

Consumer Financial Dispute Resolution in a Comparative Context

Principles, Systems and Practice

SHAHLA F. ALI



CAMBRIDGE

CONSUMER FINANCIAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION IN A COMPARATIVE CONTEXT

Principles, Systems and Practice

SHAHLA F. ALI



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town,
Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107028715

© Shahla F. Ali 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2013

Printed and bound in the United Kingdom by the MPG Books Group

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Ali, Shahla F., author.

Consumer financial dispute resolution in a comparative context :
principles, systems and practice / Shahla F. Ali.
p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-02871-5 (hardback)

1. Arbitration and award. 2. Financial services industry—Law and legislation.
3. Dispute resolution (Law) 4. Consumer protection—Law and legislation.
5. Arbitration and award. 6. Ombudspersons. I. Title.

K2405.B35A45 2013

346.07—dc23

2012040694

ISBN 978-1-107-02871-5 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or
accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in
this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is,
or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

The capacity of any institution to effect and manage change, and to respond creatively to challenges that lie before it, entails the development of a number of critical skills. These include the ability to ... properly assess the resources of the community ... [and] to uphold standards of fairness and equity.

(BIC, May, 2001 Integrity in Public Institutions)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research for this book has been made possible by funds from the Government of Hong Kong's Research Grants Council, Public Policy Research Grant (7001-PPR-10). Without the valuable input and views from practitioners, ombudsmen, mediators and arbitrators from the Asia Pacific, Europe and America, the practical insights in this book would not be possible.

Many people have helped with this book. Finola O'Sullivan, editor at Cambridge University Press, made valuable suggestions at the initial stages of the manuscript and saw it through to its final completion. Anonymous reviewers provided helpful input at the early stages of the project. Special thanks to an outstanding team of research assistants, including Antonio Da Roza, Alison Choy, Silvia Hui, Perry J. H. Sea and Cynthianna Yau. Juliet Binns and Abigail Fiddes provided expert publishing assistance and Kim Hughes and Richard Woodham produced the final manuscript with thoughtful and attentive care.

Many colleagues have contributed valuable insights that have improved the book. At the University of Hong Kong Department of Law, I am grateful for the kind support of colleagues in the dispute resolution field, including Fu Hualing, Katherine Lynch, Alex Mak, Tony Carty, Gu Weixia, Richard Holt, Anna Koo, James Fry and Zhao Yun. I am also thankful to our Dean Johannes Chan and Department Head Douglas Arner for providing a supportive research environment and our Asian Institute for International and Financial Law (AIIFL) and our Center for Comparative and Public Law (CCPL) for providing valuable institutional support. I am also grateful to participants who shared valuable feedback at the following conferences: the 2nd East Asian Law and Society Conference 2011 (Seoul, Korea), the CCL/HKU Conference From Economic Development to Human Flourishing: The Case of China (Hong Kong SAR), the 3rd NUS-AsianSIL Young Scholars Workshop (Singapore), the Law and Society Association Annual Conference (San Francisco, CA), the Asia Pacific Mediation Forum (Bangkok, Thailand) and the Soochow

International Law Conference (Taipei). I am also grateful for a fruitful exchange with Professor Robin Hui Huang and Professor Yang Dong and his graduate students from Renmin University, Michael Hwang and with Professors Russell Korobkin and Daniel Bussel at UCLA's School of Law. The Hong Kong chapter also benefited from insights from the Hong Kong Government's RGC grant, 'Enhancing Hong Kong's Future as a Leading International Financial Centre' (T31-717/12-R).

A special note of thanks to my family. I am grateful to my husband Victor Ali for suggesting I explore this topic in 2008, and for his always helpful insights and constant support. Thanks also to my daughter Martha for her always bright spirit and efficient help tearing up old drafts. Though an ocean separates me from my immediate family, I feel the support of my mom, Charleen and her husband Steve; my mother and father-in-law, Claudine and Nabil; my brothers and sisters-in-law; and especially my dad and grandmother for their love and support from the realm beyond.

All that is positive in this book reflects the generosity and support of colleagues, research assistants, survey participants, friends and associates. All errors and omissions are, of course, my own.

