THE OXFORD COMMENTARIES

on the State Constitutions of the United States

CALIFORNIA State Constitution

SECOND EDITION

Joseph R. Grodin Darien Shanske Michael B. Salerno

The California State Constitution

Second Edition

Joseph R. Grodin, Darien Shanske, and Michael B. Salerno

Foreword by Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye

THE OXFORD COMMENTARIES ON THE STATE CONSTITUTIONS OF THE UNITED STATES G. Alan Tarr, Series Editor





Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford. It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship, and education by publishing worldwide.

Oxford New York

Auckland Cape Town Dar es Salaam Hong Kong Karachi Kuala Lumpur Madrid Melbourne Mexico City Nairobi New Delhi Shanghai Taipei Toronto

With offices in

Argentina Austria Brazil Chile Czech Republic France Greece Guatemala Hungary Italy Japan Poland Portugal Singapore South Korea Switzerland Thailand Turkey Ukraine Vietnam

Oxford is a registered trademark of Oxford University Press in the UK and certain other countries.

Published in the United States of America by Oxford University Press 198 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016

© Oxford University Press 2016

First issued as an Oxford University Press paperback, 2017 ISBN 978-0-19-068086-2 (paperback: acid-free paper)

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted by law, by license, or under terms agreed with the appropriate reproduction rights organization. Inquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the address above.

You must not circulate this work in any other form and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Grodin, Joseph R., compiler.

The Californía state constitution / Joseph R. Grodin, Darien Shanske, and Michael B. Salerno; foreword by Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye.—Second Edition.

pages cm.—(The Oxford commentaries on the state constitutions of the United States) Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-19-998864-8 ((hardback) : alk. paper)

1. Constitutions—California. 2. Constitutional law—California. 3. Constitutional history—California. I. Shanske, Darien, 1974-compiler. II. Salerno, Michael B., compiler. III. California. Constitution (1879) IV. Title.

KFC6801879.A6 G75 2016 342.79402—dc23

2015020271

987654321

Printed in the United States of America on acid-free paper

Note to Readers

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is based upon sources believed to be accurate and reliable and is intended to be current as of the time it was written. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional person should be sought. Also, to confirm that the information has not been affected or changed by recent developments, traditional legal research techniques should be used, including checking primary sources where appropriate.

(Based on the Declaration of Principles jointly adopted by a Committee of the American Bar Association and a Committee of Publishers and Associations.)

You may order this or any other Oxford University Press publication by visiting the Oxford University Press website at www.oup.com

For my patient and loving wife, Janet	
	—J.R.G.
For Stephani and Maisie, whose love and inspiration make al.	l things possible
	—D.S.
For my children, Gian and Giuliana	
	-M.B.S.

In 1776, following the declaration of independence from England, the former colonies began to draft their own constitutions. Their handiwork attracted widespread interest, and draft constitutions circulated up and down the Atlantic seaboard, as constitution-makers sought to benefit from the insights of their counterparts in sister states. In Europe, the new constitutions found a ready audience seeking enlightenment from the American experiments in self-government. Even the delegates to the Constitutional Convention of 1787, despite their reservations about the course of political developments in the states during the decade after independence, found much that was useful in the newly adopted constitutions. And when James Madison, fulfilling a pledge given during the ratification debates, drafted the federal Bill of Rights, he found his model in the famous Declaration of Rights of the Virginia Constitution.

By the 1900s, however, few people would have looked to state constitutions for enlightenment. Instead, a familiar litary of complaints was heard whenever state constitutions were mentioned. State constitutions were too long and too detailed, combining basic principles with policy prescriptions and prohibitions that had no place in the fundamental law of a state. By including such provisions, it was argued, state constitutions deprived state governments of the flexibility they needed to respond effectively in changing circumstances. This—among other factors—encouraged political reformers to look to the federal government, which was not plagued by such constitutional constraints, thereby shifting the locus of political initiative away from the states. Meanwhile, civil libertarians concluded that state bills of rights, at least as interpreted by state courts, did not adequately protect rights and therefore looked to the federal courts and the federal Bill of Rights for redress. As power and responsibility shifted from the states to Washington, so too did the attention of scholars, the legal community, and the general public.

