

**PAUL ANTHONY SAMUELSON**

**FOUNDATIONS OF  
ECONOMIC ANALYSIS**

CHINA SOCIAL SCIENCES PUBLISHING HOUSE  
CHENGCHENG BOOKS LTD.

## 图书在版编目(CIP)数据

经济分析的基础:英文/(美)萨缪尔森著. - 影印本.

- 北京:中国社会科学出版社,1999.12

(西学基本经典·经济学类/西学基本经典工作委员会 编)

ISBN 7-5004-2644-5

I. 经… II. 萨… III. 数理经济学-英文 IV. F224.0

中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字(1999)第 68419 号

版权总代理:TAO MEDIA INTERNATIONAL

(2790 19th Avenue, Ste. 20, San Francisco, CA 94132 U. S. A)

**西学基本经典·经济学类**

**西学基本经典工作委员会 编**

**中国社会科学出版社 出版发行**

(北京鼓楼西大街甲 158 号 邮编 100720)

E-mail: 5004@Peoplespace.net

**诚成图书有限公司制作**

中国建筑工业出版社密云印刷厂印刷

新华书店经销

1999 年 12 月第 1 版 1999 年 12 月第 1 次印刷

开本 880×1230 1/32 印张 184.5

经济学类全 12 册 定价:600.00 元

总策划 严平 野夫

项目策划 张自文 任建成

## 西学基本经典工作委员会

主任委员 张树相 刘波

副主任委员 李茂生 野夫 严平 张新奇 张自文 卢仁龙

责任总编辑 曹宏举 任建成

委员 刘晓路 宋小平 徐水平 叶彤 纪宏 王磊

张金花 程三国 黄应全 阳仁生 陈晓梅 章新语

周晓慧 罗莉

版权代理 TAO MEDIA INTERNATIONAL U.S.A

※

※

※

※

※

## 西学基本经典专家委员会

主任 季羨林 费孝通

副主任委员 (以姓氏笔画排序)

王元化 厉以宁 江平 李学勤 张世英 罗豪才

周一良 周辅成

委员 乐黛云 成中英 汤一介 张树相 李泽厚 李茂生

杜维明 孟昭兰 唐逸 戴文葆

万俊人 王焱 王蓉蓉 邓正来 朱苏力 庄孔韶

刘小枫 刘新 汪丁丁 张祥龙 贺卫方 何光沪

陈嘉映 陈小文 高毅 高丙中 秦海 黄平

梁小民

*To*  
MY PARENTS

## PREFACE

THE ORIGINAL version of this book submitted to the David A. Wells Prize Committee of Harvard University in 1941 carried the subtitle, "The Operational Significance of Economic Theory." At that time most of the material presented was already several years old, having been conceived and written primarily in 1937. Further delay in publication has been necessary because of the war, and because of the addition of supplementary treatise-like material going beyond the original conception of the work as indicated by its subtitle.

Because of the pressure of war work I have not been able to do full justice to the literature of the last few years, nor even to include all of the developments of my own thinking. Fortunately, the passage of time has dealt kindly with the analysis contained here, and where it abuts upon the topics treated in Professor Hicks's masterly *Value and Capital*, the similarity in point of view has been reassuring.

My greatest debt is to Marion Crawford Samuelson whose contributions have been all too many. The result has been a vast mathematical, economic, and stylistic improvement. Without her collaboration the book would literally not have been written, and no perfunctory uxorial acknowledgment can do justice to her aid. Nor can the quaint modern custom of excluding the value of a wife's services from the national income condone her exclusion from the title page.

My thanks for prolonged stimulation over many years must go out to Professors Schumpeter, Leontief, and E. B. Wilson, while each of a legion of Harvard graduate students has left his mark upon what follows. The reader will note my dependence upon the sterling contribution to Welfare Economics of Professor Abram Bergson. Grateful acknowledgment is made to the Social Science Research Council and to the Society of Fellows of Harvard University for the opportunities they provided for pursuit of independent research, and to the Department of Economics of Harvard University for their courteous acceptance of the wartime delays in publication.

Acknowledgment is made to the editors of *Econometrica* and the *Review of Economic Statistics* for permission to reproduce parts of my previously published articles. Chapters IX and X are taken almost entirely from two articles that appeared in *Econometrica*, while part of Chapter XI appeared in the *Review of Economic Statistics*.

