




KING WILLIAM'S TONTINE

Why the Retirement Annuity
of the Future
Should Resemble Its Past

MOSHE A. MILEVSKY

King William's Tontine

*Why the Retirement Annuity of the Future
Should Resemble Its Past*

MOSHE A. MILEVSKY

York University, Toronto



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107076129

© Moshe A. Milevsky 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2015

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-107-07612-9 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

KING WILLIAM'S TONTINE

In a time before bonds, treasury notes, or central banks, there were tontines. These were schemes in which a group of investors lent money to a government, corporation, or king, similar to a modern-day loan syndicate. But unlike conventional debt, periodic interest payments were distributed only to survivors. As tontine nominees died, the income of survivors correspondingly increased. Morbid perhaps, but this was one of the earliest forms of longevity insurance in which the pool shared the risk. Moshe A. Milevsky tells the story of the first tontine issued by the English government in 1693, known as King William's tontine, intended to finance the war against French King Louis XIV. He explains how tontines work and the financial and economic thinking behind them, as well as why they fell into disrepute. The author concludes with a provocative argument that suitably modified tontines should be resurrected for twenty-first-century retirement income planning.

Moshe A. Milevsky, PhD, is a professor at the Schulich School of Business and a member of the Graduate Faculty in the Department of Mathematics and Statistics at York University in Toronto. Visit his website at www.MosheMilevsky.com.

"I frequently saw Moshe after he had spent the day researching this book in the bowels of the British National Archives. He was covered in as much dust as Howard Carter after he first entered Tutankhamun's tomb. This shows the thoroughness with which this fine book was written. The timing could not be better either. Lorenzo de Tonti's concept of sharing longevity risk in a pool has a key role to play in retirement income plans of the future. There will be no more entertaining study of Tonti's brilliant idea."

– Professor David Blake, Director of the Pensions Institute, Cass Business School, London

"Professor Moshe Milevsky's book explores a particularly interesting time in the origin of government debt. While the book focuses on the 1693 tontine that King William used to finance England's war with France, the book also offers a witty and pleasurable romp through the history of tontines. Finally, the book shows how the tontine principle can be used to improve today's pensions and annuities."

– Jonathan B. Forman, Alfred P. Murrah Professor of Law, University of Oklahoma College of Law

"There is no more important topic than how our aging planet will confront and manage longevity risk, or the risk of outliving our resources. *King William's Tontine* offers a smart and humorous investigation into how our forefathers addressed the problem and Moshe A. Milevsky's plan to update the solution for generations to come."

– Olivia S. Mitchell, Professor, Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania

"Moshe Milevsky has given us a royal tour of the history of the tontine, full of revealing insights and many anecdotes, and has related the tontine to present-day retirement policy challenges brilliantly."

– John Piggott, Professor of Economics, University of New South Wales

"Who could have guessed that a slightly macabre financing scheme invented by a seventeenth-century Italian businessman might hold the key to retirement security for today's workers? In this charming and witty book, Moshe Milevsky, today's leading authority on annuities, blends economic history and financial engineering to promote a new way – actually centuries old – of enabling savers to retire on a secure income."

– Laurence B. Siegel, Gary P. Brinson Director of Research, CFA Institute Research Foundation

“Old age, which is exposed to so many accidents and which too often is resented by those eagerly awaiting the death of the old, will be protected from vicissitudes. The Tontine will oblige those whose interest it is to prolong the life of the old, to treat them with respect and care because of the advantage they will find and will hope to increase ... It will motivate husbands and their wives to take extremely good care of each other.”

Lorenzo de Tonti (1653)

In another glorious English year called 1693,
there lived a little prince William Duke of G.
He had very great dreams at the age of four,
to be a brave soldier in his uncle's French war.
The King from Orange had a constant frown,
and the people wanted little William crowned.
Thanks to a horse and a broken collarbone,
sweet loving mother Anne got lifted to the throne.
Adorable and cute the clever boy was so swell,
but nurses and doctors worried he wasn't well.
One August after celebrating the age of eleven,
he became quite ill and was called up to heaven.
Gone was the William named in a funny tontine,
and the hopes of future Stuart kings and queens.

