

The background of the book cover is a complex, abstract composition. It features a grid of spheres in shades of blue, purple, and orange, arranged in a way that suggests a three-dimensional lattice or a molecular structure. The spheres are of varying sizes and are interconnected by thin, dark lines, creating a sense of depth and complexity. The overall color palette is dominated by these cool and warm tones, with a dark, almost black, background that makes the spheres stand out.

MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING

in Tissue Engineering

**EDITED BY
MRIGNAYANI KOTECHA
RICHARD L. MAGIN
JEREMY J. MAO**

WILEY

Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Tissue Engineering

*Edited by Mrignayani Kotecha, Richard L. Magin,
and Jeremy J. Mao*

WILEY

This edition first published 2017

© 2017 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by law. Advice on how to obtain permission to reuse material from this title is available at <http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions>.

The right of Mrignayani Kotecha, Richard L. Magin, and Jeremy J. Mao to be identified as the author(s) of this work has been asserted in accordance with law.

Registered Offices

John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA

Editorial Office

111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA

For details of our global editorial offices, customer services, and more information about Wiley products visit us at www.wiley.com.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats and by print-on-demand. Some content that appears in standard print versions of this book may not be available in other formats.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty

The publisher and the authors make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this work and specifically disclaim all warranties; including without limitation any implied warranties of fitness for a particular purpose. This work is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for every situation. In view of on-going research, equipment modifications, changes in governmental regulations, and the constant flow of information relating to the use of experimental reagents, equipment, and devices, the reader is urged to review and evaluate the information provided in the package insert or instructions for each chemical, piece of equipment, reagent, or device for, among other things, any changes in the instructions or indication of usage and for added warnings and precautions. The fact that an organization or website is referred to in this work as a citation and/or potential source of further information does not mean that the author or the publisher endorses the information the organization or website may provide or recommendations it may make. Further, readers should be aware that websites listed in this work may have changed or disappeared between when this work was written and when it is read. No warranty may be created or extended by any promotional statements for this work. Neither the publisher nor the author shall be liable for any damages arising here from.

Library of Congress Cataloguing-in-Publication data has been applied for

ISBN: 9781119193357

Cover image courtesy Temel Kaya Yasar

Cover design by Wiley

Set in 10/12pt Warnock by SPi Global, Pondicherry, India

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Tissue Engineering

List of Plates

Figure 1.3 Cell migration into a synthetic three-dimensional (3D) scaffold. A composite 3D scaffold composed of poly(methacrylic acid) (PMMA) and poly(hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (PHEMA) was developed for cornea tissue engineering. Confocal microscopy was used to monitor migration of corneal fibroblasts into the acellular scaffold. Using a viability assay, the live or dead cells fluoresce different in different wavelengths. Because the confocal images were collected at different focal depths, reconstructed 3D images can be produced with detailed information in the direction of cell migration into the scaffold. * indicates $p < 0.05$. 11

Figure 1.4 Four-color imaging of osteogenesis. Human MSCs were induced to differentiate to osteoblasts. At day 14, the cells were labeled and visualized to quantify the extent of osteogenesis. Using a multiphoton microscope (Bio-Rad, Radiance 2000), a set of four fluorophores was selected to label and image simultaneously the nuclei (blue), osteocalcin expression (green), microtubule (yellow), and microfilament (red) organization. These four fluorophores were carefully chosen to minimize potential spectroscopic overlaps. 13

Figure 2.7 The calculated T_1 and T_2 as functions of the water molecule tumbling rate and magnetic field strength (100–700 MHz) calculated using the BPP theory of relaxation [50]. As the tissue matures, both T_1 and T_2 decrease, as shown by the red arrow. Most human tissues fall within the range shown by the blue ellipse. T_2 is commonly used in the assessment of cartilage regeneration, as can be seen in a recent clinical trial [2, 6]. The figure shows that relaxation times are field dependent for soft tissues. The inset shows an example of how T_2/T_1 can be used as a unit-free biomarker for the assessment. As shown in the inset, the control gel has the highest T_2/T_1 , but the ratio is lowest for osteogenic constructs with chondrogenic constructs falling between the two. Data in the inset are adapted from [25, 51]. 35

