PSYCHOLOGY AND SEX ROLES An Androgynous Perspective



ALEXANDRA G. KAPLAN • MARY ANNE SEDNEY

Psychology and Sex Roles

An Androgynous Perspective

Alexandra G. Kaplan UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS

Mary Anne Sedney PROVIDENCE COLLEGE



Little, Brown and Company BOSTON • TORONTO

To my son, Jeremy Joshua Kaplan AGK

To my parents, Mary McGettigan Sedney and Edmund J. Sedney

MAS

Copyright © 1980 by Alexandra G. Kaplan and Mary Anne Sedney

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means including information storage and retrieval systems without permission in writing from the publisher, except by a reviewer who may quote brief passages in a review.

Library of Congress Catalog Card No. 79-90431

FIRST PRINTING

Published simultaneously in Canada by Little, Brown & Company (Canada) Limited

Printed in the United States of America

Psychology and Sex Roles

An Androgynous Perspective

Preface

When psychologists began to study sex roles, they focused on global sex differences, seeking to document the ways females and males differ psychologically. Underlying this approach was the assumption that these differences were a natural outgrowth of biological sex differences. Later, as researchers became slightly more sophisticated, they tried to understand the essence of female and male behavior: psychological "femininity" and "masculinity." Questions grew to encompass both biological and environmental sources of sex differences; but these questions were framed to suggest that masculinity was the desirable state for males, femininity for females. During the 1970s a major shift occurred. Masculinity and femininity were recognized as socially constructed stereotypes rather than biologically or environmentally determined components inevitably linked with males and females. People could be masculine, feminine, or both masculine and feminine, and psychological masculinity and femininity were to some extent independent of biological sex. Thus, the concept of androgyny was introduced to the psychological literature. Rather than focusing primarily on sex differences, recent researchers have increasingly been exploring the coexistence of femininity and masculinity in one person and the factors that differentiate masculine, feminine, or androgynous people of both sexes.

Psychology and Sex Roles: An Androgynous Perspective is part of this new direction. Building on the notion of androgyny as an *individual* characteristic, we develop androgyny as a *general model* around which issues in the psychology of sex roles can be organized. This androgynous perspective has several key elements. First, in contrast to the masculine bias in much existing research on sex roles, an androgynous perspective stresses the importance of examining both feminine and masculine dimensions. Second, as a holistic concept, it highlights the usefulness of including biological, intrapsychic, and environmental factors in understanding behavior. Third, the consideration of situational appropriateness at the base of androgyny leads to an emphasis on the study of factors that permit flexibility across situations and meaningful choices throughout life. Finally, this perspective acknowledges the relationship between society's values and the research carried out within that society. None of these elements is unique to androgyny, but all serve as cornerstones of this book.

By using androgyny as an integrating framework, we are expanding its use beyond the definition used by most psychologists. With this framework we hope to avoid the fragmentation that occurs when discrete issues of psychology and sex roles are considered outside a conceptual framework. For the sake of comprehensive coverage, we have included some topics not directly related to androgyny. Further, we have not included *all* possible topics on which androgyny has some bearing. Also, by focusing on androgyny and sex-related issues, we have limited our coverage of other major social considerations such as race and social class. We tried to produce a book that was sufficiently comprehensive yet selective enough that the material could be integrated around the concept of androgyny.

Our choice of androgyny as a central theme does not mean that we whole-heartedly endorse androgyny as the model of the future or the ideal psychological state. To argue that all people should be androgynous is no better than to argue that all women should be feminine or all men masculine. Instead, we use androgyny for the framework it offers, while examining its benefits and limitations within a particular culture.

Psychology and Sex Roles: An Androgynous Perspective was written as an undergraduate textbook and is designed to facilitate classroom teaching and learning. Thematic questions at the beginning of each chapter alert the reader to its major issues; summaries review this material from our perspective. The book requires an elementary knowledge of psychology; a more sophisticated background may enrich one's reading but is not essential. The chapters roughly follow the sequence we have used in teaching courses on the psychology of women and the psychology of sex roles over the past five years. In addition, the four parts of this book parallel the four main sections in Alexandra G. Kaplan and Joan P. Bean's Beyond Sex Role Stereotypes: Readings Toward a Psychology of Androgyny; thus the text and the reader can be used in tandem.

