

Superstring theory Superstring theory

VOLUME 1
INTRODUCTION

超弦理论 第1卷

M. B. GREEN, J. H. SCHWARZ & E. WITTEN

CAMBRIDGE MONOGRAPHS ON
MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS

CAMBRIDGE

世界图书出版公司
www.wpcbj.com.cn

SUPERSTRING THEORY

Volume 1

Introduction

MICHAEL B. GREEN

Queen Mary College, University of London

JOHN H. SCHWARZ

California Institute of Technology

EDWARD WITTEN

Princeton University



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

图书在版编目 (C I P) 数据

超弦理论. 第1卷= Superstring theory Vol.1: 英文 /
(英) 格林 (Green, M. B.) 著. —北京: 世界图书出版
公司北京公司, 2008.5

ISBN 978-7-5062-9200-9

I. 超… II. 格… III. 理论物理学-英文 IV. 041

中国版本图书馆CIP数据核字 (2008) 第051028号

书 名: Superstring theory Vol.1

作 者: M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz & E. Witten

中译名: 超弦理论 第 1 卷

责任编辑: 高蓉 刘慧

出 版 者: 世界图书出版公司北京公司

印 刷 者: 三河国英印务有限公司

发 行: 世界图书出版公司北京公司 (北京朝内大街 137 号 100010)

联系电话: 010-64015659

电子信箱: kjsk@vip.sina.com

开 本: 24开

印 张: 20

版 次: 2008 年 5 月第 1 次印刷

版权登记: 图字:01-2008-1927

书 号: 978-7-5062-9200-9/O · 591

定 价: 56.00 元

世界图书出版公司北京公司已获得 Cambridge University Press 授权在中国大陆独家重印发行

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521323840

© Cambridge University Press 1987

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without
the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1987
Reprinted 1987 (thrice)
First paperback edition with corrections 1988
Reprinted 1988, 1992, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1998, 1999, 2001, 2002

Superstring theory Vol. 1, 1st ed. (ISBN978-0-521-35752-4) by M. B.
Green, J. H. Schwarz & E. Witten first published by Cambridge University
Press 1987.

All rights reserved.

This reprint edition for the People's Republic of China is published by
arrangement with the Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge,
Cambridge, United Kingdom.

© Cambridge University Press & Beijing World Publishing Corporation
2008

This edition is for sale in the mainland of China only, excluding Hong Kong
SAR, Macao SAR and Taiwan, and may not be bought for export therefrom.

本书由世界图书出版公司北京公司和剑桥大学出版社合作出版。本书任何部分之文字
及图片，未经出版者书面许可，不得用任何方式抄袭、节录或翻印。

此版本仅限中华人民共和国境内销售，不包括香港、澳门特别行政区及中国台湾。不
得出口。

Preface

Recent years have brought a revival of work on string theory, which has been a source of fascination since its origins nearly twenty years ago. There seems to be a widely perceived need for a systematic, pedagogical exposition of the present state of knowledge about string theory. We hope that this book will help to meet this need. To give a comprehensive account of such a vast topic as string theory would scarcely be possible, even in two volumes with the length to which these have grown. Indeed, we have had to omit many important subjects, while treating others only sketchily. String field theory is omitted entirely (though the subject of chapter 11 is closely related to light-cone string field theory). Conformal field theory is not developed systematically, though much of the background material needed to understand recent papers on this subject is presented in chapter 3 and elsewhere. Our discussion of string propagation in background fields is limited to the bosonic theory, and multiloop diagrams are discussed only in very general and elementary terms. The omissions reflect a combination of human frailty and an attempt to keep the combined length of the two volumes from creeping too much over 1000 pages.

