

COMMERCIAL
ARBITRATION:
CASES AND PROBLEMS

Christopher R. Drahozal



LexisNexis

COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION

CASES AND PROBLEMS

Christopher R. Drahoszal

*Professor of Law
University of Kansas School of Law*



LexisNexis™

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis, the knowledge burst logo, and Michie are trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc, used under license. Matthew Bender is a registered trademark of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2002 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.
All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material exceeding fair use, 17 U.S.C. § 107, may be licensed for a fee of 10¢ per page per copy from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Drahozal, Christopher R.

Commercial arbitration: cases and problems/Christopher R. Drahozal

p. cm.

Includes index.

ISBN 0-8205-4390-X

1. Arbitration and award—United States—Cases. I. Title.

KF9085.A7 D73 2002

347.73'9—dc21

2002016063

Editorial Offices

744 Broad Street, Newark, NJ 07102 (973) 820-2000

201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200

701 East Water Street, Charlottesville, VA 22902-7587 (804) 972-7600

www.lexis.com

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful to the following copyright holders for granting permission to reprint excerpts contained in this book:

AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION, DRAFTING DISPUTE RESOLUTION CLAUSES — A PRACTICAL GUIDE. Copyright © 2000 by the American Arbitration Association. Reprinted with permission.

BRUCE L. BENSON, THE ENTERPRISE OF LAW: JUSTICE WITHOUT THE STATE 221-22 (1990). Copyright © 1990 by the Pacific Research Institute for Public Policy. Reprinted with permission.

Lisa Bernstein, *Opting Out of the Legal System: Extralegal Contractual Relations in the Diamond Industry*, 21 J. LEGAL STUD. 115, 124-29 (1992). Copyright © 1992 by the University of Chicago. Reprinted with permission.

Mei L. Bickner et al., *Developments in Employment Arbitration*, DISP. RESOL. J., Jan. 1997, at 8, 15, 78-82. Copyright © 1997 by the American Arbitration Association. Reprinted with permission.

Stephen R. Bond, *How to Draft an Arbitration Clause (Revisited)*, ICC INTERNATIONAL COURT OF ARBITRATION BULLETIN (vol. 1/no. 2), Dec. 1990, at 14, 16-21. Copyright © 1990 by the ICC International Court of Arbitration. Reprinted with permission.

Christopher R. Bruce, *The Adjudication of Labor Disputes as a Private Good*, 8 INT'L REV. L. & ECON. 3, 7-10 (1988). Copyright © 1988 by Elsevier Science. Reprinted with permission.

Thomas E. Carboneau & Francois Janson, *Cartesian Logic and Frontier Politics: French and American Concepts of Arbitrability*, 2 TUL. J. INT'L & COMP. L. 193, 210-22 (1994). Copyright © 1994 by the Tulane Journal of International and Comparative Law. Reprinted with permission.

Thomas A. Diamond, *Choice of Law Clauses and Their Preemptive Effect Upon the Federal Arbitration Act: Reconciling the Supreme Court with Itself*, 39 ARIZ. L. REV. 35, 44-45 (1997). Copyright © 1997 by the Arizona Board of Regents. Reprinted with permission.

Christopher R. Drahozal, “*Unfair*” Arbitration Clauses, 2001 U. ILL. L. REV. 695. Copyright © 2001 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois. Reprinted with permission.

Esso Australia Resources Ltd. v. Plowman, 183 C.L.R. 10 (Austl. 1995). Copyright © 1995 by the High Court of Australia. Reprinted with permission.

Paul D. Friedland, *Combining Civil Law and Common Law Elements in the Presentation of Evidence in International Commercial Arbitration*, MEALEYS INTL ARB. REP., Sept. 1997. Copyright © 1997 by Paul D. Friedland. Reprinted with permission.

Stephen L. Hayford, *Law in Disarray: Judicial Standards for Vacatur of Commercial Arbitration Awards*, 30 GA. L. REV. 731, 751-56 (1996). Copyright © 1996 by the Georgia Law Review Association, Inc. Reprinted with permission.

