

Carola
Suárez-Orozco

Marcelo M.
Suárez-Orozco

Children of Immigration



THE DEVELOPING CHILD SERIES

**CHILDREN
OF IMMIGRATION**

**Carola Suárez-Orozco
Marcelo M. Suárez-Orozco**

HARVARD UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, Massachusetts, and London, England

LD 0376A 2 1E

Copyright © 2001 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College
All rights reserved
Printed in the United States of America
Third printing, 2002

First Harvard University Press paperback edition, 2002

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Suárez-Orozco, Carola, 1957–

Children of immigration / Carola Suárez-Orozco and Marcelo M. Suárez-Orozco.
p. cm. — (The developing child)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-674-00492-2 (cloth)

ISBN 0-674-00838-3 (pbk.)

I. Children of immigrants—United States. I. Suárez-Orozco, Marcelo M., 1956–
II. Title. III. Series.

HQ792.U5 S83 2001

305.23'0973—dc21 00-046129

THE DEVELOPING CHILD

Bringing together and illuminating the remarkable recent research on development from infancy to adolescence, for students of developmental psychology, policy makers, parents, and all others concerned with the future of the next generation.

Series Editors

Jerome Bruner
New York University

Michael Cole
University of California, San Diego

Annette Karmiloff-Smith
Neurocognitive Development Unit,
Institute of Child Health, London

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

While we are the sole “parents” of this book, many members of our “extended family” have been involved in its delivery and upbringing. It is a treat for us to thank all of the individuals and institutions that have so generously supported us during this project.

First and foremost, we would like to thank our immigrant informants—the children, their parents and relatives, and their friends. Over the years, they trusted us by opening their lives to us; in turn, we have tried to be faithful in relating their stories. Without them there would be no story to tell. These children and families brave discouraging odds in search of a better tomorrow. May their drive and faith be an example to us all—and may their new country live up to the challenge of harnessing their energies.

Families can thrive when they have generous godparents. We have been blessed by funding from ours—the W. T. Grant Foundation, the Spencer Foundation, and the National Science Foundation. Without their essential support we would have had a much less rich story to tell.

The main function of the family is the transfer of skills, values, and worldviews to the next generation. Other than the moving stories that immigrant children told us during our research, nothing has been more gratifying than to work with an extraordinarily talented group of young researchers who have been involved in various capacities with the Harvard Immigration Project. These research assistants have been our eyes and ears into immigrant homes, schools,

and neighborhoods. Over the years, we have been stimulated by their penetrating insights, eager to hear the priceless vignettes they could not wait to share, and disheartened by some of what they encountered. They have kept us grounded and honest with the freshness and fearlessness of their gaze. Their intellectual integrity and humanistic commitment to the communities in which they work has been an invaluable gift. Those working with us at the time of the writing of this book are Jeannette Adames, Laura Alamillo, Lilian Bobea, Marco Bravo, Carmina Brittain, Alix Cantave, Sophia Cantave, Alexandra Celestin, Valerie Chanlot, Jennifer Chen, Dafney Dabach, Charlene Desir, Celeste Gutiérrez, Teresa Huerta, Iris Siu-Wai Hui, Jill Jefferis, Yih-Shiuan Liang, Mónica López, Julia Macias, Marcela Nazzari, Mariela Paez, Claudia Piñeda, Desiree Qin-Hilliard, Breca Rodriguez-Griswold, Leah Rosenbloom, Eliane Rubinstein-Avila, Irina Todovova, Peichi Tung, Yu-Mui Cecilia Wan, and Rebeca Zichlin. Those who have participated in the project in the past in various capacities include Consuelo Aceves, Esther Adames, QunWei Ai, Rosa Armendáriz, Joelle Berthelot, Linda Caswell, Virginia Chan, Nhi Chau, Sonya Contero, Yohanni Cuevas, Emmanuel Daphnis, Elsa Garcia, Sarah Hughes, Norma Jimenez, Rachel Kline, Marlene Losier, Josephine Louie, Nurys de Oleo-Park, Regine Ostine, Debra Rodman, Griselda Santiago, Eric Shaw, Zuwei Shi, Liz Vazquez, and Claire White. While every one of the research assistants has had something important to contribute to this project, Carmina, Charlene, Eliane, Jill, Mariela, and Yu-Mui have been centrally involved in nearly every aspect of the research: conceptually, methodologically, and in the field. It has been an honor to work with you all.

We also would like to say a special thank you to the members of our research support staff: Jennifer Hayes, Kimberly McGuffie, and Christina Nikitopoulos. While the research assistants have a good sense of what is going on in the sites they themselves are studying, Jennifer, Christina, and Kimberly have the big picture of the overall project. We have benefited from their counsel, especially when they have alerted us to similarities and discontinuities across sites. They

are gracious, ever helpful, and more than once have performed exemplary work under the stress of impending deadlines.

