# Litigation in the Netherlands

Civil procedure, Arbitration and Administrative Litigation

By Marieke van Hooijdonk and Peter Eijsvoogel



## Litigation in the Netherlands

Civil Procedure, Arbitration and Administrative Litigation

Ву

Marieke van Hooijdonk and Peter Eijsvoogel

Partners at Allen & Overy Amsterdam



Published by:

Kluwer Law International

P.O. Box 85889

2508 CN The Hague

The Netherlands

E-mail: sales@kluwerlaw.com

Website: http://www.kluwerlaw.com

Sold and distributed in North, Central and South America by:

Aspen Publishers, Inc.

7201 McKinney Circle

Frederick, MD 21704

USA

E-mail: customer.service@aspenpublishers.com

Sold and distributed in all other countries by:

Extenza-Turpin Distribution Services

Stratton Business Park

Pegasus Drive

Biggleswade

Bedfordshire SG18 8TQ

United Kingdom

E-mail: kluwerlaw@turpin-distribution.com

Printed on acid-free paper.

ISBN 978-90-411-4143-9

© 2012 Allen & Overy LLP

Permission to use the content of this publication must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to: Kluwer Law International, Rights and Permissions Department. P.O. Box 85889, 2508 CN The Hague, The Netherlands, E-mail: permissions@kluwer.com

Website: www.kluwerlaw.com

Printed and Bound by CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon, CR0 4YY

### Foreword to the First Edition

'Quick', 'informal' and 'affordable' are key words for modern procedural law in the Netherlands, and they reflect not only the character of the Dutch people but also the needs of an urbanised society. To some lawyers, coming from common law jurisdictions and getting in touch with our litigation practice, the Dutch approach can seem hasty and too informal (though remarkably cheap). Such observations may be based on the difference between common law and Continental procedural systems such as ours. Dutch civil and administrative procedural law is part of the Continental tradition in which there is no jury and the position of the court has always been relatively dominant (especially in the fact-finding process). Therefore, proceedings are less 'adversarial' than in the common law tradition and are directed by an active judge. This may explain, for instance, the immense success in our country of the 'kort geding', civil preliminary relief proceedings. The courts had the opportunity to develop preliminary relief proceedings, originally meant for interim injunctions in the true sense, in such a way that they have become a successful alternative to the lengthy and costly ordinary proceedings on the merits. The success of the kort geding partly explains the courts' current preference to apply kort geding techniques in ordinary procedures in order to avoid lengthy and irrelevant debates.

Although some aspects of Dutch litigation certainly need upgrading (in particular the fact-finding process), the machinery of justice is in general functioning very well. The attitude of the average lawyer or judge towards procedural matters is open-minded, flexible and pragmatic. The courts are clearly focused on settling disputes at an early stage of the proceedings rather than letting them drag on for years. By issuing detailed procedural regulations which are updated regularly, the judiciary has shown that it is prepared to adapt the rules quickly to changing needs.

An increasing proportion of procedural law is determined by European legislation and by the case-law of the European Court of Justice. The growing influence of the European Convention on Human Rights and the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights is also noticeable. These are developments which will eventually lead to the harmonisation of large parts of the procedural laws of the member states of the European Union. They will also inevitably lead to a better understanding of the legal cultures of other countries.

This book provides a detailed summary of the main features of litigation in the Netherlands, whether in civil or administrative courts, or in arbitration, and will certainly aid the understanding of procedural law in this country as well as its background, traditions and values.

Daan Asser Judge with the Dutch Supreme Court Hoge Raad der Nederlanden September 2008

### Preface

'Litigation in the Netherlands' provides a practical and comprehensive guide for all foreign lawyers, businesses and individuals involved in commercial litigation in the Netherlands. Its aim is also to be a resource for Dutch lawyers who wish to advise their English-speaking clients on Dutch litigation.

As in most other Western countries, litigation in the Netherlands has increased over the years. Statistics show that since 2000 the number of commercial cases dealt with by the District Courts increased by 74% to 664,000 in 2010, the vast majority of which relates to claims brought before the cantonal division. Preliminary relief proceedings in the Netherlands are very efficient and thus popular; annually about 15,000 claims are filed in summary proceedings. Whereas litigation was previously dealt with by various departments within a firm, the bigger law firms in the Netherlands now all have specialised litigation departments.

