distributive negotiation

Advanced Negotiation and Mediation

Concepts, Skills, and Exercises

James R. Holbrook Benjamin J. Cook

WEST®

ADVANCED NEGOTIATION AND MEDIATION

CONCEPTS, SKILLS, AND EXERCISES

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

James R. Holbrook

Clinical Professor of Law University of Utah



AMERICAN CASEBOOK SERIES®

WEST.

This publication was created to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered; however, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. The publisher is not engaged in rendering legal or other professional advice and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

Nothing contained herein is intended or written to be used for the purposes of 1) avoiding penalties imposed under the federal Internal Revenue Code, or 2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

American Casebook Series is a trademark registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

© 2013 LEG, Inc. d/b/a West Academic Publishing

610 Opperman Drive St. Paul, MN 55123

1-800-313-9378

West, West Academic Publishing, and West Academic are trademarks of West Publishing Corporation, used under license.

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN: 978-0-314-26752-8

WEST'S LAW SCHOOL ADVISORY BOARD

JESSE H. CHOPER

Professor of Law and Dean Emeritus, University of California, Berkeley

JOSHUA DRESSLER

Professor of Law, Michael E. Moritz College of Law, The Ohio State University

YALE KAMISAR

Professor of Law Emeritus, University of San Diego Professor of Law Emeritus, University of Michigan

MARY KAY KANE

Professor of Law, Chancellor and Dean Emeritus, University of California, Hastings College of the Law

LARRY D. KRAMER

President, William and Flora Hewlett Foundation

JONATHAN R. MACEY

Professor of Law, Yale Law School

ARTHUR R. MILLER

University Professor, New York University Formerly Bruce Bromley Professor of Law, Harvard University

GRANT S. NELSON

Professor of Law, Pepperdine University Professor of Law Emeritus, University of California, Los Angeles

A. BENJAMIN SPENCER

Professor of Law, Washington & Lee University School of Law

JAMES J. WHITE

Professor of Law, University of Michigan

PREFACE

Basic books on negotiation and mediation are commonplace. Many are well-written and can provide a solid foundation for learning and practice. Less common, and in great need because of the increasing importance of alternative dispute resolution, are books focused on an advanced understanding of mediation and negotiation. This book uniquely incorporates negotiation and mediation concepts and skills into a single volume with the intent to facilitate learning in both theoretical and practical aspects. Accordingly, we incorporate concepts, skills, and exercises into this book to give the reader an opportunity to explore theory, learn skills, and then put the skills into practice.

The book describes four kinds of negotiation and mediation, including performative (for improving communication), transformative (for improving relationships), integrative (for creative problem-solving), and distributive (for a fair exchange of value). We describe a range of skills necessary for effectively creating deals and resolving disputes, summarizing and integrating the salient points of seminal works in the ADR field. We also include a variety of exercises relevant to the topics presented in each chapter.

The Toolbox Approach

Psychologist Abraham Maslow famously wrote that if all you have is a hammer, everything looks like a nail. This caution against over-reliance on one tool is especially appropriate for negotiators and mediators. There is no single right way to negotiate or mediate. Different circumstances require different approaches—a tactic that works well in one context may fail in another. While certain principles may hold true across a number of negotiation or mediation contexts, effective negotiators and mediators are able to read situations and have a variety of approaches they are able to employ. Because of this dynamic nature inherent to negotiation and mediation, it is helpful to think of the various skills and approaches to be used in creating deals or resolving disputes as tools. Increasing the number of process, communication, problem-solving and other tools in their toolbox can help negotiators and mediators to become much more versatile and effective. In addition to using various negotiation and mediation strategies, this book includes a "toolbox" section accompanying each strategy that provides a number of skills that are relevant to the corresponding strategy. The skills can be useful not just for the strategy section in which they are discussed, but in any of the four primary strategies discussed in this book.

Why Negotiation and Mediation in the Same Book?