During the early 1970s, however, state constitutions were "rediscovered." The immediate impetus for this rediscovery was former President Richard Nixon's appointment of Warren Burger to succeed Earl Warren as Chief Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court. To civil libertarians, this appointment seemed to signal a decisive shift in the Supreme Court's jurisprudence, because Burger was expected to lead the Court away from the liberal activism that had characterized the Warren Court. They therefore sought ways to safeguard the gains they had achieved for defendants, racial minorities, and the poor during Warren's tenure from erosion by the Burger Court. In particular, they began

to look to state bills of rights to secure the rights of defendants and to support other civil-liberties claims that they advanced in state courts.

The "new judicial federalism," as it came to be called, quite quickly advanced beyond its initial concern to evade the mandates of the Burger Court. Indeed, less than two decades after it originated, it became a nationwide phenomenon. For when judges and scholars turned their attention to state constitutions, they discovered an unsuspected richness. They found not only provisions that paralleled the federal Bill of Rights but also constitutional guarantees of the right to privacy and of gender equality, for example, that had no analogue in the U.S. Constitution. Careful examination of the text and history of state guarantees revealed important differences between even those provisions that most resembled federal guarantees and their federal counterparts. Looking beyond state declarations of rights, jurists and scholars discovered affirmative constitutional mandates to state governments to address such important policy concerns as education and housing. Taken altogether, these discoveries underlined the importance for the legal community of developing a better understanding of state constitutions.

Yet the renewed interest in state constitutions has not been limited to judges and lawyers. State constitutional reformers have renewed their efforts with notable success: since 1960, ten states have adopted new constitutions and several others have undertaken major constitutional revisions. These changes have usually resulted in more streamlined constitutions and more effective state governments. Also, in recent years political activists on both the left and the right have pursued their goals through state constitutional amendments, often enacted through the initiative process, under which policy proposals can be placed directly on the ballot for voters to endorse or reject. Scholars too have begun to rediscover how state constitutional history can illuminate changes in political thought and practice, providing a basis for theories about the dynamics of political change in America.

In this second edition, Joseph Grodin updates his original study of the California Constitution, along with new coauthors Michael Salerno and Darien Shanske, as part of *The Oxford Commentaries on the State Constitutions of the United States*, a series which reflects the renewed interest in state constitutions and will contribute to our knowledge about them. Because the constitutional tradition of each state is distinctive, the volume begins with the history and development of constitutionalism in California. It then provides the complete text of the state's current constitution, with each section accompanied with commentary that explains the provision and traces its origins and its interpretation by the courts and by other governmental bodies. Finally, the book concludes with a bibliography, a table of cases cited in the volume, and a topical index.

FOREWORD

This second edition of *The California State Constitution* is a much anticipated and very welcome addition to the arsenal of reference materials available not only to the courts and attorneys but also to the public interested in the governance of California. In the more than two decades since the first edition was published, there have been noteworthy and controversial changes in the provisions of the California Constitution itself as well as significant developments in the appellate case law interpreting and applying our state constitution in a great variety of settings and circumstances. The updated section-by-section review and analysis of the California Constitution set forth in this edition will afford invaluable guidance as new circumstances and controversies arise, presenting issues that may bring into play the provisions of our state constitution.

