
## Constitutional Law

National Power and Federalism

Seventh Edition

Christopher N. May Allan Ides Simona Grossi



## Constitutional Law National Power and Federalism

Seventh Edition

### Christopher N. May

Professor Emeritus of Law Loyola Law School, Los Angeles

### Allan Ides

Christopher N. May Professor of Law Loyola Law School, Los Angeles

#### Simona Grossi

Professor of Law & Theodore A. Bruinsma Fellow Loyola Law School, Los Angeles Copyright © 2016 CCH Incorporated.

Published by Wolters Kluwer in New York.

Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory Solutions U.S. serves customers worldwide with CCH, Aspen Publishers, and Kluwer Law International products. (www.WKLegaledu.com)

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or utilized by any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publisher. For information about permissions or to request permissions online, visit us at www.WKLegaledu.com, or a written request may be faxed to our permissions department at 212-771-0803.

To contact Customer Service, e-mail customer.service@wolterskluwer.com, call 1-800-234-1660, fax 1-800-901-9075, or mail correspondence to:

Wolters Kluwer Attn: Order Department PO Box 990 Frederick, MD 21705

Printed in the United States of America.

1234567890

ISBN 978-1-4548-6422-6

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: May, Christopher N., author. | Ides, Allan, 1949- author. | Grossi, Simona, author.

Title: Constitutional law: national power and federalism / Christopher N. May, Allan Ides, Simona Grossi.

Description: Seventh edition. | New York: Wolters Kluwer, [2016]

Identifiers: LCCN 2015046842 | ISBN 9781454864226

Subjects: LCSH: Constitutional law-United States. | Judicial review-United States. | Federal government-

United States.

Classification: LCC KF4550 .M29 2016 | DDC 342.73 — dc23 LC record available at http://lccn.loc.gov/2015046842



## Constitutional Law National Power and Federalism

#### **EDITORIAL ADVISORS**

#### **Erwin Chemerinsky**

Dean and Distinguished Professor of Law Raymond Pryke Professor of First Amendment Law University of California, Irvine School of Law

#### Richard A. Epstein

Laurence A. Tisch Professor of Law New York University School of Law Peter and Kirsten Bedford Senior Fellow The Hoover Institution Senior Lecturer in Law The University of Chicago

#### Ronald J. Gilson

Charles J. Meyers Professor of Law and Business Stanford University Marc and Eva Stern Professor of Law and Business Columbia Law School

#### James E. Krier

Earl Warren DeLano Professor of Law The University of Michigan Law School

#### Richard K. Neumann, Jr.

Professor of Law Maurice A. Deane School of Law at Hofstra University

#### Robert H. Sitkoff

John L. Gray Professor of Law Harvard Law School

#### David Alan Sklansky

Stanley Morrison Professor of Law, Stanford Law School Faculty Co-Director, Stanford Criminal Justice Center

# About Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory Solutions U.S.

Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory Solutions U.S. delivers expert content and solutions in the areas of law, corporate compliance, health compliance, reimbursement, and legal education. Its practical solutions help customers successfully navigate the demands of a changing environment to drive their daily activities, enhance decision quality and inspire confident outcomes.

Serving customers worldwide, its legal and regulatory solutions portfolio includes products under the Aspen Publishers, CCH Incorporated, Kluwer Law International, ftwilliam.com and MediRegs names. They are regarded as exceptional and trusted resources for general legal and practice-specific knowledge, compliance and risk management, dynamic workflow solutions, and expert commentary.

For our parents ert and Virginia Mo

Robert and Virginia May Albert and Frances Ides Angelo and Daniela Grossi Most of us arrive at law school with at least a minimal awareness of our constitutional system of government. We know generally that the national government is divided into three branches and that the Bill of Rights protects our fundamental freedoms. That awareness probably began with elementary school Thanksgiving Day pageants, developed substance through various American history and government classes in high school, and finally, for some of us, is topped by an undergraduate course in constitutional law. Outside the educational setting, constitutional law issues ripple through the popular media, with frequent references to abortion, free speech, religion, racial and gender discrimination, gay rights, and so forth.

