



生命科学实验指南系列



Metagenomics
Methods and Protocols

元基因组学：方法和步骤

(影印版)

〔德〕斯特赖特 W.R. 丹尼尔 R. 著



科学出版社

生命科学实验指南系列·典藏版

元基因组学：方法和步骤

Metagenomics: Methods and Protocols

(影印版)

〔德〕斯特赖特 W. R. 丹尼尔 R. 著

科学出版社

北 京

图字：01-2012-1769 号

内 容 简 介

“生命科学实验指南系列”图书均出自名家，包括众多从 Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press 和 John Wiley & Sons 等国际知名出版社引进的实验室必备工具书，是生命科学领域最先进、实用、权威的实验手册类优秀图书。该系列图书简单明了，囊括了全世界最著名的生物类实验室操作方法，无论是初学者还是需要深入研究的科研工作者都能从中获益。该系列图书在读者群中有较高的知名度和美誉度，特别是以《分子克隆实验指南》和《精编分子生物学实验指南》为代表，堪称经典，分别被喻为生命科学领域的“蓝宝书”和“红宝书”。现挑选其中的精品集结成典藏版。

Reprint from English language edition: *Metagenomics* by Wolfgang R. Streit and Rolf Daniel

Copyright © 2010, Humana Press

Humana Press is a part of Springer Science+Business Media

All Rights Reserved

This reprint has been authorized by Springer Science & Business Media for distribution in China Mainland only and not for export therefrom.

本影印版由施普林格科学商业媒体授权仅在中国大陆境内发行，不得出口。

图书在版编目 (CIP) 数据

生命科学实验指南系列：典藏版/雷东锋等编著. —北京：科学出版社，2016

ISBN 978-7-03-047486-5

I. ①生… II. ①雷… III. ①生命科学—实验—指南 IV. ①Q1-0

中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字(2016)第 043878 号

责任编辑：王 静 李 悦

责任印制：张 伟 / 封面设计：刘新新

科学出版社出版

北京东黄城根北街 16 号

邮政编码：100717

<http://www.sciencep.com>

北京厚诚则铭印刷科技有限公司印刷

科学出版社发行 各地新华书店经销

*

2016 年 7 月第 一 版 开本：787×1092 1/16

2016 年 7 月第一次印刷 印张：1310 1/2

字数：31 074 000

定价：4500.00 元

(如有印装质量问题，我社负责调换)

METHODS IN MOLECULAR BIOLOGY™

Series Editor
John M. Walker
School of Life Sciences
University of Hertfordshire
Hatfield, Hertfordshire, AL10 9AB, UK

For other titles published in this series, go to
www.springer.com/series/7651

Metagenomics

Methods and Protocols

Edited by

Wolfgang R. Streit

Microbiology & Biotechnology Klein Flottbek, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

Rolf Daniel

Institute of Microbiology and Genetics, Georg August University Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

 **Humana Press**

Editors

Wolfgang R. Streit
Microbiology & Biotechnology
Biocenter Klein Flottbek
University of Hamburg
Hamburg
Germany
wolfgang.streit@uni-hamburg.de

Rolf Daniel
Institute of Microbiology and Genetics
Department of Genomic and Applied Microbiology
Göttingen Genomics Laboratory
Georg August University Göttingen
Göttingen
Germany
rdaniel@gwdg.de

ISSN 1064-3745 e-ISSN 1940-6029
ISBN 978-1-60761-822-5 e-ISBN 978-1-60761-823-2
DOI 10.1007/978-1-60761-823-2
Springer New York Dordrecht Heidelberg London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2010934277

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2010

All rights reserved. This work may not be translated or copied in whole or in part without the written permission of the publisher (Humana Press, c/o Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, 233 Spring Street, New York, NY 10013, USA), except for brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis. Use in connection with any form of information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed is forbidden.

