

Aspen Coursebook Series

# Legal Writing

Process, Analysis, and Organization

*Sixth  
edition*

---

Linda H. Edwards



Wolters Kluwer  
Law & Business

Aspen Coursebook Series

# *Legal Writing*

*Process, Analysis,  
and Organization*

*Sixth Edition*

**LINDA H. EDWARDS**

Professor of Law  
William S. Boyd School of Law  
University of Nevada, Las Vegas



**Wolters Kluwer**

Law & Business

Copyright © 2014 CCH Incorporated.

Published by Wolters Kluwer Law & Business in New York.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business serves customers worldwide with CCH, Aspen Publishers, and Kluwer Law International products. ([www.wolterskluwerlb.com](http://www.wolterskluwerlb.com))

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or utilized by any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publisher. For information about permissions or to request permissions online, visit us at [www.wolterskluwerlb.com](http://www.wolterskluwerlb.com), or a written request may be faxed to our permissions department at 212-771-0803.

To contact Customer Service, e-mail [customer.service@wolterskluwer.com](mailto:customer.service@wolterskluwer.com), call 1-800-234-1660, fax 1-800-901-9075, or mail correspondence to:

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business  
Attn: Order Department  
PO Box 990  
Frederick, MD 21705

Printed in the United States of America.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0

ISBN 978-1-4548-4154-8

### **Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

Edwards, Linda Holdeman, 1948-

Legal writing : process, analysis, and organization / Linda H. Edwards, Professor of Law, William S. Boyd School of Law University of Nevada Las Vegas.—Sixth Edition.  
pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-4548-4154-8 (alk. paper)

1. Legal composition. I. Title.

KF250.E38 2014

808.06'634—dc23

2013044563



# *Legal Writing*

## **EDITORIAL ADVISORS**

### **Vicki Been**

Boxer Family Professor of Law  
New York University School of Law

### **Erwin Chemerinsky**

Dean and Distinguished Professor of Law  
University of California, Irvine, School of Law

### **Richard A. Epstein**

Laurence A. Tisch Professor of Law  
New York University School of Law  
Peter and Kirsten Bedford Senior Fellow  
The Hoover Institution  
Senior Lecturer in Law  
The University of Chicago

### **Ronald J. Gilson**

Charles J. Meyers Professor of Law and Business  
Stanford University  
Marc and Eva Stern Professor of Law and Business  
Columbia Law School

### **James E. Krier**

Earl Warren DeLano Professor of Law  
The University of Michigan Law School

### **Richard K. Neumann, Jr.**

Professor of Law  
Maurice A. Deane School of Law at Hofstra University

### **Robert H. Sitkoff**

John L. Gray Professor of Law  
Harvard Law School

### **David Alan Sklansky**

Yosef Osheawich Professor of Law  
University of California at Berkeley School of Law

## About Wolters Kluwer Law & Business

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business is a leading global provider of intelligent information and digital solutions for legal and business professionals in key specialty areas, and respected educational resources for professors and law students. Wolters Kluwer Law & Business connects legal and business professionals as well as those in the education market with timely, specialized authoritative content and information-enabled solutions to support success through productivity, accuracy and mobility.

Serving customers worldwide, Wolters Kluwer Law & Business products include those under the Aspen Publishers, CCH, Kluwer Law International, Loislaw, ftwilliam.com and MediRegs family of products.

**CCH** products have been a trusted resource since 1913, and are highly regarded resources for legal, securities, antitrust and trade regulation, government contracting, banking, pension, payroll, employment and labor, and healthcare reimbursement and compliance professionals.

**Aspen Publishers** products provide essential information to attorneys, business professionals and law students. Written by preeminent authorities, the product line offers analytical and practical information in a range of specialty practice areas from securities law and intellectual property to mergers and acquisitions and pension/benefits. Aspen's trusted legal education resources provide professors and students with high-quality, up-to-date and effective resources for successful instruction and study in all areas of the law.

**Kluwer Law International** products provide the global business community with reliable international legal information in English. Legal practitioners, corporate counsel and business executives around the world rely on Kluwer Law journals, looseleaves, books, and electronic products for comprehensive information in many areas of international legal practice.

**Loislaw** is a comprehensive online legal research product providing legal content to law firm practitioners of various specializations. Loislaw provides attorneys with the ability to quickly and efficiently find the necessary legal information they need, when and where they need it, by facilitating access to primary law as well as state-specific law, records, forms and treatises.

**ftwilliam.com** offers employee benefits professionals the highest quality plan documents (retirement, welfare and non-qualified) and government forms (5500/PBGC, 1099 and IRS) software at highly competitive prices.

