

Green Energy and Technology

Dario Marra
Cesare Pianese
Pierpaolo Polverino
Marco Sorrentino



Models for Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Systems

Exploitation of Models Hierarchy
for Industrial Design of Control and
Diagnosis Strategies

Dario Marra · Cesare Pianese
Pierpaolo Polverino · Marco Sorrentino

Models for Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Systems

Exploitation of Models Hierarchy
for Industrial Design of Control
and Diagnosis Strategies

Dario Marra
Department of Industrial Engineering
University of Salerno
Fisciano, Salerno
Italy

Pierpaolo Polverino
Department of Industrial Engineering
University of Salerno
Fisciano, Salerno
Italy

Cesare Pianese
Department of Industrial Engineering
University of Salerno
Fisciano, Salerno
Italy

Marco Sorrentino
Department of Industrial Engineering
University of Salerno
Fisciano, Salerno
Italy

ISSN 1865-3529
Green Energy and Technology
ISBN 978-1-4471-5657-4
DOI 10.1007/978-1-4471-5658-1

ISSN 1865-3537 (electronic)
ISBN 978-1-4471-5658-1 (eBook)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016932864

© Springer-Verlag London 2016

The author(s) has/have asserted their right(s) to be identified as the author(s) of this work in accordance with the Copyright, Design and Patents Act 1988.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer-Verlag London Ltd.

Green Energy and Technology

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/8059>

Nomenclature

Acronyms

AC	Alternating Current
AI	Artificial Intelligence
APU	Auxiliary Power Unit
AS	Anode-Supported
BoP	Balance of Plant
CHP	Combined Heat and Power
CPO	Catalytic Partial Oxidation
CPU	Central Processing Unit
CS	Cold-Start
CS2WU	Cold-Start to Warmed-Up
DC	Direct Current
DIR	Direct Internal Reforming
DOD	Depth of Discharge
DoE	Design of Experiment
EIS	Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy
ES	Electrolyte-Supported
EU	European Union
FC	Fuel Cell
FCH-JU	Fuel Cells and Hydrogen Joint Undertaking
FCS	Fuel Cell System
FDI	Fault Detection and Isolation
FSM	Fault Signature Matrix
FTA	Fault Tree Analysis
GT	Gas Turbine
ICE	Internal Combustion Engine
IEA	International Energy Agency
ISM	Integrated Stack Module
LS	Least Squares

LSM	Strontium-Doped Lanthanum Manganite
MIMO	Multi-Input Multi-Output
MLPFF	Multi Layer Perceptron Feed Forward
MLR	Multi Linear Regression
MSE	Mean Squared Error
NN	Neural Network
ODE	Ordinary Differential Equation
PEM	Proton Exchange Membrane
PI	Proportional Integral
PID	Proportional Integral Derivative
PSO	Particle Swarm Optimization
RBF	Radial Basis Function
RC	Resistor-Capacitor
REF	Prereformer Conversion Factor
RNN	Recurrent Neural Network
RUL	Remaining Useful Life
SI	Splitting Index
SOC	State of Charge
SOFC	Solid Oxide Fuel Cell
SVM	Support Vector Machine
TES	Thermal Storage System
WU	Warmed-Up
YSZ	Yttria Stabilized Zirconia

Roman Symbols

A	Area (m^2)
A_s	Heat Transfer Area (m^2)
ASR	Area Specific Resistance (A cm^2)
AU	Air Utilization (–)
c	Specific Heat Capacity ($\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)
C	Heat Capacity (J K^{-1})
C_c	Heat Capacity of Cold Fluid (J K^{-1})
\dot{C}_c	Thermal Mass Flow of Cold Fluid (W K^{-1})
\dot{C}_f	Thermal Mass Flow of Hot Fluid (W K^{-1})
C_h	Heat Capacity of Hot Fluid (J K^{-1})
c_p	Specific Heat Capacity at Constant Pressure ($\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)
D_h	Equivalent Diameter (m)
\dot{E}	Enthalpic Power Flow (W)
\dot{E}_{el}	Electrical Power Flow (W)
E_{Nernst}	Nernst Ideal Potential (V)
F	Faraday Constant (C mol^{-1})