The use in this publication of trade names, trademarks, service marks, and similar terms, even if they are not identified as such, is not to be taken as an expression of opinion as to whether or not they are subject to proprietary rights. While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of going to press, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Humana Press is a part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Preface

Metagenomics is a key technology to explore the DNAs from not-yet-cultivated microbes in their natural habitats. Theoretically, the microbial DNA isolated from an environmental sample represents the collective DNA of all the indigenous microorganisms and is named the metagenome. Metagenomes can be quite diverse, and, depending on the microbial community analyzed, several hundred up to several thousand different species and genomes can be present in a single metagenome. Typically, soil metagenomes are rather complex with several thousand species present, while microbial communities growing under extreme conditions (i.e., hot springs) are usually rather limited in their complexity and biodiversity. The primary goal of metagenomics is to explore this almost unlimited biodiversity. The last 10 years have already paved the way for the culture-independent assessment and exploitation of complex microbial populations for basic and applied research. Metagenomics has been defined as function-based or sequence-based cultivation-independent analysis of the collective microbial genomes present in an environment. The developed metagenomic technologies are used to complement or replace culture-based approaches and bypass some of their inherent and well-known limitations.

Besides identification of new biomolecules, metagenomics has proven to be a powerful tool for exploring the ecology, metabolic profiling, and comparison of complex microbial communities. Profiling the functions encoded by a microbial community rather than the types of organisms producing them provides a means to distinguish environmental samples on the basis of the functions selected for by the local environment and reveals insights into features of that environment. Another application of metagenomics is the genomic characterization of uncultivated microorganisms and complex communities. In addition, large-scale sequencing approaches of metagenomic DNA have been applied to reconstruct genome fragments and near-complete genomes from uncultivated species and natural consortia.

The main application area of metagenomics is the mining of metagenomes for genes encoding novel biocatalysts and drug molecules for bioindustries. Due to the complexity of most metagenomes, new sensitive and efficient high-throughput screening techniques that allow for fast and reliable identification of genes encoding suitable biocatalysts from complex metagenomes have been invented. Screens of metagenomic libraries have been based either on nucleotide sequence (sequence-driven approach) or on metabolic activity (function-driven approach).

This current book gives an overview and introduction to basic methods commonly used in laboratories that have a strong background in microbial metagenomics. All chapters are written by experts in the field, and our goal is that this book serves those who are interested in establishing metagenomics in their laboratories as a manual. Within the book, we have tried to address all working steps involved in this field: Starting from the DNA isolation from soils and marine samples to the construction and screening of the libraries, and finally we offer some advice with respect to the bioinformatic tools available to screen large sequences. An overview on strategies involved in the isolation of DNAs from environmental samples is given in the first four chapters together with the main strategies that

are currently used for the construction of metagenome libraries. Chapters 5–8 describe protocols linked to the expression of metagenome libraries in different host strains. Those include simple protocols for the construction of a library in broad host range vectors but also rather sophisticated protocols to handle *Sulfolobus* as a host strain. Furthermore, the book contains a significant number of chapters that describe a wide variety of screening technologies used for the identification of different enzymes or other biomolecules using function- and sequenced-based technologies. Altogether, the 15 chapters describe a diverse range of screening protocols for metagenome libraries. In our view, this is a very complete description of available screening protocols for all major biocatalysts and allows an easy setup of these screens in any microbiology lab.