Zoe R. Milevsky (age 10)

Preface: In Memoriam for Jared

Spare a moment of pity for an old fellow named Jared who was first mentioned in the early chapters of the Bible, in the book of Genesis. You have certainly heard of his famous grandson Methuselah, aka the oldest living person in the Bible. Methuselah, of course, is synonymous with longevity, old age, and great genes. Entire tomes have been dedicated to Methuselah and his drawn-out life. Books such as *The Death of Methuselah*, by Isaac Bashevis Singer, or *Back to Methuselah*, by George Bernard Shaw, have made him one of the most famous literary figures – or pensioners – in Western history. The Oscar-winning British actor Sir Anthony Hopkins played him in the movie *Noah* and the great American writer Samuel Langhorne Clemens (aka Mark Twain) penned a story with Methuselah as the central character. No fine essayist passed him by.

For those of you who aren't familiar with the story, it is said that Methuselah lived to the astounding age of 969. That is 11,628 months, or 353,685 days on earth. It is no surprise that his diet, genes, many probable marriages – and even his investments – have been the subject of intense curiosity for centuries. And yet, his grandfather Jared – a man I suspect most of you have never heard of until now – lived to the equally unbelievable age of 962 years. Yes, you guessed it. He was the Bible's second-oldest literary figure. Second place garners no books, Nobels, or gene patents, hence the pity.

It was quite the family, though. Methuselah's grandson Noah – the famed sailor and nautical zookeeper – lived 950 years, which is slightly less than two decades short of Methuselah's remarkable feat, placing him in the number-three position. Noah (aka Russell Crowe) needs no introduction or any more fame. (Hey, just try booking him for a speaking engagement these days.) Moving on to number four in the biblical Guinness book of records we find Adam. Yes, the first. Adam lived to 930 and has no small

part in human history, quite likely regretting the long nap he took in the Garden of Eden and not keeping a closer eye on his rib cage. But poor old Jared was the Bible's second-oldest person, missing his grandson's record leisure-shattering retirement by a mere seven years, or approximately 0.7% of his own life span. Jared lived and lived and then died, in almost complete obscurity.

Did Jared have any idea he would last that long?

This book is inspired by Jared's extended and very obscure plight. Jared is my euphemism for any retiree or pensioner who will shatter no records or become the subject of any books, documentaries, or scientific projects. They will live far longer than their parents ever did – perhaps not as long as their grandkids – but will have to find some way to pay for it all. Jared represents the hundreds of thousands, possibly millions, of elderly around the world who will reach extremely advanced ages, and nobody will consider it a big deal.

In all actuarial likelihood, you are a Jared.

Yet no insurance company, retirement system, or pension plan can afford to support all these Jareds, especially considering how little we have collectively saved for retirement. So, how will society pay for it all? I believe that (part of) the answer to Jared's dilemma is to dust off the history books and revisit a most popular and fascinating arrangement that has lain dormant for centuries. It is called *The Tontine Scheme*.

Allow me some time to elaborate. You probably have a while ...

Acknowledgments

It took quite a while to complete this project. The book's gestation, in a manner of speaking, lasted longer than an elephant's. When I got started on this mission a few years ago – that is, during the highly optimistic phase – my original intention was to create *the* most comprehensive and encyclopedic reference on the finance, economics, and actuarial theory of tontines, including a broad review of the insurance history and the relevant academic literature, all wrapped up with a passionate advocacy piece arguing that tontines should be resurrected in the twenty-first century. (At least that is what I promised in the book proposal.)

Well, it took me about six months to realize that such an ambitious project was too broad, impractical, and simply unachievable in finite time. I also worried that after all that effort nobody would really care about an insurance policy that has been dead for centuries. Not to mention I came across some excellent (historical) references about tontines, which made me wonder: “What could I possibly add?”

But then, during a visit to the National Archives in London, I stumbled on the details and old documents from the first English tontine launched in 1693 (i.e., King William III's tontine). Here was something different that might provide me with a new and unique entry point to the topic. I thought it was a great place in history from which to launch the journey, even though according to most insurance historians it isn't considered the most successful tontine.

I then rescaled my objectives to offer readers a careful and rigorous but entertaining appreciation of tontines and their colorful history as well as to explain why the underlying tontine sharing principle, or simply “tontine thinking,” is quite relevant in twenty-first-century retirement income planning.

