutz)
Univesity of Heidelberg
6800 Mannheim,
Federal Republic of Germany
O. Prakash, M. D.
Thorax Centre
Rijksuniversiteit Rotterdam
Rotterdam
The Netherlands

ISBN 3-540-13840-4 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo ISBN 0-387-13840-4 Springer-Verlag New York Heidelberg Berlin Tokyo

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically those of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, broadcasting, reproduction by photocopying machine or similar means, and storage in data banks. Under § 54 of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other than private use, a free is payable to "Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort", Munich.

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1985 Printed in Germany

The use of general descriptive names, trade names, trade marks, etc. in this publication, even if the former are not especially identified, is not to be taken as a sign that such names, as understood by the Trade Marks and Merchandise Marks Act, may accordingly be used freely by anyone.

Product Liability: The publisher can give no guarantee for information about drug dosage and application thereof contained in this book. In every individual case the respective user must check its accuracy by consulting other pharmaceutical literature.

Printed and binding: Beltz Offsetdruck, Hemsbach/Bergstraße 2119/3140-543210

List of Contributors

ALOY, A., Research Center for Intensive Care of the Clinic for Anesthesia and General Intensive Care Medicine, and the II. Surgical Clinic, University of Vienna, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

Arbinolo, M.A., Secondo servizio di anestesia e rianimazione, Ospedale Maggiore San Giovanni Battista di Torino, Turin, Italy

BAEHRENDTZ, S., Medical Intensive Care Unit, Department of Medicine at the South Hospital, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

Balestra, G., Instituto "Giorgio Quazza" per l'informatica e l'elettronica, Formazione e ricerca. Citta' di Torino, Turin, Italy

Ball, P., Institut für Biochemische Endokrinologie, Medizinische Hochschule, D-2400 Lübeck, Federal Republic of Germany

Bekemeyer, U., Klinik für Innere Medizin, Medizinische Hochschule, D-2400 Lübeck, Federal Republic of Germany

Bellero, M. L., Secondo servizio di anestesia e rianimazione, Ospedale Maggiore San Giovanni Battista di Torino, Turin, Italy

Bender, H.-J., Department of Anesthesiology and Resuscitation, Mannheim Faculty of Clinical Medicine, D-6800 Mannheim, Federal Republic of Germany

Bertino, R., Secondo servizio di anestesia e rianimazione, Ospedale Maggiore San Giovanni Battista di Torino, Turin, Italy

BLEICHER, W., Dept. of Anaesthesiology, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Federal Republic of Germany

Brunner, J. X., Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Clinical Physiology, Department of Surgery of the University of Basel, Kantonsspital Basel, Basel, Switzerland

 $\label{eq:Burney} Burney, R., University of Massachusetts Medical Center, Worcester, Massachusetts, U.S.A.$

DJONLAGIC, H., Klinik für Innere Medizin, Medizinische Hochschule, D-2400 Lübeck, Federal Republic of Germany

EINHÄUPL, K.M., Department of Neurology, Klinikum Großhadern, University of Munich, D-8000 Munich, Federal Republic of Germany

EKENBÄCK, K., Medical Intensive Care Unit, Department of Medicine at the South Hospital, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

ENGEL, H.-P., Department of Experimental Surgery, Surgical Center, University of Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 347, D-6900 Heidelberg, Federal Republic of Germany

EPPLE, E., Department of Anesthesiology, University of Tübingen, D-7400 Tübingen, Federal Republic of Germany

Erdmann, K., Institut für Anaesthesiologie, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, Langenbeckstr. 1, D-6500 Mainz, Federal Republic of Germany

ESSIN, D. J., Departments of Anesthesiology and Pediatrics, University of Southern California/Los Angeles County Medical Center, Los Angeles, California 90033, U.S.A.

FAGAN, L. M., Medical Computer Science, Room TC-135, Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California 94305, U.S.A.

