


# Representing Finite Groups

---

Qing Jun Hou



---

# REPRESENTING FINITE GROUPS

---

*Editor*

---

Qing Jun Hou



**scitus**  
academics

# **Representing Finite Groups**

Edited by **Qing Jun Hou**

ISBN: 978-1-68117-568-3

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016943000

© 2017 by  
SCITUS Academics LLC,  
616, Corporate Way, Suite 2, 4766,  
Valley Cottage, NY 10989

[www.scitusacademics.com](http://www.scitusacademics.com)

This book contains information obtained from highly regarded resources. Copyright for individual articles remains with the authors as indicated. All chapters are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

## **Notice**

Reasonable efforts have been made to publish reliable data and views articulated in the chapters are those of the individual contributors, and not necessarily those of the editors or publishers. Editors or publishers are not responsible for the accuracy of the information in the published chapters or consequences of their use. The publisher believes no responsibility for any damage or grievance to the persons or property arising out of the use of any materials, instructions, methods or thoughts in the book. The editors and the publisher have attempted to trace the copyright holders of all material reproduced in this publication and apologize to copyright holders if permission has not been obtained. If any copyright holder has not been acknowledged, please write to us so we may rectify.

Printed in United States of America on Acid Free Paper

---

# **REPRESENTING FINITE GROUPS**

---



---

# PREFACE

---

Geometry is nothing but an expression of a symmetry group. Representation theory is the study of how such an abstract group appears in different avatars as symmetries of geometries over number fields or more general fields of scalars. It is a technique for analyzing abstract groups in terms of groups of linear transformations. In essence, a representation makes an abstract algebraic object more concrete by describing its elements by matrices and the algebraic operations in terms of matrix addition and matrix multiplication. The algebraic objects amenable to such a description include groups, associative algebras and Lie algebras. The most prominent of these is the representation theory of groups, in which elements of a group are represented by invertible matrices in such a way that the group operation is matrix multiplication. Representation theory is a useful method because it reduces problems in abstract algebra to problems in linear algebra, a subject that is well understood.

Representing Finite Groups provides comprehensive overview on the basics of representation theory for finite groups from the point of view of semisimple algebras and modules over them. This book will be of valuable tool for professors, practitioners, and researchers in mathematics and mathematical physics.



---

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

Chapter. 1	A characterization of supersolubility of finite groups	1
Chapter. 2	On supersolvability of factorized finite groups	13
Chapter. 3	On s-semipermutable or ss-quasinormal subgroups of finite groups	21
Chapter. 4	Topological methods in moduli theory	35
	Index	269





---

# A CHARACTERIZATION OF SUPERSOLUBILITY OF FINITE GROUPS

---

Jinbao Li

Department of Mathematics, Chongqing University of Arts and Sciences,  
Chongqing, 402160, P.R. China

---

---

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we study the structure of finite groups whose minimal subgroups are  $\lambda$ -supplemented and give a new characterization of supersolubility of finite groups.

## KEYWORDS

finite groups, minimal subgroups,  $\lambda$ -supplemented subgroups, supersoluble groups

## 1 INTRODUCTION

All groups considered in this paper are finite.

It is an interesting topic in group theory to study the generalized permutable subgroups and generalized supplemented subgroups. The present paper is a contribution to this line of research.

