



Handbook of Low Carbon Concrete

Ali Nazari | Jay G. Sanjayan



HANDBOOK OF LOW CARBON CONCRETE

Ali NAZARI

Swinburne University of Technology, Hawthorn, VIC, Australia

Jay G. SANJAYAN

Swinburne University of Technology, Hawthorn, VIC, Australia



AMSTERDAM • BOSTON • HEIDELBERG • LONDON
NEW YORK • OXFORD • PARIS • SAN DIEGO
SAN FRANCISCO • SINGAPORE • SYDNEY • TOKYO
Butterworth-Heinemann is an imprint of Elsevier



Butterworth-Heinemann is an imprint of Elsevier
The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington, Oxford OX5 1GB, United Kingdom
50 Hampshire Street, 5th Floor, Cambridge, MA 02139, United States

Copyright © 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Details on how to seek permission, further information about the Publisher's permissions policies and our arrangements with organizations such as the Copyright Clearance Center and the Copyright Licensing Agency, can be found at our website: www.elsevier.com/permissions.

This book and the individual contributions contained in it are protected under copyright by the Publisher (other than as may be noted herein).

Notices

Knowledge and best practice in this field are constantly changing. As new research and experience broaden our understanding, changes in research methods, professional practices, or medical treatment may become necessary.

Practitioners and researchers must always rely on their own experience and knowledge in evaluating and using any information, methods, compounds, or experiments described herein. In using such information or methods they should be mindful of their own safety and the safety of others, including parties for whom they have a professional responsibility.

To the fullest extent of the law, neither the Publisher nor the authors, contributors, or editors, assume any liability for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of any methods, products, instructions, or ideas contained in the material herein.

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

A catalog record for this book is available from the Library of Congress

ISBN: 978-0-12-804524-4

For Information on all Butterworth-Heinemann publications
visit our website at <https://www.elsevier.com>



**Working together
to grow libraries in
developing countries**

www.elsevier.com • www.bookaid.org

Publisher: Joe Hayton

Acquisition Editor: Ken McCombs

Editorial Project Manager: Peter Jardim

Production Project Manager: Kiruthika Govindaraju

Cover Designer: Christian Bilbow

Typeset by MPS Limited, Chennai, India

HANDBOOK OF LOW CARBON CONCRETE

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

Z. Abdollahnejad

University of Minho, Guimarães, Portugal

J. Aguiar

University of Minho, Guimarães, Portugal

A. Castel

The University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia

C. Baek

Korea Institute of Civil Engineering and Building Technology, Goyang, Republic of Korea

C.K. Chau

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong

C.B. Cheah

Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia

M.-S. Cho

Korea Hydro & Nuclear Power Co., Ltd, Seoul, Republic of Korea;

Hyundai Engineering & Construction, Seoul, Republic of Korea

D.J.M. Flower

Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne, Australia

A.M. Grabiec

Poznan University of Life Sciences, Poznań, Poland

C.-A. Graubner

Technische Universität Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany

S. Hainer

Technische Universität Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany

W.K. Hui

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong

E. Jamieson

Alcoa of Australia, Kwinana, WA, Australia; Curtin University, Perth, WA, Australia

Y.-B. Jung

Korea Hydro & Nuclear Power Co., Ltd, Seoul, Republic of Korea; Hyundai Engineering & Construction, Seoul, Republic of Korea

C. Kealley

Curtin University, Perth, WA, Australia

M. Kheradmand

University of Minho, Guimarães, Portugal

T.M. Leung

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong

A. Maghsoudpour

WorldTech Scientific Research Center (WT-SRC), Tehran, Iran

B. McLellan

Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

S. Miraldo

University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

A. Nazari

Swinburne University of Technology, Hawthorn, VIC, Australia

W.Y. Ng

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong

H. Nikraz

Curtin University, Perth, WA, Australia

F. Pacheco-Torgal

University of Minho, Guimarães, Portugal; University of Sungkyunkwan, Suwon, Republic of Korea