Figure 3.6 (a) Schematic of a sample preparation for MRI measurement (the black arrow indicates the sample inside a 5 mm tube). (b) A representative T_2 -weighted

proton MRI of an acellular scaffold. (c–e) Representative sodium MRI of chondrogenic constructs at day 7, day 14, and day 28 along with representative ROIs of the construct (bottom box) and reference media (top box). The average number of voxels in sodium images is 231 ± 22 . Majumdar [23]. Reproduced with the permission of Springer. 59

Figure 3.8 (a) TQ signal intensity as a function of creation time for tissue-engineered cartilage and their best fit with Equation 3.1 for 1-day-old engineered cartilage constructs. (b) The TQ signal of human marrow stromal cells (HMSCs) seeded in biomimetic scaffolds at week 2 and week 4. The week 4 spectrum is narrow compared to the week 2 spectrum, indicating faster motion or lower $\omega_0\tau_c$ at week 4. Reproduced with the permission from Kotecha et al. [26]. 61

Figure 5.6 Material properties are calculated from each filtered dataset and averaged with a weight corresponding to the amplitude of the motion at each pixel. 106

Figure 5.7 A circular low-pass Butterworth filter is applied on every map of material properties so that they appear smoother. 107

Figure 5.9 Construct development map over 4-week period. Adipogenic (A) and osteogenic (O) constructs are shown from left to right with corresponding shear wave image, elastogram, and average shear stiffness. The colormap for the elastogram corresponds with the color scheme of the bar chart. 110

Figure 5.11 Shear wave images (top) and corresponding stiffness maps (bottom) in engineered constructs after 4 weeks of implantation. The displacement map shows the propagation of shear waves through constructs. Notice that, multiple waves are visible in adipose construct, indicating a lower stiffness and softer tissue structure, while for stiffer tissues—both osteogenic and chondrogenic—a full shear wave is not attained. Reconstructed elastogram on the bottom shows estimated stiffness of 2, 9, 15 kPa for adipogenic, chondrogenic, and osteogenic constructs, respectively. 112

Figure 5.12 Silk construct development map over 8-week study. Shown from left to right are the magnitude image, T_2 relaxation map, shear wave image, and stiffness map of the constructs. Average T_2 relaxation times decreased from 91.2 ± 6.6 ms at week 2 to 67.6 ± 3.1 ms at week 8. Average stiffness values increased from 7.6 ± 2.0 kPa at week 2 to 17.2 ± 3.1 kPa at week 8. 113

Figure 5.13 Collagen construct development map over 8-week study. Shown from left to right are the magnitude image, T_2 relaxation map, and stiffness map of the constructs. Average T_2 relaxation times decreased from 75.2 ± 18.4 ms at week 2 to 58.4 ± 4.2 ms at week 8. Average stiffness values increased from 4.6 ± 1.7 kPa at week 2 to 14.7 ± 3.8 kPa at week 8. 114

Figure 6.1 Example of using FEA to verify the inversion algorithm in MRE. (a) The geometry of the model is that of a fluid-filled spherical shell embedded in a stiffer medium, and a solid spherical medium with the same density as the shell embedded in the medium for comparison. (b) The wave pattern of the model under a horizontal 80-Hz harmonic excitation. (c) The stiffness map obtained from the regular Helmholtz inversion algorithm. (d) The stiffness map obtained from an effective stiffness estimation algorithm. 119

