

TECHNOLOGY
TRANSFER AND
THE NEW EU
COMPETITION RULES

Intellectual Property Licensing
after Modernisation

STEVEN D. ANDERMAN
JOHN KALLAUGHER



OXFORD

TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER AND THE NEW EU COMPETITION RULES

Intellectual Property Licensing
after Modernisation

STEVEN D. ANDERMAN
JOHN KALLAUGHER

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford OX2 6DP

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford.
It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship,
and education by publishing worldwide in

Oxford New York

Auckland Cape Town Dar es Salaam Hong Kong Karachi
Kuala Lumpur Madrid Melbourne Mexico City Nairobi
New Delhi Shanghai Taipei Toronto

With offices in

Argentina Austria Brazil Chile Czech Republic France Greece
Guatemala Hungary Italy Japan Poland Portugal Singapore
South Korea Switzerland Thailand Turkey Ukraine Vietnam

Oxford is a registered trade mark of Oxford University Press
in the UK and in certain other countries

Published in the United States
by Oxford University Press Inc., New York

© Steve Anderman and John Kallaugher, 2006

The moral rights of the authors have been asserted
Database right Oxford University Press (maker)
Crown copyright material is reproduced under Class Licence
Number C01P0000148 with the permission of OPSI
and the Queen's Printer for Scotland

First published 2006

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced,
stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means,
without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press,
or as expressly permitted by law, or under terms agreed with the appropriate
reprographics rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction
outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department,
Oxford University Press, at the address above

You must not circulate this book in any other binding or cover
and you must impose the same condition on any acquirer

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
Data available

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data
Data available

Typeset by RefineCatch Limited, Bungay, Suffolk
Printed in Great Britain
on acid-free paper by
Antony Rowe Ltd., Chippenham, Wiltshire

ISBN 0-19-928214-5 978-0-19-928214-2

1 3 5 7 9 10 8 6 4 2

TABLE OF CASES

A. Alphabetical—All Cases	xiii
B. Numerical—Judgments of European Court of Justice	xvi
C. Numerical—Judgments of Court of First Instance	xvi
D. Commission Decisions	xvii

A. Alphabetical—All Cases

ABI OJ [1987] L43/51; [1989] 4 CMLR 238	3.34
Aer Lingus/British Midland OJ [1992] L96/34; [1993] 4 CMLR 596	10.37
AKZO Chemie BV <i>v</i> Commission (C-62/86) [1991] ECR I-3359; [1993] 5 CMLR 215	10.08
Alcatel/Espace/ANT OJ [1990] L32/19; [1991] 4 CMLR 208	3.34
Almelo <i>v</i> NV Energiebedrijf IJsselmeij (C-393/92) [1994] ECR I-1477	10.11
Amersham/Buchler OJ [1982] L314/34; [1983] 1 CMLR 619	3.34
AOIP/Beyrard OJ [1976] L6/8; [1976] 1 CMLR D14	2.30, 7.84
Asahi/St Gobain OJ [1994] L354/87	3.34
Assurpol OJ [1992] L37/16; [1993] 4 CMLR 338	3.35
Atlas OJ [1996] L239/23	3.34
 Bayer <i>v</i> Henneke Süllhöfer (65/86) [1988] ECR 5249; [1990] 4 CMLR 182	7.84
BBC Brown Boveri OJ [1988] L301/68; [1989] 4 CMLR 610	3.34
Boussois/Interpane OJ [1987] L50/30; [1988] 4 CMLR 124	2.48, 7.103
BRT <i>v</i> SABAM (127/73) [1974] ECR 313; [1974] 2 CMR 238	10.06, 10.19
BT/MCI OJ [1994] L223/36	3.32
Burroughs Delplanque JO [1972] L13/50; [1972] CMLR D67	7.105
 Camera Care <i>v</i> Commission (792/79 R) [1980] ECR 119; [1980] 1 CMLR 334	10.44
Campari OJ [1978] L70/69; [1978] 2 CMLR 397	2.51
Coditel I (62/79) [1980] ECR 881; [1981] 2 CMLR 362	2.32, 2.34
Coditel II (262/81)[1982] ECR 3381; [1983] 1 CMLR 49	2.32, 10.22
Commission <i>v</i> Portugal [2002] 4 CMLR 319 [?pf case no?]	10.22
Concordato/Incendio OJ [1990] L15/25; [1991] 1 CMLR 199	3.34
Consten and Grundig <i>v</i> Commission (56 & 58/64) [1966] ECR 299; [1966] CMLR 418	1.12, 2.04, 2.08, 2.09, 2.10, 2.11, 3.29, 7.13
Continental Can <i>v</i> Commission (6/72 R) [1972] ECR 157; [1972] CMLR 690	6.18
 Davidson Rubber JO [1972] L143/31; [1972] CMLR D52	2.15, 7.84
Delta Chemie/DDD OJ [1988] L309/34; [1989] 4 CMLR 535	2.40,
	3.34, 7.103, 7.107, 7.109
 ENI/Montedison OJ [1986] L5/13; [1989] 4 CMLR 444	3.35
Enichem/ICI OJ [1988] L50/18; [1989] 4 CMLR 54	3.35