As former Chief Justice Malcolm Lucas noted in his foreword to the first edition of this treatise, one of the key overarching issues that courts frequently face when called upon to interpret and apply the provisions of the California Constitution is the appropriate relationship between the provisions of the state constitution and the provisions of the federal Constitution. As a historical matter, of course, state constitutions of the original states predated the adoption of the federal Constitution. Furthermore, when the California Constitution was first drafted and adopted in 1849, the provisions of the state constitutional Declaration of Rights were the only source of protection for the populace from overreaching actions of the state government. There was no other protection because at that time the federal Bill of Rights applied to and limited only the actions of the federal government, not the states. Accordingly, a number of early California Supreme Court decisions recognized that the California Constitution is a document of independent force and that its provisions, even when linguistically similar or identical to provisions of the federal Constitution, need not necessarily be interpreted to bear the same meaning that the U.S. Supreme Court had given to an analogous federal constitutional provision. Nevertheless, for many years California Supreme Court decisions, in interpreting the state constitution, generally deferred to the U.S. Supreme Court's interpretation of similar provisions of the federal Constitution, particularly in settings where the federal constitutional jurisprudence was more developed than the parallel state constitutional provision. Over the past several decades, however, the California Supreme Court has demonstrated a renewed appreciation of the truly independent nature of the California Constitution and an increased willingness to examine and analyze both the distinct origins of state constitutional provisions and the manner in which those provisions have been interpreted and understood in past California decisions and in the California legal context more generally.

In my view, this is an entirely appropriate and important development. The California Constitution has its own distinct history, both as initially created at the 1849 constitutional convention and as revised at the 1879 constitutional convention, as well as through the numerous constitutional amendments and revisions of the state constitution that have been adopted by the voters of California over the past century. Many commentators have observed the relative ease by which the California Constitution can be amended through the initiative process and have accurately highlighted how this unusual attribute of our state constitutional structure has expanded our state constitution. But the fact that the people of California have such a contemporary, continuing, and direct voice in the substantive content of our state constitution also means that the California Constitution can accurately be viewed as a document truly belonging to the people of this state. Through the proposal and adoption of constitutional amendments relating to, for example, the operation of the criminal justice system, the protection of an individual's privacy, the openness of governmental actions, and the reform of the electoral and reapportionment ground rules, the voters of California have in recent years utilized the democratic process to fashion the state's fundamental charter in a manner that embodies their views and perceived needs. Accordingly, in interpreting the California Constitution, courts are properly mindful of the distinct and truly independent character of this extraordinary document.

Of course, recognition of the truly independent nature of the California Constitution is not to deny the supremacy of the provisions of the federal Constitution in settings in which the federal Constitution applies. Thus, for example, when the U.S. Supreme Court has interpreted a provision of the federal Constitution as prohibiting a state legislature from enacting a particular law or as precluding the use in a criminal trial of evidence obtained in a particular manner by a local police officer, that federal constitutional rule will be controlling over any state constitutional provision and will dictate the result in any case in which the federal constitutional provision is properly invoked. But the fact that the U.S. Supreme Court's current interpretation of a provision of the federal Constitution is controlling in this context does not mean that a similarly worded provision of the California Constitution must necessarily be interpreted to provide at least as much protection (or, stated somewhat differently, to be as restrictive of legislative or executive action) as the federal constitutional provision as interpreted by the federal high court. If the history, past interpretation, or intent of the state constitutional provision supports a different and less protective (or less restrictive) meaning of the state provision, the state provision can and should be read in that fashion, leaving the federal Constitution as the sole basis for the required contrary decision. Thereafter, should the U.S. Supreme Court reconsider its interpretation of a

federal constitutional provision, which is not uncommon, California courts would have no need to revise the interpretation of an analogous state constitutional provision but could properly maintain the interpretation dictated by the state constitutional history and intent. Accordingly, it is entirely proper for state courts, in determining the appropriate meaning of the California Constitution, to be faithful to a fair and accurate view of the intended meaning of each state constitutional provision, whether that meaning embodies a constitutional guarantee that is more expansive, equally expansive, or less expansive than that reflected in the interpretation of the analogous federal constitutional provision.