CONTENTS

<i>List of figures</i>	page xi
<i>List of tables</i>	xii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xiii

Introduction	1
A financial crisis with global proportions	1
Viewing consumer financial dispute resolution in a theoretical context	3
Overview of methodology	5
Structure of the book	8

PART I Principles 11

1 Principles of consumer financial dispute resolution in a global context	13
Introduction	13
Theoretical perspectives on the impact of globalisation on international legal practice	14
Principles of consumer financial dispute resolution	16
Summary	31

PART II Ombuds systems 33

2 Financial dispute resolution in the United Kingdom	35
Introduction	35
Financial alternative dispute resolution programmes	40
Procedures of the Financial Ombudsman Service	47
Statistics	50
Service providers	51
Oversight	51
Strengths	52
Challenges	55

Lessons learned	62
Conclusion: the UK Ombuds Model and its contribution to consumer confidence	62
3 Financial dispute resolution in Australia	64
Introduction	64
Alternative dispute resolution in Australia	65
Financial alternative dispute resolution programmes	71
The Credit Ombudsman Service Limited	72
Underlying legal mandate	72
Types of dispute	75
Strengths	87
Challenges	88
Lessons learned	89
Contribution to consumer confidence and domestic financial performance	90
4 Financial dispute resolution in Japan	92
Introduction	92
Background	92
Japan's new financial ombuds system	93
FINMAC	96
Types of dispute	98
Consumer financial dispute resolution in Japanese courts	99
Lessons learned	101
Contribution to consumer confidence	102
Part II overview: survey findings regarding the ombuds process	103
Survey design	103
Sample pool and distribution	103
Benefits of using an ombuds process for consumer financial dispute resolution	105
Areas for improvement	106
Suggestions for further development	107
Conclusion	108
PART III Arbitration systems	109
5 Financial dispute resolution in the United States	111
Introduction	111

The American Arbitration Association	111
Challenges and shortcomings of mandatory consumer credit card arbitration	113
Financial dispute resolution through FINRA	114
Self-regulatory organisations: FINRA	115
FINRA mandate and function	120
Underlying legal mandate	122
Types of dispute	122
Oversight	126
Strengths	126
Challenges	127
Contribution to consumer confidence	132
Contribution to domestic financial performance	135
6 Financial dispute resolution in Singapore	137
Background	137
Financial alternative dispute resolution programmes	139
Structure	140
Underlying legal mandate	140
Dispute resolution	142
Strengths	148
Challenges	149
Lessons learned	149
7 Financial dispute resolution in Hong Kong	152
Background	152
Strengths	162
Challenges	163
Lessons learned	166
Contribution to domestic financial performance and consumer confidence	168
Part III overview: survey findings regarding the arbitration process	169
Benefits of using an arbitration process for consumer financial disputes	170
Challenges in implementing the use of arbitration in consumer financial disputes	172
Suggestions for improving overall efficacy of the arbitration process in consumer financial disputes	174
Conclusion	175

8	Emerging systems: financial dispute resolution in China	176
	Background	176
	Underlying legal mandate	177
	Financial alternative dispute resolution programmes	177
	Strengths	183
	Challenges	184
	PART IV Practice	187
9	Synthesising lessons learned and policy recommendations	189
	Background	189
	Jurisdiction	191
	Assessment and recommendations	196
	Dispute type	196
	Assessment and recommendations	203
	Procedural models	203
	Assessment and recommendations	207
	Second stage: mediation/conciliation	208
	Assessment and recommendations	211
	Third step: a fork in the road – ombuds or arbitration process	211
	Assessment and recommendations	220
	Awards	221
	Assessment and recommendations	221
	Costs	224
	Purposes of charging	224
	Assessment and recommendations	225
	Assessing consumer financial dispute resolution in a regulatory setting	225
	Systemic issues and parallel jurisdiction	231
	Regulator intervention and parallel jurisdiction	232
	Distinguishing between regulatory and non-regulatory financial dispute resolution schemes in other jurisdictions	235
	Assessing the appropriateness of dispute resolution methods for financial regulation	237
	Regulatory structure informing the future shape of financial dispute resolution	239
	Conclusion: the way forward	241
	<i>Bibliography</i>	244
	<i>Index</i>	261

FIGURES

4.1 Survey participants	<i>page</i> 104
4.2 Perception of increase/decrease in the use of ombuds processes	105
4.3 Settlement rate – ombuds	106
4.4 Perception of satisfaction with the overall process – ombuds	107
7.1 Survey participants	170
7.2 Increase or decrease in the use of arbitration for consumer financial disputes	171
7.3 Settlement rate – arbitration	172
7.4 Perception of satisfaction with arbitration in resolving consumer financial disputes	173

