ASPEN CASEBOOK SERIES

A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A



COMMUNITY PROPERTY

CHARLOTTE K. GOLDBERG

Professor of Law Loyola Law School, Los Angeles



Copyright © 2014 CCH Incorporated.

Published by Wolters Kluwer Law & Business in New York.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business serves customers worldwide with CCH, Aspen Publishers, and Kluwer Law International products. (www.wolterskluwerlb.com)

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or utilized by any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publisher. For information about permissions or to request permissions online, visit us at www.wolterskluwerlb.com, or a written request may be faxed to our permissions department at 212-771-0803.

To contact Customer Service, e-mail customer.service@wolterskluwer.com, call 1-800-234-1660, fax 1-800-901-9075, or mail correspondence to:

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business Attn: Order Department PO Box 990 Frederick, MD 21705

Printed in the United States of America.

1234567890

ISBN 978-0-7355-9919-2

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Goldberg, Charlotte K., 1946- author.

Community property / Charlotte Goldberg, Professor of Law, Loyola Law School. pages cm. — (Aspen casebook series)
Includes index.

ISBN 978-0-7355-9919-2

 Community property — United States. I. Title. KF526.G65 2014 346.7301'664 — dc23

2013031256



COMMUNITY PROPERTY

EDITORIAL ADVISORS

Vicki Been

Boxer Family Professor of Law New York University School of Law

Erwin Chemerinsky

Dean and Distinguished Professor of Law University of California, Irvine, School of Law

Richard A. Epstein

Laurence A. Tisch Professor of Law New York University School of Law Peter and Kirsten Bedford Senior Fellow The Hoover Institution Senior Lecturer in Law The University of Chicago

Ronald J. Gilson

Charles J. Meyers Professor of Law and Business Stanford University Marc and Eva Stern Professor of Law and Business Columbia Law School

James E. Krier

Earl Warren DeLano Professor of Law The University of Michigan Law School

Richard K. Neumann, Jr.

Professor of Law Maurice A. Deane School of Law at Hofstra University

Robert H. Sitkoff

John L. Gray Professor of Law Harvard Law School

David Alan Sklansky

Yosef Osheawich Professor of Law University of California at Berkeley School of Law

Kent D. Syverud

Dean and Ethan A. H. Shepley University Professor Washington University School of Law

About Wolters Kluwer Law & Business

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business is a leading global provider of intelligent information and digital solutions for legal and business professionals in key specialty areas, and respected educational resources for professors and law students. Wolters Kluwer Law & Business connects legal and business professionals as well as those in the education market with timely, specialized authoritative content and information-enabled solutions to support success through productivity, accuracy and mobility.

Serving customers worldwide, Wolters Kluwer Law & Business products include those under the Aspen Publishers, CCH, Kluwer Law International, Loislaw, ftwilliam.com and MediRegs family of products.

CCH products have been a trusted resource since 1913, and are highly regarded resources for legal, securities, antitrust and trade regulation, government contracting, banking, pension, payroll, employment and labor, and healthcare reimbursement and compliance professionals.

Aspen Publishers products provide essential information to attorneys, business professionals and law students. Written by preeminent authorities, the product line offers analytical and practical information in a range of specialty practice areas from securities law and intellectual property to mergers and acquisitions and pension/benefits. Aspen's trusted legal education resources provide professors and students with high-quality, up-to-date and effective resources for successful instruction and study in all areas of the law.

Kluwer Law International products provide the global business community with reliable international legal information in English. Legal practitioners, corporate counsel and business executives around the world rely on Kluwer Law journals, looseleafs, books, and electronic products for comprehensive information in many areas of international legal practice.

Loislaw is a comprehensive online legal research product providing legal content to law firm practitioners of various specializations. Loislaw provides attorneys with the ability to quickly and efficiently find the necessary legal information they need, when and where they need it, by facilitating access to primary law as well as state-specific law, records, forms and treatises.

ftwilliam.com offers employee benefits professionals the highest quality plan documents (retirement, welfare and non-qualified) and government forms (5500/PBGC, 1099 and IRS) software at highly competitive prices.

MediRegs products provide integrated health care compliance content and software solutions for professionals in healthcare, higher education and life sciences, including professionals in accounting, law and consulting.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business, a division of Wolters Kluwer, is headquartered in New York. Wolters Kluwer is a market-leading global information services company focused on professionals. Dedicated to
My mother, Rose Shusterman, Of Blessed Memory,
and
My mother-in-law, Sissy Goldberg, Of Blessed Memory.
They always had faith in me.

