CIVIL PROCEDURE

JEFFREY W. STEMPEL

STEVEN BAICKER-MCKEE
BROOKE D. COLEMAN

DAVID F. HERR
MICHAEL I. KAUFMAN

WEST.

LEARNING CIVIL PROCEDURE

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

Jeffrey W. Stempel

Doris S. & Theodore B. Lee Professor of Law William S. Boyd School of Law University of Nevada Las Vegas

Steven Baicker-McKee

Assistant Professor of Law Duquesne University School of Law

Brooke D. Coleman

Assistant Professor of Law Seattle University School of Law



Michael J. Kaufman

Associate Dean of Academic Affairs and Professor of Law Director, Child Law and Education Institute Loyola University of Chicago School of Law

LEARNING SERIES

WEST_®

This publication was created to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered; however, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. The publisher is not engaged in rendering legal or other professional advice and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

Nothing contained herein is intended or written to be used for the purpose of 1) avoiding penalties imposed under the federal Internal Revenue Code, or 2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

© 2013 LEG, Inc. d/b/a West Academic Publishing 610 Opperman Drive St. Paul, MN 55123 1-800-313-9378

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN: 9780314278777

DEDICATION

For Ann, Ryan, Shanen and Reed

To Carol, Karen, and Kelsey for their cheerful and enthusiastic support and assistance

To Mark, Dad, Mom, Cole, Ardon and Ezra, with boundless gratitude and love

To My Loving Family

For Gagas

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This book reflects not only the collaboration of its five authors but also the influence and support of family, friends, and colleagues. Including the names of everyone who has touched our group in some way concerning our involvement with civil litigation would produce a list resembling a phone book that could not realistically be used here. But we wish to give particular mention to those who have been particularly important in the development of this coursebook.

Jeff thanks Elizabeth Ellison, Steve Gensler, Doris and Ted Lee, Mary Langsner, Thom Main, Suzette Malveaux, Annette Mann, David McClure, Ann McGinley, Dick Morgan, Linda Mullenix, Emily Navasca, Ashley Newton, Russ Pearce, Jeanne Price, Nancy Rapaport, Sandra Rodriguez, Jim Rogers, Mike and Sonya Saltman, Liz Schneider, Steve Subrin, Jean Sternlight, Suja Thomas, Spencer Waller, and John White.

Steve extends his heartfelt thanks to Dean Ken Gormley and the faculty at Duquesne Law School for giving me the chance to pursue my passion. Thanks also to Dean Dan Steinbock, Ken Kilbert, and the rest of the faculty at the University of Toledo for the opportunity to dip my toe into the academic waters. Thanks to Bill Janssen and Bernie Corr for their patience and counsel as I wrestled with whether to dive in. And thanks to my coauthors on this project for their support and friendship.

Brooke thanks Professors Arthur Miller, Jeff Stempel, and Suja Thomas for inspiring and sustaining her dedication to Civil Procedure. Thanks also to Professors Dan Capra, Robert Chang, Julie Shapiro, Norman Spaulding, Adam Steinman, Hon. David F. Levi and Hon. Lee H. Rosenthal for their encouragement and support. This book would not have been possible without the research and editorial assistance of Evelyn Emanuel, Brian Fisher, Jack Guthrie, Albert Kang, Matt Link, and Constance Locklear.

David thanks his colleagues at Maslon Edelman Borman & Brand, LLP, for their support and the many members of the bench and bar who have taught so much.

Mike thanks Professors Zelda Harris, Cynthia Ho, Richard Michael, Alex Tsesis and Spencer Waller for their incredible insight, wisdom, and support. Thanks are also due to Meghan Helder, Sarah Kaufman, Lorena Galvez, Zachary Kaufman, Elaine Gist and Ashley Heard for their outstanding research and editorial assistance.

We also thank West Academic Publishing for its confidence in us and support of the project. Special thanks are due to Acquisitions Editor Louis Higgins for his steadfast and capable support and to Laura Kruse and Megan Anderson of Red Line Editorial. Thanks also to Deborah Jones Merritt, for helping to launch us toward this book and for generous comments on a draft manuscript.