T A B L E S

9.1 Eligible complainants for consumer financial dispute resolution services	<i>page</i> 194
9.2 Dispute types across ombuds and arbitration services	200
9.3 Comparative features of the preliminary stage	204
9.4 Comparative features of the mediation/conciliation stage	209
9.5 Third stage: ombuds or arbitration procedure	212
9.6 Comparison of award type	222
9.7 Costs for consumer financial dispute resolution services	226



Introduction

The present financial crisis has had significant repercussions throughout the global economy. It has provided an impetus for examining effective avenues for the resolution of financial disputes. As yet, however, there is little consensus worldwide as to how the effects of such crises can best be addressed through effective systems of financial dispute resolution.

This book presents an examination of how governments and self-regulatory organisations in major global financial centres have increasingly employed alternative dispute resolution mechanisms including ombuds models, arbitration, direct settlement negotiation and mediation to address consumer complaints against retail banks and financial institutions as a form of 'responsive banking'. The results of a comparative cross-jurisdictional analysis of consumer financial dispute resolution centres in seven jurisdictions shed light on the underlying structural design, policy orientation, complaint procedures, financing and oversight of financial dispute resolution centres as established in diverse regions. The findings indicate that such centres in general offer a flexible and relatively fast way to resolve financial disputes, but are not without their challenges. Such challenges include the potential for mismatch between regulatory consistency and individualised case handling.¹ Determining how best to overcome such challenges while addressing a growing number of finance-related disputes are pressing questions facing governments, legislatures and aggrieved citizens.

A financial crisis with global proportions

Beginning in early 2007, the indicators of what would soon become the most severe financial crisis since the Great Depression in the 1930s became increasingly evident. In the summer of 2007, investment banks such as Bear Stearns and BNP Paribas warned investors that they would

¹ See Arner, Hsu and Da Roza (2010) 'Financial regulation in Hong Kong: Time for a Change', *As. J.C.L.*, 5, pp. 71–114.

be unable to retrieve money invested in sub-prime mortgages hedge funds. Later in September, there was a bank run on Northern Rock – the biggest run on a British bank for more than a century. By 2008, Northern Rock was nationalised. Banks such as the Union Bank of Switzerland ('UBS'), Merrill Lynch and Citigroup also started announcing losses due to heavy investments in sub-prime mortgages. In response to the growing crisis, central banks in Europe, Canada, the United Kingdom, the United States and Japan intervened to boost liquidity in the financial markets by reducing interest rates and increasing monetary supply.²

To prevent a collapse of the US housing market, financial authorities in the United States stepped in with one of the largest bailouts in history of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. On 15 September 2008, Lehman Brothers filed for bankruptcy. Ripple effects were immediately felt throughout the world. Countries successively announced details of rescue packages for individual banks as well as the banking system as a whole and emergency interest rates were further cut. The United States initiated a \$700 billion Troubled Asset Relief Program to rescue the financial sector and the Federal Reserve also injected a further \$800 billion into the economy to stabilise the system and encourage lending. It also extended insurance to money market accounts via a temporary guarantee.³ By early 2009, the United Kingdom, the European Union and the United States had officially slipped into recession.

Governments across the world implemented economic stimulus packages and promised to guarantee loans. The International Monetary Fund ('IMF') estimated that banks in total lost \$2.8 trillion from toxic assets and bad loans between 2007 and 2010.⁴ There was also a severe decline in assets as stock indices worldwide fell along with housing prices in the United States and the United Kingdom.⁵

The global reach of the financial crisis calls for renewed investigation of how governments and self-regulatory organisations in major financial centres can effectively employ dispute resolution mechanisms to address citizen complaints arising from financial dislocation. Such an examination is

² BBC News (7 August 2009) 'Credit crunch to downturn', available at: <http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/business/7521250.stm> [accessed 29 December 2010].

³ D. Gullapalli, and S. Anand (20 September 2008) 'Bailout of money funds seems to stanch outflow', *The Wall Street Journal*, available at: <http://online.wsj.com/article/SB122186683086958875.html?mod=article-outset-box> [accessed 29 December 2010].