The Global Competitiveness Report 2012-2013 of the World Economic Forum shows that out of 144 countries, the Netherlands ranks eighth as regards the efficiency of its legal framework for settling disputes. Likewise, the Rule of Law Index 2011 of The World Justice Project shows that out of 12 countries investigated in Western Europe and North America, the Netherlands ranks in the top three for access to justice.

Most litigation is still brought in the public courts. Several surveys show that, compared with other Western European countries, the period of time required to settle a case through public court proceedings in the Netherlands is relatively short. The average length of contentious proceedings in a commercial dispute in the District Court (excluding the cantonal division) is about 14 months. More than half of the cases are dealt with within 10 months. Most practitioners and parties are satisfied with the accessibility, speed, quality and consistency of the administration of justice in the Netherlands. Judges are generally viewed as being professional and impartial. The World Economic Forum Report shows that out of 144 countries, the Netherlands ranks third for judicial independence.

Since 2002, the Council for the Judiciary (*Raad voor de Rechtspraak*) has continually been reviewing and promoting the quality of the Dutch judicial system. From 1 January 2013, the number of courts will be reduced significantly; larger-scale courts will be created to enhance the quality of legal decision-making.

Since 1 July 2011, the jurisdiction of the (more informal) cantonal division of the District Courts was increased and, on 1 July 2012, two new laws regarding the functioning of the Supreme Court entered into force. In conjunction with the Ministry of Justice and the courts, the Council is also investigating the use of ICT applications in court proceedings. To date, there are only limited opportunities to submit documents electronically; however the government's aim is that in 2015, it will be possible to communicate electronically with all the courts, i.e. in civil, administrative and criminal cases.

The Code of Civil Procedure itself is also subject to ongoing review. In 2002, important changes were made to the law to improve the efficiency of civil court proceedings, to minimise formal requirements and to modernise the relationship between judges and the parties. However, the Dutch parliament was of the opinion that these reforms were only a starting point and asked for a full review of the basic principles of civil procedure. The Government instituted a special committee (Commissie Fundamentele herbezinning Nederlands burgerlijk procesrecht) to carry out this review. One of the results of this study is that a bill is now pending which creates increased opportunities for discovery and disclosure.

In addition to litigation in the courts, arbitration has become increasingly important as a means of dispute resolution and is generally the quickest way of achieving this, especially if the arbitration is conducted under the efficient rules of the Netherlands Arbitration Institute. The advantages of arbitration are the ease of international enforceability under the New York Convention as well as confidentiality and the opportunity to use arbitrators with specific expertise, which avoids the costs and delays that would result from the court having to appoint experts to provide guidance on certain issues. The disadvantages of arbitration, however, are the absence of an appeals mechanism (even though this can be agreed upon) and the higher costs of arbitration in comparison with court proceedings. The Dutch rules on arbitration have not changed much during recent years. In March 2012, however, the Ministry of Justice presented a proposal to amend the Dutch Arbitration Act, mainly to bring it more in line with 'best practices' in (international) arbitration.

The chapter on arbitration in this book also deals briefly with mediation and with expert determination as an alternative means of dispute resolution. Since 1 April 2007, all courts, both in civil and administrative matters, can refer litigant parties to mediation. In practice, mediation is mostly used for specific kinds of dispute that relate to family and employment law. Dispute resolution by means of expert determination is common only within specific industries and is often used for more technical issues.

The rules of procedure relating to administrative disputes in the Netherlands are very different from those for civil disputes, more so than in most common law jurisdictions. Over the last 25 years, the Netherlands has seen an increase in various

types of administrative litigation. In this period, many state-owned enterprises, such as the postal, telecommunications and energy businesses, have been privatised and subjected to competition in liberalised markets. State-owned enterprises that once saw hardly any litigation have now become competitive and highly regulated industries, and the decisions of the sector-specific regulatory authorities which govern many of these markets are generating significant volumes of administrative litigation. The same holds true for the Netherlands Competition Authority, which was created on 1 January 1998 to enforce competition law and merger control at national level. More recently, the banking, securities and insurance industries have been subjected to intense and detailed regulation, to a large extent as a result of European legislation. The Dutch supervisory authorities for the financial markets and industries (the Netherlands Authority for the Financial Markets and the Dutch Central Bank) have become increasingly active and more formal in their approach. This too has led to an increase in the volume of complex administrative litigation.