Although *Psychology and Sex Roles: An Androgynous Perspective* is primarily intended as a textbook, the nature of the material and the thinking that underlies it extend its usefulness beyond the classroom. The emphasis on the relationship between theory and research and the use of a consistent perspective provide a basis for generating topics that merit further study.

The book is divided into four sections, each with three chapters. The first introduces our perspective. We examine the concept of androgyny as it has been used by psychologists and discuss the ways psychological research—particularly on personal topics such as the behavior of women and men—is affected by the social context in which it occurs. Psychologists were not the first to use androgyny; we also explore its use in other disciplines, specifically religion and literature.

The second section focuses on three components of sex roles in which biology plays a prominent role: the sex hormones, reproduction, and sexuality. We emphasize the interrelationship of biology, psyche, and society as we stress the ways that the meanings and expressions of biological sex differences have been shaped by societal values and expectations. Throughout this section we are alert to the implications that biological sex differences have for an individual's potential for androgyny.

In the third section, we focus on the role of social influences—both within and outside the family—in the development of females' and males' behavior. We consider not only the ways stereotypic behaviors develop, but also potential paths toward the development of androgyny. The combined effects of biological and social influences are again considered when we examine some specific psycho-

logical sex differences and similarities in the cognitive, personality, and interpersonal domains.

Adulthood is the topic of the fourth section. We explore both interpersonal and occupational roles of women and men and the ways that current forces may limit these roles. In the final chapter we consider the factors that influence psychological adjustment for women and men and the role that therapy and self-help groups can play in expanding options.

A word about terminology: there is currently some discussion about the terms sex and gender; some authors designate the first as the biological term and the second the social term. We use the word sex to refer to the biological, psychological, and social aspects of femaleness and maleness, to emphasis their interrelatedness. When we wish to refer to a specific component of sex, we do so with the addition of descriptive words (i.e., biological sex, psychological sex, sexual intercourse, sex role, etc.). We limit the use of the word gender to discussions of gender identity, defined as comfort with and acceptance of one's physical body as male or female.

Many people have helped us over the past few years, and we are indebted to them. Joan P. Bean deserves thanks for her role in the early development of this project. Two students, Karen Burgess and Sandra Mandel, have been invaluable for their enthusiastic assistance with detailed bibliographic work and the numerous tasks of translating rough drafts into comprehensible print. Kenneth Bangs, Donna Benoit, Marie Scully, and Gale Storum have also helped with the often unexciting but necessary services in the library and at the typewriter.

Our colleagues and friends have been indispensible, lending us a hand, an ear, or a shoulder. These especially include Barbara Brooks, John Clayton, Edward Kaplan, Charlotte O'Kelly, David Kraft, Stephanie Kraft, Michael Spiegler, Barbara Tinker, and Robert Tinker. We thank Marian Ferguson, our editor at Little, Brown, for both her firm deadlines and her emotional support.

Reviewers' comments have also helped us in the development of our manuscript. In particular we would like to thank Barbara Brackney, Eastern Michigan University; Theodora F. Capaldo, Human Resources Institute; James K. Cole, University of Nebraska; Joan DiGiovanni, Western New England College; Margaret Jean Intons-Peterson, Indiana University; Roberta Klatzky, University of California at Santa Barbara; Kathryn Quina-Holland, University of Rhode Island; Juanita H. Williams, University of South Florida.

To one another we would like to say this: Co-authoring the book has not been an unmitigated blessing. There were times when criticism met with resistance and when support was overridden by self-interest. Yet somehow our friendship survived and was enriched by this collaboration. Perhaps the androgyny about which we were writing gave us a model for integrating affect and intellect, support and challenge.

Contents

PART I INTRODUCTION TO ANDROGYNY AND PSYCHOLOGICAL RESEARCH

Chapter 1

The Nature of Androgyny 3

THE THEORETICAL CONSTRUCT OF ANDROGYNY 5

Components of an Androgynous Personality 6. Premises Underlying the Theoretical Construct of Androgyny 9. The Relationship Between Androgyny and Other Sex-based Concepts 11. Another Look 11

MEASURING ANDROGYNY 12

Sex-role Inventories 12. Procedures for Scoring the New Sex-role Inventories 16. Evaluating the Measures of Androgyny 20. Another Look 24