The fuel that kept this project going were the many comments after a brief article I wrote about the possibility of resurrecting tontines, published

in the *Wall Street Journal*, cited as Milevsky (2013). With an inbox full of encouraging emails, I was satisfied that I wasn't the only person who cared about these long-forgotten products. The final "kick in the pants" came from Professor William Sharpe, when I was at a conference he was co-organizing at Stanford University in the summer of 2014. His first words to me were: "Hey Moshe, when are you going to finish the tontine book?" Well, I felt like a young graduate student being admonished by his thesis supervisor. I went into full production mode and for the next six months I was living, eating, and breathing tontines.

As far as help is concerned, I would like to acknowledge financial support from a Schulich Research Fellowship (during Fall 2013), which gave me the time off from teaching to delve into the topic and visit the National Archives and library in London. A research grant from NETSPAR (awarded during Winter 2014) as well as ongoing funding from the IFID Centre at the Fields Institute helped with many of the direct and indirect costs.

The members of the editorial team, including Nishanthini Vetrivel at Newgen Knowledge Works, as well Karen Maloney, Kate Gavino, Phil Good, and Chris Harrison at Cambridge University Press, have been a pleasure to work with. The editor of the *Financial History Review* – where parts of Chapter 4 previously appeared – Stefano Battilossi, was very encouraging and forced me to think carefully about the historical context behind the first English tontine.

This project would have been impossible to complete (let alone survive) without able help from research assistants. I would like to thank Rejo Peter (YorkU), Dajena Collaku (YorkU and IFID), Minjie Zhang (YorkU), Daniel Tut (YorkU), and Alexa Brand (IFID) for help with many aspects of the manuscript. I am also indebted to staff at the British Library as well as the National Archives in London and, in particular, Paul Carlyle and Gavin Walsh. Also David Raymont, the librarian at the Institute and Faculty of Actuaries (UK), alerted me to documents pertaining to King William's tontine that I had not been aware of. He was extremely sympathetic about the entire project. I also thank David Raymont for permission to use the cover image of the list of tontine nominees.

Moving on, I would like to thank the many individuals who offered advice and comments during the research and writing of this book. In particular, I would like to call out Lowell Aronoff, David Blake, Jorge Bravo, Forrest Capie, Narat Charupat, Jonathan Forman, Ed Furman, Steven Haberman, Faisal Habib, Huaxiong Huang, David Laster, Sandy Mackenzie, Jonathan Milevsky, Anthony Neuberger, Jens Perch Nielsen, Ermano Pitacco, Steven Posner, David Promislow, Joshua Rauh, Mike Sabin, Bill Sharpe, Pauline Shum, Bob Toth, Steve Vernon, and Geoffrey Wood.

A collective thanks is due to seminar and academic conference participants at the IFID Centre (Toronto, Summer 2012), IME Congress (Copenhagen, Summer 2013), Cass Business School (London, Summer 2014), the Society of Actuaries Living to 100 Conference (Orlando, Winter 2014), Stanford Center on Longevity (Palo Alto, Spring 2014), NETSPAR International Pension Conference (Venice, Summer 2014), Longevity 10 (Santiago, Fall 2014), and ETH Risk Center (Zurich, Fall 2014). I am grateful for their suggestions, but I alone am responsible for all errors.

A special thanks goes out to my colleague, friend, and mentor Tom Salisbury, for the many educational and entertaining conversations we have had over the last quarter of a century about probability, mathematics, and finance, and more recently about tontines. I'm fortunate that I was able to "infect" him with the tontine "bug." A number of ideas about the optimal design of tontines and the concept of the "natural" tontine (which I discuss in Chapter 8 and label Jared's tontine) were jointly developed. He was also kind enough to read the manuscript and offer great suggestions for improvement.

Finally, no thank you is complete without acknowledging my lifetime partner, supporter, promoter, and mate. As with my (eleven) previous books and numerous articles and papers, she diligently read, commented on, and helped improve every aspect of this project. Thank you, my dear Edna.

Permissions: Some of the material in this book has appeared (in early or "raw" form) elsewhere. The relevant permissions have been obtained and noted wherever texts or tables appear verbatim. In particular, a much shorter version of the story from the early part of Chapter 2 was published in *Research Magazine* in the fall of 2013. Also, a summary of the high demand for annuities in Chile, which is described in Chapter 8, appeared in *Research Magazine* in May 2013. I thank the editor, Gil Weinreich, for permission to use extracts from both. An academic version of Chapter 3 was recently published in the *Financial History Review*, in 2014. The main idea presented in Chapter 8, which is the structure of a "natural tontine," was first described in my joint paper with Thomas Salisbury (available as SSRN at <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2271259>). The Gompertz mortality model and annuity pricing formula is mentioned briefly in the appendix, but is described in much greater detail in Milevsky (2006, 2012).