⁴ D. Cutler, S. Slater and E. Comlay (5 November 2009) 'US, European Bank writedowns, credit losses', *Reuters*, available at: www.reuters.com/article/idCNL554155620091105?rpc=44 [accessed 29 December 2010].

⁵ BBC News, 'Credit crunch to downturn'.

important not only to help us understand the dynamics of resolving complex consumer disputes in times of financial crisis, but also to prepare us to apply lessons learned to the design of more robust, fair and efficient centres for the prevention and resolution of future financial disputes.

Viewing consumer financial dispute resolution in a theoretical context

The question of how systems of consumer financial dispute resolution can be designed in diverse contexts to effectively and fairly administer the resolution of financial disputes, how such centres can draw on emerging global principles of accessibility, efficiency, impartiality and fairness and how such centres might consequently contribute to the health of the broader economic environment touch on three primary bodies of scholarship: work in the law and development field; studies in dispute system design; and work examining the impact of globalisation on international legal practice.

Law and development literature has long puzzled over the relationship between systems of dispute resolution and economic growth. Much of this literature has focused on formal systems of dispute resolution including litigation and arbitration and economic development.⁶ Informal structures have traditionally been framed as outside the shadows of formal law,⁷ and somewhat antithetical to growth.⁸ Work focusing on East Asia has traditionally framed the debate in terms of whether economic growth has occurred in spite of, or because of, the later development of formal legal structures in the region.⁹ However, thus far, none of these studies

⁶ See for example: M. Weber (1968) *On Charisma And Institution Building*, S. N. Eisenstadt (ed.), (University of Chicago Press); D. M. Trubek (1972) 'Toward a social theory of law: an essay on the study of law & development', *Yale L. J.*, 82, p. 1; D. M. Trubek (1973) 'Max Weber on law and the rise of capitalism', *Wisconsin Law Review*, 3, p. 720; D. North (1990) *Institutions, Institutional Change And Economic Growth* (New York: Cambridge University Press).

⁷ See for example: L. Bernstein (2001) 'Private commercial law in the cotton industry: creating cooperation through rules, norms and institutions', *Michigan L. Rev.*, 99, p. 1724; R. Ellickson (1991) *Order Without Law: How Neighbors Settle Disputes* (Harvard University Press).

⁸ See for example: M. Weber, *On Charisma and Institution Building*; D. North, *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Growth*.

⁹ See for example: A. Rosette and L. Cheng (1991) 'Contract with a Chinese face: socially embedded factors in the transformation from hierarchy to market, 1978–1989', *J. Chin. L.*, 5, pp. 219–233; D. C. Clarke (2003) 'Economic development and the rights hypothesis: the China problem', *Am. J. Comp. L.*, 51, p. 89; F. Upham (2002) 'Mythmaking in the rule of law orthodoxy, Carnegie Endowment for international peace', Rule of Law Series, Democracy and Rule of Law Project, Number 30; T. Ginsburg (2000) 'Does law matter for economic development? Evidence from East Asia', *Law and Society Review*, 34(3).

have directly traced the impact of institutional forms of alternative dispute resolution on the health of the broader economy and consumer confidence. This book will contribute to this discussion by examining the contribution of institutional alternative dispute resolution, including mediation and ombuds fact-finding processes to financial stability and development.

This book also speaks to recent work regarding the design of effective and efficient systems of dispute resolution in resolving polycentric disputes. Recent work has offered insights into the design of institutional dispute resolution mechanisms for a variety of public and private settings,¹⁰ as well as complex multi-party disputes.¹¹ Thus far there has been limited