We hope that these two volumes will be useful for a wide range of readers, ranging from those who are motivated mainly by curiosity to those who actually wish to do research on string theory. The first volume, which requires as background only a moderate knowledge of particle physics and quantum field theory, gives a detailed introduction to the basic ideas of string theory. This volume is intended to be self-contained. The second volume delves into a number of more advanced topics, including a study of one-loop amplitudes, the low-energy effective field theory, and anomalies. There is also a substantial amount of mathematical background on differential and algebraic geometry, as well as their possible application to phenomenology.

We feel that the the two volumes should be suitable for use as textbooks in an advanced graduate-level course. The amount of material is probably more than can be covered in a one-year course. This should provide the instructor the luxury of emphasizing those topics he or she finds especially

Preface

important while omitting others. Despite our best efforts, it is inevitable that a substantial number of misprints, notational inconsistencies and other errors have survived. We will be grateful if they are brought to our attention so that we can correct them in future editions.

We have benefitted greatly from the assistance of several people whom we are pleased to be able to acknowledge here. Kyle Gary worked with skill and diligence in typing substantial portions of the manuscript, as well as figuring out how to implement the formatting requirements of Cambridge University Press in T_EX, the type-setting system that we have used. Marc Goroff brought his wealth of knowledge about computing systems to help solve a myriad of problems that arose in the course of this work. We also received help with computing systems from Paul Kyberd and Vadim Kaplunovsky. Patricia Moyle Schwarz put together the index and made useful comments on the manuscript. Harvey Newman set up communications links that enabled us to transfer files between Pasadena, Princeton and London. Judith Wallrich helped to compile the bibliography. Useful criticisms and comments on the text were offered by Čedomir Crnković, Chiara Nappi, Ryan Rohm and Larry Romans.

We would like to dedicate this book to our parents.

1986

Michael B. Green
John H. Schwarz
Edward Witten

Contents

	<i>Preface</i>	ix
1	Introduction	1
1.1	The early days of dual models	1
1.1.1	The Veneziano amplitude and duality	6
1.1.2	High-energy behavior of the Veneziano model	10
1.1.3	Ramifications of the Veneziano model	12
1.2	Dual models of everything	13
1.2.1	Duality and the graviton	14
1.2.2	Unification in higher dimensions	14
1.2.3	Supersymmetry	16
1.3	String theory	18
1.3.1	The massless point particle	18
1.3.2	Generalization to strings	21
1.3.3	Constraint equations	23
1.4	String interactions	27
1.4.1	Splitting of strings	29
1.4.2	Vertex operators	32
1.4.3	Use of vertex operators	35
1.4.4	Evaluation of the scattering amplitude	38
1.4.5	The mass of the graviton	40
1.5	Other aspects of string theory	42
1.5.1	Gravitational Ward identities	42
1.5.2	Open strings	46
1.5.3	Internal symmetries of open strings	47
1.5.4	Recovery of the Veneziano amplitude	49
1.5.5	Comparison with QCD	51
1.5.6	Unitarity and gravity	52
1.6	Conclusion	55
2	Free bosonic strings	57
2.1	The classical bosonic string	57
2.1.1	String action and its symmetries	59
2.1.2	The free string in Minkowski space	61
2.1.3	Classical covariant gauge fixing and field equations	62
2.2	Quantization – old covariant approach	74

