

**LAND TREATMENT  
OF  
HAZARDOUS WASTES**

# LAND TREATMENT OF HAZARDOUS WASTES

Edited by

**JAMES F. PARR  
PAUL B. MARSH  
JOANNE M. KLA**

Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute  
Agricultural Research Service  
U.S. Department of Agriculture  
Beltsville, Maryland

**NOYES DATA CORPORATION**

Park Ridge, New Jersey, U.S.A.

1983

Copyright © 1983 by Noyes Data Corporation

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form  
without permission in writing from the Publisher.

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 82-14402

ISBN: 0-8155-0926-X

Printed in the United States

Published in the United States of America by

Noyes Data Corporation

Mill Road, Park Ridge, New Jersey 07656

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Main entry under title:

Land treatment of hazardous wastes.

Bibliography: p.

Includes index.

1. Hazardous wastes. 2. Waste disposal in the  
ground. I. Parr, J. F. (James Floyd), 1929-  
II. Marsh, Paul Bruce, 1914- III. Kla, Joanne M.  
TD811.5.L365 1983 628.4'456 82-14402  
ISBN 0-8155-0926-X

## Foreword

---

Every segment of our society has benefited from the products of modern industrial chemistry. But production of a useful chemical usually creates a residue or by-product that must be disposed of. Often such disposal has been done on land by way of chemical dumps and landfills. In recent years, chemical dumpsites have become the subject of increased public concern, and in some instances, they have caused apprehension with respect to possible related health risks. Though few of these risks have been scientifically validated with indisputable evidence, the allegations of unacceptable risk have nevertheless remained. Although toxicological and epidemiological evidence is often inadequate in such situations, the public has called for remedial action and, consequently, the gathering of scientific evidence to assess the risks.

Better ways must be found to dispose of and detoxify industrial wastes in an environmentally safe and acceptable manner. One possibility currently under consideration is to detoxify these wastes by land treatment/landfarming, wherein the toxic constituents are subjected to inactivation, adsorption, and chemical or biological degradation. Such methods are already being practiced to some degree for petroleum and food processing wastes, and for municipal sewage sludge.

The authors examine the possibilities for improving the efficacy of land treatment and for its extension to other industrial chemical disposal situations. In the utilization of land as a treatment system for industrial wastes, the primary objective is to use the chemical and microbiological properties of a soil to enhance the detoxification, degradation, and inactivation of waste constituents, while preventing or minimizing the contamination of surface water, groundwater and the food-chain with these chemicals.

This book presents a critical review and assessment of current knowledge and management practices for ultimate disposal of a number of hazardous waste

chemicals. It provides useful information and strategies for the design, development, and effective management of land treatment systems for hazardous wastes, and identifies areas of research that are needed to maximize the potential value of land treatment systems and to minimize associated risks.

## Acknowledgments

---

Part of the material used in this book resulted from an Interagency Agreement (No. Ad-12-F-0-055-0) between the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

We gratefully acknowledge our Technical Information Specialists, Ms. M.M. Leech and Ms. N.K. Enkiri, for conducting literature searches, obtaining documents, and for their patience in the editing of our drafts; Mr. C. Bebee of the National Agricultural Library for instructing our staff and conducting literature searches and retrievals; and members of our laboratory staff, Dr. S.B. Sterrett, Mr. D. Hussong, Mr. M.A. Ramirez, Ms. T.I. Munitz, and Mr. C.F. Smith for their professional and technical assistance to the authors.

We are especially grateful to our typist Ms. M.C. Rolf who despite severe time constraints worked diligently through several drafts to obtain this final text.

A draft of this text has been reviewed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Department of Agriculture, and approved for publication. Approval does not signify that the contents necessarily reflect the views and policies of these agencies. Mention of trade names or commercial products is made only for clearer communication, and does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use over equivalent products.