Table of Cases

Erauw-Jacquery <i>v</i> La Hesbignonne [27/87] [1988] ECR 1919; [1988] 4 CMLR 576	2.37
European Night Services Ltd (ENS) <i>v</i> Commission (T-374/94) [1998] ECR II-3141	3.10, 10.38
FN/CF JO [1971] L134/6	3.34
Ford <i>v</i> Commission (25 & 26/84) [1985] ECR 2725; [1985] 3 CMLR 528	3.29
Ford/Volkswagen OJ [1993] L20/14	3.35
FTC <i>v</i> Staples Inc 970 F. Supp. 1066 (DDC 1997)	4.07
Fujitsu/AMD OJ [1994] L341/66	3.34
GEC/Weir Sodium Circulators OJ [1977] L327/26; [1978] 1 CMLR D42	3.34
Gencor Ltd <i>v</i> Commission (T-102/96) [1999] ECR II-753; [1999] 5 CMLR 971	10.11
Grundig II OJ [1994] L20/15	3.34
Hoffman La-Roche <i>v</i> Commission (85/76) [1979] ECR 461; [1979] 3 CMLR 211	10.06, 10.08, 10.13, 10.23
IATA Cargo Agency Programme OJ [1991] L258/29; [1992] 5 CMLR 796	3.35
ICI and Commercial Solvents <i>v</i> Commission (6 & 7/73) [1974] ECR 223; [1974] 1 CMLR 309	10.37, 10.41
IMS Health Inc <i>v</i> Commission (T-184/01) [2001] ECR II-3193	10.34, 10.44, 10.47, 10.54
Irish Sugar [2001] 5 CMLR 1082	10.26
Italian Flat Glass (T-68 & 77-78/89) [1992] ECR II-1403; [1992] 5 CMLR 302	10.11
Iveco/Ford OJ [1988] L230/39; [1989] 4 CMLR 40	3.34
Ivoclар OJ [1985] L369/1; [1988] 4 CMLR 781	3.34
Jahrhundertvertrag OJ [1993] L50/14	3.34
Javico International <i>v</i> Yves St Laurent (C-306/96) [1998] ECR I-1983; [1998] 5 CMLR 172	7.49, 8.46
Kabelmetal/Luchaire OJ [1975] L222/34; [1975] 2 CMLR D40	2.19
Kish Glass <i>v</i> Commission (C-241/00 P) [2001] ECR I-7759	6.24
La Cinq <i>v</i> Commission (T-44/90) [1992] ECR II-1	3.29
Langnese-Iglo <i>v</i> Commission (T-7/93) [1995] ECR II-1533	3.37
Lucaleau <i>v</i> SACEM (110, 241 & 242/88) [1989] ECR 2811; [1991] 4 CMLR 248	10.16
Lufthansa/SAS OJ [1996] L54/28	3.35
Magill Case <i>see</i> Radio Telefis Eireann <i>v</i> Commission	
Matra Hachette <i>v</i> Commission (T-17/93) [1994] ECR II-595	3.27
Metro <i>v</i> Commission I (26/76) [1977] ECR 1875; [1978] 2 CMLR 1	3.35, 3.37, 3.38
Metro <i>v</i> Commission II (75/84) [1986] ECR 3021; [1987] 1 CMLR 118	3.29
Métropole Télévision SA (T-206/99) [2001] ECR II-1057	3.29
Michelin <i>v</i> Commission (322/81) [1983] ECR 3461; [1985] 1 CMLR 282	6.03, 6.18, 10.04
Microsoft Corp <i>v</i> Commission (T-201/04 R) [2004] 5 CMLR 21	5.34, 6.49, 6.58, 8.49, 10.02, 10.34, 10.49
Moosehead/Whitbread OJ [1990] L100/32; [1991] 4 CMLR 391	2.51
Morton Salt <i>v</i> G S Suppiger Co 314 US 488 (1941)	2.23
NDC Health/IMS Health OJ [2002] L59/18	10.44
Nungesser KG <i>v</i> Commission (258/78) [1982] ECR 2015; [1983] 1 CMLR 278	2.03, 2.27, 2.40, 7.20, 7.24