Figure 6.3 Displacement amplitude results for three simulations. The top row is the displacement amplitude shown on the 3D models of (a) harmonic excitation on a 3-mm diameter area in the vertical direction, (b) harmonic excitation on a 3-mm diameter area in the direction normal to the excitation plane, and (c) harmonic excitation on a 6-mm diameter area in the direction normal to the excitation plane. The bottom row is the displacement amplitude map on the short-axis slice plane of (d) harmonic excitation on a 3-mm diameter area in the vertical direction, (e) harmonic excitation on a 3-mm area in the direction normal to the excitation plane, and (f) harmonic excitation on a 6-mm area in the direction normal to the excitation plane. 123

Figure 7.1 Three-dimensional oxygen map of fibrosarcoma tumor and tumor bearing leg. The tumor outline, determined from a registered MR image, is shown in red. The image was acquired using 250-MHz pulse EPR oxygen imager. 131

Figure 7.7 Example of MR and EPR image registration. The tumor area (brighter than the leg) is determined in MR image. After image registration, the tumor area is transferred from MR to EPR image for oxygen analysis. 140

Figure 7.8 (a) MRI and EPR spin probe distribution in bulky acellular PLGA scaffold in PBS (sample courtesy of Dr. Syam Nukavarapu). (b) 1-mm-thick acellular collagen gel (2 mg/ml) (The gel was provided by Dr. Michael Cho.) Registered CT, EPR spin probe distribution, and mock-up oxygen image of the deoxygenated sample. 141

Figure 8.3 Femur example of image registration, 2D segmentation, and 3D reconstruction process. (a) CT images are loaded into and properly registered. (b) ROI is identified as appropriate differentiating color mask. (c) 3D voxel-based femur model. Sun et al. [1]. Reproduced with the permission of Elsevier. 160

Figure 8.5 Anatomy of the knee joint: anterior view. The knee meniscus is situated between the femur and the tibia. Crossing the meniscus are various ligaments, which aid in stabilizing the knee joint. Kohn and Moreno [82]. Reproduced with the permission of Elsevier. 162

Figure 8.7 Spatiotemporally released rhCTGF- and rhTGF β 3-induced fibrocartilage-like matrix formation in 3D-printed porous scaffolds. (a) Anatomic reconstruction of human meniscus. Human meniscus scaffolds were 3D-printed with layer-by-layer deposition of PCL fibers (100 μ m diameter), forming 100–200 μ m channels. (b) Poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) microspheres (mS) encapsulating rhCTGF and rhTGF β 3 were in physical contact with PCL microfibers. (c) Fluorescent dextrans simulating CTGF (green, 40 kDa) and TGF β 3 (red, 10 kDa) were delivered into the outer and inner zones, respectively, of human meniscus scaffolds to show scaffold loading. Distribution of dextrans was maintained from day 1 to day 8. (d) rhCTGF and rhTGF β 3 release from the PCL scaffolds over time *in vitro*. (e) When the scaffolds were incubated at top human synovium MSC monolayers for 6 weeks, spatiotemporally delivered rhCTGF and rhTGF β 3 induced cells to form zone-specific collagen type I and II matrices, similar to the native rat meniscus. (f) Scaffold with empty mS showed little matrix formation after 6 weeks of coculture with 1:1 mixture of fibrogenic and chondrogenic supplements (no growth factors in medium). Spatiotemporal delivery of rhCTGF- and rhTGF β 3-induced fibrocartilaginous matrix formation, consisting of alcian blue-positive, collagen II-rich cartilaginous matrix in the inner zone and picrosirius red-positive, collagen I-rich fibrous matrix in the outer zone. A total of five replicates were tested, with representative images selected from the same scaffold. Lee et al. [5]. Reproduced with the permission of The American Association for the Advancement of Science. 166

Figure 9.4 *In vitro* T_1 , T_2 , and ADC maps of control, osteogenic, and chondrogenic engineered tissues. These tissues were grown by seeding HMSCs in 1:1 collagen I/chitosan gel and subjecting them pro-chondrogenic and pro-osteogenic differentiation for 4 weeks. Pothirajan et al. [83]. Reproduced with the permission of IEEE. 188