## Contributors\*

W.D. Burge	Microbiologist, Biological Waste Management and Organic Resources Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute
R.L. Chaney	Research Agronomist, Biological Waste Management and Organic Resources Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute
R.H. Fisher	Microbiologist, Biological Waste Management and Organic Resources Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute
S.B. Hornick	Soil Scientist, Biological Waste Management and Organic Resources Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute
D.D. Kaufman	Research Soil Microbiologist, Pesticide Degradation Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute
J.M. Kla	Technical Information Specialist, Maryland Environmental Service, Biological Waste Management and Organic Resources Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute
P.B. Marsh	Microbiologist, Biological Waste Management and Organic Resources Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute
P.A. Paolini	Soil Scientist, Biological Waste Management and Organic Resources Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute

x Contributors

J.F. Parr	Chief, Biological Waste Management and Organic Resources Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute
L.J. Sikora	Microbiologist, Biological Waste Management and Organic Resources Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute
J.M. Taylor	Plant Physiologist, Biological Waste Management and Organic Resources Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute
G.B. Willson	Agricultural Engineer, Biological Waste Management and Organic Resources Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute

\*Contributors are all located at the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Center, Beltsville, Maryland.

W.D. Burge	Microbiologist, Biological Waste Management and Organic Resources Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute
R.L. Cawley	Research Anatomist, Biological Waste Management and Organic Resources Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute
R. J. Parr	Chief, Biological Waste Management and Organic Resources Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute
R.B. Hamish	Soil Scientist, Biological Waste Management and Organic Resources Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute
G.L. Bowers	Research Soil Microbiologist, Biological Waste Management and Organic Resources Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute
J.M. Kist	Technical Assistant, Special Manager, Environmental Services, Biological Waste Management and Organic Resources Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute
R. J. Parr	Chief, Biological Waste Management and Organic Resources Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute
R.A. Fogel	Soil Scientist, Biological Waste Management and Organic Resources Laboratory, Agricultural Environmental Quality Institute



# Contents

## INTRODUCTION . . . . . 1

### PART I: PROCESSES THAT INFLUENCE THE FATE AND EFFECTS OF WASTE

#### THE INTERACTION OF SOILS WITH WASTE CONSTITUENTS: *S.B.*

<i>Hornick</i> . . . . .	4
Effect of Waste Additions on the Physical Properties of Soil . . . . .	4
Soil Texture . . . . .	4
Bulk Density . . . . .	5
Water Holding Capacity . . . . .	5
Effect of Waste Additions on Chemical Status and Properties of Soils . . . . .	6
Chemical Reactions in the Soil Profile . . . . .	6
Soil Composition: Anions and Cations in the Soil Solution . . . . .	9
Anions: Phosphorus, Arsenic, Boron, Molybdenum, Sulfur, Selenium . . . . .	11
Cations: Copper, Zinc, Chromium, Nickel, Cadmium, Lead and Mercury . . . . .	12
Organic Matter-Metal Reactions in Soils . . . . .	14
Summary and Research Needs . . . . .	16
References . . . . .	16

#### FACTORS AFFECTING THE DEGRADATION AND INACTIVATION OF WASTE CONSTITUENTS IN SOILS: *J.F. Parr, L.J. Sikora, and W.D.*

<i>Burge</i> . . . . .	20
Introduction . . . . .	20
Factors Affecting Degradation Processes in Soil . . . . .	21
Water Relations . . . . .	21
Temperature . . . . .	23
Soil pH . . . . .	24
Aeration and Oxygen Supply . . . . .	25

Available Nutrients . . . . .	25
Carbon:Nitrogen Ratio . . . . .	26
The Residue or Waste Microflora . . . . .	26
Decomposition by Macrofauna . . . . .	26
<b>Decomposition of Residues and Organic Industrial Wastes and Soil</b>	
<b>Nitrogen Relationships</b> . . . . .	27
The Nitrogen Requirement Value . . . . .	28
<b>Decomposition of Residues and Organic Industrial Wastes, and Oxygen</b>	
<b>Relationships</b> . . . . .	29
Types of Microbial Metabolism Which Influence Soil-Oxygen	
Relationships . . . . .	29
Succession of Reductive Processes in Soils from Heavy Loadings of	
Organic Wastes or Residues . . . . .	30
<b>Effects of Organic Chemicals on Soil Microorganisms</b> . . . . .	36
<b>Metallic Ion Effects on Microbiological Process</b> . . . . .	38
<b>Models for Describing the Degradation of Hazardous Wastes in Soil</b> . . . . .	42
<b>Summary and Research Needs</b> . . . . .	43
<b>References</b> . . . . .	44