**MediRegs** products provide integrated health care compliance content and software solutions for professionals in healthcare, higher education and life sciences, including professionals in accounting, law and consulting.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business, a division of Wolters Kluwer, is headquartered in New York. Wolters Kluwer is a market-leading global information services company focused on professionals.

**TO DAN**

*Words fail.*

## *Preface to the Sixth Edition*

Like prior editions, the sixth edition of *Legal Writing* adopts a process-based approach rather than a document-based approach. The difference between a process approach and a document approach can be expressed using an analogy to cooking. Learning to write using a document-based approach is like learning to cook by reading a description of the finished dish: how it looks, how it tastes, how it smells. The description of the finished dish is important because the cook needs to understand her goal. But the description of the finished dish doesn't always tell her what she needs to *do* to get there.

Learning to write using a process-based approach is like learning to cook that same dish by reading the recipe. The recipe takes the novice cook through the stages of preparation ("chop the carrots into quarter-inch slices; sauté the onions in one tablespoon of butter"). Often, in those stages, the elements of the dish do not look, taste, and smell the way they will when the cooking process is completed ("cook over low heat, stirring constantly until thickened; then pour into the chicken stock mixture and simmer for one hour"). Those intermediate stages, however, are critical to achieving the end result.

Like a recipe, this book consciously tracks the stages in the writing process. Concepts are introduced at the points where they become relevant to a writer's process of creating and communicating content. The function of a rule structure in creating large-scale organization is still the starting point, and earlier expansions in the treatment of analogical reasoning and narrative are maintained. Material throughout the book is streamlined, however, to make room for a comprehensive set of sample documents in the appendices.

Chapter 16 introduces the lawyerly genre of letter writing. An introduction to letter-writing is becoming increasingly important since so many students work in law office environments immediately after their first year of law study. The chapter teaches general principles of professional letter-writing. It then specifically covers retainer letters, advice letters, status letters, demand letters and responses, confirming letters, and transmittal letters. The chapter is easy to use with little increased course time. Sample letters are provided in Appendix C. Since the chapter is located at the end of the predictive writing

section, all chapters after Chapter 15 have been re-numbered. Similarly, all appendices after Appendix B have been re-lettered.

*Appendices:* The sample documents are designed, of course, for critique, not for mimicry. The samples in this edition are:

- Appendix A: An office memo applying a three-element conjunctive rule and using rule-based reasoning, analogies, policy, and factual inferences.
- Appendix B: An office memo applying a rule with factors and making significant use of factual analogies.
- Appendix C: Sample Letters.
- Appendix D: A trial-level brief applying a procedural rule (setting aside a default judgment) that incorporates the substantive rule. A subpart of the analysis uses a set of factors.
- Appendix E: An appellate brief addressing a pure question of law setting out two alternative arguments.
- Appendix F: An appellate brief making extensive use of statutory construction tools, including the definition of terms used in the rule and arguments based on applicable policy rationales.

November 2013

Linda H. Edwards

# Acknowledgments

No book is the product of the author alone; certainly this one is not. All of my former Mercer colleagues have encouraged and supported this book from its inception. Their belief in the importance of legal writing has made all the difference. Mercer legal writing faculty Susan Bay, Jim Hunt, Lenora Ledwon, Gus Lehouck, Adam Milani, Kathy Sampson, Kevin Shelley, Michael Smith, Greg Spicer, David Walter, and Stasia Williams have taught me much and have made the learning fun. Three deans, Phil Shelton, Dick Creswell, and Larry Dessem, have paved the way. Suzanne Cassidy, Sarah McPherson, Cary Gonzalez, Michelle Davis, Jane Burns, and Barbara Blackburn, have worked faithfully and without glory. Special thanks to Jack Sammons for bold ideas, to Hal Lewis and Reynold Kosek for wise counsel, and to Sidney Watson and Joe Claxton for their faith in the project.

Like all teachers of legal writing, I am blessed by being part of the national legal writing community. No list could identify all of the colleagues who have shared generously of their vision, enthusiasm, wisdom, and experience. I am especially indebted to Deirdre Alfred, Jan Armon, Mary Beth Beazley, Joel Cornwell, David Drueding, Alice Dueker, K.K. Duvivier, Neal Feigenson, Dennis Hynes, Steve Jamar, Katie McManus, Phil Meyer, Teresa Phelps, Terry Pollman, Leslie Reed, and the anonymous reviewers who made such perceptive comments on earlier drafts. Particular thanks to Mary Lawrence, Richard Neumann, and Marilyn Walter for their steadfast support of their legal writing colleagues, including me.