Wolfgang R. Streit
Rolf Daniel

Contributors

- ANGEL ANGELOV • *Department of Microbiology, Technical University Muenchen, Freising, Germany*
- JOZEF ANNÉ • *Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Rega Institute for Medical Research, K.U. Leuven, Leuven, Belgium*
- DAVID L. BALKWILL • *University of Central Florida College of Medicine, Orlando, FL, USA*
- ANNALISA BALLOI • *Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Alimentari e Microbiologiche, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy*
- ANA BELOQUI • *CSIC, Institute of Catalysis, Madrid, Spain*
- UWE T. BORNSCHEUER • *Department of Biotechnology and Enzyme Catalysis, Institute of Biochemistry, Greifswald University, Greifswald, Germany*
- DOMINIQUE BÖTTCHER • *Department of Biotechnology and Enzyme Catalysis, Institute of Biochemistry, Greifswald University, Greifswald, Germany*
- MINDY G. BROWN • *Biomedical Sciences, Florida State University College of Medicine, Tallahassee, FL, USA*
- JONG-CHAN CHAE • *Division of Biotechnology, College of Environmental and Bioresource Sciences, Chonbuk National University, Iksan, Korea*
- TREVOR C. CHARLES • *Department of Biology, University of Waterloo, WaterlooON, Canada*
- YIN CHEN • *Department of Biological Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK*
- DONALD A. COWAN • *Institute for Microbial Biotechnology and Metagenomics, University of the Western Cape, Cape Town, South Africa*
- DANIELE DAFFONCHIO • *Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Alimentari e Microbiologiche, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy*
- ROLF DANIEL • *Institute of Microbiology and Genetics, Georg August University Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany*
- FRANCESCA DE FERRA • *Division R&M, Eni, San Donato Milanese Milan, Italy*
- THOMAS DREPPER • *Research Centre Juelich, Institute of Molecular Enzyme Technology, Heinrich-Heine-University Duesseldorf, Juelich, Germany*
- MARC G. DUMONT • *Department of Biological Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK*
- MANUEL FERRER • *CSIC, Institute of Catalysis, Madrid, Spain*
- MICHAEL W. FRIEDRICH • *Max Planck Institute for Terrestrial Microbiology, Marburg, Germany*
- WOLFGANG GÄRTNER • *Max Planck Institute for Bioinorganic Chemistry, Mülheim, Germany*
- PETER N. GOLYSHIN • *School of Biological Sciences, Bangor University, Gwynedd, UK*

- JOSÉ E. GONZÁLEZ-PASTOR • *Laboratorio de Ecología Molecular, Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA), Madrid, Spain*
- MARÍA-EUGENIA GUZZARONI • *CSIC, Estación Experimental del Zaidín, Granada, Spain*
- CRISTIAN GURGUI • *Kekulé-Institut für Organische Chemie und Biochemie, Rheinische Friedrich Wilhelms University Bonn, Bonn, Germany*
- NELE ILMBERGER • *Microbiology & Biotechnology, Biocenter Klein Flottbek, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany*
- KARL-ERICH JAEGER • *Research Centre Juelich, Institute of Molecular Enzyme Technology, Heinrich-Heine-University Duesseldorf, Juelich, Germany*
- ANDREW W.B. JOHNSTON • *School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, Norfolk, UK*
- GEUN-JOONG KIM • *Department of Biological Sciences, College of Natural Sciences, Chonnam National University, Youngbong-Dong, Buk-Gu, Korea*
- STEFAN KURTZ • *Center for Bioinformatics, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany*
- CHRISTIAN LEGGEWIE • *evocatal GmbH, Düsseldorf, Germany*
- WOLFGANG LIEBL • *Department of Microbiology, Technical University Muenchen, Freising, Germany*
- CAROLIN LÖSCHER • *Institute for General Microbiology, Christian Albrechts University Kiel, Kiel, Germany*
- MASSIMO MARZORATI • *Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Alimentari e Microbiologiche, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy*
- TRACY MEIRING • *Institute for Microbial Biotechnology and Metagenomics, University of the Western Cape, Cape Town, South Africa*
- REBEKKA METZGER • *Institute for General Microbiology, Christian Albrechts University Kiel, Kiel, Germany*
- QUINTON MEYER • *Department of Genetics, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa*
- SALVADOR MIRETE • *Laboratorio de Ecología Molecular, Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA), Madrid, Spain*
- ELIZABETH H. MITCHELL • *Department of Cell Biology, University of Alabama, Birmingham, AL, USA*
- KENTARO MIYAZAKI • *Institute for Biological Resources and Functions, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan*
- INONGE MULAKO • *Institute for Microbial Biotechnology and Metagenomics, University of the Western Cape, Cape Town, South Africa*
- J. COLIN MURRELL • *Department of Biological Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK*
- JOSH D. NEUFELD • *Department of Biology, University of Waterloo, WaterlooON, Canada*
- RICARDO F. NORDESTE • *Department of Biology, University of Waterloo, WaterlooON, Canada*
- PHIL M. OGER • *Laboratoire de Sciences de la Terre, Ecole Normale Supérieure, Lyon, France*