PREFACE

In a world of scarce resources, there seems nonetheless to be no shortage of civil procedure books, many of them quite good. But in our years of teaching the course, we had yet to find the optimal coursebook and found ourselves supplementing the other casebooks we have used with additional reading and lectures designed to fill the gaps of other books. At the same time, we grew disenchanted with the constant parade of case after case after case as an effective or efficient method of illustrating a particular procedural point. Although the traditional Langdellian case method can be a powerful tool for learning, we do not believe it should be the nearly exclusive tool for teaching civil procedure. Most civil procedure books include 60 or more excerpted cases, some as many as 80 or 90. As some point, a civil procedure book can begin to look merely like a set of bound cases with some connecting transitions.

And what transitions—often notes between the excerpted cases that refer to other cases (often without much or any explanation) under the assumption that busy first-year law students will actually find the time to examine these additional cases in addition to keeping up with the assigned reading, doing legal writing assignments, and grabbing a few hours of sleep while attempting to maintain some semblance of a normal life during law school. These notes often include relatively esoteric procedural questions that, although occasionally fascinating to the law professoriate, are not particularly germane to mainstream civil litigation and almost never come up for the average practitioner. *Learning Civil Procedure* eliminates these "How Many Angels Can Dance on the Head of a Pin?" questions that are a staple following case excerpts in many traditional casebooks and replaces them with examples, exercises, and problems designed to build student mastery of the discipline.

At the same time that some books fall prey to esoteric doctrine, they also often provide students with little or no history or background regarding the discipline. Other books have so much historical or political background that the student may think there has been a registration mistake and the law school has been overrun by the political science department. *Learning Civil Procedure*, although practical in orientation, understands that the civil rules and attendant doctrine did not develop in a vacuum. Good

lawyers need to know some of the history and institutions responsible for the current state of civil litigation – and this is provided in this coursebook.

Despite scores of cases, most books provide relatively little opportunity for students to test their knowledge with problems of the type they will encounter in final examinations or in practice. Most civil procedure books also skimp on the building practical litigation and lawyering skills and assessment of dispute resolution realities.

Thus, despite the crowded market of civil procedure books we concluded that there was room for one more—if it was sufficiently different from and an improvement upon the status quo. At the same time we were planning such a book, Thomson/West published *Learning Evidence* by Deborah Jones Merritt and Ric Simmons. This text was a dramatic departure from the traditional evidence casebook in terms of organization, format, presentation, reinforcement, and the use of explanatory scenarios and problems as teaching tools rather than excerpted court cases. In fact, *Learning Evidence* is a coursebook without a single case excerpted at any length. It instead focuses on the Rules of Evidence as the core of the subject and upon the application of those rules to frequently encountered litigation matters.

Learning Evidence was a watershed in law school casebooks and has come close to revolutionizing the field with its success as a widely adopted book that has changed the way the course is taught in many law schools. It inspired West Academic Publishing to create a series of books using this type of approach and solidified our desire to create a civil procedure text in the same vein. We were thrilled to be able to become a part of this emerging new series of coursebooks with Learning Civil Procedure.

Learning Civil Procedure follows the popular approach of Learning Evidence. In particular Learning Civil Procedure is presented in an attractive, readable visual format like all books in West's Learning series. As detailed in the Study Guide at the outset of the book, each chapter begins with a list of Key Concepts to orient the student and provide a road map for the chapter. Icons, text boxes, and other visual aids differentiate material and help guide the reader. Material that is somewhat tangential but nonetheless important or useful is set of in colored text box bubbles to differentiate it from core material. Excerpted cases are distinctly set off, as are the book's Examples & Analysis feature and more extensive Additional Exercises

that can be used for class discussion. Also unique as compared to other civil procedure casebooks is the In Practice feature (marked by the Esq. icon), providing practical litigation knowledge and advice to students, the sort of thing lawyers usually learn only after being in the field.

We also adapt *Learning Evidence* as necessary to the differences between civil procedure and other first-year courses and evidence or other upper-division courses. Particularly for a first-year course, part of the educational goal is to create culturally literate lawyers who not only know doctrinal and practical substance but also appreciate the history and of the field and key developments in the field.

