



MONITORING AND MODELLING DYNAMIC ENVIRONMENTS

*Edited by Alan P. Dykes, Mark Mulligan
and John Wainwright*


WILEY Blackwell

Monitoring and Modelling Dynamic Environments

(A Festschrift in Memory of
Professor John B. Thornes)

EDITED BY

**Alan P. Dykes
Mark Mulligan
John Wainwright**



WILEY Blackwell

Monitoring and Modelling Dynamic Environments

(A Festschrift in Memory of
Professor John B. Thornes)

This edition first published 2015 © 2015 by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Registered Office

John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

Editorial Offices

9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK

The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030-5774, USA

For details of our global editorial offices, for customer services and for information about how to apply for permission to reuse the copyright material in this book please see our website at www.wiley.com/wiley-blackwell.

The right of the author to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. All brand names and product names used in this book are trade names, service marks, trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. The publisher is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and author(s) have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. It is sold on the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services and neither the publisher nor the author shall be liable for damages arising herefrom. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data applied for.

Hard Back: 9780470711217

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books.

Cover image: Badlands in the Neogene – Quaternary sediments of the Mula basin near the town of Campos del Río, Murcia, Spain. Photograph courtesy Asunción Romero Díaz.

Set in 8.5/12pt Meridien by SPi Global, Pondicherry, India

Printed and bound in Singapore by Markono Print Media Pte Ltd

This book is dedicated to the memory of Professor John B. Thornes – geographer, geomorphologist, leader, mentor, colleague and friend.



Photograph courtesy Rosemary Thornes.

List of contributors

Ashraf Afana

Department of Geography
Durham University
South Road, Durham, UK

Irasema Alcántara-Ayala

Instituto de Geografía
Universidad Nacional Autónoma
de México (UNAM)
Coyoacan, Mexico

Gabriel del Barrio

Estación Experimental de Zonas Áridas (EEZA)
CSIC, Cañada de San Urbano
Almeria, Spain

Louise J. Bracken

Department of Geography
Durham University
South Road, Durham, UK

Jane Brandt

Fondazione MEDES
Sicignano degli Alburni (SA), Italy

Denys Brunsden

Vine Cottage
Seatown, Dorset, UK

Tim Burt

Department of Geography
Durham University
South Road, Durham, UK

Artemi Cerdà

SEDER – Soil Erosion and Degradation
Research Group
Department of Geography
University of Valencia
Valencia, Spain

Thomas J.B. Dewez

Direction of Risks and Prevention – BRGM – French
Geological Survey
Orléans-la-Source, France

Alan P. Dykes

School of Civil Engineering and Construction
Kingston University
Penrhyn Road, Kingston upon Thames, UK

Carolyn F. Francis

Halcrow Group Ltd,
a CH2M HILL Company
Swindon, UK

Nichola Geeson

Fondazione MEDES
Sicignano degli Alburni (SA), Italy

Antonio Giménez-Morera

Departamento de Economía y Ciencias Sociales
Escuela politécnica superior de Alcoy
Universidad Politécnica de Valencia
Alicante, Spain

Ken Gregory

Department of Geography
University of Southampton
Southampton, UK

Janet Hooke

Department of Geography and Planning
School of Environmental Sciences
University of Liverpool
Liverpool, UK

Antonio Jordan

MED_Soil Research Group
Department of Crystallography, Mineralogy and
Agricultural Chemistry
University of Seville
Seville, Spain

Saskia Keesstra

Soil Physics and Land Management Group
Wageningen University
Wageningen, the Netherlands

Mike Kirkby

School of Geography
University of Leeds
Woodhouse Lane, Leeds, UK

Andrew T. Lowe

Halcrow Group Ltd, a CH2M HILL Company
a CH2M HILL Company
Warrington, UK

Jenny Mant

River Restoration Centre
Cranfield University Campus
Bedford, UK

Matthew Marsik

Land Use and Environmental
Change Institute
University of Florida
Gainesville, FL, USA

Jorge Mataix-Solera

Environmental Soil Science Group
Department of Agrochemistry
and Environment
Miguel Hernández University
Alicante, Spain