The figures of King William III and William Henry Duke of Gloucester are used with permission from the National Portrait Gallery, London. The cover image is used with permission from the Institute and Faculty of Actuaries, London.

Contents

<i>Preface: In Memoriam for Jared</i>	<i>page xi</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xiii
1 King Billy, Protestant Hero of England	1
1693: Reign of William III and Mary II	1
Take Longevity Risk Off My Books	9
Overview and Outline of This Book	12
A Note on Ancient Time and Money	16
2 Tontine's Economic Origins: Cheaper Debt	20
The Financial Path to Long-Term Borrowing	20
Can Amortizations Fool Investors for Life?	27
Adam Smith's Behavioral Economic Insight	30
Tontines vs. Annuities: The Stochastic PV	32
Last One to Die Please Shut Off the Lights	34
3 A Most Curious Will(iam) and Older Than You Think	37
Life and Death in Elizabethan England	37
Financing the Merchant of Venice	39
Lorenzo de Tonti: The Promoter	41
Where Did the Italian Get the Idea?	46
The End: Seven Years in the Bastille	47
4 The Million Act to Fight a War against France	50
Why Was a Dutchman the King of England?	50
The Glorious and Financial Revolution	54
In 1693 It Wasn't Yet Called a Tontine	58
The Option to Convert into a Life Annuity	59
Astronomer Edmond Halley Offers Advice	62
Annuity or Tontine: Which Is Preferred?	63

	The Smart Money Switched to the Annuity	64
	The Centenarian Who Picked the Tontine	66
	Dividend per Share Computed	68
	A Lottery with Multiple Life Annuities	70
	The Old Lady on Threadneedle Street	74
5	Don't Englishmen Die? Anti-Selection vs. Fraud	80
	Tontine Crimes in Literary Fiction	80
	Famous Nominees and Their Unique Lives	82
	Tontine and Annuity Survivorship Bias	85
	A Visit to the Library and the Archives	88
	Testing for Shenanigans in Monte Carlo	90
	Tom's Coffeehouse on St. Martin's Lane	94
	French Tontines, Defaults, and Revolutions	95
	The Swiss Arbitrage the French	104
	Age Doesn't Matter: What Were They Thinking?	106
	Lessons Learned: The Great Tontine of 1789	110
	Staying Alive ... by Annuitizing	113
6	Is Your Tontine a Stock or a Bond?	114
	Building Financial Bridges	114
	The Glasgow Tontine Society	114
	Alexander Hamilton's Debt Proposal	118
	The Washington Real Estate Tontine	119
	New York Stock Exchange and Tontines	121
	Tontine Insurance Is Born in the USA	121
	The Armstrong Investigation	128
	Collective Tontines on the Israeli Kibbutz	130
	Tontine Ingredient in Swedish Pensions	134
	Classification of Tontine Schemes	137
7	Optimal Tontine: Hedging (Some) Longevity Risk	140
	A Rational Problem with Tontine's Utility	140
	Consumption Smoothing over the Lifecycle	142
	Optimality: Introducing Jared's Tontine	144
	What Have Others Written? A Scholarly Literature Review	154
	Tontines in the Press and Media	164
	TIAA-CREF: Possibly the Biggest Tontine in the World	168
8	Conclusion: Tontines for the Twenty-First Century	170
	Don't Promise More Than You Can Deliver	170
	Variable Annuity Upheavals of 2008	174
	The Word <i>Annuity</i> Is Meaningless Today	176

Why Do Britons Hate Their Annuities?	177
Why Do Chileans Love Their Annuities?	181
The Investment Tribe, the Insurance Clan, and the 4% Rule	184
The Future of Retirement Income ... a Tontine?	188
Longevity Risk Sharing: Ex Ante vs. Ex Post	195
Epilogue: What Did William Really Know?	198
<i>Appendix A: The List of Nominees</i>	201
<i>Appendix B: The Gompertz-Makeham Law of Mortality</i>	226
<i>Appendix C: 14% for One, 12% for Two, or 10% for Three?</i>	230
<i>Source Notes and Guide to Further Reading</i>	235
<i>References</i>	239
<i>Index</i>	249

King Billy, Protestant Hero of England

1693: REIGN OF WILLIAM III AND MARY II

In the early days of 1693, at just about the same time American Puritans in New England were burning witches, half a world away, King William III of England (see Figure 1.1) was in the midst of battle with another sort of devil, the Catholic King Louis XIV of France, also known as the Sun King.