Table of Cases

Optical Fibres OJ [1986] L236/30	3.35
Oscar Bronner GmbH & Co KG <i>v</i> Mediaprint (C-7/97) [1998] ECR I-7791	10.38
Parke, Davis <i>v</i> Proble <i>et al</i> (24/67) [1968] ECR 55; [1968] CMLR 47	6.53, 10.16
Pasteur/Mérieux OJ [1994] L30/1	3.35
Philips/Osram OJ [1994] L378/37	3.35
Phoenix GlobalOne OJ [1996] L239/57	3.34
Pronuptia (161/84) [1986] ECR 353; [1986] 1 CMLR 414	2.39, 2.41
Radio Telefis Eireann <i>v</i> Commission (Magill Case) (C-241/91 P) [1991] ECR II-485	1.01, 6.53, 6.58, 10.40, 10.42, 10.48, 10.51
Raymond Nagoya JO [1972] L143/39; [1972] CMLR D45	7.109
Remia BV <i>v</i> Commission (42/84) [1985] ECR 2545; [1987] 1 CMLR 1	2.41
Reuter/BASF OJ [1976] L254/40; [1976] 2 CMLR D44	2.41
Rich Products/Jus-Rol OJ [1988] L69/21; [1988] 4 CMLR 527	2.40, 7.105, 7.106
Rockwell/Iveco OJ [1983] L224/19; [1983] 3 CMLR 709	3.35
Schoeller OJ [1993] L183/1	3.29
SCK/FNK OJ [1995] L312/79	3.29
Scottish and Newcastle OJ [1999] L186/28	3.35
Sirena <i>v</i> Eda (40/70) [1970] ECR 69; [1971] CMLR 260	6.53
Shaw and Falla <i>v</i> Commission (T-131/99) [2002] ECR II-2023	3.31
SOPELEM/Vickers OJ [1978] L70/47; [1978] 2 CMLR 146	3.35
STM <i>v</i> Maschinenbau Ulm (56/65) [1966] ECR 235; [1966] CMLR 357	2.27
Technische Universität München <i>v</i> Hauptzollamt München-Mitte (C-269/90) [1991] ECR I-5469	3.29
Terrapin <i>v</i> Terranova (119/75) [1976] ECR 1039; [1976] 2 CMLR 482	6.66
Tetra Pak <i>v</i> Commission (T-83/91) [1994] ECR II-755	10.37
Tetra Pak <i>v</i> Commission (C-333/94 P) [1996] ECR I-5951	6.24
Tetra Pak II Rausing SA <i>v</i> Commission (T-51/89) [1990] ECR II-309; [1991] 4 CMLR 334	2.56, 10.18, 10.23
United Brands <i>v</i> Commission (27/76) [1978] ECR 207; [1978] 1 CMLR 429	6.23,
	10.07, 10.08, 10.16, 10.37
United States <i>v</i> United States Gypsum Co 333 US 364	2.23
U.S. Philips Corp. <i>v</i> ITC (Fed. Cir. 21 September, 2005) (reversing finding by International Trade Commission that package license including non-essential patents constituted unlawful tying)	7.79
Vacessen/Moris OJ [1979] L19/32; [1979] 1 CMLR 511	2.19, 7.74, 7.83, 7.84
Van Landewyck <i>v</i> Commission (209-215 & 218/78) [1980] ECR 3125; [1981] 3 CMLR 134	3.29
Velcro/Aplix OJ [1985] L233/22; [1989] 4 CMLR 157	2.40, 7.81, 7.110
Vichy OJ [1991] L75/57	3.29
Volvo <i>v</i> Veng (238/87) [1988] ECR 6211; [1989] 4 CMLR 122	10.36
VW/MAN OJ [1983] L376/11; [1984] 1 CMLR 621	3.35
Whitbread OJ [1999] L88/26	3.35
Windsurfing Intl <i>v</i> Commission (193/83) [1986] ECR 611; [1986] 3 CMLR 489	7.72, 7.109, 8.35
X/Open Group OJ [1987] L35/36; [1988] 4 CMLR 542	3.34