While negotiation and mediation sit closely at the same end of the dispute resolution spectrum, they may appear to be distinctly different approaches. Negotiation typically involves two or more parties communicating directly, while mediation involves a neutral third party whose role is to help the disputing parties come to an agreement. So why cover both approaches in the same book? We believe that despite procedural differences, negotiation and mediation have much in common conceptually:

- Mediation is often described as facilitated negotiation. Most of the principles, tools, and strategies for effective negotiation are directly applicable to effective mediation.
- A mediator often has to be a negotiation coach, helping parties improve their relationship and communication, encouraging them to disclose interests and generate options, assisting their realitytesting by exploring alternatives and employing legitimacy information, and facilitating their making commitments to clear and feasible agreements.
- Similarly, a negotiator can benefit from thinking like a mediator, which helps enable the negotiator to see things from the other side's perspective and think about approaching the issues in terms of joint problem solving, rather than one side competing against the other.

Because of this close interrelatedness, and the opportunity to draw insights from one process that may be helpful in illuminating the other, we believe it is instructive and useful to cover both approaches together.

JAMES R. HOLBROOK BENJAMIN J. COOK

April 2013

ADVANCED NEGOTIATION AND MEDIATION

CONCEPTS, SKILLS, AND EXERCISES

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

PRE	FACE	Ш
Inti	roduction	. 1
Cha A. B.	An Introduction to Four Kinds of Negotiation	12 21
Cha A. B.	Negotiation and Mediation Styles Negotiation Styles Mediation Styles	41
	Apter 3. Using the Four Strategies in Negotiation and diation Performative Principles Transformative Principles Integrative Principles Distributive Principles Putting It All Together	55 73 91 07
Cha	apter 4. Dealing with Difficult Tactics 1	43
	apter 5. Using Principles of Reciprocity in Negotiation and diation1	55
Cor	apter 6. The Uniform Mediation Act, the Model Standards of nduct, Mediation Ethics, and Mediator Credentialing and mpetency1	69
App	pendix A. Uniform Mediation Act	87
IND	EX	95

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRE	EFACI	C	III
Int	rodu	action	1
Cha	apte	r 1. What Is Conflict?	3
	1.	Definition	3
	2.	Levels of Conflict	3
	3.	Sources of Conflict	4
	4.	Resolving Conflict	6
	5.	Naming, Blaming, Claiming, and So On: A System of Conflict	
		Escalation and Resolution	9
A.	An	Introduction to Four Kinds of Negotiation	
	1.	Transformative Negotiation	
	2.	Integrative Negotiation	
	3.	Distributive Negotiation	
	4.	Performative Negotiation	
		Using All Four Kinds of Negotiation Principles in One	
		Negotiation	14
	5.	The Four Strategies Applied: Negotiating and Mediating a	
		Physician-Patient Conflict	15
	6.	Negotiating the Physician-Patient Conflict	17
	7.	Mediating the Physician-Patient Conflict	19
		Exercise	20
В.	Int	roduction to the Harvard Negotiation Project's Seven Elements of	
	Neg	gotiation	. 21
	1.	Enhancing Relationships in Negotiation	23
	2.	Improving Communication in Negotiation	
	3.	Identifying Interests in Negotiation	26
	4.	Generating Options in Negotiation	27
	5.	Evaluating Options Using Objective Criteria of Legitimacy	28
	6.	Assessing Alternatives in Negotiation	
	7.	Making Commitments in Negotiation	30
C.	The	e Seven Elements in the Four Negotiation Strategies	
	1.	The Seven Elements in Performative Negotiation	32
	2.	The Seven Elements in Transformative Negotiation	
	3.	The Seven Elements in Integrative Negotiation	
	4.	The Seven Elements in Distributive Negotiation	
		Exercise	
		Exercise	37

