

---

---

# LETTERS OF CREDIT

The Law and Practice of Compliance

---

EBENEZER ADODO

---



OXFORD

# LETTERS OF CREDIT

The Law and Practice of Compliance

EBENEZER ADODO

OXFORD  
UNIVERSITY PRESS



Great Clarendon Street, Oxford, OX2 6DR,  
United Kingdom

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford.  
It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship,  
and education by publishing worldwide. Oxford is a registered trade mark of  
Oxford University Press in the UK and in certain other countries

© Ebenezer Adodo 2014

The moral rights of the author have been asserted

First Edition published in 2014

Impression: 1

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in  
a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the  
prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted  
by law, by licence or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics  
rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the  
above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the  
address above

You must not circulate this work in any other form  
and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

Crown copyright material is reproduced under Class Licence  
Number C01P0000148 with the permission of OPSI  
and the Queen's Printer for Scotland

Published in the United States of America by Oxford University Press  
198 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016, United States of America

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data  
Data available

Library of Congress Control Number: 2013947863

ISBN 978–0–19–967407–7

Printed and bound in Great Britain by  
CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon, CR0 4YY

Links to third party websites are provided by Oxford in good faith and  
for information only. Oxford disclaims any responsibility for the materials  
contained in any third party website referenced in this work.

*For my son, Ehiremen*

## FOREWORD

I am flattered to be asked to write a foreword to this major work by Dr Ebenezer Adodo. I am far from well qualified to do so. It is true that when I was at the Bar I was involved in a number of cases involving the law relating to letters of credit and, indeed, involving the construction of commercial contracts. It is also true that I have had some familiarity with these problems as a judge, both at first instance and on appeal. I have not, however, had any personal experience of the practical problems which face commercial men (and women).

It has not of course been possible for me, before writing this foreword, to read every word of what, is on any view, a very substantial book, covering all or almost all of the issues that could arise in connection with the law and practice of letters of credit. In particular, it refers to many decided cases in the USA and elsewhere which I have never read. However, I will know where to find the relevant cases in the future and I have no doubt that the book will play a valuable role in a number of important respects. For example, it distinguishes between the many different descriptions of credit. It defines unconfirmed and confirmed credit, sight payment credit, deferred payment credit, acceptance credit, negotiation credit, clean credit, red clause credit, transferable credit, back-to-back credit, standby credit, and no doubt many others.

The book also distinguishes the differing roles of the parties to the credit process and contains an analysis of the rights and obligations of each of the relevant players, setting out in some detail the position of each in the case of fraud or alleged fraud, which is unfortunately not unknown in this area of commercial law. It even discusses the role of the law of negligence in this context and will be an invaluable aid, for example, to the construction of the detailed provisions in UCP 600. Throughout, Dr Adodo stresses the importance of approaching the various problems with commercial good sense—something with which I entirely agree—and focuses upon the practicalities involved.

All in all, I wish the book well and will be very surprised if this first edition is not followed by others.

Anthony Clarke,  
Lord Clarke of Stone-cum-Ebony,  
UK Supreme Court,  
October 2013

## PREFACE

This book deals with the requirements of compliance in letter of credit transactions from the perspective of Anglo-American common law systems. It provides a rigorous analysis of highly complex claims of non-compliance with instructions for the opening of a credit, a wide range of techniques for determining proper or non-conforming performance under an operative credit, effective ways of handling tendered faulty documents, and communicating rejection of the tender to the presenter. Appropriate principles and remedies primarily developed for negotiable instruments, international sale of goods contracts, and tort are identified and adapted to resolve thorny points that hitherto tend to prove insurmountable in letter of credit cases. An in-depth examination is made of an eclectic variety of choice of law issues arising when a presentee bank dishonours a set of documents regarded by the presenting beneficiary or nominated bank as constituting a complying presentation.

The topics treated lie at the heart of the practical workings of modern letters of credit; they comprise the most perplexing and litigation prone in credit operations. The book aims to represent an authoritative exposition of the mechanics of the law on the problem of compliance in this branch of the business world and is the first work of this magnitude to address itself exclusively to the matter through the eye of current international banking practice. The text offers a meticulous and penetrating discussion of clauses in the latest edition of the ICC's Uniform Customs and Practice for Documentary Credits, 2007 Revision (UCP 600) and an extensive critique of a large amount of US and English cases pertaining to the regularity of presentation documents under credits. Due consideration is accorded to earlier versions of the codified rules of practice reaching back to the maiden edition of 1933 (ICC Brochure No. 82).

The book also gives clear explanation of the key roles of the parties involved in letters of credit issued, confirmed, or advised to the beneficiary in the jurisdiction covered in order to aid understanding of the legal problems and principles examined. In the various contexts where the reader is likely to consider the law to be in disarray, significantly complicated and controversial, or at variance with the reasonable expectations of banking practice, the book advances a pragmatic commercial solution and provides guidance on the way a court or an arbitrator applying objectively ascertainable precepts underlying the UCP would justifiably dispose of the potential difficulty. It is hoped that the suggested position will enable prospective litigants with claims involving the problems treated in the work to decide whether to press for an out-of-court settlement file or defend a lawsuit.

The work adopts a user-friendly style to enhance its utility among its primary audience, including bankers, traders and their legal advisers, business policy makers such as the ICC's Banking Commission members around the world, and academics and commercial law students in higher education institutions. Throughout, special care has been exercised to meet, on the one hand, what the student would view as calling for detailed illumination in letter of credit transactions and, on the other hand, the unique needs of the busy practitioner.

The problems of compliance in letter of credit transactions with which the book is concerned are contractual in nature. The road to their solution demands application of the prevailing approach to the construction of commercial contracts. A common thread running through

this book is the treatment of the approach as entailing the ascertainment of the meaning which the contract arising out of the particular credit or the arrangements underlying the credit at issue would convey to a reasonable business person in the position of the parties at the time of conclusion of their bargain. In conducting the research on the various portions of the book, any factual material that would be relevant to the manner in which the reasonable business person would understand the language employed in the clauses of the credit or of the underlying contract, including the incorporated provisions of the UCP 600, receives preponderant weight. In a series of seminal Anglo-American pronouncements followed in the text, a great number of judges have gone to a lot of trouble to explain the importance to the business community of giving effect to the reasonably ascertainable meaning of contractual documents; in particular because doing so is apt to carry to proper execution the legitimate object of the contracting parties.

Notably, the terms of a credit or the incorporated provisions of the UCP are the product of hopelessly fallible minds; they cannot be more perfect and exact than those who draft them, nor provide for every possible contingency beyond the prescient prediction of the draftsman; moreover, an astute clairvoyant draftsman may not always be sagacious enough to guard against future disputes with adequate clauses. A given requirement or clause may thus, on the surface, often be found imprecise, deficient, or unsuited for the necessities of the credit device. Under the indicated approach embraced and applied all through this book, however, such apparent semantic infirmities are not permitted to interfere with unearthing the business meaning and effect which the parties as honest reasonable traders and bankers in the letter of credit world intended the stipulation to have. The law is stated in the light of decided cases and codified rules of banking practice pertaining to letters of credit on 2 January 2014.