In practice, civil and administrative litigation are sometimes intertwined. For instance, a hostile takeover battle may well simultaneously involve civil litigation (in the Enterprise Court) as well as administrative litigation (against decisions of the Netherlands Authority for the Financial Markets in connection with its powers to approve a prospectus or to order a party to follow a particular course of action).

In view of the many differences between the procedural rules for each type of dispute resolution, this book is divided into three parts. Civil litigation is dealt with in Chapter 1, with a special section dedicated to corporate litigation in the Enterprise Court. The Enterprise Court has become a highly specialised court in enquiry proceedings, with far-reaching powers of investigation, and has made important contributions to the development of company law and corporate governance in the Netherlands. Arbitration is dealt with in Chapter 2 and administrative litigation in Chapter 3. As this book is intended to be a practical guide to litigation in the Netherlands, we have refrained from making references to textbooks and academic literature.

This work is a joint effort between two partners of the Amsterdam office of Allen & Overy LLP. Marieke van Hooijdonk, a partner specialising in Litigation and Arbitration, is responsible for Chapters 1 and 2, and Peter Eijsvoogel, a partner specialising in Regulated Industries and Economic Administrative Law, is responsible for Chapter 3.

The first edition of this book was published in February 2009. This second edition takes account of the changes in statutory, treaty and case law during the last four years. The authors would like to thank those colleagues who have assisted with the drafting of and commentary on this update. More specifically, they would like to thank Arnold Croiset van Uchelen, Richard de Haan and especially Joanna Jonker Roelants for their help on Chapter 1, Hilde van der Baan for her assistance on Chapter 2 and Jantine Vermont for her help on Chapter 3. The authors have

stated the law as at 1 October 2012, although a limited number of provisions that were not in force at that date are covered.

Marieke van Hooijdonk marieke.vanhooijdonk@allenovery.com

Peter Eijsvoogel peter.eijsvoogel@allenovery.com

# Table of Contents

For	rewor	d to the First Edition		V
Pre	eface			xvii
	apter vil Pro	1 ocedure		1
1.	Intro	duction		1
2.	Diffe	erences Between Netherlands a	nd Common Law	3
	2.1	No Jury and the Role of the J	udge	3
	2.2	Evidence		3
		2.2.1 Order		3
		2.2.2 Admissibility		4
		2.2.3 Burden of Proof		4
		2.2.4 Witness Hearings		4
		2.2.5 No Document Disco	very or Depositions	4
		Available Remedies		5
		Duration of Proceedings		5
		Costs of Litigation		6
3.		t System, Parties, Sources of I	aw and Fair Trial	(
	3.1			(
		3.1.1 District Courts		6
		3.1.1.1 General	S. 1 D	(
			Division of the District Court	
		3.1.2 Courts of Appeal		
	2.2	3.1.3 Supreme Court		8
	3.2	Parties, Attorneys and Judges 3.2.1 Parties	S	8
		3.2.2 Attorneys		8
		3.2.3 Judges		10
	3 3	Sources of Law		10
			huse of a Procedural Right	10
4.	3.4 Fair Trial, Due Process and Abuse of a Procedural Right Jurisdiction			