Let  $G$  be a group and  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ .  $H$  is said to be a permutable (or quasinormal) subgroup of  $G$  if  $H$  permutes with every subgroup of  $G$ . If  $H$  permutes with every Sylow subgroup of  $G$ , then we call  $H$  an  $S$ -permutable (or  $S$ -quasinormal) subgroup of  $G$ . Recently, these concepts have been generalized by many authors. In [1], Ballester and Pedraza-Aguilera called  $H$  an  $S$ -quasinormally embedded subgroup of  $G$  if a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$  is also a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of some  $S$ -quasinormal subgroup of  $G$  for each prime  $p$  dividing the order of  $H$ . In [2], Skiba introduced the concepts of weakly  $S$ -permutable subgroups and weakly  $S$ -supplemented subgroups.  $H$  is said to be weakly  $S$ -supplemented (weakly  $S$ -permutable) in  $G$  if  $G$  has a subgroup (subnormal subgroup)  $T$  such that  $G=HT$  and  $H \cap T \leq H_s G$ , where  $H_s G$  denotes the subgroup of  $H$  generated by all those subgroups of  $H$  which are  $S$ -quasinormal in  $G$ . As a generalization and unification of the above two different kinds of embedding property of subgroups, Li and Chen in [3] introduced the concept of  $\lambda$ -supplemented subgroups. Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ .  $H$  is said to be  $\lambda$ -supplemented in  $G$  if  $G$  has a subgroup  $T$  such that  $G=HT$  and  $H \cap T \leq H^S E$ . In this definition,  $H^S E$  denotes the subgroup of  $H$  generated by all those subgroups of  $H$  which are  $S$ -quasinormally embedded in  $G$ . Using this idea, some new characterizations of  $p$ -supersolubility of finite groups are obtained in [3] under the assumption that the maximal subgroups of the Sylow subgroups of some special subgroups are  $\lambda$ -supplemented.

In this paper, we study the structure of groups with some  $\lambda$ -supplemented minimal subgroups and give a new characterization of supersolubility of finite groups. In fact, the main result established in this paper provides a new criterion, in terms of the  $\lambda$ -supplemented cyclic subgroups of order prime or 4 contained in the generalized Fitting subgroups, for a group to be contained in a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups.

We prove the main result in Section 3. Section 4 includes some applications of our main result, which unify and extend many previous known results; and the paper concludes with a partial answer to a question of Skiba.

The notation and terminology in this paper are standard. The reader is referred to [4] if necessary.

## 2 PRELIMINARIES

We cite here some known results which are useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.1** [[3], Lemma 2.3]

*Let  $G$  be a group and  $H \leq K \leq G$ .*

- 1 Suppose that  $H$  is normal in  $G$ . Then  $K/H$  is  $\lambda$ -supplemented in  $G/H$  if and only if  $K$  is  $\lambda$ -supplemented in  $G$ .
- 2 If  $H$  is  $\lambda$ -supplemented in  $G$ , then  $H$  is  $\lambda$ -supplemented in  $K$ .
- 3 Suppose that  $H$  is normal in  $G$ . Then  $NH/H$  is  $\lambda$ -supplemented in  $G/H$  for every  $\lambda$ -supplemented subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  satisfying  $(|N|, |H|) = 1$ .

**Lemma 2.2** [[3], Lemma 2.2]

*Suppose that  $U$  is  $S$ -quasinormally embedded in a group  $G$ . If  $U \leq \text{Op}(G)$ , then  $U$  is  $S$ -quasinormal in  $G$ .*

**Lemma 2.3** [[5], Lemma 2.8]

*Let  $H$  be a normal subgroup of a group  $G$ . Then  $H \leq \text{ZU}_\infty(G)^H \leq \text{Z}_\infty^U(G)$  if and only if and only if  $H / (H) \leq \text{Z}^U(G)$ .*

**Lemma 2.4** [[6], Theorem 3.1]

Let  $p$  be the smallest prime dividing the order of a group  $G$  and  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent if and only if every cyclic subgroup  $H$  of  $P$  of order  $p$  or order 4 (if  $P$  is a non-Abelian 2-group and  $H \not\leq \text{Z}_\infty(G)$ ) is  $\lambda$ -supplemented in  $G$  provided that  $H$  does not possess any supersoluble supplement in  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.5** [[6], Theorem 3.2]