Mark Mulligan

Department of Geography
King's College London
London, UK

Agata Novara

Dipartimento dei Sistemi Agro-ambientali
University of Palermo
Palermo, Italy

Paulo Pereira

Department of Environmental Policy
Mykolas Romeris University
Vilnius, Lithuania

Marvin Quesada

Departamento de Ciencias Sociales
Universidad de Costa Rica Sede Occidente
San Ramón, Costa Rica

Asunción Romero Díaz

Department of Geography
University of Murcia
Murcia, Spain

José Damián Ruíz Sinoga

Department of Geography
University of Málaga
Málaga, Spain

Leszek Starkel

Department of Geomorphology and Hydrology
Institute of Geography,
Polish Academy of Sciences
Krakow, Poland

Iain S. Stewart

Centre for Research in Earth Sciences, School
of Geography, Earth, & Environmental Sciences
University of Plymouth
Plymouth, UK

John Wainwright

Department of Geography
Durham University
South Road, Durham, UK

Glenn Watts

Evidence Directorate, Environment Agency
Bristol, UK
and
Department of Geography
King's College London
London, UK

Peter Waylen

Department of Geography
University of Florida
Gainesville, FL, USA

About the editors

Alan P. Dykes is currently a senior lecturer in civil engineering at Kingston University, London. He obtained a geography degree from the University of Bristol in 1990, and he went on to undertake research for his PhD under John Thornes' supervision, transferring to King's College London when John moved to King's. His PhD project, *Hydrological controls on shallow mass movements and characteristic slope forms in the tropical rainforest of Temburong District, Brunei*, was part of the 'Brunei Rainforest Project' organised by the Royal Geographical Society (RGS) with the Universiti Brunei Darussalam. Throughout several years at Huddersfield University and more recently Kingston University, Alan has used his wide-ranging expertise in fieldwork, geotechnical laboratory testing and computer modelling to focus his research on landslides/slope instability and hydrological systems in landscape contexts as diverse as Ecuador, Indonesia, Iran, Ireland, Italy, Malaysia, Malta and Mexico and is now a leading authority on peatland instability and failure.

Mark Mulligan is currently a reader in geography at King's College London. He obtained a geography degree from the University of Bristol in 1991, which included fieldwork with the 'Brunei Rainforest Project', then moved to King's College London to undertake a PhD on *'Modelling hydrology and vegetation change in a degraded semi-arid environment'*, supervised by John Thornes. Mark remained at King's College London as a permanent member of academic staff following his PhD, apart from a year on research secondment at the Istituto di Botanica, Università di Napoli, Italy, and in 2004 was awarded the *Gill*

Memorial Award of the Royal Geographical Society – Institute of British Geographers for 'innovative monitoring and modelling' of environmental systems. Mark works on a variety of topics in the areas of environmental spatial policy support, ecosystem service modelling and understanding environmental change, at scales from local to global and with a particular emphasis on tropical forests in Latin America and semi-arid drylands in the Mediterranean.

John Wainwright is a professor in physical geography at Durham University. He completed a PhD on *'Erosion of semi-arid archaeological sites: A study in natural formation processes'* at the University of Bristol, supervised by John Thornes, in 1991. After brief spells at Keele and Southampton universities, John W. took up a permanent position at King's College London, becoming a professor within 10 years and in 1999 being awarded the *Gordon Warwick Award* of the British Geomorphological Research Group for his innovative work in the understanding of past and present semi-arid environments. John subsequently moved to Sheffield University, including 3 years as a visiting professor at Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, then to Durham University in 2011. He has undertaken extensive field research, especially in drylands in the Mediterranean, the US Southwest and sub-Saharan Africa, and links the data to theory using computer modelling combined with results from laboratory experiments on slope and channel processes.

Like John Thornes, Alan Dykes and John Wainwright are originally from the county of Yorkshire in the north of England. Mark is from a little further south.



Acknowledgements

The preparation of this book has been supported by many members of the global geomorphological community. We are grateful to a large group of people who were kind enough to give their time to review the chapters submitted for inclusion in this book (in alphabetical order): Concepcion Alados, Irantzu Lexartza Artza, John Boardman, Julia Brown, Nick Chappell, Stephen Darby, Caitlin Douglas, Ian Douglas, Bob Evans, Fai Fung, Jeff Herrick, Martin Hurst, Sandra Junier, Neil McIntyre, Helen Moggridge, Bhopal

Pandeya, Dorthé Pflanz, Maria Concepcion Ramos, David Smith, Elina Tarnavsky, Arnout van Soesbergen, Stephen Wise, Adrian Wood and Leonardo Zurita. We thank the publishers for their patience and assistance, and we (APD in particular) are grateful to the chapter authors for bearing with us through difficult periods when the 'day job' prevented any editorial progress for months at a time. Several figures were redrawn for this book by Chris Orton.