One important consequence of the independent nature of the provisions of the California Constitution is to place a significant responsibility upon counsel who rely upon a state constitutional provision in litigation. When a state constitutional provision is at issue, counsel should not rely solely upon the more familiar federal constitutional precedents interpreting the parallel provision of the federal Constitution. Instead, counsel should recognize the responsibility to research and analyze the independent sources that may shed light on the appropriate interpretation and application of the state constitutional provision in question. Past California decisions have identified many of the distinct sources that counsel should examine in this regard. They include the records of the state constitutional conventions, the distinct legal, political, and cultural settings in which the state constitutional provision was adopted, state court decisions and common legal practice revealing how the provision has been interpreted and understood over time, as well as the effect that developments in California statutory and common law properly have on the contemporary meaning of state constitutional provisions.

I can assure counsel that courts charged with the responsibility of determining the proper interpretation of state constitutional provisions will look for and appreciate the insight that counsel may contribute in this regard. And I have no doubt that the updated state constitutional reference guide that follows will often be a very helpful place to begin one's research and analysis.

Tani Cantil-Sakauye, Chief Justice of California

PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION

Since the first edition of this book was published in 1993 the California Constitution, then already the second longest constitution in the United States, has nearly doubled in size and court decisions interpreting the constitution have mushroomed in proportion. A new edition is long overdue.

In addition to tracking the changes to the constitution and its interpretation, this second edition reflects changes in authorship and format as well. Joseph Grodin, the lead author of the first edition, remains an active participant, but his colleagues Calvin Massey and Richard Cunningham have opted in favor of other pursuits, to be replaced by Darien Shanske and Michael B. Salerno. And the original format, aimed primarily at students of government, has been expanded in a lawyer-friendly direction, with more thorough treatment of cases and legal analysis intended to provide a basis for research by lawyers, judges, and legal scholars.

While the book is a truly collaborative project, each of us has assumed primary responsibility for certain portions: Joseph Grodin for Part I (History) (with a section on fiscal history by Darien Shanske) and Articles I, II, VI, VII, XIV, XVIII, and XXXIV; Darien Shanske for Articles XI, XIII, XIIIA, XIIIB, XIIIC, XIIID, XVI, XIX, XIXA, XIXB, and XIXC; and Michael B. Salerno for Articles III, IV, V, X, XA, XB, XII, XV, XX, XXI, and XXXV. Article IX is the joint product of Joseph Grodin and Darien Shanske.

The authors wish to express their joint appreciation to former authors Calvin Massey and Richard Cunningham, whose work helped to provide the foundation for the current volume; to University of California, Hastings College of the Law for its institutional support, to faculty secretary Divina Morgan for her careful logistical assistance, and to UC Hastings graduate research assistant Nedda Black for her thoughtful creation of a workable index.

In addition, Joseph Grodin would like to acknowledge the invaluable assistance of his principal research assistant, Hastings student Samantha Elboim, as well as former Hastings students Natassia Kwan and Jessica Winter, and to extend special thanks to former Supreme Court Staff Attorney Beth Jay, as well as to Administrative Office of the Courts counsel Mary Roberts, and Hastings colleague Peter Keane, for their careful reading and thoughtful suggestions regarding portions of the text.

Darien Shanske would like to thank many research assistants for their excellent work on this project: Elliot Bauer, Dan Maroon, Nayelli Maxson, Hank Nguyen, Mikhail Parnes, Channpreet Singh, and Ted Tao. Peg Durkin, of the University of California, Davis, Law Library, provided masterful

research assistance. Jim Copeland gave invaluable comments on Articles XI, XIIIA, XIIIB, XIIIC, XIIID, and XVI; Bill Koski gave similarly invaluable comments on Article IX. Darien would also like to thank both the University of California, Hastings College of the Law (particularly the Carl Robertson '98 Endowed Faculty Research Fund) and the University of California, Davis, School of Law for their generous financial support.