G	Gibbs Free Energy (J mol^{-1})
h	Specific Enthalpy (J mol^{-1})
\bar{h}	Convective Heat Transfer Coefficient ($\text{W m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$)
H	Heat Convective Coefficient ($\text{W m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$)
h_{ch}	Channel High (m)
\bar{h}_{f}^0	Specific Enthalpy of Formation (J mol^{-1})
HHV	Higher Heating Value (J kg^{-1})
I	Current (A)
J	Current Density (A cm^{-2})
\bar{J}	Average Current Density (A cm^{-2})
J_0	Exchange Current Density (A cm^{-2})
J_{as}	Anode Limit Current Density (A cm^{-2})
J_{cs}	Cathode Limit Current Density (A cm^{-2})
k	Thermal Conductivity ($\text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)
l	Length (m)
LHV	Lower Heating Value (J kg^{-1})
m	Mass (kg)
\dot{m}	Mass Flow (kg s^{-1})
\dot{n}	Molar Flow (mol s^{-1})
N	Computational Elements (—)
n_e	Number of electrons (—)
N_u	Nusselt Number (—)
p	Pressure (Pa)
P	Power (W)
P_{batt}	Battery Power (W)
P_{cp}	Compressor Power (W)
P_{gross}	Gross Power (W)
P_{heat}	Heat Power (W)
$\bar{P}_{\text{heat,dwell}}$	Average Heat Power Demand (W)
P_{load}	Power Demand (W)
P_{net}	Net Power (W)
\dot{Q}	Heat Flow (W)
\dot{r}	Reaction Rate (mol s^{-1})
R	Universal Gas Constant ($\text{J m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)
R_{in}	Battery Internal Resistance (Ω)
t	Time (s)
T	Temperature (K)
U_{f}	Fuel Utilization (—)
V	Voltage (V)
V_0	Battery Open Circuit Voltage (V)
w_{ch}	Channel Width (m)
\dot{W}	Mechanical Power (W)
x	Molar Fraction (%)

Greek Symbols

α	Charge Transfer Coefficients (–)
β	Compressor Ratio (–)
Δ	Change
η	Efficiency (–)
λ	Excess of Air (–)
μ	Micro
ξ	Fault Magnitude Coefficient
ρ	Mass Density (kg m^{-3})
σ	Ionic/Electronic Conductivity (S cm^{-1})
τ	Relaxation Time (s)
Ω	Control Volume (m^3)

Footers

a	Air
Act	Activation
an	Anode
aph	Air Preheater
ca	Cathode
cer	Ceramic
ch	Channel
cm	Compressor Motor
Conc	Concentration
cond	Conductive
conv	Convective
cp	Compressor
eff	Effective
el	Electrolyte
eq	Equivalent
ext	External
f	Fuel
front	Frontal
furnace	Furnace
HE	Heat Exchanger
in	Inlet
int	Interconnect
max	Maximum
min	Minimum
Ohm	Ohmic
out	Outlet
ox	Oxidation reaction
Pb	Postburner

pre	Prereformer
pre	Preheater
prod	Product
react	Reactant
ref	Reforming reaction
s	Solid
shift	Water-gas shift reaction
stack	Stack