For civil procedure and other courses, some of the key developments are cases – and we have therefore not completely eliminated the study of cases from our approach to studying civil litigation. In particularly, iconic cases such as Louisville & Nashville Railroad v. Mottley (Ch. 1), International Shoe v. Washington (Ch. 2), Hickman v. Taylor (Ch. 12), and Erie v. Tompkins (Ch. 4) have been included, as have current applications of difficult areas of law such as Teamsters v. Terry (Ch. 15), Shady Grove Orthopedic v. Allstate Insurance (Ch. 4), and Taylor v. Sturgell (Ch. 20). But despite including these cases, Learning Civil Procedure includes only 15 excerpted cases along with some occasionally extensive quotation of a few other important cases – a far cry from other civil procedure books. Each of these cases is excerpted in sufficient length and detail that students gain the advantages of analyzing a case that has not been unduly condensed and edited. The case excerpts permit a close reading of precedent and extensive discussion of judicial analysis to the extent desired by the instructor.

Rather than cases alone, this book focuses on the on the nature of civil litigation as a whole, in particular the Rules of Civil Procedure, statutes, and constitutional provisions most often operative in civil litigation. Important provisions are excerpted in the book itself so that students need not be constantly flipping back and forth between the casebook and a rules-and-

On a website supporting use of this book, we are providing edited versions of many of the important, classic, or iconic cases that of necessity had to be left out in order to create the slimmer, more problem-oriented book published. But if an instructor wishes to add additional cases or substitute one important case (e.g., *Gasperini v. Center for the Humanities* instead of *Shady Grove v. Allstate* when studying the *Erie* doctrine in Ch. 4), this can easily be done.

statutes supplement. After the introduction of a concept, the applicable rule or statutory provision is quoted, followed by examples and analyses that help flesh out the concept and emphasize the provision. Students engage in application of new concepts and develop basic understanding before moving on to new topics. This approach is more consistent with current learning theory than the traditional pure case method.

Learning Civil Procedure is also distinguished from other books in the field by its practice-based orientation. It is the only civil procedure course-book by a major publisher with authors who have more than 60 years of collective full-time litigation experience as well as more than 50 years of full-time law teaching experience. Learning Civil Procedure focuses on the civil procedure issues that arise with frequency in real world litigation and addresses them in a practical manner, without sacrificing material and opportunity to explore more complex, less frequent problems that may be of pedagogical value.

Learning Civil Procedure is a broad but succinct coursebook easily adapted to a four-credit, five-credit, or six—credit format. More time can be taken with the material in the book itself and it can be supplemented with additional cases and materials for discussion available in the book's Teacher's Manual or website. Learning Civil Procedure is adaptable to suit the instructor's discretion and is also well-supported. The Teacher's Manual contains extensive analyses of the more involved and complex Additional Exercises at the end of each Chapter as well as supplementary analyses of the Examples and Explanations in each chapter so that the instructor can go beyond the answers provided in text. Similarly, the Teacher's Manual provides analysis of questions posed in the book and provides material for further discussion at the discretion of the instructor.

In addition to edited versions of additional cases for possible use and Westlaw links to full versions of many cases found in traditional civil procedure casebooks that do not appear in *Learning Civil Procedure*, the book's website also provides a "Comprehensive Study Guide" that instructors may provide to students in order to provide them with additional grounding in legal concepts useful in studying civil procedure and other law school course. The website also provides PowerPoint slides that the instructor may use in class if desired to assist in covering the assigned material.

Learning Civil Procedure can stand on its own but most instructors will probably assign a supplementary book containing the entire Federal Rules

of Civil Procedure and important statutes and constitutional provisions. In addition, as noted in the Comprehensive Study Guide available on the website, there are a number of useful treatises that can further flesh out the student's understanding of the civil litigation issues addressed in this book.

We have endeavored to make *Learning Civil Procedure* a fun and effective part of legal education and hope students and faculty will agree. Questions or comments can be addressed to the authors according to the contact information listed below. We hope users of this book come to understand and appreciate the civil litigation process, succeed as litigators and lawyers, and work during their careers to maintain and improve our system of civil dispute resolution.

February 2013

Jeffrey W. Stempel
Doris S. & Theodore B. Lee Professor of Law
William S. Boyd School of Law
University of Nevada Las Vegas
4505 Maryland Parkway – Box 451003
Las Vegas, Nevada 89154-1003
702-895-2361
jeff.stempel@unlv.edu

Steven Baicker-McKee
Assistant Professor of Law
Duquesne University School of Law
600 Forbes Ave.
Pittsburgh, PA 15282
(412) 396-2258
baickermckees@duq.edu

Brooke Coleman
Assistant Professor of Law
Seattle University School of Law
901 12th Avenue
Seattle, WA 98122
206-398-4987
colemanb@seattleu.edu

David F. Herr, Esq.
Maslon Edelman Borman & Brand LLP
3300 Wells Fargo Center
90 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402-4140
612-672-8350
david.herr@maslon.com

Michael J. Kaufman
Associate Dean of Academic Affairs and Professor of Law
Director, Child Law and Education Institute
Loyola University of Chicago School of Law
25 East Pearson Street
Chicago, IL 60611
312-915-7143
mkaufma@luc.edu

TABLE OF CASES

The principal cases are in bold type. Cases cited or discussed in the text are in roman type. References are to pages. Cases cited in principal cases and within other quoted materials are not included.