*Let  $G$  be a group with a normal subgroup  $E$  such that  $G/EG/E$  is supersoluble. Suppose that for every non-cyclic Sylow subgroup  $P$  of  $E$ , every cyclic subgroup  $H$  of  $P$  of prime order or order 4 (if  $P$  is a non-Abelian 2-group and  $H \not\leq \text{Z}_\infty(G)$ ) is  $\lambda$ -supplemented in  $G$  provided that  $H$  does not possess any supersoluble supplement in  $G$ . Then  $G$  is supersoluble.*

**Lemma 2.6** [[7], Chapter X]

Let  $G$  be a group. Then

- 1 If  $G$  is quasinilpotent and  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $N$  and  $G/N$  are quasinilpotent.
- 2 If  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $F^*(N) = N \cap F^*(G)$ .
- 3  $F(G) \leq F^*(G) = F^*(F^*(G))$ . Moreover, if  $F^*(G)$  is soluble, then  $F^*(G) = F(G)$ .

### 3 MAIN RESULTS

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $N$  be a non-trivial normal  $p$ -subgroup of a group  $G$  of exponent  $p$ . Suppose that every minimal subgroup of  $N$  without any supersoluble supplement in  $G$  is  $\lambda$ -supplemented in  $G$ . Then  $N \leq Z_{\infty}^{\mathcal{U}}(G)$ .*

*Proof* Assume that  $\Phi(N) \neq 1$ . We check that  $N/\Phi(N)$  satisfies the hypothesis. Let  $H/\Phi(N)$  be a minimal subgroup of  $N/\Phi(N)$ . Then  $H/\Phi(N) = \langle x \rangle \Phi(N)/\Phi(N)$ , where  $x \in H \setminus \Phi(N)$ . By the hypothesis,  $x$  is of order  $p$ . If  $\langle x \rangle$  has a supersoluble supplement  $T$  in  $G$ , then  $T\Phi(N)/\Phi(N)$  is a supersoluble supplement of  $H/\Phi(N)$  in  $G/\Phi(N)$ . Suppose that  $\langle x \rangle$  does not have a supersoluble supplement in  $G$ . Then  $\langle x \rangle$  is  $\lambda$ -supplemented in  $G$  by the hypothesis and so  $G$  has a subgroup  $T$  such that  $G = \langle x \rangle T$  and  $\langle x \rangle \cap T \leq \langle x \rangle SE$ . If  $\langle x \rangle SE = 1$ , then  $\langle x \rangle \Phi(N)/\Phi(N) \cap T\Phi(N)/\Phi(N) = 1$ . If  $\langle x \rangle SE \neq 1$ , then  $\langle x \rangle$  is  $S$ -quasinormal in  $G$  by Lemma 2.2 and therefore  $H/\Phi(N)$  is  $S$ -quasinormal in  $G/\Phi(N)$ . Thus, we have that  $H/\Phi(N)$  is  $\lambda$ -supplemented in  $G/\Phi(N)$ . Hence,  $N/\Phi(N)$  satisfies the hypothesis and consequently  $N/\Phi(N) \leq Z_{\infty}^{\mathcal{U}}(G/\Phi(N))$  by induction. By Lemma 2.3,  $N \leq Z_{\infty}^{\mathcal{U}}(G)$ . Now we consider the case  $\Phi(N) = 1$ . Let  $H/KH/K$  be any chief factor of  $G$  with  $H \leq N$  and  $L/K$  be a minimal subgroup of  $H/KH/K$  which is normal in some Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G/K$ . Then  $L = \langle x \rangle K$  for some  $x \in L \setminus K$ . Suppose that  $\langle x \rangle$  has a supersoluble supplement  $T$  in  $G$ . Then  $\langle x \rangle \leq T$  or  $\langle x \rangle \cap T = 1$ . If  $\langle x \rangle \leq T$ , then  $H/K$  is of order  $p$  since  $G/K = T/K$  is a supersoluble group. Assume that  $\langle x \rangle \cap T = 1$ . Then  $N \cap T$  is normal in  $G$  and  $N/(N \cap T)$  is of order  $p$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $N \cap T$  satisfies the hypothesis and so  $N \cap T \leq Z_{\infty}^{\mathcal{U}}(G)$  by induction. It follows that  $N \leq Z_{\infty}^{\mathcal{U}}(G)$  since  $N/(N \cap T)$  is of order  $p$ . If  $\langle x \rangle$  does not have a supersoluble supplement in  $G$ , then by the hypothesis,  $G$  has a subgroup  $T$  such that  $G = \langle x \rangle T$  and  $\langle x \rangle \cap T \leq \langle x \rangle SE$ . If  $\langle x \rangle \cap T = 1$ , then  $N \leq ZU_{\infty}(G)$  as above. If  $\langle x \rangle SE \neq 1$ , then  $\langle x \rangle$  is  $S$ -quasinormal in  $G$  by Lemma 2.2. Hence,