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Solid Oxide Fuel Cells	1
1.1.1	Cell Materials	2
1.1.2	Cell Designs	3
1.2	Toward Industrial Deployment of Reliable SOFC Systems	4
1.3	Benefits of Model-Based Approach for Control and Diagnostics	7
1.4	Literature Survey	11
1.4.1	1D Models	12
1.4.2	0D Models	13
1.4.3	Black-Box (Data-Driven) Models	16
1.4.4	Models for Diagnosis and Degradation Monitoring	17
1.5	Chapter Closure	20
	References	21
2	Models Hierarchy	27
2.1	From Physical to Synthesis Models	27
2.1.1	Hierarchical Approach to SOFC Modeling	29
2.2	Dimensional Modeling	31
2.2.1	The 1D Model	31
2.3	Lumped (Gray-Box) Modeling	60
2.3.1	Lumped Model of Planar SOFC	61
2.3.2	PostBurner Modeling	70
2.3.3	Heat Exchangers Modeling	73
2.4	Black-Box Modeling	78
2.4.1	Overview on Neural Network Models	78
2.4.2	Development of Neural Networks for Steady-State Modeling of SOFC Performance	80
2.4.3	Development of Neural Networks for Dynamic Modeling of SOFC Performance	82

2.5	Required Experimental Activity	83
2.5.1	Definition of Steady-State Identification Domain	84
2.5.2	Transient Experiments	85
2.6	Chapter Closure.	86
	References	87
3	Models for Control Applications.	91
3.1	Multilevel Control of SOFC Systems	91
3.1.1	Control Variables and Controlled Outputs.	94
3.1.2	Which Controllers?	96
3.2	Supervisory Control	98
3.3	Central-Level Control.	100
3.4	Low-Level Control	102
3.4.1	Warmed-up Phase	104
3.4.2	Cold-Start Phase	107
3.5	Case Studies	111
3.5.1	Case Study 1: Automotive APU	111
3.5.2	Case Study 2: Residential CHP	115
3.6	Chapter Closure.	118
	References	118
4	Models for Diagnostic Applications.	121
4.1	Model-Based Diagnosis	121
4.2	Residual Generation.	123
4.3	Threshold Design.	125
4.4	Inferential Process	128
4.4.1	Fault Tree Analysis	130
4.4.2	Fault Signature Matrix	132
4.5	Case Studies	134
4.5.1	Case Study 1: Air Blower Fault	136
4.5.2	Case Study 2: Fuel Pre-reformer Fault	145
4.6	Chapter Closure.	152
	References	153

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Schematic of SOFC working principle	2
Figure 2.1	Qualitative description of the impact of modeling approach on required experimental burden	28
Figure 2.2	Hierarchical approach for real-time modeling and model-based control and diagnosis of SOFC systems	30
Figure 2.3	Mass balance discretization for co-flow (a) and counter-flow (b) planar solid oxide fuel cell	32
Figure 2.4	Schematic representation of main variables involved in the procedure used to estimate anode inlet fuel composition (see Eqs. 2.10, 2.11a, 2.11b, 2.11c and 2.12)	35
Figure 2.5	Energy balance discretization for co-flow (a) and counter-flow (b) planar solid oxide fuel cell	36
Figure 2.6	Activation losses dependence on current and temperature. The values shown in the figure were estimated via Eq. (2.37). Further details on the parameters utilized in Eq. (2.37) can be retrieved from Sorrentino et al. (2004)	41
Figure 2.7	Ohmic losses dependence on current and temperature for electrolyte- (a) and anode-supported (b) SOFC (see cell specifications in Table 2.2). The values shown in the figure were estimated via Eq. (2.38). Further details on the parameters utilized in Eq. (2.38) can be retrieved from Sorrentino et al. (2004).	42
Figure 2.8	Concentration losses dependence on current and temper- ature for electrolyte- (a) and anode-supported (b) SOFC (see cell specifications in Table 2.2). The values shown in the figure were estimated via Eq. (2.39). Further details on the parameters utilized in Eq. (2.39) can be retrieved from Sorrentino et al. (2004).	45