	4.1	Introdu	iction		12
	4.2		tional Juri	isdiction	13
		4.2.1	Sources	of International Jurisdiction	13
		4.2.2	The EC	Regulation	14
		4.2.3	National	Rules	16
			4.2.3.1		16
				Attachment Creating Jurisdiction	17
				Jurisdiction and Petition Proceedings	17
				No Forum Non Conveniens	17
		4.2.4	Lis Pena	dens	17
	4.3	Absolu	ite Jurisdie	ction	18
	4.4	Venue	(Relative	Jurisdiction)	19
5.		ence			20
	5.1	Rules	of Evidence	ce	20
		5.1.1	General		20
		5.1.2	Range o	f Application	20
		5.1.3	Burden	of Proof	21
				ibility of Evidence	22
	5.2	Types	of Eviden	ce	23
		5.2.1	Docume	ents	23
			5.2.1.1	Value as Evidence	23
			5.2.1.2	Submission	23
		5.2.2	Witness	es	24
			5.2.2.1	General	24
			5.2.2.2	Value as Evidence	25
			5.2.2.3	Written Witness Declarations	25
			5.2.2.4	Witness Hearings	26
			5.2.2.5	Preliminary Witness Hearing	27
		5.2.3	Experts		28
			5.2.3.1	General	28
			5.2.3.2	Preliminary Expert's Report	29
	5.3	Attorn	ey-Client	Privilege	29
	5.4			ery or Disclosure	30
	5.5	Intern	ational As	pects	33
		5.5.1	Formal	and Substantive Rules of Evidence	33
		5.5.2	Taking	of Evidence Abroad	34
		5.5.3 Foreign Law			36
6.	The	Proceed	ings		37
	6.1 Course of the Proceedings at First Instance			37	
		6.1.1	Genera	I	37
		6.1.2	Service	of Process	37

		6.1.2.1	Commencement of the Proceedings	37
		6.1.2.2	Service of Process on Dutch Defendants	38
		6.1.2.3	Service of Process on Foreign Defendants	38
		6.1.2.4	Minimum Period between Service and	
			Appearance in Court	40
	6.1.3	Default		41
	6.1,4	Written	Statements	42
		6.1.4.1	General	42
		6.1.4.2	Writ of Summons	42
		6.1.4.3	Statement of Defence	43
		6.1.4.4	Statement of Reply and Statement of Rejoinder	44
		6.1.4.5	Amendment of Claim	44
	6.1.5	Order to	Appear in Court	45
	6.1.6	Closing	Arguments	45
		6.1.6.1	Request for Closing Arguments	45
		6.1.6.2	Nature of Closing Arguments	46
	6.1.7	Termina	ation of Proceedings	46
		6.1.7.1	Removal from Docket List	46
		6.1.7.2	Withdrawal	47
		6.1.7.3	Prejudice of the Right To Take Action	47
	6.1.8	Judgme	nts	48
		6.1.8.1	General	48
		6.1.8.2	Requirements of a Judgment	48
		6.1.8.3	Interim Judgments	49
		6.1.8.4	Final Judgments	50
		6.1.8.5	Binding Force	50
		6.1.8.6	Provisionally Enforceable Notwithstanding an	
			Appeal	50
		6.1.8.7	Rectification, Correction and Supplementation	
			of Judgment	51
	6.1.9	Litigation	on Costs and Attorneys' Fees	51
5.2	Motion	n Practice		52
	6.2.1	Introdu	ction	52
	6.2.2	Procedu	ıral Aspects of Motion Practice	53
	6.2.3	Motion	to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction	54
	6.2.4	Motion	to Consolidate with Another Pending Case	55
	6.2.5	Motion	to Join or Intervene as a Party	56
	6.2.6	Motion	for Indemnification Proceedings	57
	6.2.7	Motion	for Security for Litigation Costs	58
	6.2.8	Motion	for Provisional Relief	59
	6.2.9	Other N	Aotions	59