P. A. S.

CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS  
JANUARY 1945

# CONTENTS

## PART I

I. INTRODUCTION . . . . .	3
II. EQUILIBRIUM SYSTEMS AND COMPARATIVE STATICS . . . . .	7
Symbolic Formulation . . . . .	10
Displacement of Equilibrium . . . . .	12
Illustrative Tax Problem . . . . .	14
Illustrative Market Case . . . . .	17
Summary . . . . .	19
III. THE THEORY OF MAXIMIZING BEHAVIOR . . . . .	21
Three Sources of Meaningful Theorems . . . . .	21
A Calculus of Qualitative Relations . . . . .	23
Maximum Conditions of Equilibrium . . . . .	29
Displacement of Equilibrium . . . . .	30
Displacement of Quantity Maximized . . . . .	34
Auxiliary Constraints and the Generalized Le Chatelier Principle . . . . .	36
Economic Illustrations . . . . .	39
Analysis of Finite Changes . . . . .	46
Analytic Functions . . . . .	52
Convertibility into a Maximum Problem . . . . .	52
IV. A COMPREHENSIVE RESTATEMENT OF THE THEORY OF COST AND PRODUCTION . . . . .	57
Statement of Problems . . . . .	57
Conditions of Equilibrium . . . . .	60
Secondary Extremum Conditions . . . . .	61
Displacement of Equilibrium . . . . .	63
Boundary or Corner Minima . . . . .	69
Discontinuities in the Production Function . . . . .	70
Conditions of Equilibrium . . . . .	73
Determinateness of Equilibrium . . . . .	75
Maximization of Profit . . . . .	76
Indeterminacy in Purest Competition? . . . . .	78

Discontinuous Case . . . . .	80
External Conditions of Equilibrium. . . . .	81
Summary . . . . .	87
V. THE PURE THEORY OF CONSUMER'S BEHAVIOR . . . . .	90
Evolution of Utility Concept. . . . .	90
Progression in Mathematical Thought . . . . .	92
The Demand Functions as a Goal . . . . .	96
Conditions of Equilibrium . . . . .	97
Displacement of Equilibrium. . . . .	100
Meaningful Theorems. . . . .	107
Conclusion . . . . .	116
A Note on the Demand for Money . . . . .	117
Qualifications Introduced by Uncertainty . . . . .	122
VI. TRANSFORMATIONS, COMPOSITE COMMODITIES, AND RA- TIONING . . . . .	125
Logarithmic Transformations and Elasticities . . . . .	125
General Transformation of Independent Variables. . . . .	129
Transformation of Dependent Variable. . . . .	133
Transformation of Prices . . . . .	135
Demand for a Group of Commodities . . . . .	141
The General Problem of Composite or Aggregate Commodities . . . . .	144
The Economic Theory of Index Numbers . . . . .	146
Present Formulations of Index Numbers . . . . .	156
Pure Theory of Choice under Rationing . . . . .	163
VII. SOME SPECIAL ASPECTS OF THE THEORY OF CON- SUMER'S BEHAVIOR . . . . .	172
The Cardinal Measure of Utility . . . . .	173
The Assumption of Independent Utilities . . . . .	174
Complementarity . . . . .	183
Constancy of the Marginal Utility of Income . . . . .	189
Why Consumer's Surplus is Superfluous . . . . .	195
The Many Forms of Consumer's Surplus . . . . .	197
VIII. WELFARE ECONOMICS . . . . .	203
The Social Welfare Function. . . . .	219
Mathematical Analysis . . . . .	229
Production Conditions . . . . .	230



Pure Exchange Conditions . . . . .	236
Interpersonal Optimal Conditions . . . . .	243
New Versus Old Welfare Economics . . . . .	249
Conclusion . . . . .	252

## PART II

IX. THE STABILITY OF EQUILIBRIUM: COMPARATIVE STATICS AND DYNAMICS . . . . .	257
Introduction . . . . .	257
Comparative Statics . . . . .	258
Stability and Dynamics . . . . .	260
The Stability of Multiple Markets . . . . .	269
Analysis of the Keynesian System . . . . .	276
X. THE STABILITY OF EQUILIBRIUM: LINEAR AND NON- LINEAR SYSTEMS . . . . .	284
Introduction . . . . .	284
Functional Equations and Stationary Solutions . . . . .	286
Linear and Nonlinear Systems . . . . .	288
The Nonlinear Differential Equation in One Vari- able . . . . .	288
Example: Logistic Law . . . . .	291
The Problem of Higher-Order Stability. . . . .	294
An Example of One-sided Stability-Instability: Malthusian and Optimum Population Theories. . . . .	296
Systems of Equations in " $n$ " Variables. . . . .	299
The Stability of a Stationary Position which is also a Maximum . . . . .	301
The Difference Equation in One Variable . . . . .	302
Analytic Solution . . . . .	307
Other Functional Equations . . . . .	308
XI. SOME FUNDAMENTALS OF DYNAMICAL THEORY . . . . .	311
Statics and Dynamics. . . . .	311
Causal Systems . . . . .	317
Stationary States and their Generalization. . . . .	320
Resolution of the Problem . . . . .	329
Concepts of Stability . . . . .	333
Nature of the Business Cycle . . . . .	335
Endogenous Models . . . . .	336