$\text{Op}(G) \subseteq \text{NG}(\langle x \rangle)$ . Since  $L/K = \langle x \rangle K/K$  is also a normal subgroup of some Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G/K$ , we obtain that  $L/K$  is normal in  $G/K$  and so  $H/K$  is of order  $p$ , which implies that  $N \leq \text{ZU}\infty(G) \leq \text{Z}_{\infty}^{\text{U}}(G)$ . Thus, the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2** *A group  $G$  is supersoluble if and only if  $G$  has a normal subgroup  $E$  such that  $G/EG/E$  is supersoluble and for every non-cyclic Sylow subgroup  $P$  of  $F^*(E)$ , every cyclic subgroup  $H$  of  $P$  of prime order or order 4 (if  $P$  is a non-Abelian 2-group and  $H \not\leq \text{Z}_{\infty}(G)$ ) is  $\lambda$ -supplemented in  $G$  provided that  $H$  does not possess any supersoluble supplement in  $G$ .*

*Proof* The necessity is obvious and we consider only the sufficiency. Suppose it is false and let  $G$  be a counterexample with  $|G|+|E|$  minimal. Then  $G$  has the following properties.

(1)  $F = F^*(E) = F(E) \neq E$ .

By Lemma 2.4,  $F^*(E)$  is soluble. Hence,  $F^*(E) = F(E)$  by Lemma 2.6. If  $F^*(E) = E$ , then by Lemma 2.5, we conclude that  $G$  is supersoluble, a contradiction. Therefore,  $F^*(E)$  is a proper subgroup of  $E$ .

(2) Let  $p$  be the smallest prime dividing the order of  $F$  and  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $F$ . Then  $p > 2$ .

Assume that  $p=2$ . Write  $V/P = F^*(E/P)$ . Let  $Q$  be a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $E$ , where  $q \neq 2$ . Then  $PQ$  is 2-nilpotent by the hypothesis and Lemma 2.4. Since  $P$  is normal in  $G$ ,  $PQ$  is nilpotent. It is immediate that  $\text{O}^2(E) \leq \text{CE}(P)$ . Consider the normal subgroup  $W = \text{O}^2(V)P$  of  $E$ . Then  $W/PW/P$  is a quasinilpotent group by Lemma 2.6. We claim that every chief factor of  $W$  below  $P$  is central in  $W$ . In fact, let  $H/KH/K$  be a chief factor of  $W$  with  $H \leq P$  and  $W_p/P$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $W/PW/P$ . Then  $W_p$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $W$  and  $H/K \cap \text{Z}(W_p/K) \neq 1$ . Let  $L/KL/K$  be a subgroup of order  $p$  contained in  $H/K \cap \text{Z}(W_p/K)$ . Then  $L/K$  is centralized by  $W_p/K$ . Besides, since  $\text{O}^2(E) \leq \text{CE}(P)$ ,  $L/K$  is centralized by  $W_qK/K$  for every Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $W_q$  of  $W$  with  $q \neq p$ . Hence,  $L/K$  is centralized by  $W/K$ . It follows that  $H/K = L/K$  is a central chief factor of  $W$ , as desired. Therefore  $W$  is a quasinilpotent group and  $W \leq F^*(E) = F(E)$ . Thus,  $W$  is nilpotent and  $V/F(E)$  is a 2-group, which shows that  $V$  is soluble. Hence,  $V/P = F^*(E/P)$  is nilpotent. Let  $R$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $V$ . Then  $R$  is normal in  $V$ . Let  $H$  be a Hall  $2'$ -subgroup of  $V$ . Then  $H$  stabilizes the series  $R \geq P \geq 1$  and so  $H \leq \text{CV}(R)$  (see [[4], Lemma 3.2.3] or [[8], Ch.5, Theorem 3.2]). Now we have that  $V$  is nilpotent and so  $V = FV = F$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $G/P$  satisfies the hypothesis. The choice of  $G$  implies that  $G/PG/P$  is