The hostility between the English king – who was actually Dutch in origin – and the infamous Sun King extended far beyond the few years since William had ascended to the English throne jointly with his wife, Mary. In fact, before winning the triple crown of England, Ireland, and Scotland, Prince William of Orange had spent the first thirty eight years of his life on the European continent under the constant threat of French aggression. The French and Dutch had been going at each other for centuries. In fact, there was a persistent rumor that King Louis XIV had actually once tried to kidnap King William, back when he was still the Prince of Orange, so there was no love lost between the glamorous Frenchman and the dour Dutchman.

But in early 1693, while basking in the glory of his victory at the Battle of the Boyne in Ireland, King Billy, as he was nicknamed, was atop the English throne. And the forty-two-year-old monarch intended to settle the score with Louis once and for all by combining the best of the Dutch and English fleets. His vision and lifetime objective as the leader of the House of Orange was to secure a protestant Europe for generations.

Now, good intentions, strategic generals, and motivated troops are *necessary* but not *sufficient* conditions for waging a successful campaign against your enemies. Wars need money. In fact, when it comes to military campaigns that can change the tide of history, one requires very large sums of money. And this, alas, was something King William III didn't have in early 1693. To the point, he wanted the English to pay and fund his war, but



Figure 1.1. King William III. By John Faber, Jr. Copyright © National Portrait Gallery, London. Asset # D9228. Used with permission.

they weren't thrilled about the idea, placing him in a foul frame of mind, I might add.¹

Indeed, it might have helped his cause if King William III had been a suave and easygoing political charmer, like his predecessor, Charles II – the popular king who was known as the Merry Monarch. Charles II's debauchery made the French court seem tame and boring in comparison. Imagine Bill Clinton as king in the late seventeenth century. But King Billy was callous, aloof, and the antithesis of charming.² Moreover, he was

¹ The historical source for information about King William is Claydon (2002) and Kiste (2003).

² See Somerset (2012) as well.

living in a foreign land and reigning under knotty constitutional dynamics. He was a lifelong asthmatic suffering from constant poor health and hated the weather in London. He was also childless and without a legitimate heir. His (mostly political) marriage to his wife, Queen Mary II, by all contemporary accounts, lacked romance and passion. In fact, while we're on the topic of family, William's sister-in-law, Anne, gave him much grief. And his father-in-law, James, would probably have killed William if he could get his hands on him. Actually, as all English schoolboys and schoolgirls are taught, William of Orange invaded (or was invited to) England – triggering the Glorious Revolution of 1688 – and exiled his father-in-law off to France.³

In the last decade of the seventeenth century, King William's main priority and existential preoccupation was to secure the funds he needed to pay his troops and continue his campaign against France. William – who was a military commander and master strategist – still had outstanding debts to settle from his previous battles, including the 21,000 men he had hired to accompany him to England in 1688. Money was tight, and King Billy was in a bloody bind.

I must admit that the story of an English monarch in need of money might sound a bit distant and incredulous to anyone in the twenty-first century. But then again, even Queen Elizabeth II, who ascended the throne in 1952 and has a personal net worth of more than £300 million (\$480 million), has monarchical financial problems and disputes with Parliament over who should pay for what. In early 2013, a parliamentary committee questioned her household's (over)spending, and for a brief period in 2013, the queen applied for welfare – yes, welfare! – to pay for the upkeep on some of her palaces.⁴ Presumably, the future King Charles III (her son), the subsequent King William V (her grandson), or even future King George VII (her great-grandson) will have similar run-ins with Parliament.

But three centuries ago – when the beginning of our story takes place – the Crown's finances were even more precarious, precisely because they were subject to the whims of Parliament, which controlled all the purse strings. Yes, the monarchs owned land and were entitled to live in castles – and the Orange family owned large tracts in the Netherlands – but cash flow and income weren't easy to obtain, especially to finance a war. The now-common practice of making the monarch accountable to the English

³ More on the Stuarts in Chapter 4.

⁴ See the article in the *Independent* from September 24, 2010, "Queen Tried to Use State Poverty Fund to Heat Buckingham Palace."