Mixed Exogenous-Endogenous Theories . . . . .	340
Mixed Systems of a Linear Stochastic Type . . . . .	342
Non-Linear Stochastic Systems . . . . .	344
XII. CONCLUSION . . . . .	350
MATHEMATICAL APPENDIX A. . . . .	357
MATHEMATICAL APPENDIX B: DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS. . . . .	380
INDEX . . . . .	441

FOUNDATIONS OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS  
PART I



## CHAPTER I

### INTRODUCTION

*The existence of analogies between central features of various theories implies the existence of a general theory which underlies the particular theories and unifies them with respect to those central features.* This fundamental principle of generalization by abstraction was enunciated by the eminent American mathematician E. H. Moore more than thirty years ago. It is the purpose of the pages that follow to work out its implications for theoretical and applied economics.

An economist of very keen intuition would perhaps have suspected from the beginning that seemingly diverse fields—production economics, consumer's behavior, international trade, public finance, business cycles, income analysis—possess striking formal similarities, and that economy of effort would result from analyzing these common elements.

I can make no claim to such initial insight. Only after laborious work in each of these fields did the realization dawn upon me that essentially the same inequalities and theorems appeared again and again, and that I was simply proving the same theorems a wasteful number of times.

I was aware, of course, that each field involved interdependent unknowns determined by presumably efficacious, independent equilibrium conditions—a fact which has always been generally realized. But, and this leads me to the second fundamental purpose of this work, it had not been pointed out to my knowledge that there exist formally identical *meaningful* theorems in these fields, each derived by an essentially analogous method.

This is not surprising since only the smallest fraction of economic writings, theoretical and applied, has been concerned with the derivation of *operationally meaningful* theorems. In part at least this has been the result of the bad methodological preconceptions that economic laws deduced from *a priori* assumptions possessed rigor and validity independently of any empirical human behavior. But only a very few economists have gone so far as this.

The majority would have been glad to enunciate meaningful theorems if any had occurred to them. In fact, the literature abounds with false generalization.

We do not have to dig deep to find examples. Literally hundreds of learned papers have been written on the subject of utility. Take a little bad psychology, add a dash of bad philosophy and ethics, and liberal quantities of bad logic, and any economist can prove that the demand curve for a commodity is negatively inclined. His instinct is good; the attempt to derive a meaningful useful theorem is commendable—much more so than the innocuous position that utility is always maximized because people do what they do. How refreshing then is a paper like Slutsky's<sup>1</sup> which attempted, with partial success, to deduce once and for all the hypotheses upon price-quantity budget behavior implied in the utility approach.

The economist has consoled himself for his barren results with the thought that he was forging tools which would eventually yield fruit. The promise is always in the future; we are like highly trained athletes who never run a race, and in consequence grow stale. It is still too early to determine whether the innovations in thought of the last decade will have stemmed the unmistakable signs of decadence which were clearly present in economic thought prior to 1930.

By a *meaningful theorem* I mean simply a hypothesis about empirical data which could conceivably be refuted, if only under ideal conditions. A meaningful theorem may be false. It may be valid but of trivial importance. Its validity may be indeterminate, and practically difficult or impossible to determine. Thus, with existing data, it may be impossible to check upon the hypothesis that the demand for salt is of elasticity  $-1.0$ . But it is meaningful because under ideal circumstances an experiment could be devised whereby one could hope to refute the hypothesis.

The statement that if demand were inelastic, an increase in price would raise total revenue is not a meaningful theorem in this sense. It implies no hypothesis—certainly not even that a demand exists which is inelastic—and is true simply by definition. It may possibly have had a certain "psychological" usefulness in helping

<sup>1</sup> E. Slutsky, "Sulla teoria del bilancio del consumatore," *Giornale degli Economisti*, LI (1915), 1-26.

economists ask the right questions of the facts, but even here I have some doubts.