W.K. Part

Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia

B. Penna

Alcoa of Australia, Kwinana, WA, Australia; Curtin University, Perth, WA, Australia

T. Proske

Technische Universität Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany

M. Ramli

Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia

M. Rezvani

Technische Universität Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany

S. Roh

Hanyang University, Ansan, Republic of Korea

J.G. Sanjayan

Swinburne University of Technology, Hawthorn, VIC, Australia

J.-K. Song

Chonnam National University, Gwangju, Republic of Korea

K.-I. Song

Chonnam National University, Gwangju, Republic of Korea

J. Szulc

Poznan University of Life Sciences, Poznań, Poland

S. Tae

Hanyang University, Ansan, Republic of Korea

S.-H. Tae

Hanyang University, Ansan, Republic of Korea

W. Tahri

University of Sfax, Sfax, Tunisia

J.S.J. Van Deventer

University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia; Zeobond Pty. Ltd., Melbourne, VIC, Australia

A. van Riessen

Curtin University, Perth, WA, Australia

H. Wang

University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, Australia

J.M. Xu

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong

K.-H. Yang

Kyonggi University, Suwon, Republic of Korea

T. Yang

China Oilfield Services Limited, Yanjiao, China

D. Zawal

Poznan University of Life Sciences, Poznań, Poland

Z. Zhang

University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, Australia

PREFACE

Manufacture of ordinary Portland cement (OPC) requires the mining of limestone and releasing of carbon dioxide. For each ton of limestone mined, one-third is released as carbon dioxide that has been locked beneath the surface of the earth for millions of years. Emissions of greenhouse gases through industrial activities have a major impact on global warming and it is believed that at least 5–7% of CO₂ released to the atmosphere is due to the production of OPC. This has led to significant research on eco-friendly construction materials such as geopolymers and binary- and ternary-blended OPC concretes. *Handbook of Low-Carbon Concrete* is a collection of high-quality technical papers to provide the reader a comprehensive understanding of the ways in which carbon reductions can be achieved by careful choices of construction materials.

The demand for worldwide cement production is increasing by approximately 30% per decade as of 2016. The need for new infrastructure construction in developing nations is projected to force the demand up for cement in the coming years.

Manufacture of Portland cement is the fourth largest contributor to worldwide carbon emissions and is only behind petroleum, coal, and natural gas in releasing carbon dioxide that has been locked beneath the earth's surface for millions of years. The new cement factories that are being built mostly in developing nations to meet this forthcoming demand are unsustainable in the long term for the following reasons:

1. *Capital Intensive*: Cement factories are extremely capital-intensive developments. Once the capital is invested, the investor is committed to cement-production tonnages to recoup their capital investments. Cement manufacturers are notoriously well connected in the construction industry and are resistant to any new low-carbon technologies to protect their investments. Once capital investments are locked into new cement factories, there is little incentive for the cement manufacturers to embrace low-carbon technologies. For example, according to a Lafarge report, a new dry process cement line producing 1 million tons annually can cost up to \$240 million.
2. *Low Employment/Capital Dollars Invested*: Cement manufacture is largely automated with low labor intensity. Despite large capital

investments, it offers very few employment opportunities. A modern plant usually employs less than 150 people.

3. *Energy Intensive*: Each ton of cement produced requires 60–130 kg of fuel oil or its equivalent, depending on the cement variety and the process used, and about 110 kWh of electricity.

Alternative low-carbon technologies utilize fly ash, slag, and other materials instead of calcination of limestone. Worldwide, there are 780 million tons of fly ash, only half of which is utilized in some form. The worldwide production of blast furnace slag is about 400 million tons per year and steel slag is about 350 million tons per year. In Australia, for example, there are 14 million tons of fly ash and about 3 million tons of slag produced per annum in comparison with the 9 million tons of cement demand per annum.

There is a serious case for the construction industry to utilize low-carbon concrete to meet the additional demand rather than investing in new cement factories.