**PLANT UPTAKE OF INORGANIC WASTE CONSTITUENTS: R.L. Chaney**

<i>Chaney</i> . . . . .	50
<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	50
<b>Macronutrient Uptake by Plants</b> . . . . .	50
<b>Microelement Uptake by Plants</b> . . . . .	51
Introduction . . . . .	51
Factors Affecting Microelement Uptake . . . . .	54
Factors Affecting Microelement Translocation . . . . .	56
<b>Microelement Tolerance by Plants</b> . . . . .	60
Crop Plants . . . . .	60
Ecotype Variation in Metal Tolerance . . . . .	61
Hyperaccumulators of Microelements . . . . .	62
<b>Summary and Research Needs</b> . . . . .	64
Research Needs . . . . .	65
<b>References</b> . . . . .	66

**FATE OF TOXIC ORGANIC COMPOUNDS IN LAND-APPLIED**

<b>WASTES: D.D. Kaufman</b> . . . . .	77
<b>Sorption of Organic Chemicals in Soil</b> . . . . .	77
Sorption Models . . . . .	78
Sorption Processes . . . . .	79
Factors Affecting Adsorption and Desorption . . . . .	81
<b>Movement of Organic Chemicals in Soil</b> . . . . .	86
Leaching . . . . .	86
Diffusion and Volatilization . . . . .	89
Runoff . . . . .	91
Plant Uptake . . . . .	91
<b>Degradation of Organic Chemicals in Soil</b> . . . . .	94
Photodegradation . . . . .	95