CHARACTERISTICS OF SEX-TYPED AND ANDROGYNOUS

PEOPLE 24

Androgyny and Flexibility of Behavioral Repertoires 25. Androgyny and Emotional Adjustment 27. Another Look 29

TOWARD THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ANDROGYNOUS

PERSPECTIVE 29

SELECTED READINGS 31

Chapter 2

Cultural Values and the Psychological Study of Sex Roles 32

THE NATURE OF PSYCHOLOGICAL INQUIRY 33

The Value Base of Psychological Research 35. The Value Base of Research on Androgyny 38

PSYCHOLOGY'S CULTURAL HERITAGE 39

The Devaluation of Women 39. Devaluation of Women in Contemporary Society 41. Another Look 43

THE PSYCHOLOGICAL STUDY OF SEX ROLES
Assumptions of Male Superiority 46
APPLYING AN ANDROGYNOUS PERSPECTIVE 50
Evaluating Research Studies 51
SUMMARY 53
SELECTED READINGS 53

Chapter 3

Androgynous Themes in Religion and Literature 55
IMAGES OF ANDROGYNY IN EASTERN AND WESTERN
RELIGIONS 56

Holism Versus Dualism 56. Androgyny in Creation Myths 57. Androgyny and the Attainment of Enlightenment 61. Another Look 63

ANDROGYNY IN LITERATURE 64

The Classical Greek Era 65. The Medieval Era 67. The Writings of Shakespeare 68. Androgynous Themes in the Novel 70. The Bloomsbury Group 72. Another Look 74

CONCLUSION 75 SELECTED READINGS 76

PART II BIOLOGY AND SEXUALITY

Chapter 4

Biology and Behavior 79

BIOLOGICAL RESEARCH FROM AN ANDROGYNOUS
PERSPECTIVE 80

BIOLOGY IN NORMAL DEVELOPMENT 82

Genetic Sex Differences 83. Male and Female Sex Hormones 83. Fetal Development 84. Another Look 89

SEX HORMONES, GENDER IDENTITY, AND PSYCHOLOGICAL SEX

Human Abnormalities in Biological Sex 90. Personality Characteristics

and Abnormalities 92. Sex Change and Psychological Sex 96. Another Look 97

SEX HORMONES AND BEHAVIORAL TRAITS 98

Assertiveness 99. Sexual Behavior 102. Homosexuality 106. Parenting 107. Another Look 108

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS 109
SELECTED READINGS 110

Chapter 5

Reproduction Across the Life Span 111

THE MENSTRUAL CYCLE 112

Historical and Cross-cultural Attitudes to Menstruation 112. Biological Aspects of Puberty and Menstruation 115. Psychological Correlates of the Menstrual Cycle 118. Mood and Hormonal Fluctuations in Men 123. Another Look 124

PREGNANCY AND CHILDBIRTH 124

Contemporary Attitudes Toward Pregnancy 125. Biological Aspects 126. Emotional Aspects of Pregnancy 128. Emotional and Situational Aspects of Childbirth 131. Another Look 134

MENOPAUSE 135

Hormonal Aspects 135. Emotional Aspects 136

CONCLUSION 138

SELECTED READINGS 139

Chapter 6

Sexuality 140

CULTURAL AND HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES 141

Historical Images of Male and Female Sexuality in Western Society
143- Another Look 146

THE PHYSIOLOGY OF THE HUMAN SEXUAL RESPONSE 146

Phases of the Sexual Response 147. Implications for Beliefs about Female and Male Sexuality 150. Another Look 153

CONTEMPORARY SEXUAL BEHAVIOR 153

Masturbation 154. Heterosexuality 156. Homosexuality 164. Another Look 167

SEXUALITY AND THE LAW 167

Prostitution 168. Rape 170

SUMMARY 174

SELECTED READINGS 175

PART III SEX-ROLE SOCIALIZATION

Chapter 7

Family Influences on Sex-Role Development 179

THEORIES OF SEX-ROLE DEVELOPMENT 180

Psychoanalytic Theory: Sigmund Freud 180. Social Learning Theory: Walter Mischel 185. Cognitive Developmental Theory: Lawrence Kohl-

berg 188. Evaluation of Traditional Theories 191. Alternative Model: A Life Span View 191. Another Look 194

PARENTAL INFLUENCES: THE EMPIRICAL VIEW 195

Pressures Toward Androgyny 196. Pressures Toward Sex Typing 198. Children's Resistance to Nonstereotyped Behavior 205