William M. Landes & Richard A. Posner, *Adjudication as a Private Good*, 8 J. LEGAL STUD. 235, 235-40 (1979). Copyright © 1979 by The University of Chicago. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

DAVID B. LIPSKY & RONALD L. SEEGER, THE APPROPRIATE RESOLUTION OF CORPORATE DISPUTES: A REPORT ON THE GROWING USE OF ADR BY U.S. CORPORATIONS 17, 26 (1998). Copyright © 1998 by Cornell University. Reprinted with permission.

William W. Park, *Determining Arbitral Jurisdiction: Allocation of Tasks Between Courts and Arbitrators*, 8 AM. REV. INT'L ARB. 133, 140-43 (1997). Copyright © 1997 by the Parker School of Foreign & Comparative Law. Reprinted with permission

William W. Park, *Private Adjudicators and the Public Interest: The Expanding Scope of International Arbitration*, 12 BROOK. J. INT'L L. 629, 635-40 (1986). Copyright © 1986 by William W. Park. Reprinted with permission.

Henry H. Perritt, Jr., *Dispute Resolution in Cyberspace: Demand for New Forms of ADR*, 15 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 675, 677-83 (2000). Copyright © 2000 by the Ohio State Journal on Dispute Resolution. Reprinted with permission.

Alan Scott Rau, *The New York Convention in American Courts*, 7 AM. REV. INT'L ARB. 213, 251-56 (1996). Copyright © 1996 by the Parker School of Foreign & Comparative Law. Reprinted with permission.

David S. Schwartz, *Enforcing Small Print to Protect Big Business: Employee and Consumer Rights Claims in an Age of Compelled Arbitration*, 1997 WIS. L. REV. 33, 60-61. Copyright © 1997 by the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System. Reprinted with permission.

Jean R. Sternlight, *Gateway Widens Doorway to Imposing Unfair Binding Arbitration on Consumers*, FLA. BAR J., Nov. 1997, at 8, 8-12. Copyright © 1997 by the Florida Bar Journal . Reprinted with permission. All rights reserved.

Jean R. Sternlight, *Panacea or Corporate Tool?: Debunking the Supreme Court's Preference for Binding Arbitration*, 74 WASH. U. L.Q. 637, 686-93

(1996). Copyright © 1996 by Washington University. Reprinted with permission.

GORDON TULLOCK, TRIALS ON TRIAL: THE PURE THEORY OF LEGAL PROCEDURE 127-129 (1980). Copyright © 1980 by the Columbia University Press. Reprinted with permission.

Katherine Van Wezel Stone, *Rustic Justice: Community and Coercion Under the Federal Arbitration Act*, 77 N. CAR. L. REV. 931, 969-91 (1999). Copyright © 1999 by Katherine Van Wezel Stone. Reprinted with permission.

Stephen J. Ware, *Arbitration and Unconscionability After Doctor's Associates, Inc. v. Casarotto*, 31 WAKE FOREST L. REV. 1001, 1021-22 (1996). Copyright © 1996 by Wake Forest Law Review Association, Inc. Reprinted with permission.

Stephen J. Ware, *Consumer Arbitration as Exceptional Consumer Law (With a Contractualist Reply to Carrington & Haagen)*, 29 McGEORGE L. REV. 195, 211-13 (1998). Copyright © 1998 by McGeorge School of Law, University of the Pacific. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Stephen J. Ware, *Default Rules from Mandatory Rules: Privatizing Law Through Arbitration*, 83 MINN. L. REV. 703, 719-22 (1999). Copyright © 1999 by the Minnesota Law Review Foundation. Reprinted with permission.

PREFACE

This book provides an overview of the law and practice of commercial arbitration. By agreeing to arbitrate, parties “opt out” of the public court system in favor of having a private judge (or judges) resolve their dispute. Courts can continue to play a role — by enforcing arbitration agreements and awards, as well as by providing assistance to the arbitrators during the course of the proceeding. But in most cases, arbitration provides an alternative to judicial dispute resolution that operates without any court involvement.