Figure 2.9	Schematic representation of the procedure developed to solve, in a least square way, the system of governing equations expressed by Eqs. (2.45a, 2.45b)–(2.50). The variables \dot{n}_{fuel}^i and \dot{n}_{air}^i are nothing but vectors including the molar flows of anodic and cathodic species, respectively.	46
Figure 2.10	Cross-sectional view of a single, planar, and co-flow SOFC consisting of three rectangular channels at both fuel and air side.	49
Figure 2.11	Current and temperature distributions yielded on output by the 1D model executed on BA benchmarks (see Table 2.2)	50
Figure 2.12	Fuel compositions distributions yielded on output by the 1D model executed on the ES benchmarks (see Table 2.2)	51
Figure 2.13	Comparison of single cell voltages estimated by the three 1D SOFC models on the AS benchmark. Exp 1 through exp 6 corresponds to the specification provided in the plot titles shown on Fig. 2.14 (Reprinted with permission of original authors—GENIUS 2013).	55
Figure 2.14	Comparison of current density profiles yielded on output by the three models involved in the AS benchmark (Reprinted with permission of original authors—GENIUS 2013).	56
Figure 2.15	Comparison of solid temperature profiles yielded on output by the three models involved in the AS benchmark (Reprinted with permission of original authors—GENIUS 2013)	57
Figure 2.16	Cause-effect diagram showing the overall effect of temperature increase on cell voltage	58
Figure 2.17	Impact of temperature increase on SOFC voltage for a methane reformat and b pure H ₂ feed	58
Figure 2.18	Cause-effect diagram showing the overall effect of fuel utilization variation on cell voltage	58
Figure 2.19	Impact of fuel utilization variation on SOFC voltage for a methane reformat and b pure H ₂ feed	59
Figure 2.20	Cause-effect diagram showing the overall effect of excess air variation on cell voltage.	59
Figure 2.21	Impact of excess air variation on SOFC voltage for a methane reformat and b pure H ₂ feed	60
Figure 2.22	Typical layout (adapted from Sorrentino and Pianese 2009) of an SOFC APU, showing the most significant ancillary devices along with principal energy and mass flows	61

Figure 2.23	Control volume (i.e., the one fully included within the <i>dotted-dashed</i> border) with reference to which the state-space model expressed by Eq. (2.58) was derived. Heat exchange with the surroundings was neglected as a consequence of adiabatic hypothesis, thus it was not included in the above illustration	62
Figure 2.24	Schematic description of hierarchical approach deployment for the development of the MLR correlation expressed by Eq. (2.62)	65
Figure 2.25	Schematic illustration of load step changes considered in the response to load change analysis.	66
Figure 2.26	Voltage (a) and outlet temperature (b) response to the load step-changes shown in Fig. 2.25. In (a) the values of t_0 and t_∞ resulting from cases 1 and 2 are indicated nearby the x -axis	66
Figure 2.27	Qualitative description of voltage evolution during a transient subsequent to a load step change	67
Figure 2.28	Voltage trajectories simulated in the response to excess of air change analysis described in Table 2.6.	69
Figure 2.29	Outlet temperature trajectories simulated in the response to excess of air change analysis described in Table 2.6.	70
Figure 2.30	Validation of the lumped model expressed by Eq. 2.58 against experimental transients consisting of a sequence of fast ramp changes in stack load.	71
Figure 2.31	Schematic representation of mass and energy flows entering and leaving the postburner used in a typical SOFC system (see Fig. 2.22). Note that nitrogen molar flows does never change going from SOFC system inlet to outlet, thus the corresponding notation did not change with respect to the control volume surrounding the SOFC stack, shown in Fig. 2.23	72
Figure 2.32	Physical meaning of the energy balance over the postburner expressed by Eq. 2.63. The hypothesis of adiabatic flame temperature allows assuming that a constant enthalpy combustion process occurs inside the postburner (Heywood 1988)	72
Figure 2.33	Variation of heat exchanger effectiveness as a function of both design and operating parameters (adapted from Mastrullo et al. 1991; Lee et al. 2011)	75
Figure 2.34	Logic diagram synthesizing the model-based design of heat exchanger transfer area, for a given nominal operating condition established for the SOFC system	76