Ebenezer Adodo  
Guildford, Surrey  
January 2014

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A number of individuals, organizations, institutions, and colleagues provided invaluable assistance during the preparation of this work. I must express my gratitude to them for their help. Lord Clarke has been relentless in advancing the idea embraced in the ensuing discussions that considerations of reasonable business sense govern the interpretation of clauses in commercial contracts, including contractual provisions arising from letters of credit, performance bonds, and other specialized bank devices oiling the wheels of international trade. I am thus deeply indebted to the judge for giving the forward.

Significant portions of this book were a long time in gestation. They originate substantially from the doctoral thesis I submitted to the Faculty of Law of the National University of Singapore in May 2011. I am grateful to the university and the Government of Singapore for the full scholarships and fellowship they granted me to undertake the research; to Emeritus Professor Peter Ellinger and Professor Tan Yock Lin for the painstaking supervision, inspiration, and mentorship I received under them; and to Professor Ademola Popoola for guiding my first foray into this stimulating slice of commercial law some two decades ago at the Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife. My external examiners, Professors Yeo Tiong Min of Singapore Management University School of Law, Paul Todd of Southampton Law School, and Eva Lominca of King's College London, deserve special thanks for their exceptionally prompt and immensely enlightening reports. Dean Simon Chesterman, Professors Stephen Girvin, Alan Tan, Adekunle Adeyeye, and Associate Professors Gary Bell and Dora Neo supported me every step of the way. I greatly benefited from the kindness, friendship, and resourcefulness of the administrative staff members of the NUS Law community. The vast array of collections at the CJ Koh Law Library eliminated the necessity of my having to scout around libraries located thousands of miles away in other common law jurisdictions for evergreen, but long out of print materials.

My colleagues at the University of Surrey were generous with their time and discussed many principles underlying the European Union Court of Justice's decisions on articles 3 and 4 of the Rome I Regulation. Unstinting words of encouragement and advice from Robert Jago, Professors David Allen, Indira Carr, and Ademun-Odeke provided a much needed uplift.

I had the exquisite pleasure of working on this project with my publisher, Oxford University Press. It was made possible mostly by the press's excellent commissioning team comprising Rachel Mullaly, along with Eleanor Reedy, Rachel Alban, Lucinda Yeates, and Faye Judges who came aboard at various stages, and the production unit. Only unlimited patience must have enabled them to put up with my perpetual request for revision of the timeframe for handing in the various chapters. Mullaly's enthusiasm remained undiminished from the time of my submission of the proposal for the work to delivery of the final manuscript. She doubtless belongs among the best commissioning editors any aspiring textbook author could hope to find in the publishing industry today. Without her distinguished professionalism and early confidence in me, this project would have died in infancy.

I was fortunate enough to have a very supportive son and a devoted wife, Geok Kheng. She and our house helper, Annie Cabida, ably stood in for me while I was away battling to avoid

yet another excuse for deviating from the delivery schedule I had put together with Eleanor and Lucinda of OUP. However, I agreed with her constant insistence that one should aim to keep a balance between scholarly pursuits and family commitments. A strong desire to achieve a laudable goal and, on the other hand, actual attainment of the goal, unfortunately carry different requirements; the former is easy to fulfil, but the latter often becomes increasingly elusive as one moves up the career ladder.

## TABLE OF CASES

AA Valibhoy & Sons v Banque Nationale de Paris [1994] SGHC 98, [1994] 2 SLR 772 .....	3.45, 3.72, 4.73
AA Valibhoy & Sons v Habib Bank Ltd [1982-1983] SLR 379 .....	4.73
AL Underwood Ltd v Bank of Liverpool and Martins [1924] 1 KB 775 .....	2.41
Accord, Beyene v Irving Trust Co, 762 F 2d 4 (2nd Cir 1985) .....	6.25
Ackerley Media Group Inc v Sharp Electronics Corp, 170 F Supp 2d 445 (SDNY, 2001) .....	10.17
Adams v National Bank of Greece SA [1961] AC 257 .....	4.07
Adaro Indonesia v Rabobank [2002] 3 SLR 258 (Singapore, HC) .....	1.57
Addis v Gramophone Co Ltd [1909] AC 488 .....	1.03
Adelaide Electric Supply Co Ltd v Prudential Assurance Co Ltd [1934] AC 122 .....	10.77
Adriatic, The [1931] P 241 .....	11.48
Aegean Sea, The [1998] 2 Lloyd's Rep 39 .....	9.76
Agra and Masterman's Bank, Re (1866-67) LR 2 Ch App 391 .....	10.84
Agra Bank, ex p (1870) LR 9 Eq 725 .....	10.86
Agritrade International Pte Ltd v Industrial and Commercial Bank of China [1998] 3 SLR 211 .....	10.66, 10.68
Airlines Reporting Corp v Norwest Bank, 529 NW 2d 449 (Minn Ct App 1995) .....	5.21
Ajaiyi v R.T. Broscoe (Nigeria) Ltd [1964] 1 WLR 1326 .....	3.09
Alan (WJ) & Co Ltd v El Nasr Export and Import Co [1972] 1 Lloyd's 31 .....	3.04
Alaska Textile Co Inc. v Lloyd Williams Fashions Inc, 777 F Supp 1139 (SDNY 1991), 982 F 2d 813 (2d Cir 1992) .....	6.13, 9.08
Alcock v Hopkins, 60 Mass 484 (1850) .....	2.76
Aldabe Fermin v Standard Chartered Bank [2010] SGHC 119, [2010] 3 SLR 722 .....	4.32, 9.52
Alderman v Pan American World Airways, 169 F 3d 99 (2nd Cir 1999) .....	PIII.08
Alderslade v Hendon Laundry Ltd [1945] 1 KB 189 .....	3.56
Alexander v Gardner (1835) 1 Bing (N.C.) 671 .....	4.36
Alfred Dunhill of London Inc v Republic of Cuba, 425 US 682 (1976) .....	8.29
All American Semiconductor Inc v Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, 105 Fed Appx 886, 2004 WL 1729868 (CA, Minn.) .....	5.23, 5.51, 6.45
Allstate Ins Co v Stolarz, 81 NY 2d 219 (1993) .....	10.17
American Airlines Inc v FDIC, 610 F Supp 199 (1985) .....	6.25
American National Bank v Cashman Bros. Marine Contracting, 550 SO 2d 98 (Dist Ct App Fla 1989) .....	6.14, 6.15
American Society of Mechanical Engineers v Hydrolevel Corp, 456 US 556, 566 (1982) .....	2.22
American Steel Co v Irving National Bank, 266 F 41 (2d Cir 1920) .....	6.05, 6.08
Amixco Asia (Pte) Ltd. v Bank Bumiputra Malaysia Bhd [1992] SGH 121, [1992] 2 SLR 943 .....	4.03, 4.36, 4.84, 5.65, 9.51, 9.52, 9.79
Amwest Surety Ins. Co v Concord Bank, 248 F Supp 2d 867 (ED Mo 2003) .....	6.10
Anchore Centre Partners Ltd v Mercantile Bank, 803 SW 2d 23, (S Ct, Missouri 1991) .....	1.55
Andina Coffee Inc. v National Westminster Bank 560 NYS 2d 1 (App Div 1990) .....	7.05
Anglo-South American Trust Co v Uhe, 261 NY 150 (1933) .....	4.44
Anns v Merton Borough Council [1978] AC 728 .....	3.34
Antaiois Compania Naviera SA v Salen Rederierna AB [1985] AC 191 . . . A.02, 9.26, 9.100, 10.48, 11.95	
Arab Bank Ltd v Barclays Bank [1954] AC 495 .....	11.110
Architects of Wine Ltd v Barclays Bank plc [2007] EWCA Civ 239, [2007] 2 All ER (Comm) . . . 5.23	
Aris Steamship Co Inc v Associated Metal & Minerals Corp [1980] 2 SCR 322 .....	7.107
Armar Shipping Co Ltd v Caisse Algerienne d' Assurance (The Armar) [1981] 1 All ER 498 .....	10.71
Arrow Transfer Co Ltd v Royal Bank of Canada [1972] SCR 845 .....	2.18
Arthur H Lamborn v Lake Shore Banking and Trust Co, 196 AD 504 (1921) .....	7.42