**Chemical Degradation** . . . . . 96

**Biodegradation** . . . . . 100

**Summary and Research Needs** . . . . . 127

**Research Needs** . . . . . 128

**References** . . . . . 129

**POTENTIAL EFFECTS OF WASTE CONSTITUENTS ON THE FOOD CHAIN: *R.L. Chaney*** . . . . . 152

**Macronutrients** . . . . . 152

        Potential Beneficial Animal Responses . . . . . 152

        Potential Toxicity and Mineral Imbalances . . . . . 153

**Pathways for Transfer of Toxic Chemicals in Wastes to the Food-Chain** . . 155

        Sludge Adherence to Existing Crops . . . . . 155

        Ingestion of Sludge-Amended Soil or Sludge on the Soil Surface . . . . . 156

        "Soil-Plant Barrier" to Microelements in the Food-Chain . . . . . 158

        Interactions Among Dietary and Sludge Constituents Influence

            Microelement Impact on Food-Chain . . . . . 159

        Evaluation of Potential for Food-Chain Impacts of Individual Micro-

            elements Applied in Industrial Wastes . . . . . 160

**Potential Food-Chain Impacts of Toxic Organic Compounds Applied in**

**Industrial Wastes** . . . . . 190

            Introduction . . . . . 190

            Bioavailability of Ingested Waste-Borne Toxic Organics . . . . . 191

            Plant "Uptake" of Toxic Organics in the Soil . . . . . 192

**Summary and Research Needs** . . . . . 196

            Research Needs . . . . . 197

**References** . . . . . 197

**BIOASSAY FOR TOXIC PROPERTIES: *L.J. Sikora and W.D. Burge*** . . . . . 241

**Mutagens** . . . . . 241

        General Discussion . . . . . 241

**Use of Fish and Invertebrate Bioassays** . . . . . 242

**Assays for Plant Growth Inhibitors** . . . . . 246

        Germination . . . . . 246

        Seedling Elongation . . . . . 247

        Plant Growth . . . . . 247

**Summary and Research Needs** . . . . . 248

**References** . . . . . 249

**FATE OF PATHOGENS: *W.D. Burge*** . . . . . 253

**Nature of Pathogenic Organisms** . . . . . 253

**Survival** . . . . . 254

**Movement** . . . . . 255

**Health Hazards** . . . . . 257

        Humans . . . . . 257

**Summary and Research Needs** . . . . . 259

**References** . . . . . 260

<b>COMPOSTING OF CHEMICAL INDUSTRIAL WASTES PRIOR TO LAND APPLICATION:</b> <i>G.B. Willson, L.J. Sikora, J.F. Parr</i> . . . . .		263
<b>Introduction.</b> . . . . .		263
<b>Factors Affecting the Composting Process</b> . . . . .		264
Temperature. . . . .		264
Moisture . . . . .		266
Aeration or Oxygen Supply. . . . .		266
Carbon:Nitrogen Ratio. . . . .		266
pH . . . . .		266
Particle Size Reduction. . . . .		267
Chemical Properties of Wastewater Sludges. . . . .		267
Soluble Salts. . . . .		267
Heavy Metals . . . . .		267
<b>Composting of Hazardous Industrial Wastes</b> . . . . .		268
<b>Combining Waste Streams for Composting Hazardous Industrial Wastes.</b> . . . . .		268
<b>Design and Management Considerations.</b> . . . . .		269
<b>Summary and Research Needs.</b> . . . . .		271
<b>References.</b> . . . . .		272
 <b>PART II: RESULTS FROM LAND TREATMENT WITH SPECIFIC WASTES</b> 		
<b>ANIMAL WASTES:</b> <i>S.B. Hornick</i> . . . . .		276
Soluble Salts. . . . .		277
Decomposition of Manure. . . . .		277
Summary and Research Needs . . . . .		278
References . . . . .		279
<b>CROP RESIDUES AND FOOD PROCESSING WASTES:</b> <i>S.B. Hornick</i> . . . . .		281
Summary and Research Needs . . . . .		283
References . . . . .		284
<b>LEATHER MANUFACTURING WASTES:</b> <i>R.L. Chaney</i> . . . . .		285
Sources and Nature of Wastes . . . . .		285
Nature of Leather Manufacturing Wastes . . . . .		286
Uses of Leather Wastes . . . . .		288
Use as a Fertilizer . . . . .		288
Use as Soil Conditioner/Fertilizer . . . . .		289
Leaching Chromate from Leather Waste Amended Soils . . . . .		290
Summary and Research Needs . . . . .		290
Research Needs. . . . .		291
References . . . . .		292
<b>MUNITIONS AND EXPLOSIVES WASTES:</b> <i>R.H. Fisher and J.M. Taylor</i> . . . . .		296
Introduction. . . . .		296
Manufacturing Processes and Wastewater Characteristics . . . . .		296
Physical, Chemical, and Biological Treatment of Munitions Wastewater . . . . .		298

Microbial Degradation of Explosives and Propellants . . . . .	301
Toxicity of Nitroaromatic Compounds . . . . .	303
Summary and Research Needs . . . . .	304
References . . . . .	305
<b>PESTICIDE AND ORGANIC CHEMICAL MANUFACTURING WASTES:</b>	
<i>D.D. Kaufman</i> . . . . .	310
Pentachlorophenol, Chlorophenols, and Dioxins . . . . .	310
Summary and Research Needs . . . . .	315
Research Needs . . . . .	316
References . . . . .	316
<b>PETROLEUM WASTES: <i>S.B. Hornick, R.H. Fisher, and P.A. Paolini</i> . . . . .</b>	
Petroleum Degradation by Microorganisms . . . . .	321
Degradation of n-Alkanes . . . . .	327
Degradation of Alkenes . . . . .	328
Degradation of Branched and Cyclic Alkanes . . . . .	329
Degradation of Aromatic Compounds . . . . .	329
Factors Influencing the Degradation of Petroleum in Soil . . . . .	331
Summary and Research Needs . . . . .	332
References . . . . .	333
<b>PHARMACEUTICAL MANUFACTURING WASTES: <i>W.D. Burge</i> . . . . .</b>	
Kinds of Waste Generated . . . . .	338
Land Application of Fermentation Wastes . . . . .	340
Fate and Effects on Soil Organisms . . . . .	343
Summary and Research Needs . . . . .	344
References . . . . .	345
<b>PULP AND PAPER INDUSTRY: <i>L.J. Sikora</i> . . . . .</b>	
Size of the Industry . . . . .	347
Waste Treatment . . . . .	347
Current Practice of Disposing of Solid Wastes in the Paper and Pulp Industry . . . . .	356
Hazardous Wastes in the Pulp and Paper Industry . . . . .	365
Summary and Research Needs . . . . .	368
References . . . . .	369
<b>SOAP AND DETERGENT INDUSTRY WASTES: <i>R.H. Fisher and P.B. Marsh</i> . . . . .</b>	
Introduction . . . . .	373
Background . . . . .	373
Chemical Characteristics of Soaps and Detergents . . . . .	374
General Manufacturing Process . . . . .	375
Waste Treatment Practices by the Soap and Detergent Industry . . . . .	375
Biodegradation of Soaps and Detergents . . . . .	376
Possibilities for Land Application of Soap and Detergent Wastes . . . . .	381
Summary and Research Needs . . . . .	382