B. Numerical—Judgments of European Court of Justice

56 & 58/64 Consten and Grundig <i>v</i> Commission [1966] ECR 299; [1966] CMLR 418	1.12, 2.04, 2.08, 2.09, 2.10, 2.11, 3.29, 7.13
56/65 STM <i>v</i> Maschinenbau Ulm [1966] ECR 235; [1966] CMLR 357	2.27
24/67 Parke, Davis <i>v</i> Proble <i>et al</i> [1968] ECR 55; [1968] CMLR 47	6.53, 10.16
40/70 Sirena <i>v</i> Eda [1970] ECR 69; [1971] CMLR 260	6.53
6/72 R Continental Can <i>v</i> Commission [1972] ECR 157; [1972] CMLR 690	6.18
6 & 7/73 ICI and Commercial Solvents <i>v</i> Commission [1974] ECR 223; [1974] 1 CMLR 309	10.37, 10.41
127/73 BRT <i>v</i> SABAM [1974] ECR 313; [1974] 2 CMR 238	10.06, 10.19
119/75 Terrapin <i>v</i> Terranova [1976] ECR 1039; [1976] 2 CMLR 482	6.66
26/76 Metro <i>v</i> Commission I [1977] ECR 1875; [1978] 2 CMLR 1	3.35, 3.37, 3.38
27/76 United Brands <i>v</i> Commission [1978] ECR 207; [1978] 1 CMLR 429	6.23, 10.07, 10.08, 10.16, 10.37
85/76 Hoffman La-Roche <i>v</i> Commission [1979] ECR 461; [1979] 3 CMLR 211	10.06, 10.08, 10.13, 10.23
209–215 & 218/78 Van Landewyck <i>v</i> Commission [1980] ECR 3125; [1981] 3 CMLR 134	3.29
258/78 Nungesser KG <i>v</i> Commission [1982] ECR 2015; [1983] 1 CMLR 278	2.03, 2.27, 2.40, 7.20, 7.24
62/79 Coditel I [1980] ECR 881; [1981] 2 CMLR 362	2.32, 2.34
792/79 R Camera Care <i>v</i> Commission [1980] ECR 119; [1980] 1 CMLR 334	10.44
262/81 Coditel II [1982] ECR 3381; [1983] 1 CMLR 49	2.32, 10.22
322/81 Michelin <i>v</i> Commission [1983] ECR 3461; [1985] 1 CMLR 282	6.03, 6.18, 10.04
193/83 Windsurfing Intl <i>v</i> Commission [1986] ECR 611; [1986] 3 CMLR 489	7.72, 7.109, 8.35
25 & 26/84 Ford <i>v</i> Commission [1985] ECR 2725; [1985] 3 CMLR 528	3.29
42/84 Remia BV <i>v</i> Commission [1985] ECR 2545; [1987] 1 CMLR 1	2.41
75/84 Metro <i>v</i> Commission II [1986] ECR 3021; [1987] 1 CMLR 118	3.29
161/84 Pronuptia [1986] ECR 353; [1986] 1 CMLR 414	2.39, 2.41
C-62/86 AKZO Chemie BV <i>v</i> Commission [1991] ECR I-3359; [1993] 5 CMLR 215	10.08
65/86 Bayer <i>v</i> Henneke Süllhöfer [1988] ECR 5249; [1990] 4 CMLR 182	7.84
27/87 Erauw-Jacquery <i>v</i> La Hesbignonne [1988] ECR 1919; [1988] 4 CMLR 576	2.37
238/87 Volvo <i>v</i> Veng [1988] ECR 6211; [1989] 4 CMLR 122	10.36
110, 241 & 242/88 Lucaleau <i>v</i> SACEM [1989] ECR 2811; [1991] 4 CMLR 248	10.16
C-269/90 Technische Universität München <i>v</i> Hauptzollamt München-Mitte [1991] ECR I-5469	3.29
C-241/91 P Radio Telefis Éireann <i>v</i> Commission (Magill Case) [1991] ECR II-485	1.01, 6.53, 6.58, 10.40, 10.42, 10.48, 10.51
C-393/92 Almelo <i>v</i> NV Energiebedrijf IJsselmeij [1994] ECR I-1477	10.11
C-333/94 P Tetra Pak <i>v</i> Commission [1996] ECR I-5951	6.24
C-306/96 Javico International <i>v</i> Yves St Laurent [1998] ECR I-1983; [1998] 5 CMLR 172	7.49, 8.46
C-7/97 Oscar Bronner GmbH & Co KG <i>v</i> Mediaprint [1998] ECR I-7791	10.38
C-241/00 P Kish Glass <i>v</i> Commission [2001] ECR I-7759	6.24