Commercial arbitration is growing rapidly as a form of alternative dispute resolution. The use of arbitration to resolve international commercial disputes is commonplace and will continue to grow with the increasing “globalization” of national economies. In the last several decades, however, a series of decisions by the United States Supreme Court — expanding the arbitrability of federal statutory claims and restricting the power of states to regulate commercial arbitration—have led to the increasing use of arbitration to resolve consumer and employment disputes. The policy issues raised by this “consumerization” of arbitration recur in various contexts throughout the book.

The book addresses four main topics: enforcing agreements to arbitrate (Chapters 1-5); the arbitration proceeding (Chapter 6); enforcing arbitration awards (Chapter 7); and drafting arbitration clauses (Chapter 8). Each chapter contains a number of problems that require careful analysis of the relevant statutes, cases, and rules. The problems provide a focal point for class discussion, as well as setting the legal and policy issues in a practical context. Some of the problems (or at least some of the sub-parts of the problems) are quite easy. That is intentional. I have tried to design the problems so that they begin with the easy cases and progress to the more difficult ones, rather than presenting only the difficult (albeit often more interesting) cases.

The materials in the book consist largely of court cases, with some secondary materials. I have included the leading United States Supreme Court cases dealing with commercial arbitration, as well as other cases that contain either useful discussions of the issues or particularly interesting facts. Omissions from the text of cases and articles are indicated by ellipses. I have deleted citations and footnotes without so indicating, and have used short citation forms when the case appears elsewhere in the text. The governing treaties and statutes are reprinted in the accompanying documentary supplement, as are a number of sets of arbitration rules and related materials.

The book also includes a handful of arbitration awards. Unlike judicial opinions, arbitration awards generally are not published. Indeed, one of the important attributes of commercial arbitration is its greater degree of

confidentiality, which precludes routine publication of awards. I have tried to choose court cases and secondary readings that describe arbitration proceedings and typical practices in arbitration, in an attempt to deal with the limited availability of arbitration awards. I also include or refer to empirical studies on commercial arbitration (particularly with respect to the terms of arbitration clauses) to add further insight into actual arbitration practice.

I am grateful to a number of people for assistance and support. My thanks to Amy Schmitz for the feedback she provided while using earlier versions of these materials in class, and to Steve Ware for his helpful comments on the text. Thanks also to my commercial arbitration classes at the University of Kansas and the London Law Consortium, for their questions and comments both in and out of class. I appreciate the research assistance of John Richer and especially Jeff Stowell, and financial support from the University of Kansas School of Law and the University of Kansas General Research Fund.

I hope you find this material as interesting and challenging as I do.

Christopher R. Drahozal

May 2001
Lawrence, Kansas

SUMMARY TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<i>Table of Contents</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xxiii</i>
<i>Preface</i>	<i>xxvii</i>
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION TO COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION	1
CHAPTER 2. ENFORCING DOMESTIC AGREEMENTS TO ARBITRATE	51
CHAPTER 3. ARBITRABILITY OF FEDERAL STATUTORY CLAIMS	141
CHAPTER 4. THE FEDERAL ARBITRATION ACT AND STATE LAW	205
CHAPTER 5. ENFORCING INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS TO ARBITRATE	279
CHAPTER 6. THE ARBITRATION PROCEEDING	339
CHAPTER 7. ENFORCING ARBITRAL AWARDS	471
CHAPTER 8. DRAFTING ARBITRATION CLAUSES	593