Figure 9.7 (a) Hybrid PLGA–PuraMatrix-based chondrogenic construct in a 5-mm MRI tube. (b) Representative T_1 maps of constructs for control (acellular), at days 7 and 28. (c) Representative T_2 maps of constructs for control (acellular), at days 7 and 28. (d) GAG/DNA measurement ($n = 3$) at days 7, 14, and 28. (e and f) The correlation between the R_1 (ECM) ($=1/T_1(\text{ECM})$) and R_2 (ECM) ($=1/T_2(\text{ECM})$) with an increased amount of GAG/DNA in stem cells undergoing chondrogenesis in a PLGA–PuraMatrix scaffold system. The three points in the graphs are for days 7, 14, and 28, respectively. 192

Figure 10.4 Example MR image of the porcine ACL and a histogram showing the distribution of T_2^* within the healing ligament. Biercevicz et al. [54]. Reproduced with the permission of John Wiley & Sons. 217

Figure 13.4 Inoculation of the hybrid hollow fiber bioreactors with hepatocytes mixed with microcarriers (a). Graphs of β -NTP/ β -NTP from first spectrum from hepatocytes perfused with media subjected to 20 and 40% oxygen, and 40% oxygen but directly perfused through the cell compartment (b) and the respective analysis of media components showing the 20 and 40% oxygen treatments with regular flow configuration, showing the increase in lactate dehydrogenase, validating the decrease in β -NTP (c). Jeffries and Macdonald [38]. Reproduced with the permission of John Wiley & Sons. 283

Figure 13.7 Transaxial velocity-encoded MRI of the multicoaxial hollow fiber bioreactor (inset) at two inward radial flow rates (a). Note the difference in flow of the ECC between the two flow rates, and the ICC flow is out of the range of velocities for the MRI experiment and is folded. FIDAP theoretical analysis of flow in the three compartments is given (b). Note the laminar nature matches the ECC and cell compartments and predicts a higher velocity profile in the ECC. Wolfe et al. [37]. Reproduced with the permission of John Wiley & Sons. 290

Figure 13.8 Confocal cross-sectional images of multicoaxial bioreactors (b) at culture day 30. (a) Human hepatocytes embedded in (1:1) collagen:Matrigel, TEM of microtomed slice across the mid-section (a1) and confocal image (a2) with enlargement (a3) of (c) a similar bioreactor enoculated with alginate-encapsulated hepatocytes at 3.5×10^7 cell/ml (c1), and a 6- μ m thick slice at the mid-section after 30 days in culture (c2), and the enlargement (c3). Jeffries and Macdonald [38]. Reproduced with the permission of John Wiley & Sons. 292

Figure 15.2 Example of myocardial tagging for regional assessment of strain in a rat heart. Tag lines are applied in grid pattern at diastole and imaged through to systole. A strain map is then calculated for the deformation between each frame and strain curves produced for each LV segment. 339

About the Editors

Mrignayani Kotecha

Dr. Mrignayani Kotecha received her M.Sc. and Ph.D. in physics from Jabalpur University, India, in 1990 and 2001, respectively. She is research professor of Bioengineering at University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA. Prior to this, she was assistant professor of physics at Government Autonomous Science College, Jabalpur, India (1993–2001), visiting scientist in chemical physics at Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel (2001–2003), and staff scientist in chemistry at the University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany (2003–2006). She has received University Grants Commission (UGC) teacher fellowship in 1999, Science and Engineering Research Council (SERC), visiting scientist fellowship from the department of science and technology (DST), Government of India in 2000, and Feinberg visiting scientist fellowship from Weizmann Institute of Science, Israel, in 2001. Her research is focused on applications of magnetic resonance spectroscopy and imaging to biomaterials. She is also involved in developing standards for noninvasive MRI assessment of engineered tissue with the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) and the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) as an expert in the field.