- SOO-JE PARK • *Department of Microbiology, College of Natural Science, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, Korea*
- SO-YOUN PARK • *Department of Biological Sciences, College of Natural Sciences, Chonnam National University, Youngbong-DongBuk-Gu, Korea*
- GOPAL P. PATHAK • *Max Planck Institute for Bioinorganic Chemistry, Mülheim, Germany*
- JÖRN PIEL • *Kekulé-Institut für Organische Chemie und Biochemie, Rheinische Friedrich Wilhelms University Bonn, Bonn, Germany*
- SUNG-KEUN RHEE • *Department of Microbiology, College of Natural Science, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, Korea*
- MARLEN SCHMIDT • *Department of Biotechnology and Enzyme Catalysis, Institute of Biochemistry, Greifswald University, Greifswald, Germany*
- RUTH SCHMITZ • *Institute for General Microbiology, Christian Albrechts University Kiel, Kiel, Germany*
- DAVID J. SCHMITZ-HÜBSCH • *Department of Periodontology, University Hospital Münster, Münster, Germany*
- CAROLA SIMON • *Institute of Microbiology and Genetics, Georg August University Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany*
- WOLFGANG R. STREIT • *Microbiology & Biotechnology, Biocenter Klein Flottbek, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany*
- MARIA A. TRAINER • *Department of Biology, University of Waterloo, WaterlooON, Canada*
- SONJA CHRISTINA TROESCHEL • *Research Centre Juelich, Institute of Molecular Enzyme Technology, Heinrich-Heine-University Duesseldorf, Juelich, Germany*
- MARLA I. TUFFIN • *Institute for Microbial Biotechnology and Metagenomics, University of the Western Cape, Cape Town, South Africa*
- TAKU UCHIYAMA • *Institute for Biological Resources and Functions, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan*
- STÉPHANE UROZ • *Interactions arbres microorganismes, INRA, Champenoux, France*
- LIEVE VAN MELLAERT • *Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Rega Institute for Medical Research, K.U. Leuven, Leuven, Belgium*
- JOSÉ M. VIEITES • *CSIC, Institute of Catalysis, Madrid, Spain*
- KRISTOF VRANCKEN • *Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Rega Institute for Medical Research, K.U. Leuven, Leuven, Belgium*
- NANCY WEILAND • *Institute for General Microbiology, Christian Albrechts University Kiel, Kiel, Germany*
- MARGARET WEXLER • *School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, Norfolk, UK*