GROWING TOWARD ANDROGYNY 206

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS 207

SELECTED READINGS 209

Chapter 8

Sex-Role Socialization Outside the Family 210

MEDIA 211

SCHOOLS 213

Structure of Schools 215. Teacher-Student Interaction 216. Curriculum 218. Another Look 221

COMMUNICATION 224

Language 224. Nonverbal Communication 227. Another Look 228

FRIENDS AS SOCIALIZING AGENTS 229

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS 232

SELECTED READINGS 234

Chapter 9

Psychological Comparisons of Females and Males 235 PROBLEMS IN RESEARCH ON SEX DIFFERENCES 235

COGNITIVE ABILITIES 238

Verbal Abilities 238. Quantitative Abilities 238. Visual-Spatial Abilities 239. Analytic Abilities 239. Areas of Similarity 240. Explanations for Differences in Cognitive Abilities 240. Another Look 242

SELF-ESTEEM 244

Self-esteem Inventories 244. Expectations 244. Attributions 245. Explanations for Differential Self-evaluations 247. Another Look 251

ACHIEVEMENT MOTIVATION 251

Traditional Psychological Perspectives on Achievement 252. Changing Perspectives: Achievement in Specific Realms 253. Fear of Success 253. Socialization and Achievement 255. Another Look 256

EMOTIONALITY 257

INTERPERSONAL INTERACTIONS 259

Moving Toward People 259. Moving Against People 261. Androgyny and Interpersonal Interactions 264. Another Look 264

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS 266

SELECTED READINGS 268

PART IV ADULT SEX ROLES

Chapter 10

Adult Roles in the Family 271

HISTORICAL AND CROSS-CULTURAL PERSPECTIVE 272
Another Look 274

PSYCHOLOGY'S PERSPECTIVE ON THE FAMILY 275

Maternal Responsibility 275. Distribution of Roles 277. Another Look 278

MARITAL ROLES 278

Marriage: His and Hers 279. Explanations for the Differential Effects of Marriage 280. Another Look 284

PARENTAL ROLES 285

Costs of Parenthood 287. Motherhood 287. Fatherhood 289. Another Look 291

PARTICIPATION IN BOTH FAMILY AND WORK 292

Consequences for Children 293. Consequences for Working Parents 295. Consequences for Marital Relationship 297. Another Look 299

SINGLENESS 299

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS 301

SELECTED READINGS 304

Chapter 11

Adult Roles at Work 305

VARIATIONS IN THE WORKPLACE 305

Job Satisfaction 307. Occupational Segregation 307. Explanations for Variations in the Workplace 311. Another Look 313

ORGANIZATIONAL FACTORS IN THE WORKPLACE 313

At the Top: A Male World 314- Opportunity 315- Power 317- Proportions 319- Homemaking 321- Another Look 323

INTERPERSONAL FACTORS AND WORK 323

Employers and Supervisors: Their Effects in the Workplace 323. Peers: Their Effects on Work Behavior 326. Family: Its Effects on Work Options 327. Another Look 328

INTERNALIZATION OF FACTORS IN THE WORKPLACE 329
WORK, SEX ROLES, AND ANDROGYNY 330
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS 333
SELECTED READINGS 334

Chapter 12

Psychological Well-being and Change 335

SEX ROLES AND PERSONAL JUDGMENTS OF WELL-BEING 336
Well-being in Various Populations 336. Stereotyped Sex Roles and
Well-being 337. Androgyny and Well-being 338. Another Look 340

LABELING THE WELL-BEING OF OTHERS 341

Witchcraft: Power Through the Devil 341. Hysteria: A Woman's Disease 343. Modern Clinical Practice 344. The Politics of Clinical Judgment 344. Another Look 347

INDIVIDUAL CHANGE THROUGH PSYCHOTHERAPY 348

The Perils of Therapy: Social Control 348. The Potential of Therapy: Individual Change 351. Issues in the Use of Therapy 352. Another Look 355

CHANGE IN THE SOCIAL CONTEXT 356 CONCLUSIONS 359 SELECTED READINGS 359

References 361

Index 393

INTRODUCTION TO ANDROGYNY AND PSYCHOLOGICAL RESEARCH

P	A	ì	3	I



The Nature of Androgyny



Before you begin reading this book, take one minute and write down all the traits you can think of that describe the typical American female. Now, take one more minute and write down all the traits you can think of that describe the typical American male. We would guess that, even in this short time, you were able to come up with fairly long lists. If your lists agree with those which appear in the psychological literature (e.g., see Table 1.1) you might have described the typical female as emotional, intuitive, nurturant, sensitive, or dependent. Your description of the typical male might have contained such traits as assertive, independent, rational, competitive, or unemotional. If you compared your lists with those of your classmates, you would probably find that they are fairly similar. You might also notice that none of the traits on your "typical female" list appear on your "typical male" list.