This book has collected some of the most recent advances in low-carbon concrete technologies. The first six chapters are related to low-carbon OPC concretes, where other reactive cementitious materials are substituted for OPC. The last nine chapters are related to geopolymer concrete, eco-friendly materials with much lower CO₂ emissions that are produced from industrial byproducts such as fly ash, slag, or metakaolin, which are considered as the main possible low-carbon alternatives to Portland cement concrete.

CONTENTS

<i>List of Contributors</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>Preface</i>	<i>xv</i>
1. Greenhouse Gas Emissions Due to Concrete Manufacture	1
D.J.M. Flower and J.G. Sanjayan	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Methodology	3
1.3 Emissions Due to Coarse Aggregates	3
1.4 Emissions Due to Fine Aggregates	5
1.5 Emissions Due to Cement, Fly Ash, GGBFS, and Admixtures	6
1.6 Emissions Due to Concrete Batching, Transport, and Placement	7
1.7 Summary of CO ₂ Emissions	9
1.8 Emissions Generated by Typical Commercially Produced Concretes	9
1.9 Case Study: The Role of Concrete in Sustainable Buildings	11
1.10 Conclusions	13
1.11 Recommendations and Perspectives	15
Acknowledgments	15
References	15
2. Life Cycle CO₂ Evaluation on Reinforced Concrete Structures With High-Strength Concrete	17
S. Tae, C. Baek and S. Roh	
2.1 Introduction	17
2.2 Method of Evaluating Environmental Load for the Life Cycle of Building	18
2.3 Evaluating Environmental Load by the Application of High-Strength Concrete	23
2.4 The Results of Environmental Performance by the Application of High-Strength Concrete	32
2.5 Conclusions	37
References	38
3. Assessment of CO₂ Emissions Reduction in High-Rise Concrete Office Buildings Using Different Material-Use Options	39
C.K. Chau, W.K. Hui, W.Y. Ng, T.M. Leung and J.M. Xu	
3.1 Introduction	39
3.2 System Definitions and Boundaries	40

3.3	Methodology	42
3.4	Results and Analysis	54
3.5	Discussions and Conclusions	58
	References	59
4.	Eco-Friendly Concretes With Reduced Water and Cement Content: Mix Design Principles and Experimental Tests	63
	T. Proske, S. Hainer, M. Rezvani and C.-A. Graubner	
4.1	Concrete for Eco-Friendly Structures	63
4.2	Principles for the Development of Eco-Friendly Concretes With Low Environmental Impacts	64
4.3	Laboratory Tests	68
4.4	Concrete Properties	73
4.5	Application in Practice	80
4.6	Conclusions	85
	Acknowledgments	85
	References	86
5.	Effect of Supplementary Cementitious Materials on Reduction of CO₂ Emissions From Concrete	89
	K.-H. Yang, Y.-B. Jung, M.-S. Cho and S.-H. Tae	
5.1	Introduction	89
5.2	Life-Cycle CO ₂ Assessment Procedure for Concrete	90
5.3	Database of Concrete Mix Proportions	94
5.4	Design of SCMs to Reduce CO ₂ Emissions During Concrete Production	106
5.5	Conclusions	108
	Acknowledgments	109
	References	110
6.	Binder and Carbon Dioxide Intensity Indexes as a Useful Tool to Estimate the Ecological Influence of Type and Maximum Aggregate Size on Some High-Strength Concrete Properties	111
	A.M. Grabiec, D. Zawal and J. Szulc	
6.1	Introduction	111
6.2	Environmental Friendliness in Civil Engineering	115
6.3	Materials and Methods	119
6.4	Results and Discussion	123
6.5	Conclusions	134
	Acknowledgments	135
	References	136