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xxiii</i>
<i>Preface</i>	<i>xxvii</i>
 CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION TO COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION 1	
§ 1.01 Overview	1
§ 1.02 A Theory of Private Dispute Resolution	1
William M. Landes & Richard A. Posner, <i>Adjudication as a Private Good</i> , 8 J. LEGAL STUD. 235, 235-40 (1979)	1
GORDON TULLOCK, TRIALS ON TRIAL: THE PURE THEORY OF LEGAL PROCEDURE 127-129 (1980)	5
Notes	6
Christopher J. Bruce, <i>The Adjudication of Labor Disputes as a Private Good</i> , 8 INT'L REV. L. & ECON. 3, 7-10 (1988)	8
BRUCE L. BENSON, THE ENTERPRISE OF LAW: JUSTICE WITHOUT THE STATE 221-22 (1990)	9
Problem 1.1	10
Cremin v. Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner & Smith, Inc., 957 F. Supp. 1460 (N.D. Ill. 1997)	11
Notes	12
Problem 1.2	12
§ 1.03 What is Arbitration?	13
AMF Inc. v. Brunswick Corp., 621 F. Supp. 456 (E.D.N.Y. 1985)	13
Notes	16
Cheng-Canindin v. Renaissance Hotel Associates, 57 Cal. Rptr. 2d 867 (Cal. App. 1996)	17
Note	23
Kabia v. Koch, 713 N.Y.S.2d 250 (N.Y. Civ. Ct. 2000)	23
Note	26
Problem 1.3	26
§ 1.04 Why Arbitrate?	27

	Page
DAVID B. LIPSKY & RONALD L. SEEBER, THE APPROPRIATE RESOLUTION OF CORPORATE DISPUTES: A REPORT ON THE GROWING USE OF ADR BY U.S. CORPORATIONS 17, 26 (1998)	27
Notes	28
C & L Enterprises, Inc. v. Citizen Band Potawatomi Indian Tribe, 121 S. Ct. 1589 (2001) 29	
Notes	32
David S. Schwartz, <i>Enforcing Small Print to Protect Big Business: Employee and Consumer Rights Claims in an Age of Compelled Arbitration</i> , 1997 WIS. L. REV. 33	34
Notes	35
Problem 1.4	36
§ 1.05 A Brief History of Commercial Arbitration	37
Katherine Van Wezel Stone, <i>Rustic Justice: Community and Coercion Under the Federal Arbitration Act</i> , 77 N. CAR. L. REV. 931 (1999)	37
Notes	42
Jean R. Sternlight, <i>Panacea or Corporate Tool?: Debunking the Supreme Court's Preference for Binding Arbitration</i> , 74 WASH. U. L.Q. 637, 686-93 (1996)	45
Stephen J. Ware, <i>Consumer Arbitration As Exceptional Consumer Law (With a Contractualist Reply to Carrington & Haagen)</i> , 29 MCGEORGE L. REV. 195, 211-13 (1998)	47
Notes	49
Problem 1.5	49
CHAPTER 2. ENFORCING DOMESTIC AGREEMENTS TO ARBITRATE	51
§ 2.01 Overview	51
§ 2.02 Who Decides Arbitrability	52
<i>Prima Paint Corp. v. Flood & Conklin Mfg. Co.</i> , 388 U.S. 395 (1967)	52
Notes	55
Problem 2.1	57
<i>First Options of Chicago, Inc. v. Kaplan</i> , 514 U.S. 938 (1995)	58
Notes	61
<i>PaineWebber Inc. v. Elahi</i> , 87 F.3d 539 (1st Cir. 1996)	62