Specific copyright acknowledgements: t.b.c.

Contents

List of contributors, ix

About the editors, xi

Acknowledgements, xiii

- 1** Introduction – Understanding and managing landscape change through multiple lenses: The case for integrative research in an era of global change, 1

Alan P. Dykes, Mårk Mulligan and John Wainwright

PART A

- 2** Assessment of soil erosion through different experimental methods in the Region of Murcia (South-East Spain), 11

Asunción Romero Díaz and José Damián Ruíz Sinoga

- 3** Shrubland as a soil and water conservation agent in Mediterranean-type ecosystems: The Sierra de Enguera study site contribution, 45

Artemi Cerdà, Antonio Giménez-Morera, Antonio Jordan, Paulo Pereira, Agata Novara, Saskia Keesstra, Jorge Mataix-Solera and José Damián Ruíz Sinoga

- 4** Morphological and vegetation variations in response to flow events in rambla channels of SE Spain, 61

Janet Hooke and Jenny Mant

- 5** Stability and instability in Mediterranean landscapes: A geoarchaeological perspective, 99

John Wainwright

- 6** Desertification indicator system for Mediterranean Europe: Science, stakeholders and public dissemination of research results, 121

Jane Brandt and Nichola Geeson

- 7** Geobrowser-based simulation models for land degradation policy support, 139

Mark Mulligan

- 8** Application of strategic environmental assessment to the Rift Valley Lakes Basin master plan, 155

Carolyn F. Francis and Andrew T. Lowe

- 9** Modelling hydrological processes in long-term water supply planning: Current methods and future needs, 179

Glenn Watts

- 10** Changing discharge contributions to the Río Grande de Tárcoles, 203

Matthew Marsik, Peter Waylen and Marvin Quesada

- 11** Insights on channel networks delineated from digital elevation models: The adaptive model, 225

Ashraf Afana and Gabriel del Barrio

- 12** From digital elevation models to 3-D deformation fields: A semi-automated analysis of uplifted coastal terraces on the Kamena Vourla fault, central Greece, 247

Thomas J.B. Dewez and Iain S. Stewart

- 13** Environmental change and landslide hazards in Mexico, 267

Alan P. Dykes and Irasema Alcántara-Ayala

PART B

14 John Thornes and palaeohydrology, 299
Ken Gregory and Leszek Starkel

15 John Thornes: Landscape sensitivity and
landform evolution, 307
Tim Burt

16 John Thornes and desertification research
in Europe, 317
Mike Kirkby, Louise J. Bracken and Jane Brandt

17 John Thornes: An appreciation, 327
Denys Brunsden

Index, 331

CHAPTER 1

Introduction – Understanding and managing landscape change through multiple lenses: The case for integrative research in an era of global change

Alan P. Dykes¹, Mark Mulligan² and John Wainwright³

¹ School of Civil Engineering and Construction, Kingston University, Kingston upon Thames, UK

² Department of Geography, King's College London, London, UK

³ Department of Geography, Durham University, Durham, UK

*The twenty-first century is when everything changes.
And we must be ready.*

(BBC 2006)

The world is changing. It has always been changing, as internal geophysical processes drive the tectonic systems that slowly rearrange the distributions of land masses and oceans and external influences such as orbital eccentricities alter the Earth's climate. Over hundreds of millions of years, the physical and ecological environments at any given location on land have been shaped and reshaped by natural then, from the late Pleistocene onwards, increasingly by human processes – so much so that some argue for a new geological epoch (the Anthropocene) in recognition of this (see Steffen et al. 2011; Brown et al. 2013). Our recognition of different kinds of impacts and implications of landscape changes has developed in parallel with the increasing rates of industrialisation of the last 250 years (Hooke 2000; Wilkinson 2005; Montgomery 2007). Humans are highly inventive in providing technological 'solutions' to feeding, watering and providing energy for

ever-increasing populations, but this inventive-ness does not always produce resilient or sustainable solutions. Indeed, it is often only when we are highly dependent upon these technologies that we begin to understand their negative effects on the environment that also sustains us. This is a reflection of both scientific advancement throughout the period and the increasing impacts on landscapes of the expanding industrial activities, including the industrialisation of agriculture, water and energy provision. However, although we know some of the impacts of these technologies on natural landscapes and the 'ecosystem services' that they provide, many aspects of the natural functioning of these landscapes remain uncertain.

