

### THE ARDEN SHAKESPEARE



莎士比亚作品解读丛书

# 裘力斯・恺撒

主编 [英] 戴维·丹尼尔 (David Daniell)

Julius Caesar

四 中国人民大学出版社

Grand's

THE REST PERSONS NAMED IN



经不定的证明的现代证据

# 表力斯·恺撒

OF REAL PROPERTY.

Interes Courses

ALCOHOLOGICAL

### 莎士比亚作品解读丛书

# 裘力斯・恺撒

主编 [英] 戴维·丹尼尔 (David Daniell)

### 图书在版编目(CIP)数据

裘力斯・恺撒: 英文/[英] 丹尼尔主编. 北京:中国人民大学出版社,2007 (莎士比亚作品解读丛书) ISBN 978-7-300-08826-6

Ⅰ. 裘…

Ⅱ. 丹…

Ⅲ. 悲剧-剧本-文学研究-英国-中世纪-英文

IV. I561.073

中国版本图书馆CIP数据核字 (2007) 第 197394 号

### 莎士比亚作品解读丛书

### 裘力斯・恺撒

主编 [英] 戴维・丹尼尔(David Daniell)

出版发行		中国人民大学出版社			
社	址	北京中关村大街31号	邮政	编码	100080
电	话	010-62511242(总编室)	010-	62511	398(质管部)
		010-82501766(邮购部)	010-	62514	148(门市部)
		010-62515195(发行公司)	010-62515275(盗版举报)		
<b>[33]</b>	址	http:// www. crup. com. cn			
		http://www.ttrnet.com(人大都	(研网	)	
经	销	新华书店			
助	刷	北京鑫丰华彩印有限公司			
规	格	148 mm×210 mm 32开本	版	次	2008年1月第1版
印	张	13 插页2	印	次	2008年1月第1次印刷
字	数	365 000	定	价	30.00元

印装差错

负责调换

版权所有 侵权必究

# JULIUS CAESAR

Edited by
DAVID DANIELL

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

### THE ARDEN SHAKESPEARE

ALL'S WELL THAT ENDS WELL
ANTONY AND CLEOPATRA
AS YOU LIKE IT
THE COMEDY OF ERRORS
CORIOLANUS
CYMBELINE
HAMLET

JULIUS CAESAR
KING HENRY IV Part 1
KING HENRY IV Part 2
KING HENRY V
KING HENRY VI Part 1
KING HENRY VI Part 2
KING HENRY VI Part 3

KING HENRY VIII
KING JOHN
KING LEAR
KING RICHARD II
KING RICHARD III
LOVE'S LABOUR'S LOST
MACBETH
MEASURE FOR MEASURE

MEASURE FOR MEASURE
THE MERCHANT OF VENICE
THE MERRY WIVES OF WINDSOR
A MIDSUMMER NIGHT'S DREAM
MUCH ADO ABOUT NOTHING
OTHELLO

PERICLES
THE POEMS

ROMEO AND JULIET SHAKESPEARE'S SONNETS THE TAMING OF THE SHREW THE TEMPEST

TIMON OF ATHENS
TITUS ANDRONICUS
TROILUS AND CRESSIDA
TWELFTH NIGHT
THE TWO GENTLEMEN OF VERONA
THE TWO NOBLE KINSMEN
THE WINTER'S TALE

edited by G. K. Hunter\*
edited by John Wilders
edited by Juliet Dusinberre
edited by R. A. Foakes\*
edited by Philip Brockbank\*
edited by J. M. Nosworthy\*
edited by Ann Thompson and
Neil Taylor

edited by David Daniell
edited by David Scott Kastan
edited by A. R. Humphreys\*
edited by T. W. Craik
edited by Edward Burns
edited by Ronald Knowles
edited by John D. Cox and
Eric Rasmussen