Alexander v. CareSource, 576 F.3d 551 (6th Cir.2009), 684

Allstate Ins. Co. v. Hague, 449 U.S. 302, 101 S.Ct. 633, 66 L.Ed.2d 521 (1981), 245

Alyeska Pipeline Service Co. v. Wilderness Society, 421 U.S. 240, 95 S.Ct. 1612, 44 L.Ed.2d 141 (1975), 732, 786

Amchem Products, Inc. v. Windsor, 521 U.S. 591, 117 S.Ct. 2231, 138 L.Ed.2d 689 (1997), 431

American Life Ins. Co. v. Stewart, 300 U.S. 203, 57 S.Ct. 377, 81 L.Ed. 605 (1937), 628

American Pub. Co. v. Fisher, 166 U.S. 464, 17 S.Ct. 618, 41 L.Ed. 1079 (1897), 656

American Well Works Co. v. Layne & Bowler Co., 241 U.S. 257, 36 S.Ct. 585, 60 L.Ed. 987 (1916), 20

Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d 202 (1986), 664, 674, 674, 770

Ankenbrandt v. Richards, 504 U.S. 689, 112 S.Ct. 2206, 119 L.Ed.2d 468 (1992), 46

Apodaca v. Oregon, 406 U.S. 404, 92 S.Ct. 1628, 32 L.Ed.2d 184 (1972), 656

Arista Records, LLC v. Doe 3, 604 F.3d 110 (2nd Cir.2010), 316

Arnstein v. Porter, 154 F.2d 464 (2nd Cir.1946), 668

Asahi Metal Industry Co., Ltd. v. Superior Court of California, Solano County, 480 U.S. 102, 107 S.Ct. 1026, 94 L.Ed.2d 92 (1987), 112

Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 129 S.Ct. 1937, 173 L.Ed.2d 868 (2009), 320

Atlas Roofing Co., Inc. v. Occupational Safety and Health Review Com'n, 430 U.S. 442, 97 S.Ct. 1261, 51 L.Ed.2d 464 (1977), 631

Ballew v. Georgia, 435 U.S. 223, 98 S.Ct. 1029, 55 L.Ed.2d 234 (1978), 654

Bates v. C & S Adjusters, Inc., 980 F.2d 865 (2nd Cir.1992), 168

Batson v. Kentucky, 476 U.S. 79, 106 S.Ct. 1712, 90 L.Ed.2d 69 (1986), 739

Beacon Theatres, Inc. v. Westover, 359 U.S. 500, 79 S.Ct. 948, 3 L.Ed.2d 988 (1959), 628

Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 127 S.Ct. 1955, 167 L.Ed.2d 929 (2007), 301

Bernhardt v. Polygraphic Co. of America, 350 U.S. 198, 76 S.Ct. 273, 100 L.Ed. 199 (1956), 205