Contents

2.2.1	Commutation relations and mode expansions	75
2.2.2	Virasoro algebra and physical states	79
2.2.3	Vertex operators	86
2.3	Light-cone gauge quantization	92
2.3.1	Light-cone gauge and Lorentz algebra	93
2.3.2	Construction of transverse physical states	100
2.3.3	The no-ghost theorem and the spectrum-generating algebra	102
2.3.4	Analysis of the spectrum	113
2.3.5	Asymptotic formulas for level densities	116
2.4	Summary	119
3	Modern covariant quantization	121
3.1	Covariant path-integral quantization	121
3.1.1	Faddeev-Popov ghosts	122
3.1.2	Complex world-sheet tensor calculus	124
3.1.3	Quantization of the ghosts	127
3.2	BRST quantization	131
3.2.1	Construction of BRST charge	131
3.2.2	Covariant calculation of the Virasoro anomaly	139
3.2.3	Virasoro, conformal and gravitational anomalies	144
3.2.4	Bosonization of ghost coordinates	148
3.3	Global aspects of the string world sheet	156
3.4	Strings in background fields	165
3.4.1	Introduction of a background space-time metric	165
3.4.2	Weyl invariance	167
3.4.3	Conformal invariance and the equations of motion	172
3.4.4	String-theoretic corrections to general relativity	177
3.4.5	Inclusion of other modes	178
3.4.6	The dilaton expectation value and the string coupling constant	182
3.5	Summary	184
4	World-sheet supersymmetry in string theory	185
4.1	The classical theory	186
4.1.1	Global world-sheet supersymmetry	188
4.1.2	Superspace	190
4.1.3	Constraint equations	194
4.1.4	Boundary conditions and mode expansions	197
4.2	Quantization – the old covariant approach	199
4.2.1	Commutation relations and mode expansions	200
4.2.2	Super-Virasoro algebra and physical states	203
4.2.3	Boson-emission vertex operators	207

Contents

4.3	Light-cone gauge quantization	210
4.3.1	The light-cone gauge	210
4.3.2	No-ghost theorem and the spectrum-generating algebra	214
4.3.3	The GSO conditions	218
4.3.4	Locally supersymmetric form of the action	224
4.3.5	Superstring action and its symmetries	228
4.4	Modern covariant quantization	231
4.4.1	Faddeev-Popov ghosts	231
4.4.2	BRST symmetry	235
4.4.3	Covariant computation of the Virasoro anomaly	236
4.5	Extended world-sheet supersymmetry	237
4.5.1	The $N = 2$ theory	237
4.5.2	The $N = 4$ theory	243
4.6	Summary	244
4.A	Super Yang-Mills theories	244
5	Space-time supersymmetry in string theory	249
5.1	The classical theory	249
5.1.1	The superparticle	249
5.1.2	The supersymmetric string action	253
5.1.3	The local fermionic symmetry	255
5.1.4	Type I and type II superstrings	258
5.2	Quantization	259
5.2.1	Light-cone gauge	260
5.2.2	Super-Poincaré algebra	266
5.3	Analysis of the spectrum	270
5.3.1	Open superstrings	270
5.3.2	Closed superstrings	277
5.4	Remarks concerning covariant quantization	280
5.5	Summary	281
5.A	Properties of $SO(2n)$ groups	282
5.B	The spin(8) Clifford algebra	288
6	Nonabelian gauge symmetry	291
6.1	Open strings	291
6.1.1	The Chan-Paton method	292
6.1.2	Allowed gauge groups and representations	298
6.2	Current algebra on the string world sheet	300
6.3	Heterotic strings	305
6.3.1	The $SO(32)$ theory	307
6.3.2	The $E_8 \times E_8$ theory	312
6.4	Toroidal compactification	317

Contents

6.4.1	Compactification on a circle	318
6.4.2	Fermionization	321
6.4.3	Bosonized description of the heterotic string	323
6.4.4	Vertex operator representations	329
6.4.5	Formulas for the cocycles	335
6.4.6	The full current algebra	337
6.4.7	The E_8 and $\text{spin}(32)/Z_2$ lattices	337
6.4.8	The heterotic string spectrum	339
6.5	Summary	343
6.A	Elements of E_8	344
6.B	Modular forms	350
7	Tree amplitudes	353
7.1	Bosonic open strings	354
7.1.1	The structure of tree amplitudes	355
7.1.2	Decoupling of ghosts	362
7.1.3	Cyclic symmetry	364
7.1.4	Examples	371
7.1.5	Tree-level gauge invariance	374
7.1.6	The twist operator	377
7.2	Bosonic closed strings	378
7.2.1	Construction of tree amplitudes	379
7.2.2	Examples	381
7.2.3	Relationship to open-string trees	389
7.3	Superstrings in the RNS formulation	390
7.3.1	Open-string tree amplitudes in the bosonic sector	391
7.3.2	The F_1 picture	393
7.3.3	Examples	395
7.3.4	Tree amplitudes with one fermion line	399
7.3.5	Fermion-emission vertices	401
7.4	Superstrings in the supersymmetric formulation	411
7.4.1	Massless particle vertices	411
7.4.2	Open-string trees	418
7.4.3	Closed-string trees	422
7.4.4	Heterotic-string trees	423
7.5	Summary	428
7.A	Coherent-state methods and correlation functions	429
	<i>Bibliography</i>	435
	<i>Index</i>	465