References . . . . .	382
<b>TEXTILE WASTES: S.B. Hornick and D.D. Kaufman</b> . . . . .	388
<b>Summary and Research Needs</b> . . . . .	395
<b>References</b> . . . . .	395
<b>WOOD PRESERVATIVE WASTES: L.J. Sikora</b> . . . . .	397
<b>The Wood-Preserving Process</b> . . . . .	397
<b>Origin of Wastes</b> . . . . .	399
<b>Wastewater Treatment Processes</b> . . . . .	399
<b>Sludge Volumes and Constituents</b> . . . . .	403
<b>Hazardous Nature of Sludges and Wastewater from Wood Preserving Industries</b> . . . . .	406
<b>Summary and Research Needs</b> . . . . .	407
<b>References</b> . . . . .	408
<b>SUMMARY</b> . . . . .	411
<b>RECOMMENDATIONS FOR RESEARCH</b> . . . . .	413
<b>INDEX</b> . . . . .	419
<b>PHARMACEUTICAL MANUFACTURING WASTES: W.D. Burgin</b> . . . . .	338
<b>Kind of Waste Generated</b> . . . . .	338
<b>Lead Application of Fermentation Wastes</b> . . . . .	340
<b>Path and Effect on Soil Organisms</b> . . . . .	343
<b>Summary and Research Needs</b> . . . . .	344
<b>References</b> . . . . .	345
<b>PULP AND PAPER INDUSTRY: L.J. Sikora</b> . . . . .	347
<b>Site of the Industry</b> . . . . .	347
<b>Waste Treatment</b> . . . . .	347
<b>Current Practice of Discarding Solid Wastes in the Paper and Pulp Industry</b> . . . . .	358
<b>Hazardous Wastes in the Pulp and Paper Industry</b> . . . . .	358
<b>Summary and Research Needs</b> . . . . .	358
<b>References</b> . . . . .	359
<b>SURF AND OTHER INDUSTRIAL WASTES: R.H. Johnson</b> . . . . .	374
<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	373
<b>Classification of Wastes</b> . . . . .	373
<b>Chemical Characterization of Solids and Gases</b> . . . . .	374
<b>Biological Characterization of Solids</b> . . . . .	375
<b>Water Treatment Practices for Solids and Gaseous Wastes</b> . . . . .	375
<b>Biological Treatment of Solids and Gases</b> . . . . .	375
<b>Removal of Solids and Gases from Wastewater</b> . . . . .	375
<b>Summary and Research Needs</b> . . . . .	375

Even less reliable is the fact that our concept of what constitutes a hazardous pollutant has undergone considerable change during the past two decades. Chemicals once thought to be relatively innocuous must now be regarded as potentially hazardous and toxic, and as possible causes of cancer, birth defects, and/or other health problems. Clearly, better methods are needed for the ultimate disposal of these wastes than have traditionally been used.

Two methods that appear to control the risk from disposal of hazardous wastes are (1) disposal in well designed hazardous waste landfills, and (2) high-temperature incineration. These methods can protect the environment, but they are very expensive. Less expensive methods for treating or disposing of these wastes and of the effluents from the treatment are badly needed.

## Introduction

Present-day Americans enjoy many comforts and conveniences unknown a century ago. Our homes are more uniformly heated in winter and cooled in summer; our foods are available in greater variety in the supermarket; our accessibility to national and international news is broader and more prompt; our medical care is vastly improved, and our life expectancy has increased. Much of this progress has come, either directly or indirectly, from basic advances in science and from the genius of American industry in implementing these advances for improving the lot of mankind.