A project of this magnitude can only be developed and sustained by the creative and intellectual efforts of a community of scholars. We are thankful to Terry Tivnan of the Harvard Graduate School of Education for his statistical and methodological guidance as well as his wonderful sense of humor.

In California, Curtis Vaughn has been responsible for managing our contingent of nearly a dozen graduate students from U.C. Berkeley, U.C. Davis, and elsewhere who have been working with us in the Mexican and Central American communities. We are grateful to Dr. Vaughn for the leadership and peace of mind he has afforded us.

Many senior colleagues from Harvard and elsewhere have lent their expertise to our project. Gary Orfield, Susan Moore-Johnson, Min Zhou, Paul Farmer, Sau Fong-Siu, Peggy Levitt, Alejandro Portes, George Spindler, and Mary Waters have all made presentations to the training seminar for our research assistants. We would like to especially thank Professor Waters for sending us an advance copy of her wonderful new book, *Black Identities*.

George De Vos, Celia Falicov, and John Ogbu have been mentors whose valuable insights have guided our development as scholars of immigration.

Kurt Fischer, Robert Levine, and Catherine Snow of the Harvard Graduate School of Education have steadily supported our research efforts since we made the move from California to Harvard.

John Coatsworth, director of Harvard's David Rockefeller Center for Latin American Studies, also welcomed us with a warm *abrazo* and provided us with wise counsel and much needed support, especially during the gestational period of this project.

Our dear Harvard colleague Doris Sommer has been a source of warmth and inspiration.

We would like to share our gratitude for the valuable feedback on portions of this book given by Maria Carlo and Sofia Villenas (both of Harvard University).

We are deeply indebted to June Erlick, publications director of the David Rockefeller Center for Latin American Studies, for many careful readings of versions of this manuscript. June is an exacting critic, a reader's reader, and a generous friend.

Marcela Nazzari provides our "home" during our regular monthly stays in California when we fly out to supervise the West Coast contingent of research assistants. She has long been our spiritual godparent.

Elizabeth Knoll, our editor at Harvard University Press, met with us at a critical point in the development of this book. Her vision and diplomacy shaped in many important ways the nature of this manuscript. Julie Carlson, our copyeditor, did a wonderful job of clarifying our academes and polishing our manuscript.

Finally, we would like to thank our "real" children, Marisa and Lucas. They have patiently participated in endless conversations at the dinner table, where between "How was your day?" "Pass the salad," and "More salsa please" the main topic of discussion seemed always to be immigration, immigration, immigration. Their love and sense of humor have been ever sustaining. ¡*Gracias!*

CHILDREN OF IMMIGRATION

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	vii
Introduction	1
1 The Varieties of Immigrant Experience	16
2 Rethinking Immigration	36
3 The Psychosocial Experience of Immigration	66
4 Remaking Identities	87
5 The Children of Immigration in School	124
Epilogue	154
<i>Notes</i>	163
<i>References</i>	185
<i>Index</i>	203

INTRODUCTION

Large-scale immigration is one of the most important social developments of our time. It is a transformational process affecting families and their children. Once immigrants are settled, they send for their loved ones or form new families. Hence, the story of today's immigrants is also a saga of their children: a fascinating and critical—but too often forgotten—chapter of the immigrant experience. The children of immigrants, who make up 20 percent of all youth in the United States, are an integral part of the American fabric. This book explores their experiences.

First, a word about our use of the terms “immigrant children” and “children of immigrants.” When we refer to immigrant children, we strictly mean foreign-born children who have migrated, not the U.S.-born second generation. “Children of immigrants,” on the other hand, refers to both U.S.-born and foreign-born children. While the experiences of U.S.-born and foreign-born children differ in many respects (most importantly, all U.S.-born children are U.S. citizens), they nevertheless share an important common denominator: immigrant parents.

The children of immigrants follow many different pathways; they forge complex and multiply determined identities that resist easy generalizations. Some do extremely well in their new country. Indeed, research suggests that immigrant children are healthier, work harder in school, and have more positive social attitudes than their nonimmigrant peers.¹ Every year, the children of immigrants are

overrepresented in the rosters of high school valedictorians and receive more of their share of prestigious science awards. They are regularly admitted to our most competitive elite universities. Immigrant children in general arrive with high aspirations and extremely positive attitudes toward education.