7. CO₂ Reduction Assessment of Alkali-Activated Concrete Based on Korean Life-Cycle Inventory Database	139
K.-H. Yang, J.-K. Song and K.-I. Song	
7.1 Introduction	139
7.2 Assessment Procedure of CO ₂	141
7.3 Performance Efficiency Indicator of Binder	151
7.4 Further Investigations	156
7.5 Conclusions	156
Acknowledgments	157
References	157
8. Introducing Bayer Liquor-Derived Geopolymers	159
E. Jamieson, A. van Riessen, B. McLellan, B. Penna, C. Kealley and H. Nikraz	
8.1 Introduction	160
8.2 Process and Materials	166
8.3 Comparison of Embodied Energy of OPC with Bayer-Derived Geopolymer	168
8.4 Development of Bayer-Derived Geopolymers	177
Acknowledgments	189
References	189
9. Alkali-Activated Cement-Based Binders (AACBs) as Durable and Cost-Competitive Low-CO₂ Binder Materials: Some Shortcomings That Need to be Addressed	195
F. Pacheco-Torgal, Z. Abdollahnejad, S. Miraldo and M. Kheradmand	
9.1 Introduction	195
9.2 AACB Cost Efficiency	196
9.3 Carbon Dioxide Emissions of AACB	198
9.4 Some Important Durability Issues of AACBs	203
9.5 Conclusions and Future Trends	212
References	213
10. Progress in the Adoption of Geopolymer Cement	217
J.S.J. Van Deventer	
10.1 Introduction	217
10.2 The Role of Chemical Research in the Commercialization of Geopolymers	219
10.3 Developments in Geopolymer Gel-Phase Chemistry	221
10.4 Role of Particle Technology in the Optimization of Geopolymer Paste and Concrete	228
10.5 Linking Geopolymer Binder Structure and Durability	232

10.6	Technical Challenges	241
10.7	Reduction in Carbon Emissions	243
10.8	Standards Framework	246
10.9	Testing for Durability	248
10.10	Supply Chain Risks	249
10.11	Perspectives on Commercialization	251
10.12	Final Remarks	254
	Acknowledgments	255
	References	256
11.	An Overview on the Influence of Various Factors on the Properties of Geopolymer Concrete Derived From Industrial Byproducts	263
	W.K. Part, M. Ramli and C.B. Cheah	
11.1	Introduction	263
11.2	Effect of Chemical Activators and Curing Regime on the Mechanical, Durability, Shrinkage, Microstructure, and Physical Properties of Geopolymer	265
11.3	Effect of Particle-Size Distribution of Binder Phase and Additives on the Properties of Geopolymer	285
11.4	The Effect of Aggressive Environmental Exposure on Properties of Geopolymers	303
11.5	The Effect of Water Content and Forming Pressure on the Properties of Geopolymers	312
11.6	Blended Geopolymer	315
11.7	Summary of the Current Body of Knowledge and Discussions	323
11.8	Conclusions	327
	References	328
12.	Performance on an Alkali-Activated Cement-Based Binder (AACB) for Coating of an OPC Infrastructure Exposed to Chemical Attack: A Case Study	335
	W. Tahri, Z. Abdollahnejad, F. Pacheco-Torgal and J. Aguiar	
12.1	Introduction	335
12.2	Experimental Work	338
12.3	Experimental Procedures	340
12.4	Results and Discussion	341
12.5	Cost Analysis	350
12.6	Conclusions	354
	References	354

13. Alkali-Activated Cement (AAC) From Fly Ash and High-Magnesium Nickel Slag	357
Z. Zhang, T. Yang and H. Wang	
13.1 Introduction	357
13.2 Manufacture of AACs	359
13.3 Properties of AACs	362
13.4 Sustainability of AACs	370
Conclusions	372
References	373
14. Bond Between Steel Reinforcement and Geopolymer Concrete	375
A. Castel	
14.1 Introduction	375
14.2 Experimental Program	376
14.3 Experimental Results	379
14.4 Model for Bond Strength Prediction of GPC	384
14.5 Conclusions	385
Acknowledgments	386
References	386
15. Boroaluminosilicate Geopolymers: Current Development and Future Potentials	389
A. Nazari, A. Maghsoudpour and J.G. Sanjayan	
15.1 Introduction	389
15.2 Experimental Procedure	390
15.3 Results and Discussion	391
15.4 Conclusions	402
15.5 Future Potential Studies	402
References	411
<i>Index</i>	<i>413</i>