C. Numerical—Judgments of Court of First Instance

T-51/89 Tetra Pak II Rausing SA <i>v</i> Commission [1990] ECR II-309; [1991] 4 CMLR 334	2.56, 10.18, 10.23
T-68 & 77–78/89 Italian Flat Glass [1992] ECR II-1403; [1992] 5 CMLR 302	10.11

Table of Cases

T-44/90 <i>La Cinq v Commission</i> [1992] ECR II-1	3.29
T-83/91 <i>Tetra Pak v Commission</i> [1994] ECR II-755	10.37
T-7/93 <i>Langnese-Iglo v Commission</i> [1995] ECR II-1533	3.37
T-17/93 <i>Matra Hachette v Commission</i> [1994] ECR II-595	3.27
T-374/94 <i>European Night Services Ltd ENS v Commission</i> [1998] ECR II-3141	3.10, 10.38
T-102/96 <i>Gencor Ltd v Commission</i> [1999] ECR II-753; [1999] 5 CMLR 971	10.11
T-131/99 <i>Shaw and Falla v Commission</i> [2002] ECR II-2023	3.31
T-206/99 <i>Métropole Télévision SA</i> [2001] ECR II-1057	3.29
T-184/01 <i>IMS Health Inc v Commission</i> [2001] ECR II-3193	10.34, 10.44, 10.47, 10.54
T-201/04 <i>R Microsoft Corp v Commission</i> [2004] 5 CMLR 21	5.34, 6.49, 6.58, 8.49, 10.02, 10.34, 10.49

D. Commission Decisions

ABI OJ [1987] L43/51; [1989] 4 CMLR 238	3.34
Aer Lingus/British Midland OJ [1992] L96/34; [1993] 4 CMLR 596	10.37
Alcatel/Espace/ANT OJ [1990] L32/19; [1991] 4 CMLR 208	3.34
Amersham/Buchler OJ [1982] L314/34; [1983] 1 CMLR 619	3.34
AOIP/Beynard OJ [1976] L6/8; [1976] 1 CMLR D14	2.30, 7.84
Asahi/St Gobain OJ [1994] L354/87	3.34
Assurpol OJ [1992] L37/16; [1993] 4 CMLR 338	3.35
Atlas OJ [1996] L239/23	3.34
 BBC Brown Boveri OJ [1988] L301/68; [1989] 4 CMLR 610	3.34
Boussois/Interpane OJ [1987] L50/30; [1988] 4 CMLR 124	2.48, 7.103
BT/MCI OJ [1994] L223/36	3.32
Burroughs Delplanque JO [1972] L13/50; [1972] CMLR D67	7.105
 Campari OJ [1978] L70/69; [1978] 2 CMLR 397	2.51
Concordato/Incendio OJ [1990] L15/25; [1991] 1 CMLR 199	3.34
 Davidson Rubber JO [1972] L143/31; [1972] CMLR D52	2.15, 7.84
Delta Chemie/DDD OJ [1988] L309/34; [1989] 4 CMLR 535	2.40, 3.34, 7.103, 7.107, 7.109
 ENI/Montedison OJ [1986] L5/13; [1989] 4 CMLR 444	3.35
Enichem/ICI OJ [1988] L50/18; [1989] 4 CMLR 54	3.35
 FN/CF JO [1971] L134/6	3.34
Ford/Volkswagen OJ [1993] L20/14	3.35
Fujitsu/AMD OJ [1994] L341/66	3.34
 GEC/Weir Sodium Circulators OJ [1977] L327/26; [1978] 1 CMLR D42	3.34
Grundig II OJ [1994] L20/15	3.34
 IATA Cargo Agency Programme OJ [1991] L258/29; [1992] 5 CMLR 796	3.35
Irish Sugar [2001] 5 CMLR 1082	10.26
Iveco/Ford OJ [1988] L230/39; [1989] 4 CMLR 40	3.34
Ivoclар OJ [1985] L369/1; [1988] 4 CMLR 781	3.34
 Jahrhundertvertrag OJ [1993] L50/14	3.34