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	v
<i>Contributors</i>	ix
1 Molecular Methods to Study Complex Microbial Communities	1
<i>José M. Vieites, María-Eugenia Guazzaroni, Ana Beloqui, Peter N. Golyshin, and Manuel Ferrer</i>	
2 Construction of Small-Insert and Large-Insert Metagenomic Libraries	39
<i>Carola Simon and Rolf Daniel</i>	
3 Construction and Screening of Marine Metagenomic Libraries	51
<i>Nancy Weiland, Carolin Löscher, Rebekka Metzger, and Ruth Schmitz</i>	
4 Metagenomic Analysis of Isotopically Enriched DNA	67
<i>Yin Chen, Josh D. Neufeld, Marc G. Dumont, Michael W. Friedrich, and J. Colin Murrell</i>	
5 Wide Host-Range Cloning for Functional Metagenomics	77
<i>Margaret Wexler and Andrew W.B. Johnston</i>	
6 Cloning and Expression Vectors for a Gram-Positive Host, <i>Streptomyces lividans</i>	97
<i>Kristof Vrancken, Lieve Van Mellaert, and Jozef Anné</i>	
7 Heterologous Gene Expression in the Hyperthermophilic Archaeon <i>Sulfolobus solfataricus</i>	109
<i>Angel Angelov and Wolfgang Liebl</i>	
8 Novel Tools for the Functional Expression of Metagenomic DNA	117
<i>Sonja Christina Troeschel, Thomas Drepper, Christian Leggewie, Wolfgang R. Streit, and Karl-Erich Jaeger</i>	
9 Screening of Functional Promoter from Metagenomic DNA for Practical Use in Expression Systems	141
<i>So-Youn Park and Geun-Joong Kim</i>	
10 Substrate-Induced Gene Expression Screening: A Method for High-Throughput Screening of Metagenome Libraries	153
<i>Taku Uchiyama and Kentaro Miyazaki</i>	
11 Screens for Active and Stereoselective Hydrolytic Enzymes	169
<i>Dominique Böttcher, Marlen Schmidt, and Uwe T. Bornscheuer</i>	
12 Screening for Cellulase-Encoding Clones in Metagenomic Libraries	177
<i>Nele Ilmberger and Wolfgang R. Streit</i>	
13 Screening Metagenomic Libraries for Laccase Activities	189
<i>Manuel Ferrer, Ana Beloqui, and Peter N. Golyshin</i>	
14 Screening for N-AHSL-Based-Signaling Interfering Enzymes	203
<i>Phil M. Oger and Stéphane Uroz</i>	

15	Identification of Molecular Markers to Follow Up the Bioremediation of Sites Contaminated with Chlorinated Compounds	219
	<i>Massimo Marzorati, Annalisa Balloi, Francesca De Ferra, and Daniele Daffonchio</i>	
16	Methods for the Isolation of Genes Encoding Novel PHB Cycle Enzymes from Complex Microbial Communities.	235
	<i>Ricardo F. Nordeste, Maria A. Trainer, and Trevor C. Charles</i>	
17	Metagenomic Approaches to Identify and Isolate Bioactive Natural Products from Microbiota of Marine Sponges	247
	<i>Cristian Gurgui and Jörn Piel</i>	
18	Screening for Novel Antibiotic Resistance Genes.	265
	<i>Mindy G. Brown, Elizabeth H. Mitchell, and David L. Balkwill</i>	
19	Novel Metal Resistance Genes from Microorganisms: A Functional Metagenomic Approach	273
	<i>José E. González-Pastor and Salvador Mirete</i>	
20	Retrieval of Full-Length Functional Genes Using Subtractive Hybridization Magnetic Bead Capture	287
	<i>Tracy Meiring, Inonge Mulako, Marla I. Tuffin, Quinton Meyer, and Donald A. Cowan</i>	
21	Detection and Isolation of Selected Genes of Interest from Metagenomic Libraries by a DNA Microarray Approach	299
	<i>Gopal P. Pathak and Wolfgang Gärtner</i>	
22	Application of DNA Microarray for Screening Metagenome Library Clones	313
	<i>Soo-Je Park, Jong-Chan Chae, and Sung-Keun Rhee</i>	
23	<i>MetaGenomeThreader</i> : A Software Tool for Predicting Genes in DNA-Sequences of Metagenome Projects.	325
	<i>David J. Schmitz-Hübsch and Stefan Kurtz</i>	
	<i>Index</i>	339

Chapter 1

Molecular Methods to Study Complex Microbial Communities

José M. Vieites, María-Eugenia Guazzaroni, Ana Beloqui,
Peter N. Golyshin, and Manuel Ferrer

Abstract

Microbes, which constitute a major fraction of the total biomass, are the main source of biodiversity on our Planet and play an essential role in maintaining global processes, which ultimately regulate the functioning of the Biosphere. Recent emergence of “metagenomics” allows for the analysis of microbial communities without tedious cultivation efforts. Metagenomics approach is analogous to the genomics with the difference that it does not deal with the single genome from a clone or microbe cultured or characterized in laboratory, but rather with that from the entire microbial community present in an environmental sample; it is the community genome. Global understanding by metagenomics depends essentially on the possibility of isolating the entire bulk DNA and identifying the genomes, genes, and proteins more relevant to each of the environmental sample under investigation. Following on this, in this chapter, we provide an analysis of methods available to isolate environmental DNA and to establish metagenomic libraries that can further be used for extensive activity screens.