As law students, however, we learn very quickly that the study of constitutional law is anything but a meditation on the commonplace. And therein lies the treachery. The familiar quickly blends with the arcane, and we are forced to grapple with a tumult of doctrines, distinctions, and qualifications. Indeed, the familiar may soon disappear as we trek through justiciability, the commerce power, state action, and various other subjects that never seem to make the headlines. Even those topics that strike a common chord are presented with a treatment that is most uncommon. Add to this a bevy of wavering doctrines, concurring or opposing opinions, and the changing personnel of the Supreme Court, and the complexity can become overwhelming.

We're here to help. We have written two volumes designed to give you a foundation in the doctrines and methods of constitutional law and constitutional argument. This volume, National Power and Federalism, covers the powers of the federal courts, Congress, and the President; the doctrines of separation of powers and federalism; and some of the limitations that the Constitution imposes on state power. The second, Individual Rights, covers the provisions of the Constitution that protect us against the government, including the Takings and Contracts Clauses; the Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses; and the Speech, Press, and Religion Clauses of the First Amendment.

These books try to provoke you into thinking about the larger issues of constitutional law with some depth and perception. They are not outlines. Nor are they research treatises on all the nuances of constitutional law. Rather, they present a problem-oriented guide through the principal doctrines of constitutional law—those covered in typical constitutional law courses—with an emphasis on how one might think about issues that

arise within the various contexts in which these doctrines operate. Lawyers, after all, are problem solvers. These books are tools for constitutional law problem solvers (and students confronted with the reality of final exams).

We must include the usual caveat. Our books are not a substitute for your constitutional law class or for a basic casebook. Nor are they a substitute for reading those cases. We hope, however, that they will make the classroom experience richer and more accessible. In fact, our experience is that students who have read these materials along with traditional cases have found the cases more understandable and more easily digested. They have also found that class participation is less threatening and more fruitful. We are confident that you will have the same experience.

The approach that we suggest is quite simple. As you begin a new topic in your constitutional law course, read the related chapter in either National Power and Federalism or Individual Rights. This will give you an overview of the area and a preliminary sense of how doctrines are applied within the area. In reading the chapters, however, don't just read the problems - do them! In other words, consider the problem and try to anticipate how it will be solved before you read the accompanying explanation. This will develop your analytical skills. Next, as you read your cases, refer back to the related chapters and chapter sections and assess how each case fits into the overall framework developed by the Court. See if the case comports with the problems and explanations. Be critical. Finally, when you complete the coverage of a topic, review the chapter again. This will give you confidence that you know the material. Students have also found these materials useful as study aids when preparing for their final exams. After all, finals are simply problems to be solved. In any event, don't just read these books; use them to develop your understanding and your skills.

Good luck in your studies and in your careers as problem-solving students of the Constitution.

Christopher N. May Allan Ides Simona Grossi

December 2015

### Acknowledgments

We would like to express our appreciation to our student research assistants who assisted us in the preparation of the various editions of this book: Lilly Kim (LLS '98), Lauren Raskin (LLS '98), Eric Enson (LLS '99), James V. DeRossitt IV (W&L '96), Ashley DeMoss (W&L '97), Lawrence Striley (W&L '95), Kristen Strain (LLS '01), Kasha Arianne Harshaw (LLS '02), Jessica Levinson (LLS '05), Daniel Costa (UCLA '11), Mario Grimm (LLS '11), Mashashi Kawaguchi (LLS '11), Vanda Long (UNC '11), and Jacquelyn Mohr (LLS '11). Many thanks also to our secretaries, Ruth Busch, Liz Luk, and Diane Cochran.

## Constitutional Law National Power and Federalism

### Summary of Contents

Contents		xi
Preface		xxi
Acknowledgm	nents	xxiii
Chapter I	Judicial Review	1
Chapter 2	Congressional Power to Limit the Jurisdiction of the	
-	Supreme Court and Inferior Federal Courts	63
Chapter 3	Justiciability	99
Chapter 4	Special Limitations on Judicial Review of State Laws	171
Chapter 5	The Powers of the National Government	229
Chapter 6	The Supremacy Clause	299
Chapter 7	The Separation of Powers	327
Chapter 8	The Dormant Commerce Clause	391
Chapter 9	The Privileges and Immunities Clause of Article IV	435
Table of Case	es	459
Index		471