Table of Cases

Kabelmetal/Luchaire OJ [1975] L222/34; [1975] 2 CMLR D40	2.19
Lufthansa/SAS OJ [1996] L54/28	3.35
Moosehead/Whitbread OJ [1990] L100/32; [1991] 4 CMLR 391	2.51
NDC Health/IMS Health OJ [2002] L59/18	10.44
Optical Fibres OJ [1986] L236/30	3.35
Pasteur/Mérieux OJ [1994] L30/1	3.35
Philips/Osram OJ [1994] L378/37	3.35
Phoenix GlobalOne OJ [1996] L239/57	3.34
Raymond Nagoya JO [1972] L143/39; [1972] CMLR D45	7.109
Reuter/BASF OJ [1976] L254/40; [1976] 2 CMLR D44	2.41
Rich Products/Jus-Rol OJ [1988] L69/21; [1988] 4 CMLR 527	2.40, 7.105, 7.106
Rockwell/Iveco OJ [1983] L224/19; [1983] 3 CMLR 709	3.35
Schoeller OJ [1993] L183/1	3.29
SCK/FNK OJ [1995] L312/79	3.29
Scottish and Newcastle OJ [1999] L186/28	3.35
SOPELEM/Vickers OJ [1978] L70/47; [1978] 2 CMLR 146	3.35
Vaessen/Moris OJ [1979] L19/32; [1979] 1 CMLR 511	2.19, 7.74, 7.83, 7.84
Velcro/Aplix OJ [1985] L233/22; [1989] 4 CMLR 157	2.40, 7.81, 7.110
Vichy OJ [1991] L75/57	3.29
VW/MAN OJ [1983] L376/11; [1984] 1 CMLR 621	3.35
Whitbread OJ [1999] L88/26	3.35
X/Open Group OJ [1987] L35/36; [1988] 4 CMLR 542	3.34

TABLE OF LEGISLATION, TREATIES AND CONVENTIONS

Paragraph numbers in bold indicate that the text is reproduced in full

TREATIES AND CONVENTIONS

Community Patent Convention 1975	
Art.43(1)	2.16
Art.43(2)	2.16
Art.59	2.32
EC Treaty	3.08
Art.3(g)	10.26
Art.81	1.01, 1.02, 1.07, 1.08, 1.09, 1.14, 1.15, 1.16, 1.17, 1.18, 1.19, 1.21, 1.23, 2.10, 2.66, 3.01, 3.02, 3.07, 3.08, 3.10, 3.11, 3.13, 3.14, 3.15, 3.16, 3.43, 4.01, 4.11, 4.16, 4.17, 4.24, 4.26, 4.68, 5.01, 5.03, 5.04, 5.05, 5.06, 5.08, 5.12, 5.13, 5.14, 5.15, 5.20, 5.22, 5.25, 5.27, 5.28, 5.54, 5.57, 5.64, 5.71, 5.80, 6.30, 6.42, 7.03, 7.04, 7.06, 7.09, 7.10, 7.34, 7.48, 7.50, 7.55, 7.60, 7.64, 7.65, 7.67, 7.71, 7.76, 7.80, 7.83, 7.85, 7.92, 7.99, 7.100, 8.06, 8.09, 8.10, 8.12, 8.13, 8.14, 8.23, 8.25, 8.37, 8.60, 9.02, 9.03, 9.08, 9.09, 9.16, 9.20, 9.26, 9.28, 9.31, 9.38, 10.01, 10.35
Art.81(1)	1.05, 1.16, 2.02, 2.04, 2.05, 2.06, 2.10, 2.11, 2.14, 2.15, 2.17, 2.19, 2.20, 2.21, 2.25, 2.27, 2.28, 2.30, 2.31, 2.36, 2.37, 2.41, 2.42, 2.54, 2.59, 2.68, 3.04, 3.10, 3.11, 3.12, 3.13, 3.15, 3.16, 3.18–3.24, 3.42, 4.01, 5.05, 5.67, 5.69, 7.10, 7.15, 7.20, 7.22, 7.24, 7.28, 7.29, 7.39, 7.49, 7.66, 7.72, 7.81, 7.92, 7.94, 7.96, 7.101, 7.102, 7.104, 7.107, 7.109, 7.110, 8.02, 8.35, 8.37, 8.43, 8.44, 8.59, 9.11, 9.12, 9.16, 9.33, 9.36, 9.37, 9.39, 9.44, 10.04
Art.81(2)	2.68
Art.81(3)	1.16, 2.07, 2.18, 2.20, 2.25, 2.27, 2.43, 2.54, 2.56, 2.59,
Art.82	2.68, 3.04, 3.07, 3.11, 3.12, 3.13, 3.14, 3.17, 3.21, 3.25, 3.26–3.38, 3.42, 3.44, 4.01, 4.66, 4.68, 4.77, 4.79, 4.81, 5.22, 5.53, 5.65, 5.66, 5.67, 7.11, 7.22, 7.25, 7.27, 7.29, 7.34, 7.36, 7.50, 7.64, 7.65, 7.72, 7.85, 7.86, 7.92, 7.102, 7.110, 8.02, 8.13, 8.32, 8.38, 8.40, 8.47, 8.51, 8.55, 9.14, 9.16, 9.20, 9.42, App.2
Art.82(a)	1.02, 1.04, 1.09, 1.13, 2.45, 2.62, 3.39, 6.30, 9.31, 10.01, 10.03, 10.04, 10.06, 10.09, 10.10, 10.13, 10.26, 10.35, 10.36, 10.37, 10.40, 10.44, 10.54
Art.82(b)	10.15, 10.16, 10.19
Art.82(c)	10.33
Art.82(d)	10.20, 10.22, 10.23, 10.26, 10.28
Art.85	10.31
Art.85(1)	2.33
Art.85(2)	2.22, 2.32, 2.34, 2.39, 2.40, 2.41
Art.85(3)	2.18