Cha	pte	r 2. N	Vegotiation and Mediation Styles	41
A.	Neg	gotiat	ion Styles	41
	1.	Ger	ald Williams' Studies of Cooperative and Competitive	
		Neg	otiators	42
			eussion Topics	
		Exe	rcise	46
		Exe	rcise	46
В.	Me	diatio	n Styles	47
	1.		ilitative	
	2.		lytical	
	3.		luative	
	4.		ective	
		Exe	rcise	49
Cha	apte	r 3. U	Ising the Four Strategies in Negotiation and	
				55
A.			ative Principles	
	1.		Vegotiation	
	2.		Mediation	
	3.		formative Skills	
		a.	Awareness	
		b.	Johari Windows	
		c.	The Contributions of Leonard Hawes to Conflict Analysi	
			Conflict Resolution	
		d.	Dealing With Emotions: The Five Core Concerns	
		ч.	The Five Core Concerns in Negotiation	
		e.	Asking Questions	
		f.	Effective Listening	
		g.	Reframing	
		8.	Exercise	
В.	Tra	nsfor	mative Principles	
13.	1.		Vegotiation	
	2.		Mediation	
	٠.	a.	Facilitating a Transformative Mediation	
	3.		nsformative Skills	
	0.	a.	Effectively Navigating Difficult Conversations	
		CC.	i. The What-Happened Conversation	
			ii. The Feelings Conversation	
			iii. The Identity Conversation	
			iv. Creating a Learning Conversation	
		b.	Apology and Forgiveness	
		c.	Body Language and Nonverbal Communication	
		0.	Exercise	
			Exercise	
			Exercise	
C.	Int	egrat	ive Principles	
0.	1.	1	Vegotiation	
	4.4	111 1	Identifying Interests	

		b.	Generating Options	93
		C.	Evaluating Options	93
	2.	In N	Mediation	94
	3.	Inte	egrative Skills	95
		a.	Lateral Thinking	
		b.	Six Thinking Hats	
			Exercise	
			Exercise	
D.	Dis		tive Principles	
	1.	In N	Vegotiation	.107
		a.	The Distinction Between Positional Bargaining and	
			Distributive Negotiation	
	2.		Mediation	
	3.	Dist	tributive Skills	
		a.	Anchoring	
		b.	Cost-Benefit-Risk Analysis: Using a Consequences Table	
		C.	Dealing with Uncertainty: Using Risk Profiles and Decision	
		_	Trees	
		d.	Using a Fair and Effective Process	
		all s	Exercise	
Ε.			It All Together	
			2	
	Exe	ercise	······································	.133
Cha	apte	r 4. I	Dealing with Difficult Tactics	143
	1.		ome Familiar with Difficult Tactics	
	2.		to the Balcony to See What Should Be Changed at the	
			gotiation Table	.145
	3.	See	What the Negotiation Looks Like From the Perspective of	
			Other Side of the Table	.146
	4.	Nar	me the Game	.147
	5.	Dor	n't Reject the Difficult Negotiator's Demands; Reframe Them	
		as I	Requests	148
	6.		vise a Solution That Satisfies the Difficult Negotiator's	
			portant Interests	
	7.	Pro	vide Principled Reasons to Motivate a Difficult Negotiator to	
		Rea	ach an Agreement	150
		$Ex\epsilon$	ercise	151
		Exe	ercise	152
Cl			Heiner Duin ainless of Designments in Negatiation and	
			Using Principles of Reciprocity in Negotiation and	155
MIG	1.		at Is Reciprocity?	
	2.		e Universality of Reciprocity	
	3.		urces and Explanations of Reciprocity	
	3. 4.		ciprocity in Negotiation and Mediation Communication	
	4. 5.		Study of Reciprocity in Relational Communication in	108
	U.		gotiationgotiational Communication in	161
		TAGE	5011411011	101

6.	Reciprocity in Reframing in Negotiation and Mediation164
7.	Using Reciprocity to Build Trust in Negotiation and Mediation165
8.	Reciprocity in the Four Negotiation Strategies
	a. Reciprocity in Performative Negotiation
	b. Reciprocity in Transformative Negotiation
	c. Reciprocity in Integrative Negotiation
	d. Reciprocity in Distributive Negotiation
9.	A Counter-Intuitive Conclusion About Using Positive Proactive
	Reciprocity
	Exercise
Chapte	r 6. The Uniform Mediation Act, the Model Standards of
Conduc	t, Mediation Ethics, and Mediator Credentialing and
Compet	ency169
1.	The Uniform Mediation Act
2.	The Model Standards of Conduct for Mediators174
	a. Standard I. Self-Determination
	b. Standard II. Impartiality
	c. Standard III. Conflicts of Interest
	d. Standard IV. Competence
	e. Standard V. Confidentiality
	f. Standard VI. Quality of the Process
	g. Standard VII. Advertising and Solicitation
	h. Standard VIII. Fees and Other Charges
	i. Standard IX. Advancement of Mediation Practice
3.	Mediator Credentialing179
4.	Performance-Based Learning Objectives for Basic Mediation
	Training
Append	lix A. Uniform Mediation Act 187
Ta im mar	107

INTRODUCTION

. . .