FELDMANN, U., Division of Medical Statistics, Biomathematics and Information Processings, Klinikum Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, D-6800 Mannheim, Federal Republic of Germany

FORNAI, E., Second Medical Clinic of the University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

FORSSBLAD, M., Medical Intensive Care Unit, Department of Medicine at the South Hospital, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

Franco, C., Secondo servizio di anestesia e rianimazione, Ospedale Maggiore San Giovanni Battista di Torino, Turin, Italy

Friberg, B., Medical Intensive Care Unit, Department of Medicine at the South Hospital, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

GAAB, M. R., University Neurological Clinic, General Hospital, Alser Str. 4, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

GARNER, C., Department of Neurology Klinikum Großhadern, University of Munich, D-8000 Munich, Federal Republic of Germany

GEIGER, K., Institute of Anesthesiology, Faculty of Clinical Medicine Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, D-6800 Mannheim, Federal Republic of Germany

GESSA, M., Secondo servizio di anestesia e rianimazione, Ospedale Maggiore San Giovanni Battista di Torino, Turin, Italy

GIUNTINI, C., Second Medical Clinic of the University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

GUARNACCIA, A., Secondo servizio di anestesia e rianimazione, Ospedale Maggiore San Giovanni Battista di Torino, Turin, Italy

HAASS, A., Universitätsnervenklinik, Homburg/Saar

Hankeln, K., Department of Anesthesiology, Zentralkrankenhaus Links der Weser, D-2800 Bremen, Federal Republic of Germany

HARTUNG, H.-J., Institut for Anesthesiology and Resuscitation, Klinikum Mannheim, University of Heidelberg.

HAUPTMANN, A., Klinik für Innere Medizin, Medizinische Hochschule, D-2400 Lübeck, Federal Republic of Germany

Heidbüchel, K., Klinik für Innere Medizin, Medizinische Hochschule, D-2400 Lübeck, Federal Republic of Germany

HEITZ, M., Stadtspital Triemli, Zürich, Switzerland

HOARE, M. R., The Thoracic Center, Erasmus University and University Hospital, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

HUGENHOLTZ, P. G., The Thoracic Center, Erasmus University and University Hospital, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

HULTING, J., Medical Intensive Care Unit, Department of Medicine at the South Hospital, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

ISHIHARA, H., Department of Anesthesiology, Hirosaki University, School of Medicine, Hirosaki, Aomori-ken, Japan

ISOZAKI, K., Department of Anesthesiology, Hirosaki University, School of Medicine, Hirosaki, Aomori-ken, Japan

JAGODOWICZ, M., Departments of Anesthesiology and Pediatrics, University of Southern California / Los Angeles County Medical Center, Los Angeles, California 90033, U.S.A.

Jantzen, J.-P., Institut für Anaesthesiologie, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, Langenbeckstr. 1, D-6500 Mainz, Federal Republic of Germany

JENSEN, U., Institute for Anesthesiology University of Munich, Klinikum Großhadern, Marchioninstr. 15, 8000 Munich 70, Federal Republic of Germany

JOHANSSON, M., Medical Intensive Care Unit, Department of Medicine at the South Hospital, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

JUNGER, H., Dept. of Anesthesiology, University of Tübingen, D-7400 Tübingen, Federal Republic of Germany

Kellermann, W., Institute for Anesthesiology, University of Munich, Klinikum Großhadern, Marchionistr. 15, 8000 Munich 70, Federal Republic of Germany

Kerscher, G., Department of Neurology, Klinikum Großhadern, University of Munich, D-8000 Munich 70, Federal Republic of Germany

KLOCKE, H., Helmholtz-Institute for Biomedical Engineering, University Hospital, D-5100 Aachen, Federal Republic of Germany

KNAFLITZ, M., Istituto "Giorgio Quazza" per l'information e l'elettronica, Formazione e ricerca. Citta' di Torino, Turin, Italy

Köhler, C.O., Institute of Documentation, Information and Statistics, Department of Central Data Processing, German Cancer Research Center, D-6900 Heidelberg, Federal Republic of Germany

KOLLER, W., Research Center for Intensive Care of the Clinic for Anesthesia and General Intensive Care Medicine, and the II. Surgical Clinic, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

KOPP, M., Dept. of Anesthesiology, University of Tübingen, D-7400 Tübingen, Federal Republic of Germany

KUFNER, G., Department of Neurology, Klinikum Großhadern, University of Munich, D-8000 Munich 70, Federal Republic of Germany

LIST, W.F., Institute of Anesthesiology, University of Graz, Austria

Lutz, H., Institute for Anesthesiology and Resuscitation, Klinikum Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, D-6800 Mannheim, Federal Republic of Germany