Figure 2.35	Contour plot showing a normalized map linking compressor (i.e., Lyshom type, Miotti et al. 2006) efficiency to air flow and compression ratio	77
Figure 2.36	Schematic representation of a generic multi-input single-output MLPFF NN structure. It is worth noting that the illustrated exemplary structure consists of four inputs, one hidden layer, and three hidden neurons	78
Figure 2.37	Schematic representation of a generic RNN structure. It is worth noting that the illustrated exemplary structure consists of 3 inputs, 1 hidden layer and 15 hidden neurons. The memory effect, which provides dynamic simulation capabilities, is achieved by considering 1 past input and two output feedbacks \hat{y}	80
Figure 2.38	Comparison between NN (i.e., model on the ordinates) outputs and measured voltage (i.e., experiments on the abscissa)	81
Figure 2.39	Comparison of NN and experimental voltage over a voltage versus number of experiments domain	81
Figure 2.40	RNN structure and qualitative equivalence between RNN and lumped models of SOFC dynamics	82
Figure 2.41	Accuracy of the RNN SOFC performance simulator on the training (a) and test (b) data sets	83
Figure 2.42	Experimental coverage (i.e. related to black-points location) of theoretically allowable voltage operating domain	84
Figure 2.43	Schematic representation of the transient maneuvers described in Table 2.7. Such a representation is in accordance with physical response subsequent to a load step change, as previously discussed in Sect. 2.3.1.2.1	85
Figure 3.1	General description of multilevel approach to control strategies definition and implementation in SOFC energy units.	92
Figure 3.2	Schematic layout of a hybrid SOFC unit, which also includes main system specifications. Further details on the specific model-based design methodology, used to size the SOFC system, are retrievable from Sorrentino and Pianese (2009). The variables shown within the SOFC system box refer to the components sub-models introduced in Chap. 2.	93
Figure 3.3	Schematic summary of main control variables and controlled outputs involved in SOFC units functioning	95
Figure 3.4	Control configurations (a) and main controller types (b).	97

Figure 3.5	Particularization of the generic supervisory-level (see Fig. 3.1 and Sect. 3.1) to the high-level control of SOFC units	98
Figure 3.6	Most suitable splitting index surface (see Eq. 3.1) a associated to the heuristic rules b determined for the SOFC unit shown in Fig. 3.2. Particularly, the table of b shows <i>SI</i> qualitative variation as a function of load demand and battery state of charge	99
Figure 3.7	Modeled efficiency curves for the SOFC system shown in Fig. 3.2 (Sorrentino and Pianese 2009). It is worth remarking that system efficiency is here computed on a higher heating value basis	99
Figure 3.8	Central-level controller for addressing safe transition from one operating mode to another in a hybridized SOFC unit	101
Figure 3.9	Simulated trajectories resulting from the parametric analysis detailed in Table 3.1 (a–c). Bar plot (d) highlights the need for introducing quite a high slew rate to avoid incurring severe thermal stresses during load-following operation	102
Figure 3.10	Multilevel architecture with detailed description of low-level controllers needed to ensure proper management of the entire SOFC unit, during both warmed-up and cold-start phases	103
Figure 3.11	Schematic description of warmed-up low-level controllers used for thermal and energy management of the SOFC unit shown in Fig. 3.2	105
Figure 3.12	Response of controlled variables (a , b) and control input (c) to a load variation from 40 to 50 A (i.e., from 0.4 to 0.5 A cm ⁻²)	106
Figure 3.13	Schematic description of model-based derived thermal management of SOFC unit during transition from cold-start to warmed-up conditions	108
Figure 3.14	Thermal management of the SOFC unit during cold-start	109
Figure 3.15	Model-based evaluation of cold-start duration dependence on methane mass flow supplied to the postburner (see Fig. 3.14).	109
Figure 3.16	Qualitative description of the transition from CS to WU regulation of air-mass flow supplied to SOFC cathode channels	110
Figure 3.17	Modeling approach adopted to simulate battery pack performance a discharge mode; b charge mode	113