	6.3	Main A	Available F	Remedies	60
		6.3.1	Specific	Performance	60
		6.3.2	A.		61
		6.3.3	Rescission		62
		6.3.4	Annulme	ent	62
		6.3.5	Declarat	ion of Law	63
		6.3.6	Injunctio		63
	6.4	Appea	-		64
		6.4.1		to the Courts of Appeal	64
			6.4.1.1	~ 7	64
			6.4.1.2	Period for Lodging an Appeal	65
				Standard and Scope of Review	65
				The Proceedings	66
		6.4.2		to the Supreme Court	68
				Appealable Judgments	68
				Period for Lodging an Appeal	68
			6.4.2.3		68
			6.4.2.4		68
		6.4.3	Revocat		69
	6.5	Petitic	n Proceed	ings	70
		6.5.1	Nature of	of Petition Proceedings	70
		6.5.2	Jurisdict	tion	71
			The Pro	ceedings	71
			Orders		72
7.	Corp	orate Li	tigation in	the Enterprise Court	73
	7.1	Role	of the Ente	rprise Court	73
	7.2	Enqui	ry Proceed	lings	74
		7.2.1	Introduc	ction	74
		7.2.2	Aim of	the Enquiry Proceedings	75
		7.2.3	Parties 1	Entitled to Ask for an Enquiry	75
			7.2.3.1	Shareholders, Holders of Depositary Receipts	
				for Shares, Pledgees and Holders of a Right of	
				Usufruct	75
			7.2.3.2	The Company	76
			7.2.3.3	Trade Unions and Works Councils	7
			7.2.3.4	Other Parties	77
			7.2.3.5	Attorney-general	78
		7.2.4	Prior W	ritten Notice	78
		7.2.5	Subject	of the Enquiry	79
		7.2.6	First Sta	age: Request for Enquiry	80
			7.2.6.1	Interested Parties	80

		7.2.6.2	Sufficient Grounds to Doubt as to Whether	
			the Company Is Pursuing a Proper Policy or a	
			Proper Course of Affairs	80
	7.2.7	Second	Stage: Enquiry	82
		7.2.7.1	Duties and Powers of the Investigators	83
		7.2.7.2	Witnesses	84
		7.2.7.3	Investigator's Report	84
		7.2.7.4	Costs of the Investigation	85
	7.2.8	Third St	age: Decision	86
		7.2.8.1	Determination of Mismanagement	86
		7.2.8.2	Types of Measures	88
	7.2.9	Provisio	nal Measures	89
			General	89
		7.2.9.2	The Proceedings	89
		7.2.9.3	Types of Provisional Measures	90
	7.2.10	Appeal	to the Supreme Court	91
	7.2.11	Effect o	f a Finding of Mismanagement	92
7.3	Forced	Exit Proc	ceedings	93
	7.3.1	General		93
	7.3.2	The Pro	ceedings	94
		7.3.2.1	Jurisdiction	94
		7.3.2.2	First Stage: Conditions for Forced Exit	95
		7.3.2.3	Second Stage: Price Determination and Judgment	t 95
		7.3.2.4	Consequences of a Judgment being Overturned	
			on Appeal	97
	7.3.3	Alternat	ive Proceedings	97
7.4	Squeez	e-Out Pro	oceedings	97
	7.4.1	General		97
	7.4.2	The Pro	ceedings	98
	7.4.3	Squeeze	e-Out Price	99
	7.4.4	Squeeze	e-Out Proceedings after a Public Bid	99
	7.4.5	Judgme	nt	100
7.5	Procee	dings to (	Challenge Annual Accounts	101
	7.5.1	General		101
	7.5.2	The Pro	ceedings	101
	7.5.3	Decisio	n	102
	7.5.4	The Net	herlands Authority for the Financial Markets	102
7.6	Other l	Proceedin	gs in the Enterprise Court	103
Deriv	vative A	ctions, Cl	ass Actions and Class Settlements	104
8.1	.1 Derivative Actions			104
8.2	Class Actions 10			105

8.

	8.3	Class S	ettlements	106
		8.3.1	General	106
		8.3.2	The Proceedings	107
			8.3.2.1 First Stage: Settlement Agreement	107
			8.3.2.2 Second Stage: Petition	107
			8.3.2.3 Third Stage: Opting Out	108
			8.3.2.4 Fourth Stage: Distribution of Funds	108
		8.3.3	International settlements	108
		8.3.4	Evaluation of the WCAM	109
9.	Provi	sional R	emedies	110
	9.1	Prelimi	nary Relief Proceedings	110
		9.1.1	General	110
		9.1.2	Requirements	110
		9.1.3	Requirements Available Remedies The Proceedings	111
		9.1.4	The Proceedings	111
		9.1.5	Judgment	112
		9.1.6	Appeal	113
	9.2	Pre-jud	gment Attachment	113
		9.2.1	General	113
		9.2.2	Types of Attachment	113
		9.2.3	The Proceedings	115
			9.2.3.1 Petition to the Court	115
			9.2.3.2 Instituting Main Proceedings	117
		9.2.4	Lifting the Attachment	118
		9.2.5	Liability for Wrongful Attachment	118
		9.2.6	Conversion into Executory Attachment	118
10.	Enfo	rcement	and Recognition of Judgments	119
		Genera		119
	10.2		ement of Dutch Judgments	119
		10.2.1	Enforcement of Monetary Judgments	119
			Enforcement of Other Judgments	120
			Disputes Regarding Enforcement	120
	10.3		ement of Foreign Judgments	121
			General	121
			Enforcement and Recognition Treaty Applicable	121
			Specific European Regulations	123
		1034	Absence of an Enforcement and Recognition Treaty	124