Michael Salerno would like to express appreciation to Nedda Black, his former student and graduate research assistant, who provided basic research and valuable editorial assistance, and whose contribution to his parts of the book deserved special recognition. While he takes responsibility for the analysis and conclusions, potential omissions, and any errors in the articles assigned to him, he wishes to thank his former colleagues for their review and comments: Diane Boyer-Vine and Dan Weitzman for Article IV; Alf Brandt for Article X; and Bill Julian for Article XII. He would also like to recognize the generous support provided by University of California, Hastings College of the Law.

CONTENTS

Series foreword by G. Alan Tarr Foreword by Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye Preface to the Second Edition (with Acknowledgments)	xxiii xxv xxix
PART ONE The History of the California Constitution	www
PART TWO III The California Constitution and Commentary	
Preamble	43
Article I. Declaration of Rights	45
Article II. Voting, Initiative, Referendum, and Recall	109
Article III. State of California	127
Article IV. Legislative	139
Article V. Executive	171
Article VI. Judicial	183
Article VII. Public Officers and Employees	211
Article VIII. [Repealed]	223
Article IX. Education	225
Article X. Water	247
Article XA. Water Resources Development	261
Article XB. Marine Resources Protection Act of 1990	263
Article XI. Local Government	27 3
Article XII. Public Utilities	299
Article XIII. Taxation	31

Article XIIIA. [Tax Limitation]	361
Article XIIIB. Government Spending Limitation	381
Article XIIIC. [Voter Approval for Local Tax Levies]	397
Article XIIID. [Assessment and Property-Related Fee Reform]	405
Article XIV. Labor Relations	417
Article XV. Usury	423
Article XVI. Public Finance	429
Article XVII. [Repealed]	465
Article XVIII. Amending and Revising the Constitution	467
Article XIX. Motor Vehicle Revenues	471
Article XIXA. Loans from the Public Transportation Account or Local Transportation Funds	479
Article XIXB. Motor Vehicle Fuel Sales Tax Revenues and Transportation Improvement Funding	485
Article XIXC. [Enforcement of Certain Provisions]	491
Article XX. Miscellaneous Subjects	495
Article XXI. Redistricting of Senate, Assembly, Congressional and Board of Equalization Districts	, 507
Article XXII. [Architectural and Engineering Services]	519
[Articles XXIII through XXVIII have either been repealed or renumbered; there are no	7 00
Articles XXIX-XXXIII.]	523
Article XXXIV. Public Housing Project Law	525
Article XXXV. Medical Research	531
Select Bibliography Table of Cases Index About the Authors	535 543 561 581

■ DETAILED CONTENTS

Series foreword by G. Alan Tarr	xxiii
Foreword by Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye	xxv
Preface to the Second Edition (with Acknowledgments)	xxix
PART ONE The History of the California Constitution	
The American Acquisition of California: "Cry Aloud for Independence!"	3
The 1849 Constitution and California Statehood: "Born in different	
climes, assembled as Californians" The 1879 Constitution: "A sort of mixture of constitution, code,	7
stump speech, and mandamus"	13
Amending and Revising the Constitution: The Problem of	
Constitutional Sprawl?	23
Constitutional Conventions: A Blind Alley?	23
Revision Through Commission	25
PART TWO III The California Constitution and Commentary	
A Note on the Text	42
A Note on Primary Sources	42
Preamble	43
Article I. Declaration of Rights	45
Section 1. Inalienable Rights Section 2. Liberty of Speech and of the Press; Newspersons' Refusal to Disclose Information Sources Not	48
Adjudged in Contempt	58
Section 3. Right to Assemble and to Petition	66
Section 4. Liberty of Conscience	68
Section 5. The Military	72
Section 6. Slavery Prohibited	73
Section 7. Due Process of Law and Equal Protection of the Laws;	
Use of Pupil Assignment or Pupil Transportation;	
Privileges and Immunities	73