- BE2 LLC v. Ivanov, 642 F.3d 555 (7th Cir.2011), 117
- Board of Regents of University of State of N. Y. v. Tomanio, 446 U.S. 478, 100 S.Ct. 1790, 64 L.Ed.2d 440 (1980), 201
- Borel v. Fibreboard Paper Products Corp., 493 F.2d 1076 (5th Cir.1973), 677
- Bowles v. Russell, 551 U.S. 205, 127 S.Ct. 2360, 168 L.Ed.2d 96 (2007), 824
- Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz, 471 U.S. 462, 105 S.Ct. 2174, 85 L.Ed.2d 528 (1985), 111
- Burke v. Kleiman, 277 Ill.App. 519 (Ill.App. 1 Dist.1934), 420
- Burnham v. Superior Court of California, County of Marin, 495 U.S. 604, 110 S.Ct. 2105, 109 L.Ed.2d 631 (1990), 76, 85, 87
- Byrd v. Blue Ridge Rural Elec. Coop., Inc., 356 U.S. 525, 78 S.Ct. 893, 2 L.Ed.2d 953 (1958), 206, 212
- Camreta v. Greene, ___ U.S. ___, 131 S.Ct. 2020, 179 L.Ed.2d 1118 (2011), 820
- Capron v. Van Noorden, 6 U.S. 126, 2 L.Ed. 229 (1804), 65
- Carden v. Arkoma Associates, 494 U.S. 185, 110 S.Ct. 1015, 108 L.Ed.2d 157 (1990), 40
- Carefirst of Maryland, Inc. v. Carefirst Pregnancy Centers, Inc., 334 F.3d 390 (4th Cir.2003), 118
- Carnival Cruise Lines, Inc. v. Shute, 499 U.S. 585, 111 S.Ct. 1522, 113 L.Ed.2d 622 (1991), 81
- Catrett v. JohnsBManville Sales Corp., 826 F.2d 33, 263 U.S.App.D.C. 399 (D.C.Cir.1987), 684
- Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 106 S.Ct. 2548, 91 L.Ed.2d 265 (1986), 677
- Chambers v. NASCO, Inc., 501 U.S. 32, 111 S.Ct. 2123, 115 L.Ed.2d 27 (1991), 265
- Chauffeurs, Teamsters and Helpers, Local No. 391 v. Terry, 494 U.S. 558, 110 S.Ct. 1339, 108 L.Ed.2d 519 (1990), 635
- Chloe v. Queen Bee of Beverly Hills, LLC, 616 F.3d 158 (2nd Cir.2010), 118
- Christiansburg Garment Co. v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 434 U.S. 412, 98 S.Ct. 694, 54 L.Ed.2d 648 (1978), 732
- Cities Service Oil Co. v. Dunlap, 308 U.S. 208, 60 S.Ct. 201, 84 L.Ed. 196 (1939), 195 City of (see name of city)
- Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States, 318 U.S. 363, 318 U.S. 744, 63 S.Ct. 573, 87 L.Ed. 838 (1943), 200
- Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541, 69 S.Ct. 1221, 93 L.Ed. 1528 (1949), 205, 816
- Colgrove v. Battin, 413 U.S. 149, 93 S.Ct. 2448, 37 L.Ed.2d 522 (1973), 654
- Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 78 S.Ct. 99, 2 L.Ed.2d 80 (1957), 299, 670
- Curtis v. Loether, 415 U.S. 189, 94 S.Ct. 1005, 39 L.Ed.2d 260 (1974), 631

Dairy Queen, Inc. v. Wood, 369 U.S. 469, 82 S.Ct. 894, 8 L.Ed.2d 44 (1962), 819

Denver & R. G. W. R. Co. v. Brotherhood of R. R. Trainmen, 387 U.S. 556, 87 S.Ct. 1746, 18 L.Ed.2d 954 (1967), 165

Dimick v. Schiedt, 293 U.S. 474, 55 S.Ct. 296, 79 L.Ed. 603 (1935), 790

Dioguardi v. Durning, 139 F.2d 774 (2nd Cir.1944), 670

Doehler Metal Furniture Co. v. United States, 149 F.2d 130 (2nd Cir.1945), 668

Durfee v. Duke, 375 U.S. 106, 84 S.Ct. 242, 11 L.Ed.2d 186 (1963), 799

Edmonson v. Leesville Concrete Co., Inc., 500 U.S. 614, 111 S.Ct. 2077, 114 L.Ed.2d 660 (1991), 739

Empire Healthchoice Assur., Inc. v. McVeigh, 547 U.S. 677, 126 S.Ct. 2121, 165 L.Ed.2d 131 (2006), 28

Enelow v. New York Life Ins. Co., 293 U.S. 379, 55 S.Ct. 310, 79 L.Ed. 440 (1935), 817

Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins, 304 U.S. 64, 58 S.Ct. 817, 82 L.Ed. 1188 (1938), 187

Ettelson v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co., 317 U.S. 188, 63 S.Ct. 163, 87 L.Ed. 176 (1942), 817

Evoy v. CRST Van Expedited, Inc., 430 F.Supp.2d 775 (N.D.Ill.2006), 756

Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Allapattah Services, Inc., 545 U.S. 546, 125 S.Ct. 2611, 162 L.Ed.2d 502 (2005), 415