MADLER, T., Universitätsnervenklinik Homburg/Saar

MAIWALD, M., Stress Research Group, Department of Internal Medicine II, University of Heidelberg, Alte Bergheimer Str. 5, D-6900 Heidelberg, Federal Republic of Germany

Mason, J., Department of Neurosurgery, Pinderfields Hospital, Wakefield, England

MATELL, G., Medical Intensive Care Unit, Department of Medicine at the South Hospital, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

Matsuki, A., Department of Anesthesiology, Hirosaki University, School of Medicine, Hirosaki, Aomori-ken, Japan

Меи, S. H., The Thoracic Center, Erasmus University and University Hospital, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

MESSMER, K., Department of Experimental Surgery, Surgical Center, University of Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 347, 6900 Heidelberg, Federal Republic of Germany

METZLER, H., Institute of Anesthesiology, University of Graz, Austria

MICHELSEN, H., Department of Anesthesiology, Zentralkrankenhaus Links der Weser, D-2800 Bremen, Federal Republic of Germany

MILLER, P. L., Department of Anesthesiology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06510, U.S.A.

MÜLLER-ESCH, G., Klinik für Innere Medizin, Medizinische Hochschule, D-2400 Lübeck, Federal Republic of Germany

MÜLLER, M., Department of Anesthesiology, University Clinics, Im Neuenheimer Feld 110, D-6900 Heidelberg, Federal Republic of Germany Mutz, N., Research Center for Intensive Care of the Clinic for Anesthesia and General Intensive Care Medicine, and the II. Surgical Clinic, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Neto, A.G., Second Medical Clinic of the University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

NEUMEIER, D., Institute for Clinical Chemistry, University of Munich, Klinikum Großhadern, Marchioninstr. 15, 8000 Munich 70, Federal Republic of Germany

OSSMER, B., Medical Intensive Care Unit, Department of Medicine at the South Hospital, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

Osswald, P.-M., Institute for Anesthesiology and Resuscitation, Klinikum Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, D-6800 Mannheim, Federal Republic of Germany

OYAMA, T., Department of Anesthesiology, Hirosaki University, School of Medicine, Hirosaki, Aomori-ken, Japan

PAOLETTI, P., CNR Institute of Clinical Physiology, Pisa, Italy

PAUSER, G., Research Center for Intensive Care of the Clinic for Anesthesia and General Intensive Care Medicine, and the II. Surgical Clinic, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Peter, K., Institut für Anaesthesiologie der Universität München, Klinikum Großhadern, Marchioninstr. 15, D-8000 Munich 70, Federal Republic of Germany

Peters, A., Klinik für Innere Medizin, Medizinische Hochschule, D-2400 Lübeck, Federal Republic of Germany

PREDILETTO, R., Second Medical Clinic of the University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

PRICE, D.J., Department of Neurosurgery, Pinderfields Hospital, Wakefield, England

PRYS-ROBERTS, C., Sir Humphrey Davy Department of Anaesthesia, University of Bristol, Bristol Royal Infirmary, Bristol, United Kingdom

RANSIL, B. J., The Charles A. Dana Research Institute and the Harvard-Thorndike Laboratory of Beth Israel Hospital, Department of Medicine, Beth Israel Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02215, U.S.A.

RAU, G. Helmholtz-Institute for Biomedical Engineering University Hospital, D-5100 Aachen, Federal Republic of Germany

RUDOWSKI, R., Institute of Biocybernetics and Biomedical Engineering, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

Ruschi, S., Second Medical Clinic of the University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

SCHLIMGEN, R., Department of Anesthesiology, University Hospital, D-5100 Aachen, Federal Republic of Germany

SCRIBA, C., Klinik für Innere Medizin, Medizinische Hochschule, D-2400 Lübeck, Federal Republic of Germany

SCHIMRIGK, K., Universitätsnervenklinik Homburg/Saar

SCHMIDT, G., Department of Anesthesiology, University Clinics, Im Neuenheimer Feld 110, D-6900 Heidelberg, Federal Republic of Germany

SCHMIEDER, G., Department of Neurology, Klinikum Großhadern, University of Munich, D-8000 Munich, Federal Republic of Germany

Schosser, R., Department of Experimental Surgery, Surgical Center, University of Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 347, D-6900 Heidelberg, Federal Republic of Germany

SCHUY, S., Institute of Biomedical Engineering, Technical University, Graz, Austria

STAFFORD, T. J., University of Massachusetts Medical Center, Worcester, Massachusetts, U.S.A.