Regulations

Reg.17/62 First Transfer Block	
Exemption Regulation OJ Spec	
Ed [1959–1962] 87	2.64, 2.67, 7.65
Art.4(2)	2.02, 2.05
Reg.1968/68 Exclusive Distribution	
Block Exemption Regulation	
[?pf OJ ref?]	2.12
Reg.2349/84 Patent Licensing Block	
Exemption Regulation OJ	
[1984] L219/15	2.18, 2.43, 2.51
Recital 9	2.47
Recital 11	2.43
Art.1(1)	2.44
Art.1(2)	2.47
Art.5(1)	2.47

Reg.417/85 on the application of Article 85(3) of the Treaty to categories of specialisation agreements OJ [1985] L53/1	2.52	Art.4(1)(b)	8.50
Reg.418/85 on the application of Article 85(3) of the Treaty to categories of research and development OJ [1985] L53/5	2.52	Art.4(1)(c)	8.47
Reg.4087/88 Franchising Block Exemption Regulation OJ [1988] L359/46	2.52	Art.4(1)(c)(iv)	8.40
Reg.556/89 Know-how Block Exemption Regulation OJ [1989] L61/1	2.48, 2.51	Art.4(1)(d)	7.05, 8.34
Recital 1	2.48	Art.4(2)	7.11
Recital 15	2.50	Art.4(2)(a)	7.68, 8.30
Art.2(1)(7)	2.50	Art.4(2)(b)	7.31
Reg.4064/89 Merger Regulation OJ [1990] L257/13	2.52	Art.4(2)(b)(ii)	7.45
Reg.151/93 OJ [1993] L21/8	2.52	Art.5	2.58
Reg.240/96 Unified Technology Transfer Block Exemption Regulation (TTBER) OJ [1996] L31/2	1.14, 1.21, 2.01, 2.52, 2.61, 2.62, 2.63, 2.65, 5.21, 5.33, 5.60, 5.64, 5.67, 5.68, 5.69, 5.70, 5.78, 7.05, 7.07, 7.15, 7.21, 7.27, 7.34, 7.55, 7.56, 7.58, 7.60, 7.72, 7.80, 7.83, 7.89, 7.91, 8.02, 8.04, 8.45, 8.47, 8.53, 8.55, 8.57, App.1, App.3	2.63, 2.66, 9.02	
Recital 3	2.54	Reg.2659/2000 on the application of Article 81(3) of the Treaty to categories of specialisation agreements OJ [2000] L304/3	2.63, 2.66, 9.02
Recital 5	5.65	Reg.2659/2000 on the application of Article 81(3) of the Treaty to categories of research and development OJ [2000] L304/7	2.63, 2.66
Recital 9	5.65		
Recital 10	5.66		
Recital 11	5.66		
Recital 12	5.66		
Recital 21	7.68		
Art.1(j)(ii)	7.04		
Art.1(n)	7.97		
Art.2	1.18, 7.108		
Art.4	5.21, 5.22, 7.11, 7.31, 8.13		
Art.4(1)(a)	8.30, 8.32, 8.34		
Art.4(1)(b)	8.50		
Art.4(1)(c)	8.47		
Art.4(1)(c)(iv)	8.40		
Art.4(1)(d)	7.05, 8.34		
Art.4(2)	7.11		
Art.4(2)(a)	7.68, 8.30		
Art.4(2)(b)	7.31		
Art.4(2)(b)(ii)	7.45		
Art.5	2.58		
Art.5(1)(a)	7.97		
Art.5(1)(b)	7.97		
Art.5(2)	7.92		
Art.7	2.56		
Reg.2790/1999 Vertical Agreements Block Exemption Regulation OJ [1999] L336/21	2.63, 2.66		
Art.2(1)	4.45		
Reg.2658/2000 on the implementation of the rules on competition laid down in Articles 81 and 82 of the Treaty OJ [2003] L1/1	1.16, 2.64, 2.67, 3.01		
Art.2	5.54		
Reg.772/2004 on the application of Article 81(3) of the Treaty to categories of technology transfer agreements OJ [2004] L123/11	App.2		
Reg.773/2004 relating to the conduct of proceedings by the Commission pursuant to Articles 81 and 82 of the Treaty OJ [2004] L123/18	App.3		