Preface

This book grew out of a love for community property law. For many years, I have taught a Marital Property lecture course focused on California law and a Community Property Seminar focused on law in the all the states that have adopted the community property system. The sharing concept of community property law is appealing to me and hopefully to others because it reflects the goals of an ideal marriage. Yet, there are only eight traditional community property states in the United States: Arizona, California, Idaho, Louisiana, Nevada, New Mexico, Texas, and Washington. Most of those states adopted community property law as a result of the Spanish or French legal background of those who originally settled there. More recently, Wisconsin and Alaska have adopted community property law through legislation.

Since the original adoption of community property law over one hundred years ago, each state has developed its own system. Although many of the basic concepts are the same, over the years there has been a divergence of views on many topics. Hence this book is both necessary and important for understanding the differences that have developed. It is especially relevant because two of the most populous states in the United States, California and Texas, have very different views on many issues. For instance, California prohibits the consideration of fault at divorce, while Texas allows fault to be a factor in the division of community property at divorce. Another example is that California considers the profits of separate property to be separate property, while Texas considers the profits of separate property to be community property. Finally, California and Texas have strikingly different views regarding the shared property rights of unmarried cohabitants, with California allowing oral and implied agreements regarding their property rights and Texas requiring written agreements only.

This book presents the similarities and differences among the traditional community property states regarding the property rights of couples, both married and unmarried. The chapters are structured around decisions that couples face before, during, and at the end of a marriage. Cases are chosen as representative of the differing views on each topic and issue. The book includes a substantial number of cases from every state. A table at the end of each chapter gives a snapshot of all states' view on that chapter topic. Discussion questions provide guidance to understanding the cases, and problems assist in application of the differing approaches. A separate chapter focusing on Wisconsin is included to highlight a state that has more recently adopted community property law. The reason is to delve more deeply into what is the essence of community property law and also to examine which view of the issues has been followed in Wisconsin.

Preface

This book can be used in various ways. It can be used as strictly a comparative law course to compare and contrast the views of all community property states. Alternatively, it can be used as a course on one state's law and, where relevant, with some notation of how other states treat the same issue. It can be taught in a seminar format, with students becoming experts in their own state and perhaps one or two other states. Seminar papers could include research on topics not covered in the book, such as the property rights regarding frozen embryos or copyrights and patents. It can also be taught in a lecture format with focus on one state only but highlighting, where relevant, other states' views.

Charlotte K. Goldberg

September 2013

xx

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Loyola Law School for supporting and encouraging the creation of this book. I also greatly appreciated the feedback of the reviewers of this book who assisted by offering much constructive criticism. Special thanks goes to Professor Thomas R. Andrews of the University of Washington School of Law. He took a chance on this book while it was still in manuscript form and used it to teach Community Property in Fall, 2012. His advice and assistance were invaluable. Thanks also to my friends and colleagues who also teach community property law: Grace Blumberg, Jan Costello, and Herma Hill Kay. Their collaboration on issues of community property law has informed my thinking on many issues and is appreciated.

This book could not have come to fruition without the able support of Loyola student research assistants: Malia Aberin, Mark Gray, Kaveh Keshmiri, Alice Kiureghian, Jennifer Misetich, Jenna Miyahara, Ryan Murray, Pearl Poon, Renee Pratt, Karen Tso, Tiffany Wu, and Saba Zafar. Special recognition goes to the Faculty Support Department at Loyola Law School, including Ruth Busch and Valda Hahn. The dedication of my Administrative Assistant, Elizabeth Luk, deserves special notice. She persevered through so many drafts and, actually, I do not know how the book would have been done without her help.

My deepest appreciation goes to Lynn Churchill and Roberta O'Meara at Aspen Publishers who always are so encouraging. Whenever I felt bogged down during the writing process, they exuded confidence that one day I could finish this project. Also, the patience and guidance of Kathy Langone, my editor, has been a large part in the creation of this book. Lastly, I am fortunate to have a supportive family. My husband Howard and our children Yehoshua, Ethan, Suri, and Dvora were always patient during the time when I said—"I have to go work on THE book."

COMMUNITY PROPERTY

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

Summary of Contents

Contents		xi
Preface 1		xix
Acknowledgm	ents	xxi
Chapter 1:	Introduction	1
Chapter 2:		01
Chapter 2	Community Property System	21
Chapter 3:	Opting Out of the Community Property System: Standards for Premarital Agreements	69
Chapter 4:	Opening a Bank Account: Commingling	03
omepter 1	Community and Separate Funds	133
Chapter 5:	Buying a Home: Joint Tenancy or Community	
.8:	Property Titles	163
Chapter 6:	Pursuing an Advanced Degree: Ownership or	
~ -	Equity?	203
Chapter 7:	Changing the Character of Property During	000
Chantan O.	Marriage: Transmutation	239
Chapter 8:	Management and Control of Community	279
Chapter 9:	Property: One Spouse or Both? Separate Property Business: Ownership of Profits?	327
Chapter 10:	Borrowing Money: Creditors' Rights	371
Chapter 11:	Disability Strikes: Character of Disability	
1.	Insurance Proceeds	405
Chapter 12:	Discovery That the Marriage Is Not Valid: Putative	
	Spouse Doctrine	441
Chapter 13:	Character of Property During Separation	491
Chapter 14:	Division of Community Property at Divorce	513
Chapter 15:	Division of Community Property at Death	549
Chapter 16:	Moving to Another State: Conflicts of Laws	589 619
Chapter 17:	Wisconsin Adopts Community Property	019
Table of C	(Althobatical)	649
	rs (Alphabetical)	653
Table of Case	s (Dy since)	657