SIEGL, K., Department of Neurology, Klinikum Großhadern, University of Munich, Munich, Federal Republic of Germany

SHORTLIFFE, E.H., Medical Computer Science, Room TC-135, Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California 94305 U.S.A.

SMITH, N.T., Professor of Anesthesiology, University of California, San Diego, VA Medical Center, 3350 La Jolla Village Drive, San Diego, California 92161, U.S.A.

Snowden, S., Department of Neurosurgery, Pinderfields Hospital, Wakefield, England

SPANNE, C., Medical Intensive Care Unit, Department of Medicine at the South Hospital, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

STEEN, S. N., Departments of Anesthesiology and Pediatrics, University of Southern California/Los Angeles County Medical Center, Los Angeles, California 90033, U.S.A.

Summa, Y., Institute for Anesthesiology, University of Munich, Klinikum Großhadern, Marchioninstr. 15, D-8000 Munich 70, Federal Republic of Germany

Suzuki, T., Department of Anesthesiology, Hirosaki University, School of Medicine, Hirosaki, Aomori-ken, Japan

SWOBODA, A., Department of Cardiac Surgery, University Hospital Eppendorf, D-2000 Hamburg, Federal Republic of Germany

TRISPEL, S., Helmholtz-Institute for Biomedical Engineering, University Hospital, D-5100 Aachen, Federal Republic of Germany

Tybussek, R., Klinik für Innere Medizin, Medizinische Hochschule, D-2400 Lübeck, Federal Republic of Germany

URCIUOULI, R., Istituto di Neurochirurgia dell'Universita' di Torino, Turin, Italy

WARNER, D. L., Departments of Anesthesiology and Pediatrics, University of Southern California / Los Angeles County Medical Center, Los Angeles, California 90033, U.S.A.

WESTENSKOW, D. R., Division of Bioengineering, Department of Anesthesiology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, U.S.A.

WIECZOREK, R., Department of Neurology, Klinikum Großhadern, University of Munich, D-8000 Munich, Federal Republic of Germany

WIEDEMANN, K., Department of Anesthesiology, University Clinics, Im Neuenheimer Feld 110, D-6800 Heidelberg, Federal Republic of Germany

 $Wiesspeiner, G., Institute of Biomedical Engineering, Technical University of Graz, \\ Austria$

WINTER, D., Institute for Anesthesiology and Resuscitation, Klinikum Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, D-6800 Mannheim, Federal Republic of Germany

Wolff, G., Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Clinical Physiology, Department of Surgery of the University of Basel, Kantonsspital Basel, Basel, Switzerland

Wood, W. G., Klinik für Innere Medizin, Medizinische Hochschule, D-2400 Lübeck, Federal Republic of Germany

YAMASHITA, M., Department of Anesthesiology, Hirosaki University, School of Medicine, Hirosaki, Aomori-ken, Japan

ZEELENBERG, C., The Thoracic Center, Erasmus University and University Hospital, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Table of Contents

Application of Computer Systems in Critical Care	
K. Geiger	1
On-line Cardiovascular Applications of Microcomputers in Intensive Care	. 15
C. Prys-Roberts	8
Organizing and Analyzing Clinical Information Using Table-Based, Graphics-Oriented, Interactive Computer Systems	17
B. J. Ransil	17
An Attempt to Solve the "Information Explosion" in an Intensive Care Ward D. J. Price, J. Mason, and S. Snowden	23
Towards a Coherent Structure of the Anesthetist – Computer Interface S. Trispel, H. Klocke, G. Rau, and R. Schlimgen	29
Effect of Different Ventilation Patterns on the Intracranial Pressure in Neurologic Patients with Mechanical Ventilation K. M. Einhäupl, C. Garner, G. Schmieder, G. Kerscher, and R. Wieczorek	38
Computer Applications in Pulmonary Function Testing M. Herrz	43
The Assessment of Gas Exchange by Automated Analysis of O ₂ and CO ₂ Alveolar to Arterial Differences: 3 Years Experience in Respiratory Clinical Physiology P. Paoletti, E. Fornai, A. G. Neto, R. Prediletto, S. Ruschi, and C. Giuntini	57
Computers in Experimental Pathophysiological Research R. Schosser, HP. Engel, and K. Messmer	66
Data Bases in Acute Medicine T.J. Stafford, and R. Burney	75
Computerized Clinical Records in the Neurosurgical ICU with Self-updating of Some Biological Data R. Urciuoli, M. Knaflitz, G. Balestra, M. A. Arbinolo, M. L. Bellero, R. Bertino, C. Franco, M. Gessa, and A. Guarnaccia	78