	Page
Notes	68
Problem 2.2	68
Problem 2.3	69
§ 2.03 Default in Proceeding with Arbitration	69
<i>Steele v. Lundgren, 935 P.2d 671 (Wash. App. 1997)</i>	69
Notes	75
Problem 2.4	78
Problem 2.5	78
§ 2.04 The Scope of the Arbitration Agreement	79
<i>Simula, Inc. v. Autoliv, Inc., 175 F.3d 716 (9th Cir. 1999)</i>	79
Notes	84
Problem 2.6	85
§ 2.05 General Contract Law Defenses	86
[A] Assent & Formalities	86
<i>Stiles v. Homes Cable Concepts, Inc., 994 F. Supp. 1410 (M.D. Ala. 1998)</i>	86
Notes	90
Problem 2.7	91
Problem 2.8	91
<i>Badie v. Bank of America, 79 Cal. Rptr. 2d 273 (Cal. App. 1998)</i>	92
Note	97
Problem 2.9	97
<i>Hill v. Gateway 2000, Inc., 105 F.3d 1147 (7th Cir. 1997)</i>	97
Notes	101
Problem 2.10	103
[B] Lack of Consideration	103
<i>Gibson v. Neighborhood Health Clinics, Inc., 122 F.3d 1126 (7th Cir. 1997)</i>	103
Problem 2.11	106
[C] Unconscionability, Reasonable Expectations, and Contracts of Adhesion	107
<i>Broemmer v. Abortion Services of Phoenix, Ltd., 840 P.2d 1013 (Ariz. 1992) (En Banc)</i>	107
Notes	112
Problem 2.12	114
[D] Fraud	115

	Page
Engalla v. Permanente Medical Group, Inc., 938 P.2d 903 (Cal. 1997)	115
Notes	118
Problem 2.13	119
[E] Material Breach	120
Hooters of America, Inc. v. Phillips, 173 F.3d 933 (4 th Cir. 1999)	120
Notes	124
Problem 2.14	124
Problem 2.15	125
 § 2.06 Binding Non-Signatories to Agreements to Arbitrate	 125
Thomson-CSF, S.A. v. American Arbitration Association, 64 F.3d 773 (2d Cir. 1995)	126
Grigson v. Creative Artists Agency, L.L.C., 210 F.3d 524 (5th Cir. 2000)	131
Note	134
Problem 2.16	135
 § 2.07 Procedural Issues in Enforcing Domestic Arbitration Agreements — Part 1	 135
Green Tree Financial Corp.-Alabama v. Randolph, 531 U.S. 79 (2000)	137
Note	139
Problem 2.17	140
 CHAPTER 3. ARBITRABILITY OF FEDERAL STATUTORY CLAIMS	 141
 § 3.01 Overview	 141
§ 3.02 The Rise and Decline of the Nonarbitrability Doctrine	141

	Page
Wilko v. Swan, 346 U.S. 427 (1953)	141
Notes	144
William W. Park, <i>Private Adjudicators and the Public Interest: The Expanding Scope of International Arbitration</i> , 12 J. BROOK. J. INT'L L. 629, 635-40 (1986)	147
Note	149
Problem 3.1	149
Mitsubishi Motors Corp. v. Soler Chrysler-Plymouth, Inc., 473 U.S. 614 (1985)	151
Notes	157
Problem 3.2	158
Shearson/American Express, Inc. v. McMahon, 482 U.S. 220 (1987)	158
Notes	163
Problem 3.3	165
Problem 3.4	166
§ 3.03 Current Issues in the Arbitrability of Federal Statutory Claims	166
[A] Civil Rights	166
Gilmer v. Interstate/Johnson Lane Corp., 500 U.S. 20 (1991)	166
Duffield v. Robertson Stephens & Co., 144 F.3d 1182 (9 th Cir. 1998)	172
Notes	178
Problem 3.5	179
[B] Bankruptcy	180
In re United States Lines, Inc., 197 F.3d 631 (2d Cir. 1999)	180
Problem 3.6	184
[C] Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act	184
In re American Homestar of Lancaster, Inc., 2001 Tex. LEXIS (June 7, 2001) 184	
Notes	190
Problem 3.7	191
§ 3.04 Arbitrability and Statutory Rights And Remedies	191