Preface Acknowledgme	ents			xxi xxiii	
Chapter I	Judicial Review			1	
	§1.1	Introduc	Introduction and Overview		
	§1.2	The Back	kground of Marbury v. Madison	2	
		§1.2.1	Independence and the Articles of		
			Confederation	2	
		§1.2.2	The First State Constitutions	3	
		§1.2.3	The Emergence of Judicial Review	5	
		§1.2.4	Creating a New National Government	6	
		§1.2.5	The Federalist Era, 1789-1801	7	
		§1.2.6	The Republican Assault on the Judiciary	8	
	§1.3		. Madison: Judicial Review of the Coordinate		
		Branches		10	
		§1.3.1	Judicial Review of Acts of Congress	10	
		§1.3.2	Judicial Review of Executive Conduct	12	
		§1.3.3	The Constitution as Paramount Law in		
			Court	13	
		§1.3.4	Marshall's Textual Defense of Judicial		
			Review	14	
		§1.3.5	The Legitimacy of Judicial Review	17	
	§1.4	Federal J	udicial Review of State Conduct	18	
		§1.4.1	Challenges Initiated in Federal Court	19	
		§1.4.2	Supreme Court Review of State		
			Judgments	19	
		§1.4.3	Adequate and Independent State Grounds	22	
			What Constitutes an "Adequate" State		
			Ground?	23	
			What Constitutes an "Independent" State		
			Ground?	26	
			In Case of Doubt: Michigan v. Long	27	
			Cases Filed in the Federal Courts	31	
	§1.5		of Judicial Review in a Democratic Society	31	
	§1.6		ate over Constitutional Interpretation	34	
		§1.6.1	Interpretivism versus Noninterpretivism	34	