Contents

rface knowl	edgments	xix xxi
Ch	apter 1: Introduction	1
A.	Overview of the Approach of this Book	1
В.	What are the Basics of Community Property Doctrine? 1. Characterization of Property as Community or	2
	Separate	2
	Kraly v. Kraly (Idaho)	2 3 7
	Downer v. Bramet (California)	7
	2. Division of Community Property at Divorce: Equal or	
	Equitable?	10
	Marriage of Brown (Texas)	10
	Marriage of Rossi (California)	15
Ch	apter 2: The Decision to Marry: Opting In or Out	
	of the Community Property System	21
A.	Criteria for Establishing a Common-Law Marriage:	
Z.A.	The Idaho and Texas Approaches	22
	1. Idaho	22
	Estate of Wagner	22
	Idaho Code §32-201	27
	2. Texas	27
		27
	Vernon's Texas Statutes	
	Knight v. Volkart-Knight	27
ъ	Quinn v. Milanizadeh	30
В.	Shared Property Rights of Unmarried Cohabitants Charlotte K. Goldberg, The Schemes of Adventuresses: The Abolition and Revival	35
	of Common-Law Marriage	36
	1. The Contract Approach to Unmarried Cohabitants'	30
	1 1	36
	Property Rights: Marvin v. Marvin, the Landmark Case	37
	Maglica v. Maglica (California)	37
	2. What Type of Agreement Will Succeed? The New	40
	Mexico, Nevada, Texas, and Louisiana Approaches	40
	Merrill v. Davis (New Mexico)	41
	Western State Construction, Inc. v. Michoff (Nevada)	42

хi

			Zaremba v. Cliburn (Texas)	48 51
	3.	The	Fairrow v. Marves (Louisiana) Expansion of the Marvin Approach: The Arizona	31
	5.		Washington Approaches	53
		and	Carroll v. Lee (Arizona)	54
			Fenn and Lockwood (Washington)	59
			Terri and Louiseou (Transmignor)	00
Ch	apter	3: (Opting Out of the Community Property	
			system: Standards for Premarital Agreements	69
A.	Valid	dity/1	Enforceability of Premarital Agreements	69
	1.		ors that Determine Validity: The Nevada	
		Exp	erience	70
			Buettner v. Buettner	70
			Sogg v. Nevada State Bank	75
			Fick v. Fick	80
	2.		fors that Determine Validity: The Views of Texas,	
			fornia, and Washington	83
		(a)	Texas	83
		4 1	Marsh v. Marsh	83
		(b)	California	89
			Marriage of Bonds	89
		()	California Family Code §1615	98
		(c)		99
D	XA7 .		Marriage of Bernard	99
В.	2		f Spousal Support in Premarital Agreements	106
	1.		lic Policy Considerations: The Arizona and	107
			isiana Experience Arizona	107
		(a)	Williams v. Williams	107
				109
		(b)	Marriage of Williams Louisiana	112
		(D)	McAlpine v. McAlpine	113
	2.	Sno	usal Support Waivers: Statutory Variations	118
C.			of Oral Premarital Agreements:	110
U.			hington and California Experience	119
	1.		hington	119
		1140	Dewberry v. George	119
	2.	Cali	fornia	124
			Hall v. Hall	124
Ch	apter	4: (Opening a Bank Account: Commingling	
	1		Community and Separate Funds	133
A.	Dete	ermin	ning the Character of the Funds in a Commingled	
			count	133
	1.		isiana Approach	133
			Cutting v. Cutting	134