1. Introduction

1.1 The Early Days of Dual Models

In 1900, in the course of trying to fit to experimental data, Planck wrote down his celebrated formula for black body radiation. It does not usually happen in physics that an experimental curve is directly related to the fundamentals of a theory; normally they are related by a more or less intricate chain of calculations. But black body radiation was a lucky exception to this rule. In fitting to experimental curves, Planck wrote down a formula that directly led, as we all know, to the concept of the quantum.

In the 1960s, one of the mysteries in strong interaction physics was the enormous proliferation of strongly interacting particles or hadrons. Hadronic resonances seemed to exist with rather high spin, the mass squared of the lightest particle of spin J being roughly $m^2 = J/\alpha'$, where $\alpha' \sim 1(\text{GeV})^{-2}$ is a constant that became known as the Regge slope. Such behavior was tested up to about $J = 11/2$, and it seemed conceivable that it might continue indefinitely. One reason that the proliferation of strongly interacting particles was surprising was that the behavior of the weak and electromagnetic interactions was quite different; there are, comparatively speaking, just a few low mass particles known that do not have strong interactions.

The resonances were so numerous that it was not plausible that they were all fundamental. In any case consistent theories of fundamental particles of high spin were not known to exist. Consistent (renormalizable) quantum field theories seemed to be limited to spins zero, one-half, and one, the known examples being abelian gauge theories and scalar and Yukawa theories. That limitation on the possible spins in consistent quantum field theory still seems valid today, though now we would include Yang-Mills theory in the list of consistent theories for spin one. The apparent limitation of consistent quantum field theories to low spin was compatible with the existence of a successful field-theory description of the electromagnetic interactions, in which the basic particles have spin one half and spin one, and was compatible at least with attempts (which




Figure 1.1. An elastic scattering process with incoming particles with momenta p_1, p_2 and outgoing particles with momenta $-p_3, -p_4$ (we adopt the convention that the labels refer to incoming momenta). Both s - and t -channel diagrams are indicated. In field theory the amplitude is constructed as a sum of s -channel and t -channel diagrams.

in time succeeded) at field theories of the weak interactions. But a similar approach to strong interactions did not appear promising.

A related puzzle about strong interactions concerned the high-energy behavior of the scattering amplitudes. Consider an elastic scattering process with incoming spinless particles of momenta p_1, p_2 and outgoing particles of momenta p_3, p_4 . We adopt a metric with signature $\{-++\dots+\}$, so that the mass squared of a particle is $m^2 = -p^2$. The conventional Mandelstam variables are defined as

$$s = -(p_1 + p_2)^2, \quad t = -(p_2 + p_3)^2, \quad u = -(p_1 + p_3)^2. \quad (1.1.1)$$

They obey the one identity $s+t+u = \sum m_i^2$. We assume that the external states in fig. 1.1 are particles such as pions that transform in the adjoint representation of the flavor group, which for three flavors is $SU(3)$ or $U(3)$. The flavor quantum numbers of the i th external meson are specified by picking a flavor matrix λ_i . We will discuss a term in the scattering amplitude proportional to the group-theory factor $\text{tr}(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4)$. Since this group-theory factor is invariant under the cyclic permutation $1234 \rightarrow 2341$, Bose statistics require that the corresponding amplitude should be cyclically symmetric under $p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 \rightarrow p_2 p_3 p_4 p_1$. In terms of Mandelstam variables, this permutation of momenta amounts to $s \leftrightarrow t$, which is the symmetry we will require for the amplitude $A(s, t)$.