The continually increasing population of the Earth has inevitably led to the expansion of anthropic modification of landscapes into increasingly marginal (in terms of primary productivity) or unstable (in terms of ecology and/or geomorphology) lands. The associated risks have driven much of the agricultural and geomorphological research that has provided the

basis for the management of landscapes undergoing such changes. However, towards the late 20th century, there developed a greater awareness of the potential for long-term catastrophic losses of productive agricultural lands as a consequence of inadequate or inappropriate management (e.g. Montgomery 2007), usually stemming from inadequate relevant scientific knowledge – or the lack of communication, or application, of that knowledge in policy formulation. The early years of the 21st century have also focused attention on the potentially increasing risks of natural hazards arising from regional manifestations of anthropic, global climate change. Extreme climate events lead to floods and landslides and wind storms, all of which cause losses of life, property and livelihood, but they may also lead to more chronic adverse impacts on societies through losses of land productivity – and these effects may be exacerbated by inappropriate land management strategies and agricultural practices.

John B. Thornes recognised the importance of good basic and applied geomorphological knowledge for the sound management of landscape change relatively early. Many of his ideas were developed from his early research experiences in central Spain in the mid-1960s, although his work on the particular problems of semi-arid environments did not begin until 1972 (e.g. Thornes 1975). Fundamental to his work was the development of modelling approaches for understanding geomorphological processes and systems in parallel with the implementation of intensive field data collection methodologies to support the parameterisation of the models. Perhaps crucially, he identified the need to measure not only ‘descriptive’ parameters such as morphology or particle size – typical of early quantitative geomorphology – but also landscape properties and processes that would allow quantification of the key geomorphological issues of spatial *and temporal* scale and variability. As such, he was a key player in the adoption of both monitoring and modelling approaches in geomorphological research and a leader in the

explicit integration of these approaches and in the application of the findings to management policy and practice. For John, geomorphology included climate, hydrology and vegetation and their interactions with geomorphological processes and thus forms and their dynamics. As well as the management of modern processes, this interaction between process and form also informed John’s understanding of past environments, particularly in his work on palaeohydrology and geoarchaeology.

Monitoring, modelling and management

Monitoring in environmental science is the process of keeping track, over time, of how one or more material properties or system states behave, based on repeated observations and measurements. It underpins the empirical understanding of environmental processes by observation of their consequences. For example, investigation of micro-scale controls on the process of soil matrix throughflow could require monitoring of water temperature (material property), soil water content and instantaneous flow velocity (static and dynamic system states, respectively). In geomorphology, many material properties are effectively constant at the time-scale of a research project, but for other variables, the monitoring data required and hence the method by which they are obtained depend on the spatial and temporal scales of the problem being investigated. Technological advances over the last 30–40 years have increasingly expanded the range of what can be measured (i.e. parameter/variable type and scale) and at what frequency, although in some cases there remain constraints. For example, satellites cannot yet provide both very high temporal frequency and very high spatial resolution data – although most applications of this approach such as monitoring land-use change do not require high frequencies of repeated observations, and rapid developments in UAV

techniques are addressing some of these limitations at local scales. Most field monitoring takes place at points, and the cost of equipment and labour to maintain field monitoring 'stations' means that there are often relatively few of these, so that significant interpolation is required for landscape-scale analysis. However, it must be remembered that remotely sensed observations are proxies of the properties or system states of interest and must be grounded in field measurement.