Organization and Analysis of Data from Patients with Trauma and Hemorrhage W. Kellermann, K. Peter, U. Jensen, Y. Summa, and D. Neumeier 8	2
Computer Assisted ICU Monitoring T. Oyama, H. Ishihara, A. Matsuki, M. Yamashita, K. Isozaki, and T. Suzuki	
Automatic Signal Analysis and Prediction in Intensive Medical Care A. Swoboda, and E. Epple	
Artifact Processing in a Computerized Intensive Care Unit W. Bleicher, E. Epple, M. Kopp, and H. Junger	7
Personal Computers for Gas Exchange Measurements in the ICU G. Wiesspeiner, S. Schuy, H. Metzler, and W. List	6
Integration of a New Computer HP 9816S in the Pulmonary Calculator System HP 47804S. Advantages of Using the Screen for Graphic Presentation of Flow-Volume Curves K. Erdmann, and JP. Jantzen	3
Measurement of Oxygen Uptake with a New Method H. METZLER, G. WIESSPEINER, and W. F. LIST	0
A Microcomputerassisted System for the Monitoring of Cardiorespiratory Variables in the Critically Ill K. HANKELN, and H. MICHELSEN	4
Selective Lung Ventilation HJ. HARTUNG, PM. OSSWALD, and H. J. BENDER:	8
Automated Anesthetic Record Keeping N. Ty Smith	7
Computer Aided Methods to Predict Perioperative Risks U. Feldmann, PM. Osswald, HJ. Hartung, and H. Lutz	2
New Tasks for Medical Informatics? C.O. Köhler	4
Artificial Intelligence: the Expert Systems Approach to Medical Consultation E. H. Shortliffe, and L. M. Fagan	0
Expert Consultation Systems in Medicine P. L. MILLER	8
The Importance of Using a Computer to Provide Pediatric Anesthesia and Emergency Drug Information and Treatment Regimens in the Clinical Setting D. J. Essin, D. L. Warner, M. Jagodowicz, and S. N. Steen	7
Computer Systems in Artificial Ventilations (New Techniques) W. KOLLER, A. ALOY, N. MUTZ, and G. PAUSER. 21	2

Microcomputer-Assisted ICP Monitoring in Neurological Patients G. Schmieder, C. Garner, K. M. Einhäupl, K. Siegl, and G. Kufner

334

Application of Computer Systems in Critical Care

K. GEIGER

During the past decade innovations in biomedical computing have produced hardware and software systems that now enable monitoring of critically ill patients on a much more extensive and useful level than was possible before. Intensive care unit computer systems were designed initially for monitoring vital signs such as heart rate and blood pressure from bedside hardware, but increasing numbers of signals are now being demanded and no end is yet in sight. The technological imperative is partially responsible. Advances in electronic monitoring devices have paralleled progresses in information processing and miniaturization of microprocessors. Clinical and experimental medicine has responded by examining new parameters of monitoring which can be employed more efficiently in patient assessment. A great number of intensive care units in Germany are still equipped with noncomputerized monitoring systems. Such systems have some inherent problems which encroach on the efficiency of patient management:

- They cannot perform quantitative analyses or present the data in a variety of
 formats. Current noncomputerized monitoring systems have no storage capacity,
 making trend analysis of any of the patient's physiologic parameters impossible.
 Such information is important, helping the clinician to assess the patient's status
 and determine the necessary therapeutic measures.
- 2. They lack the capability of automated logging and data retrieval. Significant events are recorded solely by manual charting. Such systems do not allow the setting of priority alarm conditions according to previous events. Neither is it possible to recall and display past data in an easy and convenient manner.

Present-day computerized monitoring systems provide continuous or intermittent monitoring of many input data. Clinically relevant ICU data center on cardiopulmonary function. The physiologic parameters commonly monitored nowadays are as follows:

Systolic, diastolic, and mean *blood pressure* are displayed. An automatic calibration system for blood pressure transducers has been described (Martin et al. 1973). A computer algorithm has been developed to detect the distortion of arterial blood pressure wave forms caused by blood clots or air bubbles in the catheter.