I gratefully acknowledge my debt to Emilie and Katie Edwards for their forbearance; to Cathi Reinfelder for redeeming the text from many errors; and to Carol McGeehan for nurturing and enlarging my vision of the book.

I would also like to thank the following copyright holder for permission to reprint the map of the federal judicial circuits: *2009 Summer Judicial Staff Directory* 963 (CQ Press 2000). Copyright © 2009 by Congressional Quarterly, Inc. Phone: (202) 729-1863; URL: <http://directories.cqpress.com>.

# Introduction

Legal writing is a process with distinct stages and distinct goals at each stage. Each writing stage serves an important function as you work toward a finished document. This text identifies four main stages of a writing task and invites you to use each stage as your writing tool. As the following paragraphs describe each stage, refer to the table of contents to identify the chapters that fall within each.

Your first job as a writer is working out your analysis of the issue, so the first two writing stages (Chapters 2 through 10) create a “working draft.” Your primary purpose in writing a working draft is to use the writing process as an analytical tool. Dean and former Judge Donald Burnett put it this way:

Clear expression, then, is not merely a linguistic art. It is the testing ground for ideas. Through the discipline of putting an argument into words, we find out whether the argument is worth making. . . . The secret . . . is to start verbalizing early—while there is still time to learn from the discipline of forming ideas into words. You must begin by identifying your client’s goal and the issues to be resolved. Each issue is defined by a cluster of facts and governing legal principle. If you cannot articulate this nexus of law and fact, you do not yet have a grasp of the case.<sup>1</sup>

Your working draft is nothing less than “grasping the case.” It guides, deepens, and tests your analysis in a number of ways, but its most important role is in forming your ideas into the kind of structured, linear reasoning that lawyers must master. Legal reasoning applies the relevant legal authorities and policy rationales to the client’s facts. This kind of reasoning is not our culture’s dominant mode of thought or expression. Many of us come to law school without much prior experience in this sort of reasoning. The discipline of the working draft will help you develop this vital lawyering skill.

After your analysis is solid, stage three converts that analysis into a document designed for your reader. The text first introduces the study of law-

1. Donald L. Burnett, Jr., *The Discipline of Clear Expression*, 32 *The Advocate* 8 (June 1989).

trained readers, a study you should pursue during your entire legal career. Then the text shows you how to select an organizational plan that will meet your reader's needs and achieve the document's goal. The third stage is completed by adding the other components of the document, including a statement of the relevant facts about your client's situation. In the fact statement you will use narrative techniques to tell your client's story. Effective and strategic narration requires skills different from the rule-based reasoning process you will be practicing when you write the legal analysis sections of your documents. The text will introduce you to the storytelling skills you'll need for good legal writing.

The final stage turns your attention to the fine points of writing, calling for decisions about style, tone, level of formality, and strategic word choice. It is also the stage for editing to achieve clarity, correct citation form, punctuation, and grammar. These matters may seem like technicalities compared to the importance of accurate analysis, but grammar, style, and citation form are the most easily visible criteria for judging writing. Readers will notice these areas first and draw from them conclusions about the skill and care of the writer. A sloppy document invites a reader to doubt the document's substantive accuracy.

The book takes you through each of these four stages, and it introduces in each stage the information you'll need for that stage. Here are several hints for using this writing process to its greatest advantage:

First, be alert for signs that you need to revisit earlier stages. While the completed document should take the reader on a linear journey toward the document's conclusion, you will find that the *process* of creating the document is far from linear. Rather, the process is recursive; it requires you to circle back to earlier stages again and again as you understand more about your legal issue, your client's facts and goals, and the available legal strategies. The dynamic nature of this process is what makes it alive, challenging, and fun. Your willingness to construct, dismantle, and reconstruct your document will be crucial to achieving a good written product.

Second, experiment with different writing strategies and observe your own writing process. What works well for you at each stage and what doesn't? Do you work better if you dictate a draft first? Does free-writing help you? How about charts or colored pens? Each writer's creative and analytical processes are unique. Part of your goal in your first few years of legal writing should be to observe as much as you can about your own process so you can adopt writing strategies that work for you.

Third, be patient. On your first few writing assignments, take each stage in its turn without trying to combine or compress them. Your goal on these first assignments is to let each stage of the writing process *teach* you some critical skills. Soon you will have developed those skills well enough to speed up each stage. For instance, you might find that you can accomplish the goals of the working draft stage with some other quicker form of prewriting, like a detailed and annotated outline. You will learn to customize each stage to fit your own skill level, the complexity of the assignment, and your own unique creative processes.