Modelling is the process of representing or displaying something that cannot otherwise be experienced, through abstraction of reality and representation in the form of a conceptual, mathematical or physical model. John Thornes was one of the pioneers of mathematical models in geomorphology, which are increasingly used as representations of geomorphic systems. They are usually used as a way of evaluating conceptual models of components of a system such as a specific process or a topographic subsystem representing a set of processes; furthermore, today's office PCs can typically carry out hundreds of simulations using highly sophisticated models and produce the results before the morning coffee break. However, as with any investigation, the type and formulation of the model necessarily depends on the purpose of the research and, critically, on the quality and quantity of data that may be available to set up the model and evaluate its outputs (Mulligan and Wainwright 2004). John always understood that the geomorphological processes of greatest interest to him existed within a complex context. Over his career, through his own research and that which he supervised, collaborated with or helped to fund, he tried to ensure that as much of the relevant contextual complexity as was useful, was included. In his modelling of erosion, he started by defining and representing the process itself (Embleton and Thornes 1979). Subsequently, he introduced interactions with terrain, climate and geology (Thornes and Alcántara-Ayala 1998), then vegetation (Thornes 1990) and finally animals (Thornes 2007). All of

this was done with a clear focus on the socio-economic context and the policy outcomes (Brandt and Thornes 1996; Geeson et al. 2003) and an understanding of the role of time (Thornes and Brunsden 1977) and of history (Wainwright and Thornes 2003).

The degradation of agricultural lands in the Mediterranean region has been investigated at all spatial scales, from small experimental plots on hillslopes to remote sensing of the entire region. One of the major challenges has been to integrate not only the monitoring data with the modelling of erosion processes and changing state of the landscape system but also the findings from different scales of investigation into regional-scale, operational management tools. John Thornes was quick to embrace the possibilities presented by GIS technology (particularly digital elevation models) and satellite remote sensing and the integration of such techniques with smaller scale field studies and process or system models. Indeed, combining field and remote sensing-based monitoring with modelling was one of John's key foci during the latter part of his career, particularly in the European Mediterranean. He then led the development of the conceptual framework that ultimately led to the GIS-based and web-based, management-focused tools that came later. These will undoubtedly continue to be refined, not least to accommodate the threats to sustainability deriving from new technologies and/or revealed by ongoing monitoring programmes.

Management is used here in its broadest sense to refer to all levels of application of research findings by different agents, ranging from individual farmers and local communities through to regional managers and national/international policymakers such as the US federal government or the European parliament. We also use it to include all types of management, from details of how an individual field with particular soil types and rainfall patterns should be ploughed and then planted up to multi-decadal plans for new water resource infrastructure. The outputs of several of the desertification research projects

initiated by John Thornes and outlined in this book provided outputs that are designed to be applied at all scales of application including EU-scale funding decisions. Application of research so as to have tangible effects on individual farmers, governmental policies and/or any other level of governance or commercial activity would constitute what is now generically referred to as 'impact' in the UK research context. 'Impact' is now a critical element of any research funding proposal from public funds (e.g. the UK Research Councils) and research quality assessments that determine how much money is given to each individual university to support research. It is arguably the case that measurable or evidenced research 'impact' is more likely to arise from more integrated and synthetic research projects that incorporate and integrate a greater number and type of research 'elements', that is, methodologies/techniques, disciplines, commercial or other organisational partners (providing end-user perspectives).

The strength of geomorphology as an academic discipline lies in its fundamental importance to so many aspects of society, thus being well placed to succeed in the increasingly selective and output-driven research funding environment in the United Kingdom and many other countries. Multinational engineering companies have built up substantial and interdisciplinary geomorphology teams in recent years, and insurance companies as well as national governments continually seek improved evidence of probabilities of damaging natural hazards occurring requiring hydrological, ecological, geomorphological and socio-economic research. The latter example provides a practical application of geomorphological research to the issue of tectonic hazards, such as interpretations of new evidence for frequency, nature, scale and thus possible impacts of earthquakes, volcanic eruptions and tsunami in particular locations. It is perhaps unfortunate that insurers probably tend to use the higher end of any range of probabilities of occurrence (i.e. more likely), whereas policymakers may be keen

to emphasise the lower end in order to defer having to commit to public spending on mitigation measures! However, as more different types of evidence are obtained and integrated, often from different disciplines and using different approaches and techniques, so the uncertainties are reduced, weights of evidence increased and overall credibility in any headline message is increased among the potential end users. John Thornes showed how geomorphology could integrate and structure information from other disciplinary areas and recognised the need for understanding complex systems in a non-reductionist way (e.g. Thornes 1985; Wainwright 2009). His collaboration with Antonio Gilman demonstrated the value of geomorphology to archaeology (Gilman and Thornes 1985), and there are many other examples of interdisciplinary research and commercial applications in ecology (Nortcliff and Thornes 1988), hydrology (Francis and Thornes 1990; Dykes and Thornes 2000), climate (Thornes and Alcántara-Ayala 1998) and society (Trimble and Thornes 1990; Thornes 2005).