Finley v. United States, 490 U.S. 545, 109 S.Ct. 2003, 104 L.Ed.2d 593 (1989), 51

Fisher v. Roe, 263 F.3d 906 (9th Cir.2001), 823

Foman v. Davis, 371 U.S. 178, 83 S.Ct. 227, 9 L.Ed.2d 222 (1962), 438

Fuentes v. Shevin, 407 U.S. 67, 92 S.Ct. 1983, 32 L.Ed.2d 556 (1972), 150

Gasperini v. Center for Humanities, Inc., 518 U.S. 415, 116 S.Ct. 2211, 135 L.Ed.2d 659 (1996), 214

General Motors Corp. v. District Court, 122 Nev. 466, 134 P.3d 111 (Nev.2006), 240

Givens v. O'Quinn, 447 F.Supp.2d 593 (W.D.Va.2006), 757

Glenwood Light & Water Co. v. Mutual Light, Heat & Power Co., 239 U.S. 121, 36 S.Ct. 30, 60 L.Ed. 174 (1915), 44

Goldberg v. Kelly, 397 U.S. 254, 90 S.Ct. 1011, 25 L.Ed.2d 287 (1970), 156

Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations, S.A. v. Brown, ___ U.S. ___, 131 S.Ct. 2846, 180 L.Ed.2d 796 (2011), 97

Grable & Sons Metal Products, Inc. v. Darue Engineering & Mfg., 545 U.S. 308, 200614 I.R.B. 697, 125 S.Ct. 2363, 162 L.Ed.2d 257 (2005), 28

Granfinanciera, S.A. v. Nordberg, 492 U.S. 33, 109 S.Ct. 2782, 106 L.Ed.2d 26 (1989), 632

Grupo Dataflux v. Atlas Global Group, L.P., 541 U.S. 567, 124 S.Ct. 1920, 158 L.Ed.2d 866 (2004), 37

GTE New Media Services Inc. v. BellSouth Corp., 199 F.3d 1343, 339 U.S.App.D.C. 332 (D.C.Cir.2000), 118

Guaranty Trust Co. of N.Y. v. York, 326 U.S. 99, 65 S.Ct. 1464, 89 L.Ed. 2079 (1945), 202, 245

Gulf Ins. Co. v. Glasbrenner, 417 F.3d 353 (2nd Cir.2005), 168

Gulf Oil Corp. v. Gilbert, 330 U.S. 501, 67 S.Ct. 839, 91 L.Ed. 1055 (1947), 179

Gulfstream Aerospace Corp. v. Mayacamas Corp., 485 U.S. 271, 108 S.Ct. 1133, 99 L.Ed.2d 296 (1988), 817

Hanna v. Plumer, 380 U.S. 460, 85 S.Ct. 1136, 14 L.Ed.2d 8 (1965), 208

Hansberry v. Lee, 311 U.S. 32, 61 S.Ct. 115, 85 L.Ed. 22 (1940), 417, 418

HazelBAtlas Glass Co. v. HartfordBEmpire Co., 322 U.S. 238, 64 S.Ct. 997, 88 L.Ed. 1250 (1944), 799

Helicopteros Nacionales de Colombia, S.A. v. Hall, 466 U.S. 408, 104 S.Ct. 1868, 80 L.Ed.2d 404 (1984), 97

Herbert v. Lando, 441 U.S. 153, 99 S.Ct. 1635, 60 L.Ed.2d 115 (1979), 666

Hertz Corp. v. Friend, 559 U.S. 77, 130 S.Ct. 1181, 175 L.Ed.2d 1029 (2010), 39

Hess v. Pawloski, 274 U.S. 352, 47 S.Ct. 632, 71 L.Ed. 1091 (1927), 82

Hickman v. Taylor, 4 F.R.D. 479 (E.D.Pa.1945), 481

Hinderlider v. La Plata River & Cherry Creek Ditch Co., 304 U.S. 92, 58 S.Ct. 803, 82 L.Ed. 1202 (1938), 200

Hoffman v. Blaski, 363 U.S. 335, 80 S.Ct. 1084, 4 L.Ed.2d 1254 (1960), 173

Holmes Group, Inc. v. Vornado Air Circulation Systems, Inc., 535 U.S. 826, 122 S.Ct. 1889, 153 L.Ed.2d 13 (2002), 19