Why can people generate lists of personality traits so easily when they know only the sex of the person? Because we asked you to describe a *typical* man and woman, you had to think in very general terms about traits that are commonly associated with each sex. By doing so, you were drawing on cultural stereotypes of males and females. A stereotype, according to the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, is a "simplified and standardized conception or image invested with special meaning and held in common by members of a group" (p. 1394).

Such "standardized and simplified" conceptions of women and men have regularly been found to exist in contemporary society (Komarovsky, 1950; Lunneborg, 1970; McKee & Sherriffs, 1957; Rosenkrantz, Vogel, Bee, Broverman, & Broverman, 1968). They stem from at least two sources. First is the belief that there is a direct link between personality attributes and sex. By knowing nothing more than someone's sex, it is considered possible to describe a number of characteristics that that person might reasonably be expected to have. Second, men and women are seen as having distinctly different personalities. If, for example, a person is described as being low in feminine-typed traits (e.g., unemotional, not particularly kind or gentle, not warm in relations with others), it is assumed that such a person would be high in masculine-typed traits (e.g., active, independent, making decisions easily; Fouchee, Helmreich, and Spence, 1979). When an adolescent male is exhorted to "be a man," the message is clear: Don't act like a woman.

Return now to your list of traits. Although you were able to make that list, doing so probably left you feeling a bit uneasy, perhaps a bit skeptical. For every "typical"

TABLE 1.1 Stereotypic Sex-Role Items (Responses from 74 College Men and 80 College Women)

Competency Cluster: Masculine pole is more desirable Feminine Masculine

Not at all aggressive

Not at all independent

Very emotional

Very emotional

Very aggressive

Very independent

Not at all emotional

Does not hide emotions at all
Very subjective
Very easily influenced

Almost always hides emotions
Very objective
Very all easily influenced

Very submissive

Dislikes math and science very much
Very excitable in a minor crisis

Very dominant
Likes math and science very much
Not at all excitable in a minor crisis

Very excitable in a minor crisis

Very passive

Not at all excitab

Very active

Very competitive

Very competitive

Not at all competitive

Very illogical

Very home oriented

Not at all skilled in business

Very worldly

Very skilled in business

Very sneaky Very direct

Does not know the way of the world
Feelings easily hurt
Not at all adventurous

Knows the way of the world
Feelings not easily hurt
Very adventurous

Not at all adventurous

Has difficulty making decisions

Very adventurous

Can make decisions easily

Cries very easily
Almost never acts as a leader
Almost always acts

Almost never acts as a leader

Not at all self-confident

Almost always acts as a leader

Very self-confident

Very uncomfortable about being

Not at all uncomfortable about being
aggressive

aggressive

Not at all ambitious Very ambitious

Very dependent Not at all dependent

Very conceited about appearance
Thinks women are always superior to
men

Never conceited about appearance
Thinks men are always superior to
women

Does not talk freely about sex with men Talks freely about sex with men

Warmth-Expressiveness Cluster: Feminine pole is more desirable Feminine Masculine

Doesn't use harsh language at all Uses very harsh language

Very talkative Not at all talkative Very tactful Very blunt Very gentle Very rough

Very religious Not at all religious

Very interested in own appearance

Not at all interested in own appearance

Very neat in habits Very sloppy in habits

Very guiet Very loud

Very strong need for security Very little need for security

Enjoys art and literature Does not enjoy art and literature at all Easily expresses tender feelings Does not express tender feelings at all

easily

Source: From Inge K. Broverman et al., "Sex Role Stereotypes: A Current Appraisal," Journal of Social Issues, Vol. 28, No. 2 (1972), Table 1, p. 63. Reprinted by permission of the Society for the Study of Social Issues.