In quantum field theory, the leading nontrivial contributions to the scattering amplitude come from the tree diagrams of fig. 1.1. The basic reason that it is difficult to construct sensible quantum field theories of particles of high spin is that tree diagrams with the exchange of high spin

particles have bad high-energy behavior. Asymptotically, they exceed unitarity bounds. Consider, for instance, the t -channel diagram. Denote the external particles in fig. 1.1 as ϕ and the exchanged particle as σ . If σ has spin zero fig. 1.1 may involve a simple $\phi^* \phi \sigma$ interaction; the amplitude is then simply $A(s, t) = -g^2/(t - M^2)$ with g being the coupling constant and M the mass of the σ particle. This amplitude vanishes for $t \rightarrow \infty$, this being one aspect of the excellent high-energy behavior of the cubic scalar interaction we are discussing.




Figure 1.2. A one-loop diagram can be made by sewing together two tree diagrams, as indicated here.

Suppose instead that the sigma particle is a spin J field $\sigma_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_J}$. For such a field, the cubic coupling in fig. 1.1 must then be something like $\phi^* \vec{\partial}_{\mu_1} \vec{\partial}_{\mu_2} \dots \vec{\partial}_{\mu_J} \phi \cdot \sigma^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_J}$. In fig. 1.1 there are now $2J$ factors of momenta. If the external particles are scalars then the contribution to the scattering amplitude of the exchange in the t channel of this spin J particle has the form

$$A_J(s, t) = -\frac{g^2(-s)^J}{t - M^2} \quad (1.1.2)$$

at high energies.* The behavior of this amplitude is therefore worse and worse (more and more divergent) for larger and larger J . An objective criterion for what is a 'bad' amplitude is to ask what will happen when we sew together amplitudes like that of (1.1.2) to make loops, as in fig. 1.2.

* This is the behavior of the tree-level scattering amplitude in the asymptotic region of large s , fixed t . The s^J behavior is easily found by contracting the momenta that appear in the interaction vertices in fig. 1.1. The exact formula (for moderate s) is more complicated, involving a Legendre polynomial $P_J(\cos \theta_i)$ (θ_i is the center-of-mass scattering angle in the t channel). We prefer to write only the high-energy behavior, which is transparent and adequate for our purposes.

The one-loop integrand in n dimensions is roughly $\int d^n p A^2/(p^2)^2$, with A being the tree amplitude of (1.1.2). In four dimensions such a loop diagram is convergent for $J < 1$, has a potentially renormalizable logarithmic divergence for $J = 1$, and has a nasty unrenormalizable divergence for $J > 1$.

There are strongly interacting particles of various mass and spin that might be exchanged in the t channel, so we must think of a t -channel amplitude of the general form

$$A(s, t) = - \sum_J \frac{g_J^2 (-s)^J}{t - M_J^2}, \quad (1.1.3)$$

where now we allow for the possibility that the couplings g_J and masses M_J of the exchanged particles may depend on J (and perhaps on other quantum numbers that we do not indicate). Of course, one might take the point of view that the strong interactions are so strong that a Born-like approximation as in (1.1.3) is hopeless. But let us be optimists and see how well we can do. What is the high-energy behavior of the sum in (1.1.3)? If this is a *finite* sum, the high-energy behavior is simply determined by the hadron of largest J that contributes in (1.1.3). This is very different from what is observed in nature; the actual high-energy behavior of hadron scattering amplitudes is much softer than the behavior of any individual term in (1.1.3). (In fact, Regge asymptotic behavior of the type described in §1.1.2. is a reasonable approximation to experiment.) On the other hand, it is not reasonable to think of (1.1.3) as a finite sum. There certainly does not seem to be any such thing as a 'hadron of highest spin'. With (1.1.3) viewed as an infinite sum, it is certainly conceivable that the whole sum might have a high-energy behavior better than the behavior of any individual term in the series, just as the function e^{-x} is smaller for $x \rightarrow \infty$ than any individual term in its power series expansion $e^{-x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-x)^n/n!$