Association de Azucareros de Guatemala v United States National Bank of Oregon	423
F 2d 638 (9th Cir 1970) . . . . .	1.57
Assunzione, The [1954] P 150 . . . . .	11.48
Astro Exito Navegacion SA v Chase Manhattan Bank NA (The Messiniaki Tolmi) [1986]	
1 Lloyd's Rep 455 . . . . .	1.20, 7.29, 8.07, 8.31, 8.59
Atari Inc v Harris Trust & Savings Bank, 599 F Supp 592 (DC ND Ill, 1984) . . . . .	2.36, 5.52
Atlantic Mutual Insurance Co v Provident Bank, 669 NE 2d 901, 904 (Ohio Mun. 1996) . . . . .	2.20
Attock Cement Co Ltd v Romanian Bank for Foreign Trade [1989] 1 Lloyd's Rep 572 . . . . .	3.73,
	PIII.013, 10.74
Attorney General of Belize v Belize Telecom Ltd [2009] UKPC 10, [2009] Bus LR 1316 . . . . .	9.87
Auten v Auten, 308 NY 155 (1954) . . . . .	10.17
Auto Servicio San Ignacio SRL v Compania Anonima Venezolana, 765 F 2d 1306 (5th Cir 1985) . . . . .	5.35
Averbach v Vnescheconombank, 280 F Supp 2d 945 (ND Ca, 2003) . . . . .	10.29, 10.37, 10.38
B Bornstein & Son Inc v RH Macy & Co, 420 A 2d 477 (1980) . . . . .	3.28
BCCI Ltd v Ali [2001] UKHL 8, [2002] 1 AC 251 . . . . .	A.02
BCM Electronics Corporations v LaSalle Bank N.A 2006 WL 760196 (ND Ill), 59 UCC Rep Serv 2d 280 (2006) . . . . .	10.11, 10.12
BHP Petroleum Ltd v British Steel Plc [2000] CLC 1162 . . . . .	3.56
BP Exploration Co (Libya) Ltd v Hunt (No. 2)[1982] 1 All ER 925 . . . . .	9.56
Baltimor Aps Ltd v Naider & Biddle Ltd [1994] 3 NZLR 129, CA . . . . .	1.03
Banco Amazonas SA v BNP Paribas (Suisse) 2005 WL 5959993 (NY Sup) . . . . .	11.21
Banco de Vizcaya SA v First National Bank of Chicago, 514 F Supp 1280 (1981) . . . . .	11.80, 11.102
Banco do Brasil SA v City National Bank of Miami, 609 So 2d 689 (1992) . . . . .	9.53
Banco General Runinahui SA v Citibank International, 97 F 3d 480 (1996) . . . . .	6.24
Banco Nacional de Mexico SA v Societe Generale 2005 WL 6229841 (NY Sup) . . . . .	11.30, 11.34
Banco Nacional Ultramarino v First National Bank of Boston, 289 F 169 (1923) . . . . .	1.20, 6.05, 6.14, 8.56
Banco Santander SA v Bayern Ltd [2000] 1 All ER (Comm) 775, [2000] Lloyd's Rep Bank 165 . . . . .	1.36, 4.10, PIII.05
Bandung Shipping Pte Ltd v Keppel Tatlee Bank Ltd [2003] 1 SLR (Reissue) 295, [2003] 1 Lloyd's Rep 619 . . . . .	9.76
Bank Line Ltd v Arthur Capel & Co [1918] AC 435 . . . . .	8.03, 8.38
Bank Melli Iran v Barclays Bank (Dominion & Overseas) [1951] 2 Lloyd's Rep 367 . . . . .	6.44, 6.45
Bank of America National Trust v Liberty National Bank & Trust Co of Oklahoma 116 F Supp 233, 240 (WD Ok 1953), 218 F 2d 831 (10th Cir 1955) . . . . .	5.32
Bank of Baroda v Vysya Bank Ltd [1994] 2 Lloyd's Rep 87 . . . . .	10.44, 11.45, 11.69–11.71, 11.73, 11.82, 11.99
Bank of China v David Chan, 937 F 2d 780 (2nd Cir 1991) . . . . .	1.57
Bank of China v Standard Chartered Bank of Australia [1991] 23 NSWLR 164 . . . . .	2.40
Bank of Cochin Ltd v Manufacturers Hanover Trust Co 612 F Supp 1533 (SDNY 1985) . . . . .	6.04, 6.22, 6.25, 6.27, 9.53, 11.43
Bank of Credit & Commerce Hong Kong Ltd v Sonali Bank [1995] 1 Lloyd's Rep 227 . . . . .	11.45, 11.99, 11.100
Bank of Credit and Commerce International (Overseas) Ltd v Price Waterhouse [1998] BCC 617 . . . . .	3.36
Bank of Credit and Commerce International (Overseas) Ltd v State Bank of Pakistan 46 F Supp 2d 231 (SDNY, 1999) . . . . .	10.17
Bank of England v Vagliano Bros [1891] AC 107 . . . . .	2.18
Bank of Ireland v Evans' Charities Trustees (1855) 5 HLC 389, 10 ER 950 . . . . .	2.18
Bank of Italy v Merchants' National Bank, 236 NY 106 (1923) . . . . .	6.05, 6.14
Bank of Montral v Recknagel, 109 N.Y. 482 . . . . .	6.03
Bank of Montreal v Federal National Bank & Trust Co, 622 F Supp 6 (WD Okla) (1984) . . . . .	6.58, 6.64
Bank of New York & Trust Co v Atterbury Bros Inc 234 NYS 442 (1929) . . . . .	8.14
Bank of Newport v First National Bank & Trust Co of Bismarck, 687 F 2d 1257 (8th Cir 1982) . . . . .	6.10