In this study I attempt to show that there do exist meaningful theorems in diverse fields of economic affairs. They are not deduced from thin air or from *a priori* propositions of universal truth and vacuous applicability. They proceed almost wholly from two types of very general hypotheses. The first is that the conditions of equilibrium are equivalent to the maximization (minimization) of some magnitude. Part I deals with this phase of the subject in a reasonably exhaustive fashion.

However, when we leave single economic units, the determination of unknowns is found to be unrelated to an extremum position. In even the simplest business cycle theories there is lacking symmetry in the conditions of equilibrium so that there is no possibility of directly reducing the problem to that of a maximum or minimum. Instead the dynamical properties of the system are specified, and the hypothesis is made that the system is in "stable" equilibrium or motion. By means of what I have called the *Correspondence Principle* between comparative statics and dynamics, definite *operationally meaningful* theorems can be derived from so simple a hypothesis. One interested only in fruitful statics must study dynamics.

The empirical validity or fruitfulness of the theorems, of course, cannot surpass that of the original hypothesis. Moreover, the stability hypothesis has no teleological<sup>2</sup> or normative significance; thus, the stable equilibrium might be at fifty per cent unemployment. The plausibility of such a stability hypothesis is suggested by the consideration that positions of unstable equilibrium, even if they exist, are transient, nonpersistent states, and hence on the crudest probability calculation would be observed less frequently than stable states. How many times has the reader seen an egg standing upon its end? From a formal point of view it is often convenient to consider the stability of nonstationary motions.

In a good deal of Part II the dynamical behavior of systems is analyzed for its own sake, regardless of implications for comparative statics. And in the last chapters of Part I, I have gone beyond the original conception of the book to include such subjects as

<sup>2</sup>L. J. Henderson, *The Order of Nature* (Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press, 1917).

welfare economics. Although the logical content of the theorems enunciated here is different, there is an underlying unity of method.

In the beginning it was hoped that the discussion could be made nontechnical. Very quickly it became apparent that such a procedure, while possible, would involve a manuscript many times the present size. Moreover, I have come to feel that Marshall's dictum that "it seems doubtful whether any one spends his time well in reading lengthy translations of economic doctrines into mathematics, that have not been made by himself" should be exactly reversed. The laborious literary working over of essentially simple mathematical concepts such as is characteristic of much of modern economic theory is not only unrewarding from the standpoint of advancing the science, but involves as well mental gymnastics of a peculiarly depraved type.

On the other hand, I have attempted to avoid all mathematical flourish, and the pure mathematician will recognize all too readily the essentially elementary character of the tools used. My own interest in mathematics has been secondary and subsequent to my interest in economics. Nevertheless, the reader may find some parts hard going. To lighten the way I have placed the purely mathematical theorems in two separate appendices, of which the second gives a reasonably self-contained introduction to the theory of difference equations.



## CHAPTER II

### EQUILIBRIUM SYSTEMS AND COMPARATIVE STATICS

MOST ECONOMIC TREATISES are concerned with either the description of some part of the world of reality or with the elaboration of particular elements abstracted from reality. Implicit in such analyses there are certain recognizable formal uniformities, which are indeed characteristic of all scientific method. It is proposed here to investigate these common features in the hope of demonstrating how it is possible to deduce general principles which can serve to unify large sectors of present day economic theory.

In every problem of economic theory certain variables (quantities, prices, etc.) are designated as unknowns, in whose determination we are interested. Their values emerge as a solution of a specified set of relationships imposed upon the unknowns by assumption or hypothesis. These functional relationships hold as of a given environment and milieu. Of course, to designate this environment completely would require specification of the whole universe; therefore, we assume implicitly a matrix of conditions within which our analysis is to take place.

It is hardly enough, however, to show that under certain conditions we can name enough relations (equations) to determine the values of our unknowns. It is important that our analysis be developed in such terms that we are aided in determining how our variables change qualitatively or quantitatively with changes in explicit data. Thus, we introduce explicitly into our system certain data in the form of parameters, which in changing cause shifts in our functional relations. The usefulness of our theory emerges from the fact that by our analysis we are often able to determine the nature of the changes in our unknown variables resulting from a designated change in one or more parameters. In fact, our theory is meaningless in the operational sense unless it does imply some restrictions upon empirically observable quantities, by which it could conceivably be refuted.