¹⁰ See for example: William L. Ury, Jeanne M. Brett and Stephen B. Goldberg (1988) *Getting Disputes Resolved: Designing Systems to Cut the Cost of Conflict* (Jossey-Bass) pp. 41–64; Janet Martinez and Stephanie Smith (2009) 'An analytic framework for dispute system design', *Harvard Negotiation Law Review*, 14, p. 123; Cathy A. Costantino and Christina Sickles Merchant (1996), *Designing Conflict Management Systems: A Guide to Creating Productive and Healthy Organizations* (Jossey-Bass); Lisa Blomgren Bingham, Cynthia J. Hallberlin, Denise A. Walker and Won-Tae Chung (2009) 'Dispute system design and justice in employment dispute resolution: mediation at the workplace', *Harvard Negotiation Law Review*, 14, pp. 1–50; Lawrence Susskind, Sarah McKernan and Jennifer Thomas-Larmer (1999) *The Consensus Building Handbook: A Comprehensive Guide To Reaching Agreement* (SAGE), pp. 61–168; Richard C. Reuben (2005) 'Democracy and dispute resolution: systems design and the new workplace', *Harvard Negotiation Law Review*, 10, p. 11; Jill Gross (2006) 'Securities mediation: dispute resolution for the individual investor', *Ohio State Journal on Dispute Resolution*, 21(2), pp. 329–381; John Lande (2002) 'Using dispute system design methods to promote good-faith participation in court-connected mediation programs', *UCLA Law Review*, 50, pp. 69–141; Sharon Press (1992–1993) 'Building and maintaining a statewide mediation program: a view from the field', *Kentucky Law Journal*, 81, pp. 1029–1065; Ellen E. Deason (2004) 'Procedural rules for complementary systems of litigation and mediation – worldwide', *Notre Dame Law Review*, 80, available at: http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=583141 [accessed 25 May 2012]; Andrea Kupfer Schneider (2008) 'The Intersection of Dispute Systems Design and Transitional Justice', *Harvard Negotiation Law Review*, available at: http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1296183 [accessed 25 May 2012]; Carrie J. Menkel-Meadow (2009) 'Are there systemic ethics issues in dispute system design? And what we should [not] do about it: Lessons from international and domestic fronts', *Harvard Negotiation Law Review*, 14, pp. 195–231; Kagan, Robert A. (2003) *Adversarial Legalism and American Government: The American Way of Life* (Harvard University Press); Malcom M. Feeley (1989) *Court Reform on Trial: Why Simple Solutions Fail* (Basic Books); D. Caron and L. Caplan (2010) *The 2010 UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules: A Commentary* (Oxford University Press); Katherine Lynch (2003) *The Forces of Economic Globalization: Challenges to the Regime of International Commercial Arbitration* The Hague, Netherlands: Kluwer Law International).

¹¹ See for example: S. Sturn and H. Gadlin (2007) 'Conflict resolution and systemic change', *J. Disp. Resol.*, 1, p. 1; S. A. Wiegand (1996) 'A just and lasting peace: supplanting mediation with the ombuds model', *Ohio St. J. on Disp. Resol.*, 12, p. 95.

work focused on the design of institutional alternative dispute resolution mechanisms in addressing consumer financial disputes. Systems design literature has also examined, from a socio-legal perspective, the larger socio-legal dispute processing debate investigating how mechanisms may be developed to limit the effect of the power/knowledge gap of 'repeat players' in institutional dispute resolution settings through appropriate regulations and policies. Previous studies in respect of litigation tend to suggest that 'haves' (i.e. large businesses, high socio-economic status groups) tend to fare better in courts than 'have nots'.¹² Therefore attention to procedural safeguards aimed at addressing structural inequities in the design and development of such systems is necessary if such disputes are to be effectively addressed.

At the global level, literature examining the impact of globalisation on domestic legal practices has relevance to the question of how domestic legislation effectively integrates relevant global standards and principles. This literature provides a helpful grounding in emerging questions of how global norms interact with national law-making processes,¹³ the interaction between processes of 'convergence' and 'informed divergence' in the development of public law,¹⁴ and the interplay between principles and systems in commercial dispute resolution design.¹⁵ Such insights are useful in understanding the extent to which emergent global principles may inform the design and structure of newly emerging consumer financial dispute resolution systems.

This book, drawing on comparative cross-jurisdictional analysis, will make practical proposals for reform which will aim to contribute to the development of systems of transparent and equitable dispute resolution capable of responding to financial dislocation.

Overview of methodology

This book will identify and analyse factors and processes that give rise to the development of accessible, efficient and equitable financial dispute

¹² See M. Galanter (1974) 'Why the "haves" come out ahead: speculations on the limits of legal change', *Law & Society Review*, 9(1), pp. 95–160.

¹³ T. Halliday and B. Carruthers (2007) 'The recursivity of law: global norm-making and national law-making in the globalization of corporate insolvency regimes', *American Journal of Sociology*, 112 p. 1135.

¹⁴ See A. M. Slaughter (2004) *A New World Order* (Princeton University Press).

¹⁵ See for example: J. Braithwaite and P. Drahos (2000) *Global Business Regulation* (Cambridge University Press).