*Table of Cases*

---

Bank of North Carolina v Rock Island Bank, 570 F 2d 202 (7th Cir, 1978) . . . . .	1.60, 10.02
Bank of Nova Scotia v Angelica-Whitewear Ltd (1987) 36 DLR (4th) . . . . .	6.04, 7.43
Bank of Taiwan v Union Syndicate Corp [1981] HKCI 86, [1981] HKC 205 . . . . .	1.20, 1.49
Bank of United States v Seltzer, 233 AD 225, NYS 637 (1931) . . . . .	2.76, 2.100
Bank of Western Australasia Ltd v Phil Zhanming Luo [2010] NSWSC 733 . . . . .	2.18
Bank One Texas, NA v Little 978 SW 2d 272 (1998) . . . . .	3.49, 3.23, 3.33
Bank Voor Handel en Scheepvaart v Slatford [1951] 2 All ER 779 . . . . .	11.104
Bankers Trust Co v State Bank of India [1991] 1 Lloyd's Rep 587, [1991] 2 Lloyd's Rep 445 . . . . .	5.63, 5.67, 5.69, 9.68, 9.73
Banque de L'Indochine et de Suez SA v JH Rayner (Mincing Lane) Ltd [1982] 1 QB 711 . . . . .	1.20, 6.24, 6.27, 6.54, 8.58, 8.65, 9.06
Banque de L'Union Haitienne SA v Manufacturers Hanover International Banking Corp, 787 F Supp 1416 (SD Fla 1991) . . . . .	6.24, 9.53
Banque Paribas v Hamilton Industries International Inc, 767 F 2d 380, 384 (1985) . . . . .	6.15
Bansal Hemant Govindprasad v Central Bank of India [2003] SGCA 3, [2003] 2 SLR (Reissue) 33 . . . . .	9.79
Barber v Meyerstein (1866) LR 2 CP 39 . . . . .	7.61, 7.67, 7.75, 7.77
Barclays Bank D.C.O. v Mercantile National Bank, 481 F 2d 1224 (5th Cir 1973) . . . . .	9.65
Barde Steel Products Corporation v Franklin National Bank, 281 F 814 (1922) . . . . .	4.44
Barkanic v General Admin. of Civil Aviation of the Peoples' Republic of China, 923 F 2d 957 (2nd Cir 1991) . . . . .	PIII.08
Barwick v English Joint Stock Bank (1867) LR 2 Exch 259 . . . . .	2.22
Basse v Bank of Australasia (1904) 90 LT 618 . . . . .	5.32, 6.05, 6.18
Bassett v Leslie 123 NY 396 (1890) . . . . .	2.76, 2.100
Bastone & Firminger Ltd v Nasima Enterprises (Nigeria) Ltd [1996] CLC 1902 . . . . .	11.40
Bater v Bater [1951] P 35, CA . . . . .	2.12
Bath Iron Works Corp v Westlib 2004 WL 784856 (SDNY) . . . . .	3.03, 6.69
Baxter v Chapman (1874) 29 LT 642 . . . . .	1.12, 6.02
Bayerische Vereinsbank v National Bank of Pakistan [1997] 1 Lloyd's Rep 59 . . . . .	4.45, 4.48, 5.65, 5.66
Beam Technology (Mfg) Pte Ltd v Standard Chartered Bank [2002] SGCA 53, [2003] 1 SLR . . . . .	PIII.05
Belmont Finance v Williams Furniture [1979] 1 Ch 250 . . . . .	2.39
Benecke v Haebler, 38 App Div 344 (1899) . . . . .	6.05
Bentsen v Taylor Sons & Co (No.2) [1893] 2 QB 274 . . . . .	3.07, 3.14, 3.18
Berkshire, The [1974] 1 Lloyd's Rep 185 . . . . .	7.101
Beximco Pharmaceuticals Ltd v Shamil Bank of Bahrain EC [2004] EWCA Civ 19, [2004] 2 Lloyd's Rep 1 . . . . .	10.02
Beyene v Irving Trust Co 762 F 2d 4 (2nd Cir 1985) . . . . .	6.14, 6.22
Bhojwani v Chung Khiaw Bank Ltd [1990] SLR 128 . . . . .	6.37
Biddell Bros v E Clemens Horst Co [1911] 1 KB 214 . . . . .	1.10, 9.76
Birkhead & Carlisle v Brown 5 Hill 634 (1843) . . . . .	2.76
Birmingham and District Land Co v London & North Western Ry Co (1888) 40 Ch D 268 . . . . .	3.10, 4.22
Bishop v Rowe (1815) 3 M & S 362, 105 ER 647 . . . . .	2.89
Bisker v National Bank N.A., 686 A 2d 561 (DC Ct App, 1996) . . . . .	5.21, 5.33, 7.12
Blanchard v Boom Co, 40 Mich 566 (1879) . . . . .	2.89
Blonder & Co v Citibank 808 NYS 2d 214 (App Div 2006) . . . . .	6.84, 5.24, 6.81
Bolt & Nur Co (Tipton) Ltd v Bowlands [1964] 2 QB 10 . . . . .	2.78
Bombay Industries Inc v Bank of New York, 32 UCC Rep Serv 2d 1155 (1996), 1997 WL 823554 . . . . .	5.61
Bonython v Commonwealth of Australia [1951] AC 201 . . . . .	10.40
Boston Hides & Fur Ltd v Sumitomo Bank Ltd, 870 F Supp 1153 (Dist Ct Mass 1994) . . . . .	9.53
Boston Law Book Co v Hawthorn, 127 A 2d 120, 125 (1956) . . . . .	10.17
Bowes v Howe (1813) 6 Taunt 30, 128 ER 596 . . . . .	2.87
Bowes v Shand (1877) 2 App Cas 455 . . . . .	7.46, 7.88
Bradley & Sons v Federal Steam Navigation Co Ltd (1927) 27 Li L Rep 395 . . . . .	7.79