While we name and celebrate the hard-earned successes of many children of immigrants, there are reasons to worry about the long-term adaptations of others. Why should we worry? Because many children of immigrants today are enrolling in violent and overcrowded inner-city schools where they face overwhelmed teachers, hypersegregation by race and class, limited and outdated resources, and otherwise decaying infrastructures.² Disconcertingly high numbers of these children are leaving schools with few skills that would ensure success in today's unforgiving global economy. At a time when the U.S. economy is generating no meaningful jobs for high-school dropouts, many children of immigrants are dropping out of school. In brief, while many immigrant children succeed, others struggle to survive.

Anxiety has surged in recent years over the continued large-scale immigration into the United States. As in eras past, immigrants have been received with ambivalence. Though immigrant children arrive with remarkably positive social attitudes—toward schooling, authority figures, and the future—we argue in this book that their developing psyches are susceptible to the negative “social mirroring” that many experience in the new land. We contend that the immigrants' initial positive attitudes are a remarkable resource that must be cultivated. As a society we would be best served by harnessing those energies.

With more than 130 million migrants worldwide and a total foreign-born population of nearly 30 million people in the United States alone, immigration is rapidly transforming the postindustrial scene. In New York City schools, 48 percent of all students come from immigrant-headed households speaking more than one hundred different languages. In California, nearly 1.5 million children are classified as Limited English Proficient (LEP). This is not only

an urban or southwestern phenomenon—schools across the country are encountering growing numbers of children from immigrant families. Even in places like Dodge City, Kansas, more than 30 percent of the children enrolled in public schools are the children of immigrants. To quote Dorothy in *The Wizard of Oz*, we are not in Kansas any more.

Discussions around immigration have typically concentrated on policy issues and, especially, the economy. With the exception of bilingual education, the debate about immigration—as well as much of the basic research—has focused predominantly on immigrant adults. Yet nationwide, “first and second generation immigrant children are the most rapidly growing segment of the U.S. child population.”³ The future character of American society and economy will be intimately related to the adaptations of the children of today’s immigrants, even in the unlikely case of a drastic reduction of immigration in the coming decades.

A central theme of *Children of Immigration* is how the children of immigrants are faring in American society. What do we know about the children of immigrants? How does immigration affect the family system? How are the children adapting to our schools and making the transition to the workplace? We focus attention on their schooling because schools are where immigrant children first come into systematic contact with the new culture. Furthermore, adaptation to school is a significant predictor of a child’s future well-being and contributions to society.

For the children of immigrants today, it is the best of times and the worst of times. In this book we explore the question of how it happens that while most immigrants enter the country with optimism and an energetic work ethic, many of their children are at risk of being marginalized and “locked out” of opportunities for a better tomorrow. Why will many immigrant children graduate from Ivy League colleges while others will end up in federal penitentiaries? For too many of the children of our most recent immigrants, the “Golden Door” of Emma Lazarus’s classic poem is turning out to be more gilded than gold.

Exploring New Patterns of Immigration

Models developed over the past few decades to explain the immigrant experience in American society have been based largely on the European experience. Patterns of assimilation depicted a generally upwardly mobile journey; they foretold that the longer immigrants stayed in the United States, the better they would do in terms of schooling, health, and income. As Berkeley sociologist Robert Bellah once noted, "The United States was planned for progress," and each wave of immigrants was said to recapitulate this national destiny.

More recently, however, a number of distinguished social scientists have argued that a "segmentation" in the American economy and society is generating new patterns of immigrant insertion into American culture.⁴ Factors such as race and color, parental education and socioeconomic status, racism, and interactions with other ethnic minority groups work together to shape the new immigrant's journey to and experience in the United States.⁵ Today some new immigrants are progressing up the socioeconomic ladder at a rate never before seen in the history of U.S. immigration. Other newcomers may be getting locked out of the opportunity structure—in effect creating what some have termed a "rainbow underclass."⁶ The children of these immigrants, not surprisingly, are at risk of achieving less than their native-born peers in terms of grades, performance in standardized tests, and attitudes toward education. They are also at risk of having a higher dropout rate.⁷

Furthermore, several scholars from different disciplines and using a variety of methods have identified another disconcerting phenomenon. For many immigrant groups, length of residency in the United States is associated with declining health, school achievement, and aspirations.⁸ A recent large-scale National Research Council (NRC) study considered a variety of measures of physical health and risk behaviors among children and adolescents from immigrant families—including general health, learning disabilities, obesity, emotional difficulties, and risk behaviors. These NRC researchers found that immigrant youth were healthier than their counterparts from nonimmigrant families. These findings are

“counterintuitive in light of the minority status, overall lower socio-economic status, and higher poverty rates that characterize many immigrant children and families.” The NRC study also found that the longer that immigrant youth remain in the United States, the poorer their overall physical and psychological health. Furthermore, the more “Americanized” they became, the more likely they were to engage in risky behaviors such as substance abuse, unprotected sex, and delinquency.⁹