In April 2011, Apple Inc., maker of the iPhone and iPad, filed a law-suit against Samsung Electronics, claiming that Samsung had violated several of Apple's patents. Samsung countersued, contending that Apple had violated Samsung patents. On August 24, 2012, the jury found in favor of Apple and awarded the company damages of \$1.05 billion. As is typical in litigation, the verdict was far from the end of the dispute. Samsung quickly announced its intention to appeal as far as the Supreme Court if necessary, and filed a motion to remove an injunction on one of its products. Apple filed requests for permanent injunctions on several of Samsung's smartphones. Samsung's appeal cannot occur until all of these motions are settled, after which the appeals process could take 9 to 12 months or even longer.

Prior to handing the case to the jury, the judge requested that both sides meet to talk one more time to try to settle their dispute, warning lawyers representing the two companies that there were risks for both sides. Indeed, despite Apple's winning this particular battle, the larger war continues and, as the judge warned, both companies still face significant risks. For Apple, the appeal could mean that the damages award is reduced or, more significantly, the decision is overturned. Even if the decision is affirmed, the legal costs of pursuing litigation will be substantial—some legal analysts have estimated dollar amounts in the hundreds of millions. For Samsung, there is a chance that the damages it must pay are even higher (the jury found that the patent infringement was deliberate, so Apple may be entitled to request treble damages) and, regardless, the legal costs of continuing to appeal will be significant. Further complicating matters for both sides, the two companies have lawsuits pending against each other in several other countries around the world, which increases the likelihood of inconsistent results across the various jurisdictions.

Sometimes litigation is the most appropriate means for resolving certain disputes. In this case, perhaps, pursuing the lengthy and costly process will provide Apple with an outcome with which it is highly satisfied, and Samsung may be content that at least it had its day in court. But it is worth considering, what if the two sides had been committed to resolving the dispute either through direct negotiation or through mediation? When prior settlement attempts failed, what were the barriers that prevented the parties from coming to an agreement? Were there additional negotiation tools that each side might have employed that would have helped overcome their impasse?

While not all disputes can be resolved through negotiation and mediation, far too many conflicts result in less-satisfactory outcomes—either because parties fail to try these forms of dispute resolution or, when attempted, they are done ineffectively. This book broadens understanding of negotiation and mediation as means for creating deals and resolving disputes, and provides tools for effective practice. A large amount of excellent writing in this field is available, and this book not only integrates some of that material into one place, but also examines negotiation and mediation through the framework of four distinct strategies—performative, transformative, integrative, and distributive—an approach that is unique and, we believe, highly useful to the study and practice of dispute resolution.

CHAPTER 1

WHAT IS CONFLICT?

Conflict is pervasive. Whether large or small, between individuals or organizations, short- or long-term, or however it is shaped and defined by a range of other characteristics, conflict presents both a challenge and opportunity:

- A challenge, because too often people lack the knowledge and skills to resolve conflict in an effective and constructive way.
- An opportunity, because when approached skillfully, the resolution of conflict can lead to positive, productive, and successful outcomes.

Because both negotiation and mediation can be effective approaches to resolving or mitigating various types of conflict, defining and having a basic understanding of conflict are important starting points.

1. DEFINITION

Basic definitions of conflict range from descriptions of physical interaction, such as "fight," "battle," and "opposing action of incompatibles," to less tangible confrontations, such as a "mental struggle resulting from . . . opposing needs, drives, [and] wishes." Other definitions can be more expansive: "Conflict presupposes the existence of at least one difference and two identities, one on either side of a difference," and includes competition for scarce resources and the existence and operation of power. While conflict is often considered negative—something to be resolved or avoided—the existence of conflict, especially when dealt with productively, can be a very effective agent for positive change and progress.

2. LEVELS OF CONFLICT

Conflict can occur at various levels. The following are commonly identified as four levels at which conflict takes place: 3

 Intrapersonal: conflict that occurs within an individual. Our own thoughts, ideas, emotions, etc., are in conflict with each oth-

¹ MERRIAM-WEBSTER'S DICTIONARY.

 $^{^2\,}$ Leonard C. Hawes, Conflict and Identity, Ron Jackson, ed., ENCYCLOPEDIA OF IDENTITY (Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 2010).