		§1.6.2	Textualism	36	
		§1.6.3	Originalism	37	
		§1.6.4	Nonoriginalism	39	
		§1.6.5	Approaching Consensus	40	
		§1.6.6	Sources and Levels of Generality	41	
	§1.7	The Tecl	nniques of Constitutional Interpretation	43	
		§1.7.1	Constitutional Text	44	
		§1.7.2	Original Intent	44	
		§1.7.3	Constitutional Structure	46	
		§1.7.4	History and Tradition	47	
		§1.7.5	Fairness and Justice	48	
		§1.7.6	Political Theory	48	
		§1.7.7	Social Policy	48	
		§1.7.8	Foreign, International, and State Law	49	
		§1.7.9	Supreme Court Precedent	50	
	§1.8	Authorit	ativeness of Judicial Interpretations	51	
		§1.8.1	The Supreme Court's Interpretations as		
			Law	52	
		§1.8.2	Binding Effect on Other Courts	54	
		§1.8.3	Binding Effect on Nonjudicial Officials	55	
Chapter 2	Congr	essiona	l Power to Limit the		
			of the Supreme Court and		
			ral Courts	63	
	§2.1	Introduc	ction and Overview	63	
		The Power to Make Exceptions to the Jurisdiction of			
		The Pow	ver to Make Exceptions to the lightsdiction of		
	§2.2			65	
		the Supr	reme Court	65	
			Defining the Scope of the Exceptions		
		the Supr	Defining the Scope of the Exceptions Power	66	
		the Supr	Defining the Scope of the Exceptions Power The Traditional or Plenary Power View	66 66	
		the Supr	Defining the Scope of the Exceptions Power The Traditional or Plenary Power View Mandatory Interpretations	66 66	
		the Supr	Defining the Scope of the Exceptions Power The Traditional or Plenary Power View Mandatory Interpretations Historical Practice	66 66 67	
		the Supr §2.2.1	Defining the Scope of the Exceptions Power The Traditional or Plenary Power View Mandatory Interpretations Historical Practice Precedent	66 66	
		the Supr	Defining the Scope of the Exceptions Power The Traditional or Plenary Power View Mandatory Interpretations Historical Practice Precedent Structural Limits on Exercises of the	66 66 66 67	
		\$2.2.1	Defining the Scope of the Exceptions Power The Traditional or Plenary Power View Mandatory Interpretations Historical Practice Precedent Structural Limits on Exercises of the Exceptions Power	66 66 67	
		the Supr §2.2.1	Defining the Scope of the Exceptions Power The Traditional or Plenary Power View Mandatory Interpretations Historical Practice Precedent Structural Limits on Exercises of the Exceptions Power External Limits on Exercises of the	66 66 67 69	
		\$2.2.1 \$2.2.2 \$2.2.3	Defining the Scope of the Exceptions Power The Traditional or Plenary Power View Mandatory Interpretations Historical Practice Precedent Structural Limits on Exercises of the Exceptions Power External Limits on Exercises of the Exceptions Power	66 66 66 67	
		\$2.2.1	Defining the Scope of the Exceptions Power The Traditional or Plenary Power View Mandatory Interpretations Historical Practice Precedent Structural Limits on Exercises of the Exceptions Power External Limits on Exercises of the Exceptions Power A Comment on Ambiguities in the	66 66 67 69 70	
	§2.2	\$2.2.1 \$2.2.2 \$2.2.3 \$2.2.4	Defining the Scope of the Exceptions Power The Traditional or Plenary Power View Mandatory Interpretations Historical Practice Precedent Structural Limits on Exercises of the Exceptions Power External Limits on Exercises of the Exceptions Power A Comment on Ambiguities in the Jurisprudence of the Exceptions Clause	66 66 67 69	
		\$2.2.1 \$2.2.2 \$2.2.3 \$2.2.4 The Pow	Defining the Scope of the Exceptions Power The Traditional or Plenary Power View Mandatory Interpretations Historical Practice Precedent Structural Limits on Exercises of the Exceptions Power External Limits on Exercises of the Exceptions Power A Comment on Ambiguities in the Jurisprudence of the Exceptions Clause For to Create Article III Courts Inferior to the	66 66 67 69 70 75	
	§2.2	\$2.2.1 \$2.2.2 \$2.2.3 \$2.2.4 The Pow Supreme	Defining the Scope of the Exceptions Power The Traditional or Plenary Power View Mandatory Interpretations Historical Practice Precedent Structural Limits on Exercises of the Exceptions Power External Limits on Exercises of the Exceptions Power A Comment on Ambiguities in the Jurisprudence of the Exceptions Clause For to Create Article III Courts Inferior to the	66 66 67 69 70	
	§2.2	\$2.2.1 \$2.2.2 \$2.2.3 \$2.2.4 The Pow	Defining the Scope of the Exceptions Power The Traditional or Plenary Power View Mandatory Interpretations Historical Practice Precedent Structural Limits on Exercises of the Exceptions Power External Limits on Exercises of the Exceptions Power A Comment on Ambiguities in the Jurisprudence of the Exceptions Clause For to Create Article III Courts Inferior to the	66 66 67 69 70 75	

		§2.3.2	The Implicit Authority to Regulate	
			Jurisdiction	80
		§2.3.3	Structural Limits on the Power to Create	
			Inferior Tribunals	82
		§2.3.4	External Limits on the Power to Create	
			Inferior Tribunals	86
	§2.4	The Pov	ver to Create Non-Article III Courts	86
		§2.4.1	The Argument Against Non-Article III	
			Courts	86
		§2.4.2	The Justification for Non-Article III	
			Courts	87
		§2.4.3	Territorial Courts	88
		§2.4.4		89
		§2.4.5	Adjunct Courts	89
		§2.4.6	The Public Rights Exception: Original	
			Form	93
		§2.4.7	The Public Rights Exception: Modern	
			Form	95
Chapter 3	<b>Iustic</b>	iability		99
			10.	
	§3.1		tion and Overview	99
	§3.2		nents of a Case or Controversy	101
		§3.2.1	Constitutional Minimum Applied:	
			Advisory Opinions and Collusive Suits	102
		§3.2.2	Constitutional Minimum Applied:	
			Declaratory Relief	104
		§3.2.3	Constitutional Minimum Applied:	1-2-2-
			Supreme Court Review of State Decisions	105
	§3.3		al Considerations: Beyond the	
	272		tional Minimum	105
	§3.4		iding Doctrine	107
		§3.4.1	Injury-in-Fact	108
			The Problem of Future Harm	114
		§3.4.2	Causation	118
		§3.4.3	Redressability	121
			General Federal and State Taxpayer	
			Standing	125
		§3.4.4	The Prohibition Against Generalized	
			Grievances	127
			Taxpayer Standing and the Establishment	
			Clause	129
		§3.4.5	The Rule Against Third-Party Standing	132
		§3.4.6	The Zone of Interests Test	139
		§3.4.7	Organizational Standing	141
				xiii

