

The Bill of Rights



FREEDOM OF ASSEMBLY AND PETITION

Edited by Robert Winters

The Bill of Rights



Freedom of Assembly and Petition

Robert Winters, Book Editor

GREENHAVEN PRESS

An imprint of Thomson Gale, a part of The Thomson Corporation

THOMSON
—★—
GALE



Bonnie Szumski, *Publisher*
Helen Cothran, *Managing Editor*

© 2006 Thomson Gale, a part of The Thomson Corporation.

Thomson and Star logo are trademarks and Gale and Greenhaven Press are registered trademarks used herein under license.

For more information, contact:

Greenhaven Press

27500 Drake Rd.

Farmington Hills, MI 48331-3535

Or you can visit our Internet site at <http://www.gale.com>

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

No part of this work covered by the copyright hereon may be reproduced or used in any form or by any means—graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping, Web distribution, or information storage retrieval systems—without the written permission of the publisher.

Articles in Greenhaven Press anthologies are often edited for length to meet page requirements. In addition, original titles of these works are changed to clearly present the main thesis and to explicitly indicate the author's opinion. Every effort is made to ensure that Greenhaven Press accurately reflects the original intent of the authors. Every effort has been made to trace the owners of copyrighted material.

Cover photograph reproduced by permission of AP/Wide World Photos.

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CATALOGING-IN-PUBLICATION DATA

Freedom of assembly and petition / Robert Winters, book editor.

p. cm. -- (Bill of Rights (San Diego, Calif.))

Includes bibliographical references and index.

0-7377-3544-9 (hardcover : alk. paper)

1. Assembly, Right of--United States--History--Juvenile literature. 2. Assembly, Right of--United States--Juvenile literature. 3. Petition, Right of--United States--History--Juvenile literature. 4. Petition, Right of--United States--Juvenile literature. 5. Freedom of association--United States--History--Juvenile literature. 6. Freedom of association--United States--Juvenile literature. I. Winters, Robert, 1963--

KF4778.F74 2007

323.4'8--dc22

2006025365

Printed in the United States of America

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Contents

Foreword	9
----------	---

Introduction	12
--------------	----

Chapter 1: The Historical Development of the Right of Assembly and Petition

1. The Origins of the Right to Petition	18
---	----

Norman B. Smith

From its inclusion in the Magna Carta, the right to seek redress of grievances gave rise to the powers of Parliament to correct abuses and was gradually enshrined as one of the major checks on arbitrary government.

2. The Role of Associations in Early America	30
--	----

Alexis de Tocqueville

A nineteenth-century French political thinker notes that Americans form voluntary associations more than any other people, a tendency that helps combat the biggest danger in a democracy: the tyranny of the majority.

Chapter 2: The Supreme Court Defines the Rights of Assembly and Association

1. The Right of Assembly Is Fundamental	40
---	----

Charles Evans Hughes

In *De Jonge v. Oregon* (1937) the Court overruled the conviction of a speaker at a Communist Party rally, finding that a state government cannot bar participation in a public assembly based solely on party affiliation.

2. Unions Have the Right to Picket	50
------------------------------------	----

Frank Murphy

In *Thornhill v. Alabama* (1940) the Court found that state and local laws designed to prevent picketing in labor disputes are unconstitutional.

3. Government Can Limit the Freedom of Assembly	59
---	----

Charles Evans Hughes

In *Cox v. New Hampshire* (1941) the Court held that government officials have the right to place reasonable restrictions on assemblies, such as requiring a permit.

4. States May Not Interfere with the Freedom of Association 68

John M. Harlan

In *NAACP v. Alabama* (1958) the Court established a right of association and ruled that only “an overriding valid interest” could allow government to interfere with the membership of a legal organization.

5. The Boy Scouts Have the Right to Reject Gay Members 77

William H. Rehnquist

The Court ruled in *Boy Scouts of America v. James Dale* (2000) that even a semipublic organization has the right to discriminate against members or employees who violate its clearly expressed moral viewpoint.

Chapter 3: The Role of Assembly and Petition in Social Movements

1. Abolitionists and the Right to Petition 90

William Lee Miller

In the 1830s the right to petition became highly controversial when abolitionists flooded the House of Representatives with petitions calling for outlawing slavery within the District of Columbia. In response, Congress refused to accept any petitions related to this issue.

2. The Women’s Movement and the Right to Assemble 101

Linda J. Lumsden

The right to peaceable assembly proved vital in gaining support for women seeking equal political and social rights.

3. Freedom of Assembly Was Crucial to the Civil Rights Movement 114

David L. Hudson Jr.