³ From Roy J. Lewicki, Joseph A. Litterer, John W. Minton & David M. Saunders, NEGOTIATION (2nd ed.) at 5–6 (Boston: Irwin, 1994).

er. We'd like to leave the office early to spend time with friends, but we know that if we don't stay late to finish the report, tomorrow will be especially stressful.

- 2. Interpersonal: conflict that occurs between individuals. We have a heated argument with a friend over his consistent lack of punctuality, or a co-worker engages us in a contentious discussion over who should be responsible for a mistake on a joint project.
- 3. **Intragroup**: conflict that occurs within a small group, such as a family, class, team, committee, etc. Members of our family can't agree on where to spend Christmas vacation, or team members argue about when to meet for practice.
- 4. **Intergroup**: conflict that occurs between groups, such as countries, union and management, human rights groups and government, etc. A neighborhood organization protests against the city council's decision to sell wetlands to a private developer, or one country threatens to attack a neighboring country over a boundary dispute.

The concepts and skills covered in this book provide tools for more effectively analyzing and dealing with all four levels of conflict.

3. SOURCES OF CONFLICT

Conflict can occur anywhere. Because conflict is so pervasive in daily life and can manifest itself in a vast array of situations, there is a wide range of sources to which we can look to explain the existence of conflict. The following list explores some (but by no means all) common underlying causes of conflict:⁴

- a failure of connection, collaboration, or community; an inability to understand our essential interconnectedness and the universal commonality of the human spirit;
- a lack of acceptance of ourselves that we have projected onto others; a way of blaming others for failures in our own lives;
- a boundary violation; a failure to value or recognize our own integrity or the personal space of others;
- a way of getting attention, acknowledgment, sympathy, or support by casting ourselves as the victim of some "wrongdoer;"
- the continued pursuit of our own false expectations; the desire to hold on to our unrealistic fantasies;
- a lack of listening; a failure to appreciate the nuances in what someone else is saying;

⁴ See generally Kenneth Cloke, MEDIATING DANGEROUSLY: THE FRONTIERS OF CONFLICT RESOLUTION (San Francisco: Jossey–Bass Publishers, 2001).

- a result of secrets, concealments, confusions, conflicting messages, cover-ups, and what we have failed to communicate;
- a lack of skill, effectiveness, or clarity in saying what we feel, think, or want;
- the urgent voice of a new paradigm; a demand for change in a system that has outlived its usefulness;
- the incomplete expression or misunderstanding of a paradox, enigma, duality, polarity, or contradiction;
- a threatened interpretation of difference, diversity, or opposition, which ignores the essential role of polarity in creating unity, balance, and symbiosis; or
- an inability to learn from past mistakes; a failure to recognize them as opportunities for growth, learning, and improved understanding.

Among all sources of conflict, identity plays an often implicit but pervasive role. Whether a dispute is simply over how much we're willing to pay for a souvenir, or what arrangements we're willing to agree with in a child custody battle, how we identify ourselves or what the issues in the dispute say about our identity are often at the heart of conflict. A challenge to our competence, integrity, or self-worth is extremely threatening and can create intense interpersonal conflict. If we agree to the seller's asking price and pay too much for the souvenir, does this mean we are less intelligent, too "soft," or generally lacking in business acumen? If we don't receive full custody of the children, does this mean we are a bad parent or that the children love us less?

People have many different identifications including, for example, cultural values, education, ethnic origin, gender, group affiliations, language and dialect, economic class, geographic location, hobbies, national citizenship, personal values, professions, race, religion, self-interests, sexual orientation, social status, wealth, etc. Two people who seem quite different thus may have many things in common and can relate to one another through their shared identifications. However, in situations of abuse of power, betrayal, blaming, discrimination, disrespect, hatred, humiliation, ignorance, intolerance, misallocation of resources, violation of rights, violence, etc., a person's multiple identifications can be collapsed into a single identity that is "miniaturized" and stereotyped. This, in turn, can result in a range of manifestations of interpersonal or intergroup conflict.

 $^{^5\,}$ Amartya Sen, IDENTITY AND VIOLENCE: THE ILLUSION OF DESTINY at i (New York: W.W. Norton & Company, Inc., 2006).