ECG is continuously monitored. The ECG wave form can be sampled at a rate of 250 or 300 per second and the R waves identified on the basis of their amplitude and the steepness of the downslope. The data points can subsequently be analyzed to give the R wave widths. A computer program may than sort the beats into various

categories such as normal, premature, wide and late. Preprocessing computer programs like the *Aztec* inaugurated by Cox (Cox et al. 1968) have been developed for the efficient sorting of ectopic beats. This system accurately detected 78% of ventricular ectopic beats; however, movements artifacts and/or conduction defects are associated with significant error rates. Most arrhythmia-detecting systems use measurements of QRS width, offset, amplitude, and area to classify complexes into morphological families. Another approach combines the major elements of cross-correlation of QRS signals, as well as grouping of complexes into families and clusters. Westenskow (1982) recently described a system that uses both esophageal and surface electrodes to detect cardiac arrhythmias in the operating room. A strip chart recorder provides a permanent record of ectopic beats and the computer's interpretation of these. He reported a false-positive rate of 0.034% and a false-negative rate of 0.107%. A typical application of such systems could be the monitoring of the efficiency of antiarrhythmic therapy.

Cardiac output is commonly determined by thermal dilution. Warner et al. (1968) developed a method, of measuring cardiac output that was not dependent upon analysis of the indicator dilution method. They attempted to estimate stroke volume from central aortic pressure analysis. This method is based on an application of signalprocessing techniques in which the computer is programmed to identify critical points on the central arterial pressure contour. Since the arterial vascular system possesses elements both of resistance and capacitance, only a fraction of the blood expelled from the left ventricle during systole will leave the large vessels of the arterial system during systole. A considerable portion of the blood ejected from the heart stays within the elastic reservoir of the vascular bed and leaves large vessels during diastole. In Warner's analysis the stroke volume can therefore be separated into systolic drainage and diastolic drainage. The final mathematical equation involves a constant which relates pressure to volume in the aorta and is in practice obtained for each individual by a single measurement of cardiac output using the indicator dilution method. In general, this technique appears to be a reasonable measure of cardiac output which can be obtained on a beat-by-beat basis in many clinical situations. However, it has recently been shown that major changes in the constant may occur in individual patients during their postoperative course (Kouchoukos et al. 1969).

When it is required to monitor cardiac function apart from cardiac output, a computer can be used to follow changes occuring in systolic time intervals.

Respiratory rate can be monitored directly or indirectly from the pressure fluctuations observed on the central venous pressure or from the variations in the height of the R waves of the ECG. Detailed accounts of computer-based bedside monitoring and respiratory system analysis have been given by Osborn (1975) and by Peters' group (Peters and Hilberman 1971). In their approach a pneumotachograph is placed in the respiratory line. This may be at the endotracheal tube for an intubated patient or in a face mask for a patient breathing spontaneously. This sensor has been modified to permit measurement of airway pressure as well as flow and to allow continuous sampling of the gas mixture passing through the pneumotachograph. In this way respiratory flows, pressures, and gas composition can be continuously monitored, gas analysis being carried out by rapid analyzers carbon dioxide and oxygen. The computer program permits the computation of respiratory mechanics and gas exchange, including oxygen consumption, carbon dioxide production, respiratory quotient, and

the physiologic dead space. By manual, digital entry of the arterial and central venous blood gases and hemoglobin it is possible to obtain estimates of the cardiac output (using the Fick method) as well as the percentage of venoarterial admixture across the lung. These data as well as pressure-volume curves can be displayed at frequent time intervals and pulmonary work per minute, compliance and resistance computed along with the ratio of dead space to tidal volume. Such techniques provide the means to identify those points in the chain of ventilatory events at which defects have appeared and to give quantitative information helping to rectify the clinical problems. Peters (Peters and Hilberman 1971) has developed indices that may be used to warn in advance of deterioration of pulmonary function. There is, however, a continuing need for the development of error detection and on-line multivariable pattern recognition algorithms which can delineate significant trends while rejecting artifactual transients.

A new generation of microcomputer-controlled ventilators has just emerged. They incorporate the features of a versatile lung ventilator and a lung function testing unit. Complex breathing maneuvers for a variety of single- and multi-breath lung function tests can be automatically performed. If equipped with a gas analyzer, the devices can function as "demand" ventilators allowing for set-point control of end-tidal PCO₂ and PO₂.