Eric Rasmussen
edited by Gordon McMullan
edited by E. A. J. Honigmann\*
edited by R. A. Foakes
edited by Charles Forker
edited by Antony Hammond\*
edited by H. R. Woudhuysen
edited by Kenneth Muir\*
edited by J. W. Lever\*
edited by John Russell Brown\*
edited by Giorgio Melchiori
edited by Harold F. Brooks\*
edited by Claire McEachern
edited by E. A. J. Honigmann
edited by Suzanne Gossett

edited by Katherine Duncan-Jones edited by Brian Morris\* edited by Virginia Mason Vaughan and Alden T. Vaughan edited by H. J. Oliver\*

edited by F. T. Prince\*

edited by Brian Gibbons\*

edited by Jonathan Bate
edited by David Bevington
edited by J. M. Lothian and T. W. Craik\*
edited by William C. Carroll
edited by Lois Potter

edited by J. H. P. Pafford\*

<sup>\*</sup> Second series

#### The Editor

David Daniell is Emeritus Professor of English at the University of London and Honorary Fellow of Hertford College, Oxford. For 25 years he taught Shakespeare and much else at University College London. He has been Visiting Professor at King's College London, and Visiting Fellow at Magdalen College Oxford. He has taught at Dartmouth College, New Hampshire, and lectured widely in Europe, the USA and the UK. His publications include books on Coriolanus and The Tempest, and many articles on Shakespeare. He has written extensively on the English Bible, particularly its first translator, William Tyndale.

## For Dorothy, Chris and Andy Daniell, with love

## GENERAL EDITORS' Preface

The Arden Shakespeare is now nearly one hundred years old. The earliest volume in the series, Edward Dowden's *Hamlet*, was published in 1899. Since then the Arden Shakespeare has become internationally recognized and respected. It is now widely acknowledged as the pre-eminent Shakespeare series, valued by scholars, students, actors, and 'the great variety of readers' alike for its readable and reliable texts, its full annotations and its richly informative introductions.

We have aimed in the third Arden edition to maintain the quality and general character of its predecessors, preserving the commitment to presenting the play as it has been shaped in history. While each individual volume will necessarily have its own emphasis in the light of the unique possibilities and problems posed by the play, the series as a whole, like the earlier Ardens, insists upon the highest standards of scholarship and upon attractive and accessible presentation.

Newly edited from the original quarto and folio editions, the texts are presented in fully modernized form, with a textual apparatus that records all substantial divergences from those early printings. The notes and introductions focus on the conditions and possibilities of meaning that editors, critics and performers (on stage and screen) have discovered in the play. While building upon the rich history of scholarly and theatrical activity that has long shaped our understanding of the texts of Shakespeare's plays, this third series of the Arden Shakespeare is made necessary and possible by a new generation's encounter with Shakespeare, engaging with the plays and their complex relation to the culture in which they were – and continue to be – produced.

### THE TEXT

On each page of the work itself, readers will find a passage of text followed by commentary and, finally, textual notes. Act and scene divisions (seldom present in the early editions and often the product of eighteenth-century or later scholarship) have been retained for ease of reference, but have been given less prominence than in the previous series. Editorial indications of location of the action have been removed to the textual notes or commentary.

In the text itself, unfamiliar typographic conventions have been avoided in order to minimize obstacles to the reader. Elided forms in the early texts are spelt out in full in verse lines wherever they indicate a usual late-twentieth-century pronunciation that requires no special indication and wherever they occur in prose (except when they indicate non-standard pronunciation). In verse speeches, marks of elision are retained where they are necessary guides to the scansion and pronunciation of the line. Final -ed in past tense and participial forms of verbs is always printed as -ed without accent, never as -'d, but wherever the required pronunciation diverges from modern usage a note in the commentary draws attention to the fact. Where the final -ed should be given syllabic value contrary to modern usage, e.g.

Doth Silvia know that I am banished? (TGV 3.1.214)

the note will take the form

### 214 banished banishèd

Conventional lineation of divided verse lines shared by two or more speakers has been reconsidered and sometimes rearranged. Except for the familiar *Exit* and *Exeunt*, Latin forms in stage directions and speech prefixes have been translated into English and the original Latin forms recorded in the textual notes.