Regarding (1.1.3) as an infinite sum has another consequence. In a physical process such as the elastic scattering of pions, we expect the t -channel poles that appear in (1.1.3), but we also expect s -channel resonances or in other words poles in the amplitude at certain values of s . In fact, the cyclic symmetry that we discussed earlier requires that the coefficient of $\text{tr}(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4)$ in the scattering amplitude have both s - and t -channel poles or neither. A *finite* sum (1.1.3) defines an amplitude $A(s, t)$ that has no s -channel poles; for fixed t , (1.1.3) manifestly defines an entire function of s , as long as there are only a finite number of terms in the sum. It is precisely for this reason that the perturbative expansion of ordinary

quantum field theories satisfies crossing symmetry by including both s - and t -channel diagrams. In the case of an infinite sum, things are different. Though each term in (1.1.3) is an entire function of s , the infinite sum might diverge at some finite values of s , giving poles in the s channel. Thus, once we accept the fact that (1.1.3) is essentially an *infinite* series, it is no longer obvious that s -channel terms must be included separately; they may be already implicit in (1.1.3).

Similar remarks could be made if we took as our starting point resonant scattering or in other words contributions to scattering amplitudes with s -channel poles. We would then construct an amplitude analogous to (1.1.3) but with s -channel poles rather than t -channel poles:

$$A'(s, t) = - \sum_J \frac{g_J^2 (-t)^J}{s - M_J^2}. \quad (1.1.4)$$

Symmetry under cyclic permutation of the external momenta requires that the same masses and couplings appear in (1.1.4) as in (1.1.3). Studying (1.1.4) we would again observe that a finite sum of the type in (1.1.4) inevitably has a high-energy behavior much worse than the observed behavior of hadrons, but this is not inevitably true for an infinite sum of this type. Furthermore, a finite sum (1.1.4) would certainly define (for fixed s) an entire function of t , but this might not be true for an infinite sum.

Pursuing these thoughts still further, one might imagine that if the couplings g_J and masses M_J are cunningly chosen, then the s -channel and t -channel amplitudes $A(s, t)$ and $A'(s, t)$ might be equal. In this case, the entire amplitude could be written as a sum over only s -channel poles, as in (1.1.4), *or* as a sum over only t -channel poles, as in (1.1.3). This would be a sharp contrast to the field-theory situation in which one ordinarily needs a sum over *both* s - and t -channel poles.

Equality of the s - and t -channel amplitudes was advocated around 1968 by Dolen, Horn and Schmid, who argued, on the basis of an approximate evaluation of (1.1.3) and (1.1.4) (carried out with the help of experimental data), that the equality $A(s, t) = A'(s, t)$ was indeed approximately obeyed for small values of s and t . This was called the 'duality' hypothesis, the hypothesis that s - and t -channel diagrams give alternative or 'dual' descriptions of the same physics. Is duality an approximation or a principle? At first sight it looks well nigh impossible to choose the resonance masses and couplings to obey exactly the duality relation $A(s, t) = A'(s, t)$. However, a way of doing this was found by Veneziano in 1968. Veneziano

simply postulated a formula for the scattering amplitude, namely

$$A(s, t) = \frac{\Gamma(-\alpha(s))\Gamma(-\alpha(t))}{\Gamma(-\alpha(s) - \alpha(t))}. \quad (1.1.5)$$

Here Γ is the Euler gamma function,

$$\Gamma(u) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{u-1} e^{-t} dt, \quad (1.1.6)$$

and $\alpha(s)$ is the 'Regge trajectory', for which Veneziano postulated the linear form $\alpha(s) = \alpha(0) + \alpha' s$; α' and $\alpha(0)$ are known in Regge-pole theory as the Regge slope and the intercept, respectively.