Bradley Lomas Electrolok Ltd v Colt Ventilation East Asia Pte Ltd [1999] SGCA 89, [2001] 1 SLR 673 . . . . .	PIII.03
Braunfels National Bank v Odiorne 780 SW 2d 313 (1989) . . . . .	5.56
Brazilian and Portuguese Bank Ltd. v British & American Exchange Banking Corp (1868) 18 LT 823 . . . . .	7.63
Breathless Associates v First Savings & Loan Association of Murkburnett, 654 F Supp 832(1986) . . . . .	6.14, 6.25, 9.53
Bremer v Mackprang [1979] 1 Lloyd's Rep 221. . . . .	9.56
Bremer v Vanden [1978] 2 Lloyd's Rep 109 . . . . .	9.20
Bril v Suomen Pankki Finlands Bank 97 NYS 2d 22 (Sup Ct NY County 1950). . . . .	2.46, 2.50
Briscoe v Lubrizol Ltd [2000] ICR 694. . . . .	3.40
British Bank of Egypt v Hannevig's Bank (1919) . . . . .	7.102
British Columbia Saw-mill Co v Nettleship (1868) LR 3 CP 499. . . . .	1.03
British Imex Industries Ltd v Midland Bank Ltd [1958] 1 QB 542 . . . . .	7.79, 7.102
Britten Norman Ltd v State Ownership Fund of Romania [2000] Lloyd's Rep Bank 315 . . . . .	10.74
Broken Hill Proprietary Co v Latham [1933] Ch 373. . . . .	10.77
Brown Noel Trading Pte Ltd v Donald & McArthy Pte Ltd [1996] SGCA 72, [1996] 3 SLR (R)760. . . . .	3.04
Brown v United States National Bank of Omaha, 220 Neb 684 (1985) . . . . .	6.68
C.Czarnikow Ltd v Centrala Handlu Zagranicznego Rolimpex [1978] 1 QB 176	2.24
C Groom v Barber [1915] 1 KB 316 . . . . .	9.76
Calico Printers' Association v Barclays Bank Ltd (1930) 36 Com Cas 71, (1931) 39 LI L Rep 51 . . . . .	3.45, 4.73, 11.40
Calorie Stove Corp. v Chemical Bank & Trust Co, 205 F 2d 492 (2nd Cir 1953) . . . . .	6.14
Camidge v Allenby (1827) 6 B & C 372, 108 ER 489. . . . .	1.12, 2.89
Camp v Corn Exchange National Bank, 285 Pa 337 (1926) . . . . .	6.03, 7.91
Canada and Dominion Sugar Co Ltd v Canadian National (West Indies) Steamships Ltd [1947] AC 46 . . . . .	7.82
Canada Steamship Lines Ltd v The King [1952] AC 192. . . . .	3.56
Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce v Pamukbank TAS 166 Misc 2d 647 (1994) . . . . .	11.43, 11.102
Canadian Pacific Hotels Ltd v Royal Bank of Montreal [1987] 1 SCR 77, 40 DLR (4th) 385 . . . . .	2.18, 2.19
Canal Bank & Trust Co's Liquidation, Re 178 La 575 (1933) . . . . .	2.100
Candler v Crane, Christmas & Co [1951] 2 KB 163 . . . . .	5.48
Cantrade Privatbank AG Zürich v Bangkok Bank Public Co Ltd 256 AD 2d 11 (1998). . . . .	11.19–11.21, 11.102
Caparo Industries plc v Dickman [1990] 2 AC 605 (HL) . . . . .	3.34–3.37, 3.39, 5.42–5.45
Cape Asbestos Co v Lloyds Bank Ltd [1921] WN 27 . . . . .	1.25
Carter Petroleum Products Inc. v Brotherhood Bank & Trust Co 97 P 3d 505 (Kan App 2004) . . . . .	4.55, 4.49
Casson v Ostley PJ Ltd [2001] EWCA Civ 1013, 2001 WL 676755 . . . . .	3.56
Central London Property Trust Ltd v High Trees House Ltd [1947] 1 KB 130 . . . . .	4.23
Central Trust Co v Rafuse [1986] 2 SCR 147, (1986) 31 DLR (4th) 481 . . . . .	3.44
Chailease Finance Corp v Credit Agricole Indosuez [2000] 1 Lloyd's Rep 348 . . . . .	5.35, 10.75, 10.77, 11.98
Channel Island Ferries Ltd v Sealink UK Ltd [1998] 1 Lloyd's Rep 323. . . . .	2.24
Charge Card Services, Re [1987] Ch 150, [1989] Ch 497 (CA) . . . . .	2.09, 2.77
Charles Rickards Ltd v Oppenheim [1950] 1 KB 616 . . . . .	3.18, 4.36
Chartbrook Ltd v Persimmon Homes Ltd [2009] UKHL 38, [2009] AC 1101 . . . . .	4.21, A.02
Chartered Bank of India, Australia and China v Macfayden & Co (1895) 64 QBD (NS) 367 . . . . .	10.86
Chase Manhattan Bank v Equibank, 550 F 2d 882 (3d Cir 1977) . . . . .	9.58
Chatenay v Brazilian Submarine Telegraph Co Ltd [1891] 1 QB 79 . . . . .	11.13
Chinsim Trading (Pte) Ltd v Indian Bank [1993] 2 SLR 144 . . . . .	7.25, 11.77
Chuidian v Philippine National Bank, 976 F 2d 561, 564 (9th Cir 1992) . . . . .	PIII.08, 10.10, 10.23, 10.29, 10.31, 10.37, 11.43