Richard L. Magin

Richard L. Magin (M'69–SM'81–F'96) received the B.S. and M.S. degrees in physics from the Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA, in 1969 and 1972, respectively. He received the Ph.D. degree in biophysics from the University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA, in 1976. Currently, he is Distinguished Professor of Bioengineering at the University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA. His research interests focus on the application of magnetic resonance imaging in science and engineering. He served as Editor for the Critical Reviews in Biomedical Engineering (2005–2010). He is a member of the Biomedical Engineering Society and of the International Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. He is a Fellow of the American Institute for Medical and Biological Engineering. In 2005, he received a Fulbright award for research and teaching in Kosice, Slovakia.

Jeremy J. Mao

Jeremy J. Mao is currently professor at Columbia University and Edwin Robinson Endowed Chair. His research has been at the interface between stem cell biology and biomaterials. His research group has made several important discoveries in endogenous stem cells that are recruited into three-dimensional (3D)-printed biomaterials for the healing of tissue and organ defects, including a cover article in the *Lancet*. In addition, his work has been published in *Nature Medicine*, *Lancet*, *Science Translational Medicine*, *Cell Stem Cell*, etc. He has published over 260 scientific papers and proceedings and written two books. His research has led to over 70 patents and establishment of two biotechnology companies. He has received a number of prestigious awards including Yasuda Award, IADR Distinguished Scientist Award, and Spenadel Award. He is consultant to funding agencies in the United States (NIH, NSF, DARPA) and over 18 other countries.

List of Contributors

Abeid Anslip

Orthopedic Surgery, Materials
Science and Engineering, and
Biomedical Engineering
University of Connecticut
Farmington, CT, USA

Hanying Bai

Center of Craniofacial Surgery
College of Dental Medicine
Columbia University Medical Center
New York, NY, USA

Alison M. Biercevicz

Department of Orthopedics
Warren Alpert Medical School
Brown University/Rhode Island
Hospital
and
School of Engineering
Brown University
Providence, RI, USA

Shan-Ho Chan

Department of Medical Imaging and
Radiology
Shu-Zen Junior College of Medicine
and Management
Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Bo Chen

Department of Bioengineering
University of Texas
Arlington, TX, USA

Mo Chen

Center of Craniofacial Surgery
College of Dental Medicine
Columbia University Medical Center
New York, NY, USA

Hai-Ling Margaret Cheng*

Institute of Biomaterials and
Biomedical Engineering
The Edward S. Rogers Sr.
Department of Electrical and
Computer Engineering
University of Toronto
and
Ted Rogers Centre for Heart
Research
Translational Biology and
Engineering Program
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Michael Cho*

Department of Bioengineering
University of Texas
Arlington, TX, USA

* indicates corresponding authors.

Thomas DeBerardino

Orthopedic Surgery, Materials
Science and Engineering, and
Biomedical Engineering
University of Connecticut
Farmington, CT, USA

Boris Epel*

Department of Radiation and
Cellular Oncology, Center for EPR
In Vivo Physiology
The University of Chicago
Chicago, IL, USA

Braden C. Fleming

Department of Orthopaedics,
Warren Alpert Medical School
Brown University/Rhode Island
Hospital
and
School of Engineering
Brown University
Providence, RI, USA

Anne George*

Brodie Tooth Development Genetics
and Regenerative Medicine Research
Laboratory, Department of Oral
Biology, College of Dentistry
University of Illinois at Chicago
Chicago, IL, USA

Qimei Gong

Center of Craniofacial Surgery
College of Dental Medicine
Columbia University
Medical Center
New York, NY, USA

Howard J. Halpern

Department of Radiation and
Cellular Oncology, Center for EPR
In Vivo Physiology
The University of Chicago
Chicago, IL, USA

Ling He

Center of Craniofacial Surgery
College of Dental Medicine
Columbia University Medical Center
New York, NY, USA

Shan-hui Hsu*

Institute of Polymer Science and
Engineering
National Taiwan University
Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Elnaz Pour Issa

Department of Biomedical Engineering
Florida International University
Miami, FL, USA