The civil rights movement relied heavily upon the right of assembly. The movement’s invoking of the right forced the Supreme Court to more clearly define and expand this First Amendment right.

Appendix	120
The Origins of the American Bill of Rights	
Supreme Court Cases Involving the Rights to	
Assembly and Petition	
For Further Research	128
Index	131

The Bill of Rights



Freedom of Assembly and Petition

Other Books in the Bill of Rights series:

Freedom from Cruel and Unusual Punishment

Freedom from Unfair Searches and Seizures

Freedom from Unfair Seizure of Property

Freedom of Religion

Freedom of Speech

Freedom of the Press

The Right Against Self-Incrimination

The Right to a Fair Trial

The Right to a Trial by Jury

The Right to Bear Arms

The Right to Due Process

States' Rights



Freedom of Assembly and Petition

Robert Winters, Book Editor

GREENHAVEN PRESS

An imprint of Thomson Gale, a part of The Thomson Corporation

THOMSON
—★—
GALE



Bonnie Szumski, *Publisher*
Helen Cothran, *Managing Editor*

© 2006 Thomson Gale, a part of The Thomson Corporation.

Thomson and Star logo are trademarks and Gale and Greenhaven Press are registered trademarks used herein under license.

For more information, contact:

Greenhaven Press

27500 Drake Rd.

Farmington Hills, MI 48331-3535

Or you can visit our Internet site at <http://www.gale.com>

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

No part of this work covered by the copyright hereon may be reproduced or used in any form or by any means—graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping, Web distribution, or information storage retrieval systems—without the written permission of the publisher.

Articles in Greenhaven Press anthologies are often edited for length to meet page requirements. In addition, original titles of these works are changed to clearly present the main thesis and to explicitly indicate the author's opinion. Every effort is made to ensure that Greenhaven Press accurately reflects the original intent of the authors. Every effort has been made to trace the owners of copyrighted material.

Cover photograph reproduced by permission of AP/Wide World Photos.

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CATALOGING-IN-PUBLICATION DATA

Freedom of assembly and petition / Robert Winters, book editor.

p. cm. -- (Bill of Rights (San Diego, Calif.))

Includes bibliographical references and index.

0-7377-3544-9 (hardcover : alk. paper)

1. Assembly, Right of--United States--History--Juvenile literature. 2. Assembly, Right of--United States--Juvenile literature. 3. Petition, Right of--United States--History--Juvenile literature. 4. Petition, Right of--United States--Juvenile literature. 5. Freedom of association--United States--History--Juvenile literature. 6. Freedom of association--United States--Juvenile literature. I. Winters, Robert, 1963--
KF4778.F74 2007
323.4'8--dc22

2006025365

Printed in the United States of America

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Contents

Foreword	9
----------	---

Introduction	12
--------------	----

Chapter 1: The Historical Development of the Right of Assembly and Petition

1. The Origins of the Right to Petition	18
---	----

Norman B. Smith

From its inclusion in the Magna Carta, the right to seek redress of grievances gave rise to the powers of Parliament to correct abuses and was gradually enshrined as one of the major checks on arbitrary government.

2. The Role of Associations in Early America	30
--	----

Alexis de Tocqueville

A nineteenth-century French political thinker notes that Americans form voluntary associations more than any other people, a tendency that helps combat the biggest danger in a democracy: the tyranny of the majority.

Chapter 2: The Supreme Court Defines the Rights of Assembly and Association

1. The Right of Assembly Is Fundamental	40
---	----

Charles Evans Hughes

In *De Jonge v. Oregon* (1937) the Court overruled the conviction of a speaker at a Communist Party rally, finding that a state government cannot bar participation in a public assembly based solely on party affiliation.

2. Unions Have the Right to Picket	50
------------------------------------	----

Frank Murphy

In *Thornhill v. Alabama* (1940) the Court found that state and local laws designed to prevent picketing in labor disputes are unconstitutional.

3. Government Can Limit the Freedom of Assembly	59
---	----

Charles Evans Hughes

In *Cox v. New Hampshire* (1941) the Court held that government officials have the right to place reasonable restrictions on assemblies, such as requiring a permit.

4. States May Not Interfere with the Freedom of Association 68

John M. Harlan

In *NAACP v. Alabama* (1958) the Court established a right of association and ruled that only “an overriding valid interest” could allow government to interfere with the membership of a legal organization.

5. The Boy Scouts Have the Right to Reject Gay Members 77

William H. Rehnquist

The Court ruled in *Boy Scouts of America v. James Dale* (2000) that even a semipublic organization has the right to discriminate against members or employees who violate its clearly expressed moral viewpoint.