*Table of Cases*

---

Co-operative Centrale Raiffeisen-Boerenleenbank BA (Rabobank) v Bank of China [2004] 3 HKLRD 477, [2004] 3 HKC 119 . . . . .	7.19, 9.68, 9.69, 10.78, 10.92, 11.93, 11.99
Co-operative Centrale Raiffeisen-Boerenleenbank BA v Sumitomo Bank Ltd (The Royan) [1987] 1 Lloyd's Rep 345, [1988] 2 Lloyd's Rep 250 . . . . .	5.59, 5.62, 9.08, 9.34, 9.30, 9.36, 9.38, 9.68, 9.73
Cohen v Mason [1961] Qd R 518, 533 (CA, Queensland) . . . . .	2.26
Collen v Wright (1857) 7 El & Bl 302, 119 ER 1259 . . . . .	2.66
Collision Plan Unlimited Inc v Bankers Trust Co, 63 NY 2d 827 . . . . .	2.40
Combe v Combe [1951] 2 KB 215 . . . . .	4.23
Commercial Bank of Australia Ltd v Flannagan (1932) 47 CLR 461 . . . . .	2.41
Commercial Banking Co of Sydney Ltd v Jalsard [1973] AC 279 . . . . .	4.09, 6.15, 6.48, 6.51, 8.07
Commercial Marine & Piling Ltd v Pierse Contracting Ltd [2009] EWHC 2241, [2009] 2 Lloyd's Rep 659 . . . . .	10.74
Commissioners of Taxation v English, Scottish and Australian Bank [1920] AC 683 . . . . .	2.41
Commonwealth Trading Bank of Australia v Sydney Wide Stores Pty Ltd (1981) 55 ALJR 574 . . . . .	2.18
Compagnie Continentale D'Importation v Universion Der Sozialischen Sovier Republiken (1930) 30 Li L Rep 140 . . . . .	9.20
Compania Mercantile Argentina v United States Shipping Board (1924) 131 LT 388 . . . . .	8.29
Compania Naviera Vascongado v SS Cristina (The Cristina) [1938] AC 485 . . . . .	8.29
Compania Naviera Vasconzada v Churchill & Sim [1906] 1 KB 237 . . . . .	7.85
Compare Swinyard v Bowes (1816) 5 M & S 62, 105 ER 974 . . . . .	2.89
Comptoir Commercial Anversois. Power, Son & Co, Re [1920] 1 KB 868 . . . . .	9.87
Computer Place Services Pte Ltd v Malayan Banking Bhd [1996] SGHC 135, [1996] 2 SLR(R) 455 . . . . .	8.05, 8.07, 8.11
Confeccoes Texteis de Vouzela v Riggs Nat'l Bank, 994 F 2d 851 (1993) . . . . .	1.49
Consolidated Aluminium Corp v Bank of Virginia, 704 F 2d 136 (4th Cir 1983), 544 F Supp 386 (DC Md 1982) . . . . .	4.67, 10.21
Continental Casualty Co v South Trust Bank 933 So 2d 337 (2006) . . . . .	7.07
Contitrade Services Corp v Eddie Bauer Inc, 794 F Supp 514 (1992) . . . . .	1.57
Cookney v Osgoode Machinery Inc, 595 NYS 2d 919 (1993) . . . . .	10.17
Courtaulds North America Inc. v North Carolina National Bank 528 F 2d 802 (4th Cir 1975) . . . . .	6.15, 7.30
Courteens Seed Co v Hong Kong & Shanghai Banking Corp, 216 NYS 525 (1926), 245 NY 377 (1927) . . . . .	1.49, 2.60, 3.45, 5.35, 7.56
Craig v Delargy (1879) 16 SLT 750 . . . . .	7.80
Credit Agricole Indosuez v Banque Nationale de Paris [2001] 2 SLR 1 . . . . .	1.29, 1.35, 6.63, 10.88, 11.71
Credit Agricole Indosuez v Credit Suisse [2001] 1 All ER (Comm) 1088 . . . . .	5.12, 7.96, 9.94
Credit Agricole Indosuez v General Bank [2000] 1 Lloyd's Rep 123 . . . . .	3.47, 8.07, 8.50
Credit Agricole Indosuez v Muslim Commercial Bank Ltd [2000] 1 All ER (Comm) 172, [2000] 1 Lloyd's Rep 275 (CA) . . . . .	4.09, 6.60, 10.88
Credit Industriel et Commercial v China Merchants Bank [2002] EWHC 973 (Comm), [2002] 2 All ER 427 . . . . .	5.12, 5.22, 9.68, 9.69, 11.45, 11.100
Credit Lyonnais v New Hampshire Insurance Co Ltd [1997] CLC 909, 914, [1997] 2 Lloyd's Rep 1 . . . . .	11.73
Crocker Commercial Services Inc. v Countryside Bank 538 F Supp 1360 (ND Ill 1981) . . . . .	9.59, 9.60
Customs and Excise Commissioners v Barclays Bank [2007] 1 AC 181 . . . . .	3.34–3.36, 5.42
Cypress Bank v Southwestern Bell Telephone Co, 610 SW 2d 185, 187 (Tex Civ App 1980) . . . . .	4.44
Czarnikow-Rionda Sugar Trading Inc v Standard Chartered Bank London Ltd [1999] 2 Lloyd's Rep 187, [1999] 1 All ER 890 . . . . .	1.63, 10.91, 10.93, 10.94
DBJJJ Inc v National City Bank, 123 Cal App 4th 530 (2004) . . . . .	5.12, 5.80
Danube and Black Sea Railway v Xenos (1863) 13 CB (NS) 825, 143 ER 325 . . . . .	2.87
David Agmashenebeli, The [2003] 1 Lloyd's Rep 92 . . . . .	7.82
Davis Constructors Ltd v Farham Urban District Council [1956] AC 696 . . . . .	2.17, 8.03
Davis O'Brien Lumber Co Ltd v Bank of Montreal [1951] 3 DLR 536 . . . . .	6.13
De Haber v Queen of Portugal (1851) 17 QB 196, 117 ER 1255 . . . . .	8.28

*Table of Cases*

---

Denny Mott & Dickson Ltd v James Fraser & Co Ltd [1944] AC 265 . . . . .	8.03
Derry v Peek (1889) 14 App Cas 337, (1889) 5 TLR 625 . . . . .	8.17, 9.66
Deutsche Bank v Banque des Marchands (1931) 158 LT 364 (CA) . . . . .	11.105
Dexter Ltd v Schenker & Co (1923) 14 Li L Rep 586 . . . . .	2.46
Diamond Alkali Export Corp v Fl Bourgeois [1921] 3 KB 443 . . . . .	7.88
Dickson v Reuters Telegram Co Ltd (1877) 3 CPD 1 . . . . .	2.66
Discount Records Ltd v Barclays Bank Ltd [1975] 1 WLR 315 . . . . .	1.63
Dixon, Irmaos & Cia v Chase National Bank, 144 F 2d 759 (2nd Cir 1944) . . . . .	6.42, 6.43
Dixon v Heriot (1862) 2 F & F 760, 175 ER 1273 . . . . .	3.16
Doe v Wilson (1855) 14 ER 581 . . . . .	2.12
Don King Productions Inc v Warren [199] 2 All ER 218 . . . . .	1.51
Donald H Scott & Co Ltd v Barclays Bank Ltd [1923] 2 KB 1 . . . . .	6.12, 8.60
Donald v Suckling (1868) LR 1 QB 585 . . . . .	1.09
Donoghue v Stevenson [1932] AC 562 . . . . .	2.67, 5.46, 5.48
Dubai Electric Co v Islamic Republic of Iran Shipping Lines (The Iran Vojdan) [1984] 2 Lloyd's Rep 380 . . . . .	10.71
Duke of Brunswick v King of Hanover (1844) 6 Beav 1, 49 ER 724 . . . . .	8.28
Dynamics Corp of America v Citizens & Southern National Bank, 356 F Supp 991 (DC Ga, 1973) . . . . .	10.02
E Clemens Horst Co v Biddell Bros [1912] AC 18 . . . . .	1.10
E D & F Man Ltd v Nigerian Sweets and Confectionary Co Ltd [1977] 2 Lloyd's Rep 50 . . . . .	2.77
EB Savory & Co v Lloyds Bank [1932] 2 KB 122 . . . . .	2.41
East Girard Savings Association v Citizens National Bank, 593 F 2d 598 (5th Cir, 1979) . . . . .	1.60, 1.61
East West Corporation v DKBS 1912 [2002] 2 Lloyd's Rep 182, [2003] 1 Lloyd's Rep 239 . . . . .	9.76
Eastern Enterprises v Ong Choo Kim [1968-70] SLR (R) 416 . . . . .	2.12
Edward Owen Engineering Ltd v Barclays Bank Int'l Ltd [1978] QB 159 . . . . .	1.63
Eider, The [1893] P 119 . . . . .	10.74
Eigted & Co v National Bank of Scotland (1926) 25 Li L Rep 99 . . . . .	7.43
Empire Abrasive Equipment Corp. v Watson Inc, 567 F 2d 554 (3rd Cir 1977) . . . . .	10.21
Engel v Fitch (1868) LR 3 QB 314 . . . . .	1.03
English and Scottish Mercantile Investment Co Ltd v Brunton [1892] 2 QB 700 . . . . .	3.03
English, Scottish & Australia Bank Ltd v Bank of South Africa (1922) 13 Li L Rep 21 . . . . .	6.12, 6.39, 5.34, 6.11
Ennstone Building Products Ltd v Stanger Ltd [2002] EWCA Civ 916, [2002] 1 WLR 3059 . . . . .	10.44
Enrico Furst & Co v WE Fischer Ltd [1960] 2 Lloyd's Rep 340 . . . . .	2.49, 3.04, 3.06, 3.07, 3.10
Equitable Life Assurance Soceity v. Hyman [2002] 1 AC 408 . . . . .	9.87
Equitable Trust Co of New York v Dawson Partners Ltd (1927) 27 Li L Rep 49 . . . . .	3.51, 5.32, 5.34, 6.11, 6.18, 6.21, 6.22, 6.30–6.36, 6.39, 6.45, 6.48
Erickson v Refiners Export Co, 35 NYS 2d 829 (1942) . . . . .	1.57
European Asian Bank AG v Punjab & Sind Bank [1981] 2 Lloyd's Rep 651, [1982] 2 Lloyd's Rep 356 . . . . .	1.29, 11.87–11.89, 11.99
European Asian Bank AG v Punjab & Sind Bank (No. 2) [1983] 1 WLR 642, [1983] 1 Lloyd's Rep 611 (CA) . . . . .	4.09, 4.41, 5.57, 6.02, 10.84, 11.54
Evans v Beogradska Bank, 2002 WL 88497 (SDNY 2002) . . . . .	10.02
Federal Commissioners of Taxation v All States Frozen Food (1989) 88 ALR 575 . . . . .	2.84
Federal Deposit Insurance Co v Bank of Boulder, 911 F 2d 1466 (10th Cir 1990) . . . . .	4.07
Fennell v TLB Kent Co, 865 F 2d 498, 502 (2d Cir 1989) . . . . .	2.33
Fessard v Mugnier 18 CB (NS) 286 . . . . .	10.74
Fetim BV v Oceanspeed Shipping Ltd (The Flecha) [1999] 1 Lloyd's Rep 612 . . . . .	7.104, 7.105
Ficom SA v Sociedad Cadex Limitada [1980] 2 Lloyd's Rep 118 . . . . .	2.01, 3.17
Fidelity Partners Inc v Philippine Export and Foreign Loan Guarantee Corp, 921 F Supp 1113 . . . . .	11.104
Fieger v Pitney Bowes Credit Corp, 251 F 3d 386 (2nd Cir 2001) . . . . .	PIII.08
Finance One Public Co Ltd v Lehman Bros Special Financing Inc, 414 F 3d 325, 338–339 (2nd Cir 2005) . . . . .	10.17