X M DETAILED CONTENTS

a company to the company of the comp	
Section 7.5 Marriage; Validity and Recognition	78
Section 8. Sex, Race, etc., Not a Disqualification for Business	78
Section 9. Bill of Attainder; Ex Post Facto Law; Obligation	
of Contract	80
Section 10. Detention of Witnesses; No Imprisonment for Debt	81
Section 11. Suspension of Habeas Corpus	82
Section 12. Bail; Release on Own Recognizance	82
Section 13. Unreasonable Search and Seizure; Warrant	84
Section 14. Felony Defendant before Magistrate; Prosecutions	85
Section 14.1. Felony; Prosecution by Indictment	86
Section 15. Criminal Prosecutions; Rights of Defendants; Due	
Process of Law; Jeopardy; Depositions; Assistance	
of Counsel	86
Section 16. Trial by Jury	88
Section 17. Unusual Punishment and Excessive Fines	90
Section 18. Treason	91
Section 19. Eminent Domain	92
Section 20. Rights of Noncitizens	94
Section 21. Separate Property of Husband and Wife	94
Section 22. No Property Qualification for Voting or Holding	7 1
Public Office	95
Section 23. Grand Juries	95
Section 24. Independence of the California Constitution; Limits	15
on Independence in Criminal Cases; Rights Retained	
by the People	96
Section 25. Right to Fish	97
Section 26. Constitution Mandatory and Prohibitory	98
Section 27. Death Penalty	98
Section 28. "The Victims' Bill of Rights"	99
Section 29. Due Process of Law in Criminal Cases; Speedy and Public Trial	100
	105
Section 30. Criminal Cases: Joinder, Hearsay Evidence, and	10/
Discovery	106
Section 31. Discrimination Based on Race, Sex, Color, Ethnicity,	
or National Origin; Gender-Based Qualifications in	
Public Employment, Education, or Contracting	106
Article II. Voting, Initiative, Referendum, and Recall	109
Section 1. Purpose of Government	109
Section 2. Right to Vote	110
Section 2.5. Right to Have Vote Counted	110
Section 3. Residence; Registration; Free Elections	110
Section 4. Improper Practices Affecting Elections; Disqualification	
of Voters	111

Section 5. Primary Elections for Partisan Offices; Open	
Presidential Primary	111
Section 6. Nonpartisan Offices	113
Section 7. Secret Voting	114
Section 8. Initiative	115
Section 9. Referendum	118
Section 10. Initiative and Referendum Measures: Effective Date,	
Conflicting Measures, Legislative Repeal or	
Amendment, Titles	119
Section 11. Initiative and Referendum Measures: Cities and	
Counties	120
Section 12. Ballot Measures: Prohibition upon Naming Persons	
to Office or Corporations to Duties	122
Section 13. Recall Defined	123
Section 14. Recall Petitions	123
Section 15. Recall Elections	124
Section 16. Legislature to Provide for Recall Petitions and	
Elections	124
Section 17. Recall of Governor or Secretary of State	124
Section 18. Reimbursement of Recall Election Expenses	124
Section 19. Recall of local Officers	124
Section 20. Commencement of Terms of Elective Office	125
Article III. State of California	127
Section 1. United States Constitution Supreme Law	127
Section 2. State Boundaries; Sacramento the Capital	128
Section 3. Separation of Powers	129
Section 3.5. Administrative Agencies: Declaration Statute	
Unenforceable or Unconstitutional: Prohibited	131
Section 4. Salaries of Elected State Officers—Salary	
of Judges	132
Section 5. Suits against State	133
Section 6. Official State Language	133
Section 7. Retirement Benefits for Elected Constitutional	
Officers	134
Section 8. California Citizens Compensation Commission	135
Section 9. Sale of Surplus State Property	137
Article IV. Legislative	139
Section 1. Legislative Power	141
Section 1.5. Legislators—Limitations on Incumbency—Restriction	
of Retirement Benefits—Limit of Staff and Support	
Services—Number of Terms	141

2
3
4
6
7
8
9
0
1
4
5
6
9
9
2
3
3
4
5
5
6
57
8
8
8
58
7]
7]
72