### COMMENTARY AND TEXTUAL NOTES

Notes in the commentary, for which a major source will be the Oxford English Dictionary, offer glossarial and other explication of verbal difficulties; they may also include discussion of points of theatrical interpretation and, in relevant cases, substantial extracts from Shakespeare's source material. Editors will not usually offer glossarial notes for words adequately defined in the latest edition of The Concise Oxford Dictionary or Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, but in cases of doubt they will include notes. Attention, however, will be drawn to places where more than one likely interpretation can be proposed and to significant verbal and syntactic complexity. Notes preceded by \* involve editorial emendations or readings in which the rival textual claims of competing early editions (Quarto and Folio) are in dispute.

Headnotes to acts or scenes discuss, where appropriate, questions of scene location, Shakespeare's handling of his source materials, and major difficulties of staging. The list of roles (so headed to emphasize the play's status for performance) is also considered in commentary notes. These may include comment on plausible patterns of casting with the resources of an Elizabethan or Jacobean acting company, and also on any variation in the description of roles in their speech prefixes in the early editions.

The textual notes are designed to let readers know when the edited text diverges from the early edition(s) on which it is based. Wherever this happens the note will record the rejected reading of the early edition(s), in original spelling, and the source of the reading adopted in this edition. Other forms from the early edition(s) recorded in these notes will include some spellings of particular interest or significance and original forms of translated stage directions. Where two early editions are involved, for instance with *Othello*, the notes will also record all important differences between them. The textual notes take a form that has been in use since the nineteenth century. This comprises, first: line reference, reading adopted in the text and closing

square bracket; then: abbreviated reference, in italic, to the earliest edition to adopt the accepted reading(s), beginning with the rejected original reading, each with abbreviated italic reference to its source.

Conventions used in these textual notes include the following: the solidus / is used, in notes quoting verse or discussing verse lining, to indicate line endings. Distinctive spellings of the basic text (Q or F) follow the square bracket without indication of source and are enclosed in italic brackets. Names enclosed in italic brackets indicate originators of conjectural emendations when these did not originate in an edition of the text. Stage directions (SDs) are referred to by the number of the line within or immediately after which they are placed. Line numbers with a decimal point relate to entry SDs and to SDs more than one line long, with the number after the point indicating the line within the SD: e.g. 78.4 refers to the fourth line of the SD following line 78. Lines of SDs at the start of a scene are numbered 0.1, 0.2, etc. Where only a line number and SD precede the square bracket, e.g. 128 SD], the note relates to the whole of a SD within or immediately following the line. Speech prefixes (SPs) follow similar conventions, 203 SP] referring to the speaker's name for line 203. Where a SP reference takes the form e.g. 38 + SP, it relates to all subsequent speeches assigned to that speaker in the scene in question.

Where, as with  $King\ Henry\ V$ , one of the early editions is a so-called 'bad quarto' (that is, a text either heavily adapted, or reconstructed from memory, or both), the divergences from the present edition are too great to be recorded in full in the notes. In these cases the editions will include a reduced photographic facsimile of the 'bad quarto' in an appendix.