Finally, master the general principles before you decide to try something new. Learning legal writing is a little like learning music theory. In college,

music students take many courses in music theory and composition. In these courses, they first learn the “rules”—the principles most composers use in most situations. Then after they understand those principles, they learn when and how to depart from them.

This is an introductory course on legal writing, so it teaches the basic substantive and organizational principles that operate in most situations. Following these organizational principles in your first legal writing assignments will teach you important information about law study and about legal writing. First master the basic substantive and organizational principles covered in this course. Soon you will develop the judgment to know when and how you can depart from them.

# *Legal Writing*

# Summary of Contents

<i>Contents</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>Preface</i>	<i>xxi</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xxiii</i>
<i>Introduction</i>	<i>xxv</i>

Chapter 1.	First Things First	1
------------	--------------------	---



## *The Process of Writing Predictively: The Office Memo*

### STAGE ONE     STRUCTURING FOR ANALYSIS: OUTLINING THE WORKING DRAFT

Chapter 2.	Outlining a Rule of Law	15
Chapter 3.	Outlining a Rule to Organize Your Analysis of a Legal Issue	27
Chapter 4.	Formulating a Rule from a Case Opinion	37
Chapter 5.	Formulating a Rule from Multiple Authorities	51
Chapter 6.	Using a Rule to Form the Structure: Special Circumstances	67

### STAGE TWO     DRAFTING FOR ANALYSIS: WRITING THE WORKING DRAFT

Chapter 7.	Writing the Analysis of a Single Issue: Rule Explanation	79
Chapter 8.	Writing the Analysis of a Single Issue: Rule Application	101
Chapter 9.	Writing the Analysis of a Single Issue: Organizing and Writing the Discussion of Multiple Authorities	117
Chapter 10.	Writing the Analysis of Multiple Issues	129

### STAGE THREE     CONVERTING YOUR WORKING DRAFT TO AN OFFICE MEMO

Chapter 11.	The Office Memo and the Law-Trained Reader	145
Chapter 12.	Organizing for Your Reader: The Discussion Section	153
Chapter 13.	Completing the Draft of the Office Memo	161

## STAGE FOUR REVISING TO ACHIEVE A FINAL DRAFT

Chapter 14. Citations and Quotations	177
Chapter 15. Revising for Usage and Style	205

*Professional Letter Writing*

Chapter 16. Writing Professional Letters	225
--	-----

*The Process of Writing Persuasively: The Brief*

## STAGE ONE STRUCTURING FOR PERSUASION: OUTLINING THE WORKING DRAFT

Chapter 17. Ethics, Judges, and Briefs	245
Chapter 18. Formulating and Structuring a Favorable Rule	255

## STAGE TWO DRAFTING FOR PERSUASION: WRITING THE WORKING DRAFT

Chapter 19. Drafting Working Headings	273
Chapter 20. Writing the Working Draft	287

## STAGE THREE CONVERTING THE WORKING DRAFT TO A BRIEF

Chapter 21. The Argument and the Format of the Brief	307
Chapter 22. The Statement of Facts	325

## STAGE FOUR REVISING TO ACHIEVE A FINAL DRAFT

Chapter 23. Editing the Brief	347
Chapter 24. Oral Argument	353

<i>Appendices</i>	365
<i>Index</i>	445

# Contents

<i>Preface</i>	xxi
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xxiii
<i>Introduction</i>	xxv

Chapter 1.	First Things First	1
I.	Who Me? A Writer?	1
II.	Predicting Versus Persuading—Understanding Your Role	2
III.	Analysis and Pliable Authority	3
IV.	How Lawyers Reason	4
	Exercise 1 Forms of Reasoning	7
V.	Ethics	7
VI.	Plagiarism	8



## *The Process of Writing Predictively: The Office Memo*

STAGE ONE	STRUCTURING FOR ANALYSIS: OUTLINING THE WORKING DRAFT
-----------	---

Chapter 2.	Outlining a Rule of Law	15
I.	Outlining a Rule of Law: Overview	15
II.	Common Rule Structures	16
III.	Rules Combining Several Structures	20
IV.	A Few Hints About Outlining Rules	21
V.	Exercises in Formulating a Rule	23
	Exercise 1 Rule Formulation	24
	Exercise 2 Rule Formulation	24
	Exercise 3 Rule Formulation	24
	Exercise 4 Rule Formulation	25
Chapter 3.	Outlining a Rule to Organize Your Analysis of a Legal Issue	27
I.	The Working Draft of Your Legal Analysis	27
II.	Using the Rule to Structure a Working Analysis	28