Laurence H. Jackson*

Center for Advanced Biomedical
Imaging
University College London
London, UK

Rex M. Jeffries

Department of Biomedical Engineering
University of North Carolina at
Chapel Hill
Chapel Hill, NC, USA

Mrignayani Kotecha*

Department of Bioengineering
University of Illinois at Chicago
Chicago, IL, USA

Haakil Lee*

Department of Chemical Engineering
Keimyung University
Dalseo-Gu, Daegu, South Korea

Ling Lei

Orthopedic Surgery, Materials
Science and Engineering, and
Biomedical Engineering
University of Connecticut
Farmington, CT, USA

Weiguo Li

Department of Bioengineering
University of Illinois at Chicago
Chicago, IL, USA

Caleb Liebman

Department of Bioengineering
University of Texas
Arlington, TX, USA

Yifei Liu*

Department of Mechanical and
Industrial Engineering
University of Illinois at Chicago
Chicago, IL, USA

Yongxing Liu

Center of Craniofacial Surgery
College of Dental Medicine
Columbia University Medical Center
New York, NY, USA

Mark F. Lythgoe

Center for Advanced Biomedical
Imaging
University College London
London, UK

Jeffrey M. Macdonald*

Department of Biomedical
Engineering
University of North Carolina
at Chapel Hill
Chapel Hill, NC, USA

Richard L. Magin

Department of Bioengineering
University of Illinois at Chicago
Chicago, IL, USA

Jeremy J. Mao*

Center of Craniofacial Surgery
College of Dental Medicine
Columbia University Medical Center
New York, NY, USA

Martha M. Murray

Department of Orthopedic Surgery
Children's Hospital Boston
Boston, MA, USA

Xuguang Nie

Center of Craniofacial Surgery
College of Dental Medicine
Columbia University Medical Center
New York, NY, USA

Syam Nukavarapu*

Orthopedic Surgery, Materials
Science and Engineering, and
Biomedical Engineering
University of Connecticut
Farmington, CT, USA

Emmanuel Nwachuku

Orthopedic Surgery, Materials
Science and Engineering, and
Biomedical Engineering
University of Connecticut
Farmington, CT, USA

Shadi F. Othman*

School of Engineering and Computer
Science
University of the Pacific
Stockton, CA, USA

Parisa Rabbani

Department of Bioengineering
University of Texas
Arlington, TX, USA

Sharan Ramaswamy*

Department of Biomedical
Engineering
Florida International University
Miami, FL, USA

Sriram Ravindran

Department of Oral Biology
College of Dentistry
University of Illinois at Chicago
Chicago, IL, USA

Thomas J. Royston*

Departments of Bioengineering,
Mechanical, and Industrial
Engineering
University of Illinois at Chicago
Chicago, IL, USA

Nilse Dos Santos

Orthopedic Surgery, Materials
Science and Engineering, and
Biomedical Engineering
University of Connecticut
Farmington, CT, USA

Tyler Stahl

Orthopedic Surgery, Materials
Science and Engineering, and
Biomedical Engineering
University of Connecticut
Farmington, CT, USA

Daniel J. Stuckey

Center for Advanced Biomedical
Imaging
University College London
London, UK

Andrey P. Tikunov

Department of Biomedical
Engineering
University of North Carolina
at Chapel Hill
Chapel Hill, NC, USA

Vincent M. Wang*

Department of Biomedical
Engineering and Mechanics
Virginia Tech
Blacksburg, VA, USA

Guodong Yang

Center of Craniofacial Surgery
College of Dental Medicine
Columbia University Medical Center
New York, NY, USA

Yixiong Zhang

Center of Craniofacial Surgery
College of Dental Medicine
Columbia University Medical Center
New York, NY, USA

Jinxuan Zheng

Center of Craniofacial Surgery,
College of Dental Medicine
Columbia University Medical Center
New York, NY, USA

Juan Zhong

Center of Craniofacial Surgery
College of Dental Medicine
Columbia University Medical Center
New York, NY, USA