*Table of Cases*

---

First Bank v Paris Savings & Loan Association, 756 SW 2d 329 (Tex App) (1988) .....	6.25
First Citizens Bank v Citizens and Associates, 82 SW 3d 259 (Tenn. 2002) .....	2.20
First National Bank of Atlanta v Wynne 149 Ga App 811 (1979) .....	5.55, 6.25
First State Bank v Diamond Plastics Corp, 891 P 2d 1262 (Sup Ct, Okl 1995) .....	6.64
Flagship Cruises Ltd. v New England Merchants 569 F 2d 699 (1st Cir 1978) .....	5.38, 5.39, 5.41,
	5.55, 6.25
Fleet National Bank NA v Liang Argentina SA, 4 Misc 3d 1025, 798 NYS 2d 344 (SDNY 2004) .....	11.80
Floating Dock Ltd v Hong Kong and Shanghai Banking Corp [1986] Lloyd's Rep 65 .....	1.20, 8.59
Foreman and Ellams Ltd v Blackburn [1928] KB 60 .....	7.49
Forestal Mimosa Ltd v Oriental Credit Ltd [1986] 1 Lloyd's Rep 329 .....	1.23, 8.05, 8.10
Fortis Bank SA v Indian Overseas Bank [2010] 1 Lloyd's Rep 227, [2011] EWCA Civ 58, [2011] 2 Lloyd's Rep 33 .....	1.23, 7.09, 7.11, 7.48, 9.81, 9.91, 9.92, 9.94, 9.102, 9.108
Frey & Son v Sherburne Co., 193 App Div 849 (1920) .....	6.05
Friedlander v Bank of Australasia (1909) 8 CLR 85 .....	8.23
Future Express, The [1992] 2 Lloyd's Rep 79, [1993] 2 Lloyd's Rep 542 .....	7.40, 7.41, 7.61, 7.58, 7.61
G Jaris & Co v Banque D'Athènes, 246 Mass 546, 576 (1923) .....	4.44
Galatia, The [1979] 2 Lloyd's Rep 450 .....	7.86
General Cable Ceat S.A. v Futura Trading Inc 1983 US Dist LEXIS 19956 .....	5.39, 5.41
Geys v Société Générale London Branch [2012] UKSC 63, [2013] 2 WLR 50 .....	3.04
Gian Singh & Co Ltd v Banque l'Indochine [1974] 1 WLR 1234, 2 All ER 754, [1974] 2 Lloyd's Rep 1 (PC) .....	5.32–5.34, 6.13, 7.14, 8.49
Gibson v Tobey 46 NY 637 (1871) .....	2.82
Giddens v Anglo-African Produce Co Ltd (1923) 14 Li L Rep 230 .....	1.25
Gilchrist B. Stockton v First Union National Bank of Florida, 700 So 2d 394 (Ct App Fla, 2001) .....	1.60
Gill & Duffus SA v Berger & Co Inc [1984] 1 AC 382 .....	1.10
Gillespie Bros & Co Ld v Roy Bowles Transport Ltd [1973] QB 400 .....	3.54, 3.56
Gillespie Bros & Co v Thompson Bros & Co (1923) Li L Rep 519 .....	5.52
Gladstone v Ottoman Bank (1863) 1 H & M 505, 71 ER 221 .....	8.28
Glencore Grain Rotterdam BV v Lebanese Organization for International Commerce (The Lorico) [1997] 4 All ER 514 (CA) .....	2.52, 3.04
Glencore International AG v Bank of China [1996] 1 Lloyd's Rep 135 .....	7.12, 7.13, 7.32, 9.85
Glyn Mills Currie v East and West India Dock Co (1881–82) LR 7 App Cas 591 .....	2.84, 7.74, 7.75, 7.77
Glynn v Margetson [1893] AC 351 .....	1.22, 7.100
Golden Acres Ltd v Queensland Estates Pty Ltd [1969] Queensland Law Report 378 .....	10.40
Golding v London & Edinburgh Ins Co Ltd [1932] Li L Rep 487 .....	3.04
Golodetz & Co Inc v Czanikow-Rionda Co Inc (The Galatia) [1979] 2 Lloyd's Rep 450 .....	3.73, 6.25, 7.79, 8.66
Goodrich v Friedman, 92 Conn 262 (1917) .....	2.77
Gosse Millard v Canadian Government Merchant Marine Ltd [1927] 2 KB 432 .....	7.79
Gourlay v Duke of Somerset (1809) 2 Ves 585, 34 ER 1238 .....	8.43, 8.44
Governors of the Peabody Donation Fund v Sir Lindsay Parkinson & Co Ltd [1985] AC 210 .....	3.35
Greenough v Munroe, 53 F 2d 362 (2n Cir 1931) .....	2.76, 2.100
Greenwood v Martin's Bank Ltd [1933] AC 51, [1933] 1 KB 371 .....	2.19
Greer v Downs Supply Co [1927] 2 KB 28 .....	3.03
Grosvenor Casinos Ltd v National Bank of Abu Dhabi [2008] EWHC 511 (Comm.), [2008] 2 Lloyd's Rep 1 .....	11.40
Grundt v Great Boulder Pty Gold Mines Ltd (1937) 59 CLR 641 .....	4.22
Grunwald v Wells Fargo Bank N.A. 725 NW 2d 324 (Iowa App, 2005) .....	5.21, 7.12
Guaranty Trust Co of New York v Hannay & Co [1918] 2 KB 623 (CA) .....	1.08, 1.12, 5.57, 6.02
Guaranty Trust of New York v Van Den Berghs Ltd (1925) 22 Li L Rep 447 .....	6.04, 6.57