Chapter 3: The Role of Assembly and Petition in Social Movements

1. Abolitionists and the Right to Petition 90

William Lee Miller

In the 1830s the right to petition became highly controversial when abolitionists flooded the House of Representatives with petitions calling for outlawing slavery within the District of Columbia. In response, Congress refused to accept any petitions related to this issue.

2. The Women’s Movement and the Right to Assemble 101

Linda J. Lumsden

The right to peaceable assembly proved vital in gaining support for women seeking equal political and social rights.

3. Freedom of Assembly Was Crucial to the Civil Rights Movement 114

David L. Hudson Jr.

The civil rights movement relied heavily upon the right of assembly. The movement’s invoking of the right forced the Supreme Court to more clearly define and expand this First Amendment right.

Appendix	120
The Origins of the American Bill of Rights	
Supreme Court Cases Involving the Rights to	
Assembly and Petition	
For Further Research	128
Index	131

Foreword

"I cannot agree with those who think of the Bill of Rights as an 18th century straightjacket, unsuited for this age. . . . The evils it guards against are not only old, they are with us now, they exist today."

*Hugo Black, associate
justice of the U.S. Supreme Court,
1937–1971*

The Bill of Rights codifies the freedoms most essential to American democracy. Freedom of speech, freedom of religion, the right to bear arms, the right to a trial by a jury of one's peers, the right to be free from cruel and unusual punishment—these are just a few of the liberties that the Founding Fathers thought it necessary to spell out in the first ten amendments to the U.S. Constitution.

While the document itself is quite short (consisting of fewer than five hundred words), and while the liberties it protects often seem straightforward, the Bill of Rights has been a source of debate ever since its creation. Throughout American history, the rights the document protects have been tested and reinterpreted. Again and again, individuals perceiving violations of their rights have sought redress in the courts. The courts in turn have struggled to decipher the original intent of the founders as well as the need to accommodate changing societal norms and values.

The ultimate responsibility for addressing these claims has fallen to the U.S. Supreme Court. As the highest court in the nation, it is the Supreme Court's role to interpret the Constitution. The Court has considered numerous cases in which people have accused government of impinging on their rights.

In the process, the Court has established a body of case law and precedents that have, in a sense, defined the Bill of Rights. In doing so, the Court has often reversed itself and introduced new ideas and approaches that have altered the legal meaning of the rights contained in the Bill of Rights. As a general rule, the Court has erred on the side of caution, upholding and expanding the rights of individuals rather than restricting them.

An example of this trend is the definition of cruel and unusual punishment. The Eighth Amendment specifically states, “Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.” However, over the years the Court has had to grapple with defining what constitutes “cruel and unusual punishment.” In colonial America, punishments for crimes included branding, the lopping off of ears, and whipping. Indeed, these punishments were considered lawful at the time the Bill of Rights was written. Obviously, none of these punishments are legal today. In order to justify outlawing certain types of punishment that are deemed repugnant by the majority of citizens, the Court has ruled that it must consider the prevailing opinion of the masses when making such decisions. In overturning the punishment of a man stripped of his citizenship, the Court stated in 1958 that it must rely on society’s “evolving standards of decency” when determining what constitutes cruel and unusual punishment. Thus the definition of cruel and unusual is not frozen to include only the types of punishment that were illegal at the time of the framing of the Bill of Rights; specific modes of punishment can be rejected as society deems them unjust.

Another way that the Courts have interpreted the Bill of Rights to expand individual liberties is through the process of “incorporation.” Prior to the passage of the Fourteenth Amendment, the Bill of Rights was thought to prevent only the federal government from infringing on the rights listed in the document. However, the Fourteenth Amendment, which

was passed in the wake of the Civil War, includes the words, "... nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws." Citing this passage, the Court has ruled that many of the liberties contained in the Bill of Rights apply to state and local governments as well as the federal government. This process of incorporation laid the legal foundation for the civil rights movement—most specifically the 1954 *Brown v. Board of Education* ruling that put an end to legalized segregation.

As these examples reveal, the Bill of Rights is not static. It truly is a living document that is constantly being reinterpreted and redefined. The Bill of Rights series captures this vital aspect of one of America's most cherished founding texts. Each volume in the series focuses on one particular right protected in the Bill of Rights. Through the use of primary and secondary sources, the right's evolution is traced from colonial times to the present. Primary sources include landmark Supreme Court rulings, speeches by prominent experts, and editorials. Secondary sources include historical analyses, law journal articles, book excerpts, and magazine articles. Each book also includes several features to facilitate research, including a bibliography, an annotated table of contents, an annotated list of relevant Supreme Court cases, an introduction, and an index. These elements help to make the Bill of Rights series a fascinating and useful tool for examining the fundamental liberties of American democracy.