Contents xiii

	2. Washington and California Approaches Marriage of Skarbek (Washington)	137 138
	Probate Code §5305 (California)	141
В.		ed Bank
	Account	142
	Granger v. Granger (Louisiana)	142
	1. The California Approaches: Exhaustion and	Direct
	Tracing Methods	146
	See v. See	147
	Marriage of Mix	149
	2. The Idaho Approach: Total Recapitulation M	
	Weilmunster v. Weilmunster	153
Ch	hapter 5: Buying a Home: Joint Tenancy or Con	nmunity
	Property Titles	163
A.	0	
	Property	163
	Estate of Ashe (Idaho)	165
В.	3	
	Approach	171
	Marriage of Inboden	172
C.	3	
	at Divorce: California and New Mexico Approach	
	 California Legislation 	176
	California Family Code §2581	176
	California Family Code §2640(b)	177
	Marriage of Buol	177
	2. New Mexico Legislation	182
	Swink v. Fingado	182
D.	Community Property with Right of Survivorship	192
	Holmes v. Beatty (Texas)	193
Ch	hapter 6: Pursuing an Advanced Degree: Owner	rship
	or Equity?	203
A.	Educational Degrees	203
В.		
	Distribution: The Washington Remedy	205
	Washburn v. Washburn	205
C.		
0.	Clemons v. Clemons	217 217
	Shewbridge v. Shewbridge	219
D.		
D.	Remedy	223
	California Family Code §2641	223
	Marriage of Graham	224
	TITUITUE OF CITUIONII	<u></u>

xiv Contents

E.	Equity for the Supporting Spouse: The Arizona Remedy Pyeatte v. Pyeatte	227 227
F.	Equitable Division of Community Property Only:	441
	The Texas Remedy	232
	Frausto v. Frausto	232
Ch	apter 7: Changing the Character of Property During	ŗ
	Marriage: Transmutation	239
	0	
A.	Strict Transmutation Requirements: The California	
	and Idaho Approaches	240
	1. California	240
	California Family Code §852(a)	240
	Estate of MacDonald	240
	2. Idaho	248
	Hoskinson v. Hoskinson	248
В.	Oral Transmutations Permitted: The Washington	
	Approach	251
	Marriage of Mueller	251
C.	Written Transmutations for the Purpose of Estate	~~~
	Planning: The Washington and California Approaches	255
	1. Washington	255
	Marriage of Schweitzer	255
	2. California	261
	California Family Code §853	261
D	Marriage of Holtemann	261
D.	Gifts of Jewelry: The California and Louisiana Approach	
	California Family Code §852(c)	268
	Marriage of Steinberger (California)	268
	Statham v. Statham (Louisiana)	272
Ch	napter 8: Management and Control of Community	
CII	Property: One Spouse or Both?	279
	Troperty. One spouse of both.	213
A.	Management and Control of a Community Property	
. 1.	Business and Fiduciary Duty	280
	1. Specific Requirements in California, Nevada,	400
	and Washington	280
	Consumers Insurance Co. v. Cimoch (Washington	
	2. Fiduciary Duty	287
	Gerow v. Covill (Arizona)	288
	Marriage of Czapar (California)	292
B.	Goodwill	294
	1. Is Professional Goodwill Community Property?	
	Arizona and Idaho Approaches	296
	Mitchell v. Mitchell (Arizona)	296
	Stewart v. Stewart (Idaho)	300

	2.	Separating Personal and Business Goodwill:	
		The Louisiana Approach	306
		Louisiana Revised Statutes	306
		Statham v. Statham	306
	3.	Can an Individual Have Goodwill? The California	
		Answer	309
		Marriage of McTiernan and Dubrow	309
Ch	anter	9: Separate Property Business: Ownership	
OH	aptei	of Profits?	327
			041
A.	The	Civil Rule States: The Texas, Idaho, and Louisiana	
		erience	328
	1.	Reimbursement or Community Interest? The Texas	020
		and Idaho Answers	328
		(a) Texas	328
		Jensen v. Jensen	329
		(b) Idaho	332
		Neibaur v. Neibaur	332
	2.	Who has the Burden of Proof? The Louisiana Answer	335
	4.	Krielow v. Krielow	
			335
D	The	Craft v. Craft	340
В.		American Rule States: Balancing the Separate	0.40
		Community Interests	342
	1.	Introduction: The California Approaches	342
		Beam v. Bank of America	343
	0	Gilmore v. Gilmore	347
	2.	Applying the California Approaches: The Nevada	2 . 2
		and New Mexico Variations	348
		Schulman v. Schulman (Nevada)	349
		Gillespie v. Gillespie (New Mexico)	352
	3.	Favoring the Community: The Arizona Approach	355
		Cockrill v. Cockrill	356
		Rueschenberg v. Rueschenberg	358
	4.	Application to Unmarried Cohabitants:	
		The Washington Approach	362
		Lindemann v. Lindemann	362
Ch	apter	10: Borrowing Money: Creditors' Rights	371
A.	The	Community Dobt Systems Examples from Asizona	
Λ.		Community Debt System: Examples from Arizona	970
	anu	Washington	372
		Cardinal & Stachel, P.C. v. Curtiss (Arizona)	372
D	TPI	Clayton v. Wilson (Washington)	376
В.		Managerial System: Liability for Debts Prior to	001
		During Marriage	381
	1.	Community Property Liability Not Community Debt	382
		Twin Falls Ranh & Trust Co 11 Holley (Idaho)	229