		§3.4.8 §3.4.9	Legislative Standing The Curious Cases of United States v. Windsor	143
		30.1.7	and Hollingsworth v. Perry	145
	§3.5	The Time	eline of Justiciability: The Ripeness and	1 10
	3		s Doctrines	149
	§3.6	Ripeness		151
	§3.7		s Applied, and Variations	154
		§3.7.1		156
		§3.7.2		
			Evading Review	158
		§3.7.3	Voluntary Cessation	158
		§3.7.4	Class Actions	160
	§3.8	_	tical Question Doctrine	161
Chapter 4	Specia	al Limita	ations on Judicial Review	
	-	ite Laws		171
	§4.1	Introduc	tion and Overview	171
	§4.2		enth Amendment	171
	5	§4.2.1	The Eleventh Amendment and Sovereign	
		0	Immunity	171
		§4.2.2	Suits Filed by the United States	175
		§4.2.3	Suits Filed by Another State	176
		§4.2.4	Bankruptcy Proceedings	177
		§4.2.5	Supreme Court Review of State Court	
			Decisions	177
		§4.2.6	The Stripping Doctrine	178
			Ex parte Young and the Fiction of Stripping	178
			Designating the Proper Defendant	181
			Prospective versus Retroactive Relief	182
			Holding Officials Personally Liable in	
			Damages	184
			No Stripping for State Law Claims	190
			Congressional Restriction of the Stripping	
			Doctrine	191
		§4.2.7	Suits Against Governmental Entities	
			and Officials	193
			Classifying a Governmental Entity	194
			Stating a Cause of Action: §1983	196
			Suing Federal Officials	198
		§4.2.8	Congressional Abrogation	199
		§4.2.9	State Waiver by Consent to Suit	204
		§4.2.10	The Eleventh Amendment Anomaly	206
	§4.3	The Siler	Doctrine	208
	84.4	The Pulln	non Doctrine	210

	§4.5	The Your	nger Doctrine	213
		§4.5.1	The Basic Doctrine	213
		§4.5.2	"Pending" State Proceedings	215
		§4.5.3	The Nature of the State Proceedings	218
			Proceedings of a Judicial Nature	219
			Implicating Important State Interests	220
		§4.5.4	Opportunity to Raise the Federal Claim in	
			the State Proceeding	222
		§4.5.5	Exceptions to the Younger Doctrine	223
			Bad Faith or Harassment	223
			Patently Unconstitutional	224
			Extraordinary Circumstances	224
		§4.5.6	Returning to Federal Court	224
	§4.6	Commo	n Law Immunity	226
Chapter 5	The F	owers	of the National Government	229
	§5.1	Introduc	ction and Overview	229
	§5.2	The Nec	essary and Proper Clause	231
	§5.3	The Pov	ver over Interstate Commerce	235
		§5.3.1	A Brief History of Commerce Clause	
			Jurisprudence	236
		§5.3.2	The Modern Law of the Commerce	
			Clause	241
		§5.3.3	The Commerce Clause and Civil Rights	248
		§5.3.4	Closer Judicial Scrutiny: Lopez and Morrison	250
		§5.3.5	Regulating Commercial "Inactivity"	257
	§5.4	The Pov	ver to Tax and Spend	261
		§5.4.1	What Constitutes a Tax for Purposes of	
			the Taxing Power?	262
			The "Some Revenue" Test	263
			Is the Law Penal or Prohibitory?	263
		§5.4.2	Specific Limitations on the Power to Tax	267
			The Requirement of Uniformity	268
			Direct Taxes and Proportionality	268
			Prohibition on Taxes or Duties Laid on	
			Exports	269
		§5.4.3	What Constitutes an Expenditure for	
			Purposes of the Spending Power?	269
			Regulatory Spending	270
			Unconstitutional Conditions	272
			Spending Directed Toward the States	274
			Regulatory Authority Over Third Parties	278
	§5.5	The Pov	ver over Foreign Affairs	279