

OXFORD
LINGUISTICS

András
Bárány

**Person,
Case, and
Agreement**

The Morphosyntax of Inverse
Agreement and Global Case Splits

RELATED TITLES PUBLISHED BY
OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

Archi

Complexities of Agreement in Cross-Theoretical Perspective

*Edited by Oliver Bond, Greville G. Corbett,
Marina Chumakina, and Dunstan Brown*

The Oxford Handbook of Ergativity

*Edited by Jessica Coon, Diane Massam,
and Lisa deMena Travis*

The Verbal Domain

*Edited by Roberta D'Alessandro,
Irene Franco, and Ángel J. Gallego*

Rethinking Parameters

*Edited by Luis Eguren, Olga Fernández-Soriano,
and Amaya Mendikoetxea*

Argument Licensing and Agreement

Claire Halpert

The Syntax of Yes and No

Anders Holmberg

Deconstructing Ergativity

*Two Types of Ergative Languages
and Their Features*

Maria Polinsky

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

www.oup.com

ISBN 978-0-19-880418-5



9 780198 804185

Bárðaný
Persón, Caser, and Agreemert
OXFORD

Person, Case, and Agreement

*The Morphosyntax of Inverse Agreement
and Global Case Splits*

ANDRÁS BÁRÁNY

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

OXFORD

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford, OX2 6DP,
United Kingdom

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford.
It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship,
and education by publishing worldwide. Oxford is a registered trade mark of
Oxford University Press in the UK and in certain other countries

© András Bárány 2018

The moral rights of the author have been asserted

First Edition published in 2018

Impression: 1

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in
a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the
prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted
by law, by licence or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics
rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the
above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the
address above

You must not circulate this work in any other form
and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

Published in the United States of America by Oxford University Press
198 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016, United States of America

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Data available

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017939065

ISBN 978-0-19-880418-5

Printed and bound by
CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon, CRO 4YY

Links to third party websites are provided by Oxford in good faith and
for information only. Oxford disclaims any responsibility for the materials
contained in any third party website referenced in this work.

Person, Case, and Agreement

RETHINKING COMPARATIVE SYNTAX

PUBLISHED

The Syntax of Yes and No

Anders Holmberg

Person, Case, and Agreement

The Morphosyntax of Inverse Agreement and Global Case Splits

András Bárány

IN PREPARATION

Parameter Hierarchies and Universal Grammar

Ian Roberts

Verb Placement in Romance

A Comparative Study

Norma Schifano

Case and Agreement Alignment in Romance and Beyond

Michelle Sheehan

Voor .L:GG

Acknowledgements

This book is a revised version of my dissertation (Bárány 2015a) which I wrote as a PhD student in the ‘Rethinking Comparative Syntax’ (or ReCoS) project funded by European Research Council Advanced Grant No. 269752 at the University of Cambridge. I am very grateful to my supervisors Ian Roberts, Theresa Biberauer, and Michelle Sheehan for their support and everything I have learned from them. Working with my colleagues Timothy Bazalgette, Alison Biggs, Jessica Brown, Georg Höhn, Anders Holmberg, and Jenneke van der Wal made writing the dissertation and this book a pleasure!

Since leaving Cambridge, I spent a year at the Research Institute for Linguistics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences in Budapest. Katalin É. Kiss provided many helpful comments, and a great working environment in Budapest, where I completed some of the revisions on this book (I also acknowledge the support of the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund, project number 118079). My colleagues in Budapest, Erika Asztalos, Éva Dékány, Barbi Egedi, Kati Gugán, Vera Hegedűs, Júlia Keresztes, Niki Mus, and Orsolya Tánczos, as well as Marcel den Dikken and Balázs Surányi all made me feel very welcome and I thank them for a great year!

I finished this book after another move, to SOAS University of London, where I joined Irina Nikolaeva’s AHRC-funded project ‘Prominent Possessors’ and where I was once more welcomed in a friendly and motivating environment.

In addition to the people mentioned above, I want to (again) acknowledge the role that discussions with Mark Baker, Laura Kalin, Katalin É. Kiss, Gereon Müller, and Anna Szabolcsi played in shaping my ideas, as well as the comments of two anonymous reviewers.

Obviously, none of these nice people are responsible for any errors that might have made it into this book.

Abbreviations

1	first person
2	second person
3	third person
A	agent-like argument of a canonical transitive verb
ABL	ablative
ABS	absolutive
ACC	accusative
ADV	adverbial
ANR	action nominalizer
ANTIP	antipassive
APPL	applicative
ASP	aspect
AUX	auxiliary
CAUS	causative
CL	clitic
CNPC	complex-noun phrase constraint
COM	comitative
DAT	dative
DEF	definite
DEL	delative
DEM	demonstrative
DLN	delineator
DO	direct object
DOM	differential object marking
DM	Distributed Morphology
DSM	differential subject marking
ERG	ergative
F	feminine
FA	factive
FOC	focus
FUT	future

GEN	genitive
HAB	habitual
HPSG	Head-driven Phrase Structure Grammar
ILL	illative
IND	indicative
INDIR	indirective
INFL	inflection
INS	instrumental
INV	inverse
IO	indirect object
IPFV	imperfective
ITER	iterative
LAT	lative
LOC	locative
M	masculine
N	neuter
NEG	negative
NOM	nominative
NP	noun phrase
NPST	non-past
OBJ	object
OBL	oblique
OBV	obviative
OT	Optimality Theory
P	patient-like argument of a canonical transitive verb
PASS	passive
PCC	person-case constraint
PFV	perfective
PIC	phase impenetrability condition
PL	plural
POSS	possessive
PRF	perfect
PRS	present
PST	past
R	recipient-like argument of a ditransitive verb
REL	relative

s	single argument of a canonical intransitive verb
SAP	spurious antipassive
SBJ	subject
SBJV	subjunctive
SECUN	secundative
SG	singular
SUP	superessive
T	theme- or patient-like internal argument of a ditransitive verb
TR	transitive
VI	Vocabulary Item
VIVA	Visibility of Inherent-Case to Verbal Agreement
VM	verbal modifier