### INTRODUCTION

Both the introduction and the commentary are designed to present the plays as texts for performance, and make appropriate reference to stage, film and television versions, as well as introducing the reader to the range of critical approaches to the plays. They discuss the history of the reception of the texts within the theatre and scholarship and beyond, investigating the interdependency of the literary text and the surrounding 'cultural text' both at the time of the original reproduction of Shakespeare's works and during their long and rich afterlife.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The ideas of more people than I can possibly mention have gone into my thinking about Julius Caesar over the years, and more recently into the making of this edition, from books and articles read, and performances seen and commented upon. I hope that, inadequate as it is, a general acknowledgement here of so much wisdom and understanding may be counted to me for righteousness. I have valued T.S. Dorsch's previous Arden edition of Julius Caesar (1955): though I often disagree with it, I am honoured to carry the baton forward from his hand. I have benefited particularly from communications from Robert Henke, Blair Worden and Ronnie Mulryne, and the scholarship of Robert Miola. Robert Ireland and Brian Vickers answered complicated questions promptly, at length and with enthusiasm. Robert Ireland read the final proofs and made many valuable suggestions. Some pages of the Introduction first appeared in public at a seminar directed by Robert Miola at the Shakespeare Association of America Conference in Atlanta in 1993. Another section was a paper to the Renaissance Literature Graduate Seminar in Oxford, led by Emrys Jones and Barbara Everett. I learned much from these experiences. Steve Sohmer put at my disposal his insights into the context of the first performances of Julius Caesar: more, he made primary and secondary documents available to me at home, a treasure-chest indeed – the publication of this edition means that I have to return that large box: there will be a void in my study in several senses. The librarians of University College London, Magdalen College Oxford, the British Library, the Bodleian Library, the Shakespeare Institute and the Shakespeare Centre at Stratford-upon-Avon, and the London Library, have been unfailingly helpful. Georgianna Ziegler successfully guided me in locating pictures in the archives of the Folger Shakespeare Library. Jane Kingsley-Smith checked collations and other references with speed and skill. Special gratitude goes to Jessica Hodge of Thomas Nelson: she has been notably efficient, helpful and patient. Roger Fallon was an assiduous copy-editor. To Meg Bradt I owe a particular debt: she arranged for me a research assistant, Sean McBride, who worked in the British Library for four months of solid application; I greatly valued his quick intelligence and accumulated wisdom about the play. The three General Editors of the Series, David Scott Kastan, Ann Thompson and in particular Richard Proudfoot, have made invaluable comments, without which this edition would have been the poorer. Michael Parsons has been important in my thinking about much related to Julius Caesar. Thanks are due to Routledge for permission to reproduce excerpts from Bullough: Narrative and Dramatic Sources of Shakespeare, vol. 5 (1964). My wife Dorothy, and my sons Chris and Andy, have been a constant source of inspiration, and not only in the years in which I have been so occupied with Shakespeare's first great tragedy.

> David Daniell Leverstock Green

## CONTENTS

General editors' preface	xi		
Acknowledgements			
Introduction	1		
The play	1		
Julius Caesar in London in 1599	7		
The language of Julius Caesar	38		
Structures	75		
Shakespeare's sources	79		
Julius Caesar and the critics	95		
Julius Caesar in performance	99		
The text	121		
JULIUS CAESAR	149		
Appendix: Plutarch's Lives of the			
Noble Grecians and Romanes	323		
Abbreviations and references	372		
Abbreviations used in notes	372		
Shakespeare's works and works partly by			
Shakespeare	372		
Editions of Shakespeare collated	373		
Other works	375		
Index	386		

## INTRODUCTION

### THE PLAY

Julius Caesar is Shakespeare's first great tragedy. It tells the story of the conspiracy to kill Caesar, his assassination, and the civil war that followed, as Caesar's ghost bloodily pursued the chief murderers across the Roman world. Nothing like it had been seen on the stage before. It was a new kind of political play combining fast action (it is a short play - just over half the length of Hamlet) and compelling rhetoric. Julius Caesar and the people of Rome, patricians and plebeians alike, have an immediacy that can be felt: the recent history of Rome, and of Caesar himself, is rapidly (and unobtrusively) sketched. Yet in the first three acts the momentum builds to the few seconds of the killing of Caesar - and then builds again until Antony has so skilfully inflamed the mob that, frenzied, they tear an innocent man to pieces on stage, a man who was a poet and maker of good words. From that point, rhetoric, so cleverly used by the conspirators and by Antony, is overtaken by cruelty, revenge and war; on the final battlefield, the two chief conspirators commit suicide, with 'Caesar' on their lips.

Since that fatal moment in Rome on the morning of 15 March 44 BC – the most famous historical event in the West outside the Bible – people have been divided (and still are) about the brutal action to which Brutus gave his power. Was it a necessary culling to save Rome? – King Henry V would have had no hesitation. Or was it, as Goethe called it, the most senseless deed that ever was done? Shakespeare's achievement in this play is to call up widely differing responses. The only political endorsement he gives is to his observation, which was at the