	apter bitrat				127
S.		Y			107
1.		duction			127
	1.1	Genera			127
-	1.2		ible Rules		128
2.			greement		129
				tration Agreement	129
			f Arbitration		130
2	2.3		tion of the Civil		130
3.				the Arbitration Act	131
	3.1		oitral Tribunal		131
		3.1.1	Appointment of	Arbitrators	131
		3.1.2		C+o to	132
	2.0		Challenge of Ar		132
	3.2		bitration Proceedi		133
				of the Proceedings	133
		3.2.2	The Proceedings	3	133
		3.2.3	Evidence		134
			3.2.3.1 Docum		134
			3.2.3.2 Witnes		134
				r of Third Parties	135
			3.2.3.4 Conso	lidation	136
	3.3 Confidentiality				136 136
	3.4				
	3.5	Costs			137
4.		tral Awa	rd .		138
	4.1	Genera	1		138
	4.2	Laws	nd Rules Applica	ble to the Merits of the Dispute	138
	4.3		ements of an Arbi		138
	4.4	Bindir	g Force and Appe	al	139
	4.5	Rectifi	cation, Correction	and Supplementation of Award	139
	4.6	Enforc	ement		140
	4.7	Setting	Aside and Revoc	eation	141
		4.7.1	General		141
		4.7.2	Setting Aside		141
		4.7.3	Revocation		142
		4.7.4	Consequences		143
5.	Arb	itration (	utside the Nether	lands	143
	5.1 Jurisdiction of the Dutch Civil Courts				143
	5.2	Enforc	ement of Foreign	Arbitral Awards	144

6.	Arbit	ration under the NAI Arbitration Rules	145					
	6.1	General	145					
	6.2	Appointment of Arbitrators	145					
	6.3	The Proceedings	146					
	6.4	Confidentiality	147					
	6.5	Provisional Measures	147					
	6.6	Rules Applicable to the Merits of the Dispute	148					
	6.7	Costs	148					
	6.8	Publication of Award	149					
7.	Expe	rt Determination	149					
8.	Medi	ation	150					
Ch	apter	3						
Ad	minis	trative Litigation	153					
1.	Gene	eral Introduction	153					
	1.1	Administrative Law and Administrative Litigation	153					
	1.2	The state of the s	154					
	1.3	Sources of Administrative Law	155					
	1.4		156					
2.		*	157					
	2.1		157					
	2.2	Admissibility (1): Order	159					
	2.3	Admissibility (2): Administrative Authority	160					
	2.4	Admissibility (3): Interested Party	161					
	2.5	No Review of Legislation or of Internally Binding Policy Rules 162						
	2.6	Civil Law Remedies Available	163					
3.	Adm	inistrative Review and Other Pre-proceedings	163					
	3.1	Three Types of Pre-proceedings at Government Level	163					
	3.2	Objection Proceedings	164					
		3.2.1 General	164					
		3.2.2 Jurisdiction	165					
		3.2.3. Notice of Objection	165					
		3.2.4 Time Allowed for Filing a Notice of Objection	166					
		3.2.5 The Proceedings	167					
		3.2.6 Reviewed Order	168					
		3.2.7 Costs	169					
	3.3 Public Preparatory Procedure 16							
4.		cial Review by the Administrative Courts: Appeal and Higher Appeal	170					
	4.1	Jurisdiction	170					
		4.1.1 General	170					