	Page
Green Tree Financial Corp.-Alabama v. Randolph, 531 U.S. 79 192	195
Notes	195
Graham Oil Co. v. ARCO Products Co., 43 F.3d 1244 (9th Cir. 1995)	197
Notes	202
Problem 3.8	203
Problem 3.9	204
CHAPTER 4. THE FEDERAL ARBITRATION ACT AND STATE LAW	205
§ 4.01 Overview	205
§ 4.02 Preemption and the FAA	205
Southland Corp. v. Keating, 465 U.S. 1 (1984)	205
Notes	213
Problem 4.1	214
Problem 4.2	214
Allied-Bruce Terminix Cos. v. Dobson, 513 U.S. 265 (1995)	215
Notes	223
Problem 4.3	225
Problem 4.4	225
§ 4.03 Scope of the FAA	225
Sisters of the Visitation v. Cochran Plastering Co., 775 So. 2d 759 (Ala. 2000)	226
Notes	231
Problem 4.5	232
Circuit City Stores, Inc. v. Adams, 121 S. Ct. 1302 (2001) 233	239
Note	239
Problem 4.6	240
Problem 4.7	240
§ 4.04 Applications	241

	Page
Little v. Allstate Ins. Co., 705 A.2d 538 (Vt. 1997)	241
Note	243
Keystone, Inc. v. Triad Systems Corp., 971 P.2d 1240 (Mont. 1998)	243
Notes	246
Problem 4.8	247
Problem 4.9	248
Problem 4.10	249
Rosenthal v. Great Western Financial Sec. Corp., 926 P.2d 1061 (Cal. 1996)	249
Notes	253
Problem 4.11	253
§ 4.05 Choice of Law Issues	254
Volt Information Sciences, Inc. v. Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University, 489 U.S. 468 (1989)	254
Notes	258
Mastrobuono v. Shearson Lehman Hutton, Inc., 514 U.S. 52 (1995)	259
Note	263
Problem 4.12	263
Problem 4.13	264
Problem 4.14	264
§ 4.06 Procedural Issues in Enforcing Domestic Arbitration Agreements — Part 2	265
Drexel Burnham Lambert, Inc. v. Valenzuela Bock, 696 F. Supp. 957 (S.D.N.Y. 1988)	265
Notes	269
Problem 4.15	270
M.C. Construction Corp. v. Gray Co., 17 F. Supp. 2d 541 (W.D. Va. 1998)	271
Notes	273
Specialty Bakeries, Inc. v. Robhal, Inc., 961 F. Supp. 822 (E.D. Pa. 1997)	273
Notes	276
Problem 4.16	277
CHAPTER 5. ENFORCING INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS TO ARBITRATE	279
§ 5.01 Overview	279

	Page
§ 5.02 Scope of the New York Convention	280
[A] “Agreement in Writing”	280
Kahn Lucas Lancaster, Inc. v. Lark International Ltd., 186 F.3d 210 (2d Cir. 1999)	280
Notes	285
Problem 5.1	287
[B] “International”	287
Jones v. Sea Tow Services Freeport NY Inc., 30 F.3d 360 (2d Cir. 1994)	287
Notes	291
Problem 5.2	293
[C] “Commercial”	293
Sumitomo Corp. v. Parakopi Compania Maritima, S.A., 477 F. Supp. 737 (S.D.N.Y. 1979)	294
Notes	296
Problem 5.3	297
[D] Reciprocity	297
E.A.S.T., Inc. v. M/V ALAIA, 876 F.2d 1168 (5 th Cir. 1989)	297
Notes	300
Problem 5.4	301
§ 5.03 Defenses to Actions to Enforce International Arbitration Agreements	301
[A] Who Decides Arbitrability	301
William W. Park, <i>Determining Arbitral Jurisdiction: Allocation of Tasks Between Courts and Arbitrators</i> , 8 AM. REV. INT'L ARB. 133 (1997)	301
Apollo Computer, Inc. v. Berg, 886 F.2d 469 (1 st Cir. 1989)	304
Note	306
Problem 5.5	307
[B] Assent	307
Filanto, S.p.A. v. Chilewich International Corp., 789 F. Supp. 1229 (S.D.N.Y. 1992)	308
Notes	311
Problem 5.6	313
[C] “Null and Void” Arbitration Agreement	313