In the area of education, sociologists Rubén Rumbaut and Alejandro Portes surveyed more than 5,000 high school students in San Diego, California, and Dade County, Florida.¹⁰ They conclude that “an important finding supporting our earlier reported research is the *negative* association of length of residence in the United States with both GPA and aspirations. Time in the United States is, as expected, strongly predictive of improved English reading skills; but despite that seeming advantage, longer residence in the U.S. and second generation status [that is, being born in the United States] are connected to declining academic achievement and aspirations, net of other factors.”¹¹ In a different voice, Reverend Virgil Elizondo, rector of the San Fernando Cathedral in San Antonio, Texas, articulates this same problem: “I can tell by looking in their eyes how long they’ve been here. They come sparkling with hope, and the first generation finds hope rewarded. Their children’s eyes no longer sparkle.”¹²

A number of social scientists have explored the issues of variability and decline in the academic performance and social adaptation of immigrant children. Several factors have been implicated. Social scientists have argued that the “capital” that the immigrant families bring with them—including financial resources, social class and educational background, psychological and physical health, as well as social supports—have a clear influence on the immigrant experience. Legal status, race, color, and language also mediate how children adapt to the upheavals of immigration. Economic opportunities and neighborhood characteristics—including the quality of schools where immigrants settle, racial and class segregation, neighborhood decay, and violence—all contribute significantly to the

adaptation process. Anti-immigrant sentiment and racism also play a role. These factors combine in ways that lead to very different outcomes.

In this book we take a new, interdisciplinary perspective: we consider historical as well as contemporary social attitudes, opportunities, and barriers. We also examine in some detail the psychosocial experiences of immigration and consider how these factors may interact in ways that lead to divergent pathways of adaptation and identity formation.

Immigration typically results in substantial gains for the people who move. Some immigrants escape political, religious, or ethnic persecution, while others migrate for economic reasons. Long-separated families may be reunited. Some immigrants are motivated by the opportunity for social mobility, while others migrate in the spirit of adventure. Though immigration is a highly stressful process, for many, immigration is worth the sacrifices. But the gains of immigration come at a considerable cost.

Immigrant families profoundly feel the pressures of migration. Immigration can destabilize family life in a variety of ways. New data from the Harvard Immigration Project suggest that the immigrant journey into the United States is a highly fractured, phase-specific process that results in psychosocially complex patterns of family fragmentation and reunification. Children are often left behind in the country of origin in the care of grandparents or other relatives. In other cases, the children are sent ahead to the United States to stay with distant relatives while the rest of the family prepares for their own migration. Often it is years before the nuclear family is reunited. In this book, we examine the psychosocial processes involved in these patterns of entry into American society.

We also explore the Faustian bargain that every immigrant parent makes: although many immigrant parents are motivated by a desire for a better future for their children, the very process of immigration tends to undermine parental authority and family cohesion. A common fear for immigrant parents is to "lose" their children to the new culture. We consider the seductive draw of the more acculturated peer group that often works to undermine the parental voice. In

these pages, we recognize how vitally important to the children's successful adaptation are the parents' ability to maintain respect for family and the child's connection to the culture of origin. Our data suggest that those children whose parents maintain a voice of authority while encouraging them to achieve what we term "bicultural competencies" will be best placed to take full advantages of the opportunities available.

Immigrant children undergo a particular constellation of changes and experiences likely to influence their developing psyches. We examine how the ambivalent reception that many encounter exacerbates the stresses of immigration. We also develop a theoretical framework around the concept of "social mirroring" to explore how the children of immigrants come to craft their identities in part as a function of how they are viewed and received by the dominant culture. Immigration can become traumatic for children when anti-immigrant disparagement and discrimination, as well as structural barriers, add to the already stressful nature of immigration. How does a child incorporate the notion that she is an alien, or an illegal—that she is unwanted and does not warrant the most basic rights of education and health care?

In the United States, the history of anti-immigrant sentiment is as long as the history of immigration itself. Today, this sentiment appears to endure as a "last frontier" in which citizens openly vent racial and ethnic hostilities. While blatant racism is largely confined to the fringes of society, anti-immigrant sentiments are more freely indulged in public opinion, policy debates, and other social forums. Even children articulate anti-immigrant feelings. Students in a public high school in Northern California had these thoughts to share with educational researcher Laurie Olsen: "They come to take our jobs, and are willing to break their backs . . . and we can't compete." Another said, "These Chinese kids come over here and all they do is work and work and work and work, and all you have to do is look in the AP classes and you'll see they are filling them up. No one can compete any more." Still another summed up a prevailing fear: "They just want to take over."¹³

Whether seen as high-achieving book-obsessed competitors or as