Computer systems have been successfully utilized in the analysis of *blood gases* and *acid-base status* (Maas et al. 1972; Neff et al. 1970; Valbona et al. 1971).

Attempts have been made to analyze the *EEG* with respect to frequency and wave shape. Computer-aided EEG monitoring has been employed in guiding barbiturate therapy in intracranial hypertension (Hjrth 1970; Myers et al. 1973; Sebel et al. 1983).

Enormous efforts are being made to develop new computer systems to fulfill other functions, including management of pharmacologic interventions, evaluation of the effectiveness of various modes of therapy, and repeated analyses of patient status. Automated systems have been developed to deliver prescribed and programmed treatment, such as the administration of appropriate and adequate amounts of blood or of a pharmaceutical agent to maintain specific physiologic conditions in a patient. There have been several attempts to computerize the control of specific pathophysiologic situations.

In appraising the use and value of computer technology in critical care, other aspects of patient care must also be considered.

A potentially valuable application of computer technology is in the delineation of physiologic models. The principle behind the application of mathematical modeling to physiologic systems is to obtain more information from the observed data by fitting them with a set of equations that can be used to describe quantitatively particular aspects of the system. Mathematical representations of biological signals have often been developed into true functional models in an attempt to characterize various aspects to the system generating the signal. Such models can be considered as attempts to describe the general biochemical or physiologic functions of the system in circumstances where it is difficult to develop or test sufficiently detailed mathematical expressions of the mechanical or physiochemical properties, or control mechanisms that are aspects of the system. Such techniques have successfully been used in defining compartment models.

Another important area where computer technology may be extremely useful in solving critical care problems is simulation. Simulation has been mainly confined to physical models because of their preciseness in describing biological phenomena. A valuable application of this approach is the description of behavioral models of different body systems. Siegel (Siegel and Farell 1973) has used it to study how changes in cardiac output and alveolar ventilation and their respective distributions interact in the patient with acute post-traumatic pulmonary insufficiency and thus find out how the transitions between the typical pathophysiologic states can take place. The most exciting prospect of this technique is the possibility of effective clinical computer simulation of different forms of treatments in the critically ill patient. This application may help to identify the best therapy with greater sophistication and with a better chance of success.

Computer-assisted learning is a versatile and stimulating means of acquiring know-ledge, forming a useful adjunct to traditional methods. However, it should not be used as a substitute for traditional teaching methods, because by its very nature, it tends to provide information in an unqualified, factual form which is often remote from the realities of clinical practice. At present there is only limited experience in the evaluation of such programs in terms of quantitative and qualitative knowledge. It appears, however, that computer-assisted learning offers the opportunity of an earlier introduction to intensive care problems and the possibility of continuing self-evaluation.

Comprehensive automatic monitoring systems have proved to be effective in increasing the efficiency of ICU staff. For example, by relegating routine, well-defined, repetitive tasks of these systems, nurses have been able to concentrate on direct patient care. Compared to the ususal manual methods of measurement, automated measurements are more accurate, more reliable, and more consistent. Furthermore, once these data are stored in the computer memory, they can be retrieved more quickly and can be presented to the personnel to indicate trends in the various measurements, enabling the staff to assess the patient's condition more accurately.

The various applications of computer systems have the potential of providing a better understanding of the patient's clinical problems and a better outcome. The most successful aspect of the use of computer technology in critical care has been its demonstrated capability of assimilating and processing enormous amounts of physiologic data in a short period of time. This may enable the intensive care staff to relate the present pattern of patient response to past experiences in a quantitative manner. By using new types of data correlation it may contribute to enhancement of diagnostic ability and recognition of clinical patterns and their therapeutic implications.

There are, however, still many problems regarding the application of a useful and efficient monitoring system in the intensive care unit environment. The most significant ones do not involve available technology. The establishment of prescribed medical procedures that can be programmed and turned over to an automated approach is the greatest challenge of all. Successful application of computer technology to the monitoring of critically ill patients requires definitive, quantitative descriptions of signal characteristics and specific conditions that must be detected. It is the explicit nature of programming the computer that imposes such demanding specifications. The development of computer programs with sufficient sophistication to recog-