supersoluble and so  $G$  is supersoluble by Lemma 2.5, a contradiction. Hence,  $p$  is an odd prime.

(3) If for some normal subgroup  $D$  of  $G$  we have  $D \leq P \cap Z_{\infty}^U(G)$ ,  $1=D_0 \leq D_1 \leq \dots \leq D_t=D$  is a chief series of  $G$  below  $D$  and  $C=C_1 \cap C_2 \cap \dots \cap C_t$ , where  $C_i=CG(D_i/D_{i-1})$ , then  $E \leq C$ .

Since  $D \leq Z_{\infty}^U(G)$ ,  $G/C_G(D_i/D_{i-1})$  is cyclic and so  $G/C$  is Abelian. Thus,  $G/(E \cap C)$  is supersoluble by the hypothesis. By (1)  $F^*(E)=F(E) \leq E \cap C$ , from which we conclude that  $F^*(E \cap C)=F^*(E)$  by Lemma 2.6. Hence, the hypothesis is still true for  $(G, E \cap C)$ . The minimality of  $|G|+|E|$  implies that  $E \leq C$ .

(4)  $P$  is not cyclic.

If not, then  $E$  stabilizes a chain of subgroups of  $P$  by (3). It follows from [[4], Lemma 3.2.3] that  $E/CE(P)$  is a  $p$ -group. Thus,  $Op(E) \leq CE(P)$ . Similar to (2), we have that the pair  $(G/P, E/P)$  satisfies the hypothesis. Therefore, the minimal choice of  $(G, E)$  implies that  $G/PG/P$  is supersoluble. Hence,  $G$  is supersoluble by Lemma 2.5, a contradiction.

Final contradiction.

By (4) and [[8], Ch.5, Theorem 3.13],  $P$  possesses a characteristic subgroup  $D$  of exponent  $p$  such that every non-trivial  $p^{f'}$ -automorphism of  $P$  induces a non-trivial automorphism of  $D$ . Then  $D \leq Z_{\infty}^U(G)$  by Lemma 3.1. Let  $1=D_0 \leq D_1 \leq \dots \leq D_t=D$  be a chief series of  $G$  below  $D$  and  $C=C_1 \cap C_2 \cap \dots \cap C_t$  where  $C_i=CG(D_i/D_{i-1})$ . Then  $E \leq CE \leq C$  via (3). Thus,  $E/CE(D)$  is a  $p$ -group, which implies that  $E/CE(P)$  is also a  $p$ -group. Equivalently, we have  $Op(E) \leq CE(P)$ . Using an analogous argument as in (2), we have that  $G/P$  is supersoluble and consequently  $G$  is supersoluble by Lemma 2.5, which violates the choice of  $G$ .  $\square$

## 4 SOME APPLICATIONS

Since many relevant families of subgroups, such as normal subgroups,  $(S)$ -quasinormal subgroups (or  $(S)$ -permutable subgroups),  $c$ -normal subgroups, complemented subgroups,  $c$ -supplemented subgroups, weakly  $S$ -supplemented subgroups and  $S$ -quasinormally embedded subgroups, enjoy the  $\lambda$ -supplementary property, a lot of nice results follow from Theorem 3.2.