Illinois v. Hemi Group LLC, 622 F.3d 754 (7th Cir.2010), 117

In re (see name of party)

International Shoe Co. v. State of Wash., Office of Unemployment Compensation and Placement, 326 U.S. 310, 66 S.Ct. 154, 90 L.Ed. 95 (1945), 90

Japanese Electronic Products Antitrust Litigation, In re, 631 F.2d 1069 (3rd Cir.1980), 633

J.E.B. v. Alabama ex rel. T.B., 511 U.S. 127, 114 S.Ct. 1419, 128 L.Ed.2d 89 (1994), 739

J. McIntyre Machinery, Ltd. v. Nicastro, ____ U.S. ____, 131 S.Ct. 2780, 180 L.Ed.2d 765 (2011), 114

Johnson v. Ablt Trucking Co., Inc., 412 F.3d 1138 (10th Cir.2005), 755

Jones v. Johnson, 26 F.3d 727 (7th Cir.1994), 666

Jordan v. Time, Inc., 111 F.3d 102 (11th Cir.1997), 732

Kamen v. Kemper Financial Services, Inc., 500 U.S. 90, 111 S.Ct. 1711, 114 L.Ed.2d 152 (1991), 201

Katchen v. Landy, 382 U.S. 323, 86 S.Ct. 467, 15 L.Ed.2d 391 (1966), 632

Keeton v. Hustler Magazine, Inc., 465 U.S. 770, 104 S.Ct. 1473, 79 L.Ed.2d 790 (1984), 245

Kelo v. City of New London, Conn., 545 U.S. 469, 125 S.Ct. 2655, 162 L.Ed.2d 439 (2005), 855

Kennedy v. Silas Mason Co., 334 U.S. 249, 68 S.Ct. 1031, 92 L.Ed. 1347 (1948), 666

Klaxon Co. v. Stentor Electric Mfg. Co., 313 U.S. 487, 61 S.Ct. 1020, 85 L.Ed. 1477 (1941), 176, 238

Lauro Lines s.r.l. v. Chasser, 490 U.S. 495, 109 S.Ct. 1976, 104 L.Ed.2d 548 (1989), 817

Leatherman v. Tarrant County Narcotics Intelligence and Coordination Unit, 507 U.S. 163, 113 S.Ct. 1160, 122 L.Ed.2d 517 (1993), 300, 670

LeBoon v. Lancaster Jewish Community Center Ass'n, 503 F.3d 217 (3rd Cir.2007), 852 Lee v. Aiu, 936 P.2d 655 (Hawai'i 1997), 630

Lexington Ins. Co. v. Western Pennsylvania Hosp., 423 F.3d 318 (3rd Cir.2005), 684

Liberty Lobby, Inc. v. Anderson, 746 F.2d 1563, 241 U.S.App.D.C. 246 (D.C.Cir.1984), 675

Lincoln Property Co. v. Roche, 546 U.S. 81, 126 S.Ct. 606, 163 L.Ed.2d 415 (2005), 40

Link v. Wabash R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 82 S.Ct. 1386, 8 L.Ed.2d 734 (1962), 461

Lioce v. Cohen, 122 Nev. 1377, 149 P.3d 916 (Nev.2006), 758

Louisville & N.R. Co. v. Mottley, 211 U.S. 149, 29 S.Ct. 42, 53 L.Ed. 126 (1908), 13

Marek v. Chesny, 473 U.S. 1, 105 S.Ct. 3012, 87 L.Ed.2d 1 (1985), 731, 783

Marshall v. Marshall, 547 U.S. 293, 126 S.Ct. 1735, 164 L.Ed.2d 480 (2006), 47

Martin v. Hunter's Lessee, 14 U.S. 304, 4 L.Ed. 97 (1816), 10

Mas v. Perry, 489 F.2d 1396 (5th Cir.1974), 34

Mason v. Ford Motor Co., Inc., 307 F.3d 1271 (11th Cir.2002), 755

Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co., Ltd. v. Zenith Radio Corp., 475 U.S. 574, 106 S.Ct. 1348, 89 L.Ed.2d 538 (1986), 671

Mayor and Aldermen of City of Nashville v. Cooper, 73 U.S. 247, 18 L.Ed. 851 (1867), 52

McGee v. International Life Ins. Co., 355 U.S. 220, 78 S.Ct. 199, 2 L.Ed.2d 223 (1957), 102

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com