*Table of Cases*

---

Gulf States Section, PGA Inc v Whitney National Bank of New Orleans, 689 So 2d 638 (La App, 4 Cir 1997).....	2.20
Gunn v Bolckow, Vaughan & Co (1875) 10 Ch App 491 .....	2.78, 2.84
 HIH Casualty & General Insurance Ltd v Chase Manhattan Bank [2003] UKHL 6, [2003]	
2 Lloyd's Rep 61, [2003] 1 CLC 358 .....	3.56
Habib Bank Ltd v Central Bank of Sudan [2006] EWHC 1767 (Comm), [2006]	
2 Lloyd's Rep 412 .....	11.45, 11.100
Hadley v Baxendale (1854) 9 Ex Ch 341 .....	1.03
Haldane v Johnson, 8 Ex 689 .....	10.74
Hallock v State, 64 NY 2d 224, 231 (1984) .....	2.33
Halpern v Halpern [2007] EWCA Civ 291, [2008] QB 195.....	10.02
Hamilton Bank N.A. v Kookmin Bank, 245 F 3d 82 (2d Cir 2001).....	9.53
Hamlyn v Wood [1891] 2 QB 488 (CA) .....	9.87
Hamzeh Malas & Sons v British Imex Industries Ltd [1958] 2 QB 127 (CA) .....	1.14, 5.33
Handelsgesellschaft mbH v C Mackprang Jr [1979] 1 Lloyd's Rep 221 .....	3.07
Hang Lung Bank Ltd v Datuk Tan Kim Chua [1986] SLR 441.....	10.40
Hanil Bank v PT Bank Negara Indonesia, 41 UCC Rep Serv 2d 618 (SDNY 2000).....	6.14, 6.22
Hanil Bank v PT Bank Negara Indonesia (Persero), 1997 WL 411465 (SDNY) .....	11.80
Hansson v Hamel & Horley Ltd [1922] 2 AC 36.....	6.04, 6.15, 7.49, 7.53
Harlow & Jones Ltd v American Express Bank Ltd [1990] 2 Lloyd's Rep 343.....	3.72, 9.08
Harrison v Huddersfield Steamship Co, Ltd (1902-1903) 19 TLR 386.....	7.107
Hedley Byrne & Co v Heller & Partners Ltd [1964] AC 465 .....	2.67, 3.34, 3.35, 5.48
Helbert Wagg & Co Ltd, Re [1956] 1 Ch 323 .....	11.105, 11.110
Helstan Securities Ltd v Hertfordshire County Council [1978] 3 All ER 262 .....	1.51
Henderson v Merrett Syndicates Ltd [1995] 2 AC 145 .....	3.35, 3.44
Henry Prince v Oriental Bank Corp (1878) 3 App Cas 325 .....	11.40
Herbert Construction Co v Continental Ins Co 931 F 2d 989, (2nd Cir 1991) .....	2.33, 2.40
Heritage Bank v Redcom Laboratories Inc 250 F 3d 319 (5th Cir 2001).....	4.63
Heskell v Continental Express Ltd (1950) 83 Ll L Rep 438 .....	7.38
Heyman v Darwins [1942] AC 356 .....	3.04
Hillas & Co Ltd v Arcos Ltd (1932) 147 LT 503.....	2.26, 2.24, 8.54, 10.100
Hing Yip Fat Co Ltd v Daiwa Bank Ltd [1991] 2 HKLR 35.....	6.24, 6.37
Hirji Mulji v Cheong Yue Steamship Co Ltd [1926] AC 497 .....	8.03
Hodgson v Davies (1810) 2 Camp 530, 170 ER 1241 .....	8.60
Holland Colombo Trading Society Ltd [1954] 2 Lloyd's Rep 45.....	7.49, 7.53
Homburg Houtimport BV v Agrosin Private Ltd (The Starsin) [2004] 1 AC 715 .....	7.100, 7.102
Hong Kong and Shanghai Banking Corpn v Kloekner & Co [1990] 2 QB 514.....	1.58
Hooghly Mills Co Ltd v Seltron Pte Ltd [1994] SGHC 272, [1995] 1 SLR 773 .....	11.113
Hornal v Neuberger Products Ltd [1957] 1 QB 247 .....	2.12
Howard v Manufacturing Co, 139 US 199.....	1.03
Hughes v Metropolitan Ry Co (1877) 2 App Cas 439318, .....	4.22
Hughes v Metropolitan Railway Co [1972] 1 Lloyd's Rep 313.....	3.11, 3.13
 I.E. Contractors Ltd. v Lloyd's Bank plc [1990] 2 Lloyd's Rep 496 .....	5.21
Ian Stach Ltd v Baker Bosley Ltd [1958] 2 QB 130.....	2.55, 2.56, 3.07
Indian Bank v Union Bank of Switzerland [1994] 2 SLR 121 (CA, Singapore) .....	1.44
Indian Overseas Bank v. United Coconut Oil Mills Inc [1992] SGCA 62, [1993] 1 SLR 141 .....	6.13, 6.42, 6.48, 6.52
Industrial & Commercial Bank Ltd v Banco Ambrosiano Veneto SpA [2001] SGHC 120, [2003] 1 SLR (R) 221 .....	2.37, 2.39, 2.43
Industrie Chimiche Italia Centrale SPA v Nea Ninemia Shipping Co SA [1983] 1 Lloyd's Rep 310 .....	3.56
Inland Revenue Commissioners v Raphael [1935] AC 96.....	A.02
Instituto Nacional de Commercialization Agricola (Indeca) v Continental Illinois National Bank (7th Cir 1982) .....	5.35