Recall first some concepts of subgroups mentioned above. Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ . We call  $H$  a complemented subgroup of  $G$  if there exists a subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $G=HT$  and the intersection of  $H$  and  $T$  is trivial.  $H$  is said to be  $c$ -normal ( $c$ -supplemented) in  $G$  if  $G$  has a normal subgroup (a subgroup)  $T$  such that  $G=HT$  and  $H \cap T \leq HG$ , where  $HG$  denotes the largest normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $H$  (see [9–11]).

Now, we give three corollaries which follow directly from Theorem 3.2.

**Corollary 4.1** [12]

*If every minimal subgroup of a group  $G$  of odd order is normal in  $G$ , then  $G$  is supersoluble.*

**Corollary 4.2** [13]

*Let  $G$  be a group with a normal soluble subgroup  $E$  such that  $G/E$  is supersoluble. If all subgroups of  $F(E)$  of prime order or order 4 are  $c$ -normal in  $G$ , then  $G$  is supersoluble.*

**Corollary 4.3** [14]

*Let  $G$  be a soluble group and  $E$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/EG/E$  is supersoluble. If every minimal subgroup of  $F(E)$  is complemented in  $G$ , then  $G$  is supersoluble.*

The following result can be established by applying a similar argument as that in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 4.4** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups. A group  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  if and only if there exists a normal subgroup  $E$  of  $G$  such that  $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$  and for every non-cyclic Sylow subgroup  $P$  of  $F^*(E)$ , every cyclic subgroup  $H$  of  $P$  of prime order or order 4 (if  $P$  is a non-Abelian 2-group and  $H \not\leq Z_\infty(G)$ ) is  $\lambda$ -supplemented in  $G$  provided that  $H$  does not possess any supersoluble supplement in  $G$ .*

By Theorem 4.4, we have

**Corollary 4.5** [15]

*Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and  $G$  be a group with a normal soluble subgroup  $E$  such that  $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$ . If all minimal subgroups and all cyclic subgroups with order 4 of  $F(E)$  are  $c$ -normal in  $G$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .*



**Corollary 4.6** [16]

*Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and  $G$  be a group with a normal subgroup  $E$  such that  $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$ . If all minimal subgroups and all cyclic subgroups with order 4 of  $F^*(E)$  are  $c$ -normal in  $G$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$*

**Corollary 4.7** [17]

*Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and  $G$  be a group with a normal subgroup  $E$  such that  $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$ . If every minimal subgroup and each cyclic subgroup with order 4 of  $F^*(E)$  is  $c$ -supplemented in  $G$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$*

**Corollary 4.8** [18]

*Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and  $G$  be a group with a normal soluble subgroup  $E$  such that  $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$ . If all minimal subgroups of  $F(E \cap G')$  are complemented in  $G$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

**Corollary 4.9** [19]

*Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and  $G$  be a group with a normal soluble subgroup  $E$  such that  $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$ . If all minimal subgroups and all cyclic subgroups with order 4 of  $F(E)$  are  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

**Corollary 4.10** [20]

*Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and  $G$  be a group with a normal subgroup  $E$  such that  $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$ . If every cyclic subgroup of  $F^*(E)$  of prime order or order 4 is  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

**Corollary 4.11** [21]

*Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and  $G$  be a group with a normal subgroup  $E$  such that  $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$ . If each minimal subgroup of  $F^*(E)$  of order prime or 4 is  $S$ -quasinormally embedded in  $G$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

In [2], Skiba proposed the following question.

**Question 4.12** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and  $G$  be a group with a normal subgroup  $E$  such that  $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$ . Suppose that every non-cyclic Sylow subgroup  $P$  of  $F^*(E)$