1.1.1 The Veneziano Amplitude and Duality

It is not evident at first sight that the Veneziano amplitude obeys duality, but we will now show that it does. First of all, we need to know something about the gamma function. This function obeys the identity

$$\Gamma(u+1) = u\Gamma(u). \quad (1.1.7)$$

This is proved, starting from (1.1.6), by simple integration by parts:

$$\Gamma(u+1) = - \int_0^{\infty} t^u \frac{d}{dt} e^{-t} dt = u \int_0^{\infty} t^{u-1} e^{-t} dt = u\Gamma(u). \quad (1.1.8)$$

It is evident from (1.1.6) that $\Gamma(1) = 1$. If u is a positive integer, then repeated use of (1.1.7) implies that

$$\Gamma(u) = (u-1)!. \quad (1.1.9)$$

The integral representation of the Γ function in (1.1.6) is valid as long as the real part of u is positive, and shows that Γ has no singularities in this part of the complex u plane. The recursion relation (1.1.7) can be used to extend the domain of definition of Γ and determine its singularities. Writing (1.1.7) in the form

$$\Gamma(u) = \frac{\Gamma(u+1)}{u} \quad (1.1.10)$$

gives a definition of the gamma function for $\text{Re } u > -1$, since the right hand side of (1.1.10) has already been defined in that region. Equation

(1.1.10) also shows that Γ has a simple pole at $u = 0$ with residue 1. This process can be generalized; repeated use of (1.1.7) gives

$$\Gamma(u) = \frac{\Gamma(u+n)}{u(u+1)\dots(u+n-1)} \quad (1.1.11)$$

for any positive integer n . The right-hand side of (1.1.11) is uniquely defined by the integral representation (1.1.6) as long as $\text{Re } u > -n$, so we obtain a unique analytic continuation of the gamma function in this region. Since n is arbitrary, the gamma function actually has a unique analytic continuation throughout the whole complex u plane. From (1.1.11) we can see that the only singularities of Γ are simple poles at $u = 0, -1, -2, \dots$. The behavior for u near $-n$ (n a non-negative integer) can be read off from (1.1.11) and is

$$\Gamma(u) \sim \frac{1}{u+n} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!}. \quad (1.1.12)$$

Now we wish to discuss the analytic behavior of the function

$$B(u, v) = \frac{\Gamma(u)\Gamma(v)}{\Gamma(u+v)}, \quad (1.1.13)$$

which is called the Euler beta function. It is related to the Veneziano amplitude by $A(s, t) = B(-\alpha(s), -\alpha(t))$. Evidently, (1.1.13) has a simple pole when u or v is a non-positive integer. There are no double poles in (1.1.13), since while $\Gamma(u)$ and $\Gamma(v)$ may simultaneously have poles, when this occurs the denominator in (1.1.13) has a pole at the same time. This is an important point, because simple poles are the only singularities allowed in tree amplitudes in relativistic quantum mechanics. The behavior of $B(u, v)$ for $v \sim -n$ (n being a non-negative integer) is evidently

$$B(u, v) \sim \frac{1}{v+n} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} (u-1)(u-2)\dots(u-n). \quad (1.1.14)$$

Here we are using (1.1.7) to write the residue of the pole at $v = -n$ as a polynomial in u ; this is again an important step since the residue of a pole in relativistic quantum mechanics must be a polynomial. As a function of v for fixed u , $B(u, v)$ has only the singularities indicated in (1.1.14). We claim now that (for $\text{Re } u > 0$ so that the following infinite sum converges) we can write

$$B(u, v) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{v+n} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} (u-1)(u-2)\dots(u-n). \quad (1.1.15)$$

The idea here is that the sum on the right of (1.1.15) reproduces all of the singularities of the beta function, so could differ from it only by an entire