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	x
<i>List of figures and tables</i>	xi
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xii
1 Person, case, and agreement	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Differential object marking	2
1.3 Person features and hierarchies	5
1.3.1 Hierarchies and functional approaches to DOM	7
1.4 Case and agreement	8
1.5 Theoretical assumptions	11
1.5.1 Cyclic Agree	12
1.5.2 Agree can fail	14
1.5.3 Case features	15
1.5.4 Syntax and morphology	16
1.6 The sample of languages	17
2 Differential object marking in Hungarian	20
2.1 The Hungarian noun phrase and ϕ -features	20
2.2 Differential object agreement in Hungarian	23
2.2.1 Direct objects and subject agreement	25
2.2.2 Direct objects and object agreement	30
2.3 Analysis	37
2.3.1 Object agreement is not semantic	38
2.3.2 D and person	42
2.3.3 Deriving agreement	43
2.3.4 Putting the pieces together: agreement in the clause	51
2.3.5 Interim summary	53
2.4 Conclusions	53
3 Inverse agreement in Hungarian	55
3.1 Introduction	55
3.2 Object agreement with personal pronouns	56
3.2.1 The distribution of object agreement with personal pronouns	58
3.3 Deriving inverse agreement in Hungarian	62
3.3.1 Arguments for covert agreement	69

3.3.2	Third person arguments	73
3.3.3	Interim summary	74
3.4	Spelling out agreement	75
3.4.1	Hungarian verb morphology and spell-out rules	76
3.4.2	Revised spell-out rules	82
3.4.3	Verbal agreement and possessive suffixes	83
3.4.4	Further issues in verb morphology	86
3.4.5	Interim summary	89
3.5	Other analyses of object agreement	89
3.5.1	Agreement with third person objects	90
3.5.2	Agreement with first, second, and third person objects	91
3.5.3	Inclusive reference, again	95
3.5.4	Interim summary	99
3.6	Summary and conclusions	99
3.7	Appendix: full derivations	100
3.7.1	Direct configuration	100
3.7.2	Inverse configuration	101
3.7.3	Two third person arguments	102
4	Agreement and global case splits: agreement determining case	104
4.1	Introduction	104
4.2	Case studies: inverse agreement and global case splits	106
4.2.1	Kashmiri	106
4.2.2	Sahaptin	108
4.3	Case assignment and cyclicity	110
4.3.1	CASE features, case assignment, and impoverishment	114
4.4	Analysis	117
4.4.1	Global case splits on subject and object	117
4.4.2	Global case splits and animacy	126
4.4.3	Crossing the divide between direct and inverse	135
4.5	Discussion and conclusions	145
4.5.1	Other approaches to global case splits	145
4.5.2	Functional heads and probes	148
4.5.3	Conclusions	149
5	Alignment in transitive clauses: case determining agreement	151
5.1	Introduction	151
5.2	Case, agreement, and Bobaljik's generalizations	152
5.2.1	Hindi and Nepali	152
5.2.2	Bobaljik's generalizations	153
5.2.3	Marathi	155

5.3 Analysis	157
5.3.1 Theoretical assumptions	158
5.3.2 Case and CASE features	159
5.3.3 Deriving agreement in Hindi, Nepali, and Marathi	161
5.3.4 Languages with two ϕ -probes	175
5.3.5 Splits between one and two probes	183
5.3.6 A language with three ϕ -probes: Kashmiri	187
5.4 Conclusions	191
6 A parameter hierarchy for agreement	193
6.1 A hierarchical approach to parameters	193
6.2 Cross-linguistic variation in DOM	194
6.2.1 Modelling dependencies	196
6.3 Conclusions: parameters of DOM?	199
<i>References</i>	201
<i>Index</i>	218

List of figures and tables

Figures

1.1	Standard view of the order of syntax and morphology	17
1.2	Keine's proposed order of syntax and morphology	17
1.3	Geographical distribution of languages discussed	18
4.1	Standard view of the order of syntax and morphology	115
4.2	Keine's proposed order of syntax and morphology	116
6.1	Parameters of functional heads and ϕ -probes	197

Tables

1.1	Representation of person in a feature geometry	6
1.2	Sources of original data	18
2.1	Present indicative forms of <i>lát</i> 'to see'	24
3.1	Hungarian transitive singular agreement paradigm with personal pronouns	61
3.2	Distribution of person features in Hungarian direct and inverse contexts	77
3.3	Present and past indicative forms of <i>lát</i> 'to see'	87
3.4	<i>-j-</i> and <i>-t-</i> in present and past tense forms of <i>lát</i> 'to see'	87
4.1	Distribution of the inverse dative in Kashmiri	108
4.2	Distribution of the inverse ergative with singular subjects in Sahaptin	110
4.3	Distribution of inverse verb markers for singular subjects in Sahaptin	110
4.4	Distribution of person features on <i>v</i>	112
4.5	Distribution of object case-marking in Awtuw	129
4.6	Distribution of person features in Awtuw	130
4.7	Distribution of the SAP in Chukchi	136
4.8	Objective case suffixes in Yukaghir	140
5.1	Alignment and ϕ -probes of languages discussed in this section	170
5.2	Alignment and ϕ -probes of languages discussed in this section	182
5.3	Alignment and ϕ -probes of languages discussed in this chapter	191