Key words: Metagenomics, Cosmid, Fosmid, Phage library, Screening

1. Introduction

Microbes, the most abundant organisms on Earth, play a major role in maintaining global element cycling processes and facilitating the self-sustainable functioning of the Biosphere. From this point of view, it is crucial to generate a thorough understanding of these key microorganisms and processes they facilitate. However, at present, we simply do not know the extent of the functional diversity that microbes encompass: a classical theoretical analysis endeavors a population of prokaryotes on Earth of about 10^{30} bacteria, few order of magnitude higher than the

number of stars in the known Universe (estimated 10^{22} – 10^{24}) (1–3), with most microbes being members of complex communities. Invertebrate guts are certainly one of the most dense and diverse niches [10^9 – 10^{11} cells per mL of gut fluid (4)], followed by soil [10^7 – 10^9 cells per gram (5)], and oligotrophic superficial sea- and freshwater [10^5 – 10^6 bacteria per milliliter (6)]. Any individual survey to study such diversity is limited due to the relatively poor capacity of growth of most microorganisms that is offered even by rather sophisticated resources available for culturing (7). To circumvent this problem, a wide range of approaches collectively described as “metagenomics” have been developed to study communities through the analysis of their genetic material without culturing individual organisms (8). Metagenomics is analogous to genomics with the difference that it does not deal with the single genome from a clone or microbe cultured or characterized in laboratory, but rather with that from the entire microbial community present in an environmental sample; it is the community genome. Metagenomics represents a strategic concept that includes investigations at three major interconnected levels (sample processing, DNA sequencing, and functional analysis), with an ultimate goal of getting a holistic view of the functioning of microbial World. While many of the technical limitations to processing of samples have been overcome in the last decade (multiwell DNA extractions, single-cell isolation, sequence analysis by technologies such as 454 or Solexa platforms), we believe that the major hurdles still are (1) the adequate metagenome coverage, since genes of different organisms are present in very different concentrations in the DNA used to construct the libraries or for sequencing, (2) the integrating and filtering gene sequences and experimental evidences to facilitate functional assignments of unknown genes, organisms, and communities and to recreate functional networks, and (3) the computational aspects of data archiving, analysis, and visualization of vast numbers of DNA sequences which are released to databases. In this respect, lessons from 20 years of metagenomics and four of high-throughput DNA sequencing [first analyses of microbial communities through massive sequencing were published in 2004 (9, 10)] tell that giga-base amounts of environmental sequences can easily be generated to a large extent, but only a fraction of them can properly be annotated in terms of gene functions (~50% of the potential protein-coding genes lacked any functional assignment). More importantly, DNA sequences per se are not that helpful in linking genes to specific functions as we know that more than 60% of genes are ubiquitous and have similar housekeeping functions in different organisms. Therefore, in this chapter, we try to provide a broad view on current technical issues to illustrate the potential of getting appropriate metagenomic material to create representative gene libraries, as the first step for analysing community genomes.