Aims, purpose and structure of this book

The aim of this book is to demonstrate the lasting significance of the integrated monitoring and modelling philosophy of geomorphological research pioneered by John Thornes, by reporting recent and ongoing research and applied work that utilise this approach. This collection of work includes a wide range of types of research and applied studies undertaken using this integrated approach and, as such, serves to demonstrate the value and effectiveness of adopting such an investigative framework. The authors of all of these works are academics and practitioners who were either taught by John Thornes as postgraduate (and in one case undergraduate) students or who worked with him on one or more research projects, and their

present colleagues and research partners. John's inspirational teaching and leadership is reflected in the enthusiasm with which the contributors agreed to write for this book, which should also serve as a lasting tribute to his academic career as a leading geomorphologist. The book is structured in two parts. The first is made up of studies inspired and informed by the philosophy outlined earlier, while the second reflects more directly on John's own contributions to the discipline.

Part 1 of the book is made up of chapters that vary in emphasis from data collection (monitoring) at one extreme to the application of models to policy development at the other. The first six chapters cover this range and, as such, broadly parallel the changing focus of John Thornes' research in Spain and, later, most of southern Europe. Romero Díaz and Ruíz Sinoga (Chapter 2) examine the measurement of soil erosion in Spain, starting with methods initiated by John Thornes. Through their examination of scale effects and other factors relating to the measurement and monitoring techniques used, they highlight the potential difficulties of obtaining data that can be used reliably to quantify soil loss and, thus, set up and indeed validate models of soil erosion for varying soil, slope and vegetation conditions in particular. Cerdà et al. (Chapter 3) report findings from a later stage of experimental fieldwork that examined the influence of shrubs on the hydrological and erosional conditions of Spanish hillslopes, demonstrating an effect identified and argued by John Thornes long before relevant monitoring was undertaken to provide data that could enable the effect to be modelled. Hooke and Mant (Chapter 4) further highlight the difficulties of parameterising models in their presentation of combined flood and vegetation change data for ephemeral channels in Spain. In this study, the integration of two themes – channel morphology and vegetation dynamics – appears to have greatly increased the apparent level of complexity inherent in the connectivity between these landscape components.

More emphasis on the value of modelling is provided by Wainwright (Chapter 5) who discusses conceptual frameworks for attempting to analyse the nature of the complexities of past land use and associated geomorphological change in the Mediterranean region. The key element here is the development of a non-linear modelling framework that integrates not only vegetation and erosion processes but also the evidence of patterns of human settlement, effects of agricultural practices and, often, later abandonment. In Chapter 6, Brandt and Geeson explain how the scientific findings of the desertification research relating to contemporary environmental and socio-economic pressures, that is, incorporating anthropogenic factors integrated with knowledge of the range of geomorphological and ecological processes, were made available to end users. This chapter demonstrates the importance of engaging with all types of end users from practitioners to managers, as well as other scientists, while undertaking the research and designing the outcomes of the research to be as user-friendly as possible across the board. Mulligan (Chapter 7) takes many of these ideas a further step forward by presenting the historical timeline of a web-based modelling framework that simulates the effects of particular policy decisions relating to management of sensitive and highly variable landscapes in the Mediterranean and beyond. With provenance in the early monitoring and modelling of Mediterranean desertification under John's supervision, this framework is now a sophisticated spatial policy support system in wide use at various levels of decision-making around the world.

Subsequent chapters present hydrological, geomorphological and environmental management studies undertaken in a variety of global contexts, reflecting the very wide range of John's interests and activities beyond his core focus on the Mediterranean region. His particular concern for water resources and water management in semi-arid environments and elsewhere, as well as natural hydrological processes and systems,