Many industries have been involved in this progress, but none more significantly than the chemical industry. Intertwined as it is with all other phases of U. S. industry, the chemical industry exerts a profound and pervasive influence on all of American life. The basic chemical-industrial goal of "a better life through chemistry" is backed by a long list of validated accomplishments.

But time and progress itself have brought with them new challenges. We have become increasingly aware, especially in the past two decades, of potential environmental hazards and health risks from undue exposure to certain chemicals. Much recent information suggests that cancer may be largely of environmental origin. Animal data which indicate that some chemicals may cause birth defects are readily available. Not surprisingly, then, the public has an increased sense of apprehension about chemicals.

In part, environmental pollution with chemicals is a direct result of progress in the sense that a large and ever growing list of new industrial chemicals is produced each year and with it the possibility of new byproducts and chemical wastes. But

even more relevant is the fact that our concept of what constitutes a hazardous pollutant has undergone considerable change during the past two decades. Chemicals once thought to be relatively innocuous must now be regarded as potentially hazardous and toxic, and as possible causes of cancer, birth defects, and/or other health problems. Clearly, better methods are needed for the ultimate disposal of these wastes than have traditionally been used.

Two methods that appear to control the risk from disposal of hazardous wastes are 1) disposal in well designed hazardous waste landfills, and 2) high-temperature incineration. Though these methods can protect the environment, disposal of many wastes in this fashion is very expensive. Less expensive methods for disposing of these wastes and at the same time protecting the environment are badly needed.

Land treatment is a less expensive alternative method for ultimate disposal of many industrial wastes. In land treatment, soil is used to hold toxic chemicals while microbes degrade the compounds. For bulk organic sludges with high water content, land treatment may be the disposal method of choice; whereas for small volumes of still-bottoms containing very high concentrations of toxic organic chemicals, another method may be best.

The present book is a critical review and evaluation of available information relevant to land treatment of hazardous wastes. This information comes from basic research on organic and inorganic chemicals in the environment, from research and the practice of land application of sewage sludge, crop residues, food processing wastes, etc., and from the limited research conducted on hazardous wastes. The authors note processes by which land treatment degrades wastes, and by which environmental impacts could result from land treatment. Ways for using this information in designing and managing a land treatment site are also presented.

An important goal in writing of this book was to identify research needs for land treatment of hazardous wastes. The authors feel that if the suggested research is conducted, many industries and local, state, and federal agencies will have a better scientific basis on which to design and permit land treatment systems.



# The Interaction of Soils with Waste Constituents

## PART I

S.B. Holsak

### PROCESSES THAT INFLUENCE THE FATE AND EFFECTS OF WASTE

The fate and effects of waste constituents in the soil are determined by a number of factors. The primary of these factors are the physical and chemical properties of the waste, the physical and chemical properties of the soil, and the biological and geochemical processes that occur in the soil. The rate of degradation of waste constituents in the soil is dependent on the physical and chemical properties of the waste, the physical and chemical properties of the soil, and the biological and geochemical processes that occur in the soil. The rate of degradation of waste constituents in the soil is dependent on the physical and chemical properties of the waste, the physical and chemical properties of the soil, and the biological and geochemical processes that occur in the soil.

The rate of degradation of waste constituents in the soil is dependent on the physical and chemical properties of the waste, the physical and chemical properties of the soil, and the biological and geochemical processes that occur in the soil. The rate of degradation of waste constituents in the soil is dependent on the physical and chemical properties of the waste, the physical and chemical properties of the soil, and the biological and geochemical processes that occur in the soil. The rate of degradation of waste constituents in the soil is dependent on the physical and chemical properties of the waste, the physical and chemical properties of the soil, and the biological and geochemical processes that occur in the soil.

The rate of degradation of waste constituents in the soil is dependent on the physical and chemical properties of the waste, the physical and chemical properties of the soil, and the biological and geochemical processes that occur in the soil. The rate of degradation of waste constituents in the soil is dependent on the physical and chemical properties of the waste, the physical and chemical properties of the soil, and the biological and geochemical processes that occur in the soil. The rate of degradation of waste constituents in the soil is dependent on the physical and chemical properties of the waste, the physical and chemical properties of the soil, and the biological and geochemical processes that occur in the soil.