Following the above observations, the principal measure of phylogenetic relatedness, and thus of biodiversity, is the sequence of the 16S ribosomal RNA gene in prokaryotes and its equivalent 18S rRNA gene in eukaryotes. Determination of very large numbers of such sequences has revealed that natural environments contain vast numbers of diverse microorganisms, but only a fraction of them can properly be analyzed (11). This “great plate count anomaly” (12), in fact, observed from early 1930s stimulated the development of new efficient tools to circumvent problems linked to the cultivation of microbes in artificial medium, the so-called metagenomics (13). These are often described as culture-independent approaches and, in terms of the organisms being accessed and mined, this is the case. However, the need for large amounts of cell biomass for gene and genomic analysis always requires cultivation of a producer microbe, except for DNA sequencing which requires direct separation of cells and bulk DNA. The difference here is that cultivation refers to that of a surrogate organism, the host exploited as a reservoir for archiving the harvested genetic resources. Considering these requirements, metagenomics is often based on a general strategy of producing large amount of environmental DNA to achieve two goals: (1) discovery of new gene sequences coding for enzymes and drugs and (2) random sampling and archiving of the genomes from a small subset of organisms present in an environment for subsequent *in silico* analysis (14, 15). Both research windows are essential as the microorganisms are known to be the “gatekeepers” of environmental processes. However, it is essential to note that the relative abundance of representatives of a certain group of microbes is not necessarily linked to the importance of that group in the community functioning: common organisms may not necessarily play a critical role in a community despite their numbers, and organisms that only muster 0.1% fraction (e.g., nitrogen fixers) can be of pivotal importance. What this means, in terms of microbial ecology, is that the structural and functional information based on more reductionist approach, that is, classical functional genomics based on single organisms, may not provide appropriate understanding of complex communities.

To date, much of the research has been focused on bulk DNA sequencing. The analysis of samples at sequence level somehow has lower resolution but can access much greater genomic information of untapped microbial biodiversity in many environments. In contrast, the second approach shows better options to link specific microbes to specific ecological functions. In one of the first examples, the Sargasso Sea genome sequencing project, the authors performed a size-selective filtration for enrichment of the microbes of a certain size (10). Actually, new developments involve the direct separation of cells or preferably the enrichment using ^{13}C -labeled compound directly related to primary ecological functions (16). A particular elegant strategy combines the extraction of almost

complete genomes from uncultured microbes in complex communities (with up to 5,000 species) by high-resolution stable isotope probing (SIP) to reconstruct their metabolisms and to link specific microbes, whose DNA is separated by ultracentrifugation, to specific ecological functions (17). Here, authors provided a good genome coverage of dominant organisms under dynamic utilization of different nutrients and were able to link environment-specific organisms and processes that are catalyzed by these microbes. However, despite their great potential, the main drawback of enrichment methodologies is the danger of a nonproportional accumulation of fast-growing microbes in the community, which is not necessarily relevant to the native ecosystem, followed by the reduction of the natural diversity in the sample.

We should also point out that metagenomics is not limited to prokaryotes: eukaryotic microbial diversity is also enormously diverse and are hence of a great interest for exploration of their functional diversity. Owing to the problem of introns in eukaryotes, considerable effort has been invested in the isolation of RNA and its conversion to cDNA, rather than dealing with genomic DNA. This requires isolation of full-length mRNAs, reverse transcribing them, and analysis of the cDNA libraries. Here, the RNA extraction technique is critical, since it needs to extract RNA from thick-walled cells of fungi and yeasts, and their spores. Further, as a complement to the long-standing trend towards reductionism, metagenomics seeks to treat the community as a whole. However, this is not an easy task, specially for sample processing, as we know that environmental samples also contain picoeukaryotes (size $<2\text{--}3\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) whose population composition varies dynamically in response to both seasonal and spatial gradients in environment (3, 18). Therefore, a general strategy for sample processing is recommended for metagenomics analysis in the future, in which parts of microbial communities are processed separately, using single microdroplets and cell-free translation systems together with cell sorting ("single-cell genomics"), accompanied by the integration these data with those obtained using mixed microbial communities (19–21). Finally, we should consider that genome coverage is an ephemeral term in complex communities, since individual community members are be present in varying numbers in a sample and their genomes are extracted with different efficiencies (see Note 1). Therefore, the genes of different organisms will be present at very different concentrations in the DNA used as material to construct the libraries or to sequencing. For this reason, attempts to obtain (or even calculate the size of) a sample providing good coverage of all genomes present in a sample are rare and limited to samples from extreme environments (22), known to contain microbial communities of very limited complexity/diversity. Further advances in this field are demanded to appropriately reconstruct the metabolism in more complex