CONTENTS—SUMMARY

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Legislation, Treaties and Conventions</i>	xix

I INTRODUCTION

1. Introduction	3
2. The Development of the Regulatory Framework for IP Licensing in the EC	13

II THE NEW FRAMEWORK FOR ANALYSIS OF IP ISSUES

3. The ‘Modernisation’ of EU Competition Law Enforcement—the New Analytical Paradigm for Applying Article 81	45
4. Applying the Economics-based Approach to Article 81: Assessing Competitive Harm and Economic Benefit	65
5. IP Licensing under the New Paradigm	103
6. Market Definition	135

III APPLYING THE METHODOLOGY TO AGREEMENTS UNDER THE NEW RULES

7. ‘Vertical’ Agreements for Technology Transfer between ‘Non-competitors’	167
8. Licensing between Competitors	217
9. Cooperative Development and Exploitation of Technology—Standard-setting, Technology Pools, and Joint Research and Development	243
10. Technology Licensing and Article 82	267
Appendices	291
<i>Index</i>	363

CONTENTS

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Legislation, Treaties and Conventions</i>	xix

I INTRODUCTION

1. Introduction	
A. Introduction	1.01
B. Background—the Relationship between Competition Law and IP	1.11
C. The New Legal Framework	1.16
2. The Development of the Regulatory Framework for IP Licensing in the EC	
A. Introduction	2.01
B. Stage I: Before the BERs	2.04
(1) The pre-Grundig years	2.04
(2) The post-Grundig years	2.09
(3) The Court and the concept of restriction of competition	2.27
(4) Non-territorial restraints and restrictions on competition	2.41
C. Stage II: The Development of Block Exemption Regulations for Technology Transfer Agreements	2.42
(1) The Patent Licensing Block Exemption Regulation (2349/84)	2.43
(2) The Know-how Block Exemption Regulation (556/89)	2.48
(3) The Unified Technology Transfer Block Exemption Regulation (240/96)	2.52
D. Stage III: The Revision of TTBER in a Modernised Setting	2.63

II THE NEW FRAMEWORK FOR ANALYSIS OF IP ISSUES

3. The ‘Modernisation’ of EU Competition Law Enforcement— the New Analytical Paradigm for Applying Article 81	
A. Introduction	3.01

B. Article 81 in the Old Regime—Ordoliberalism, Legal Formalism, and Prescriptive Block Exemptions	3.03
(1) The Ordoliberal ideal of competition law	3.03
(2) Legal formalism in Article 81(1)	3.05
(3) The prescriptive block exemption	3.07
(4) The limits of the old regime and the drive for change	3.08
C. The ‘Modernised’ Approach to Article 81	3.11
D. Applying the New Paradigm—Structure of Analysis under Article 81	3.15
(1) Article 81(1)	3.15
(2) Article 81(3)	3.25
E. Conclusion—A Structure for Analysis under the New Paradigm	3.41
4. Applying the Economics-based Approach to Article 81: Assessing Competitive Harm and Economic Benefit	
A. Introduction	4.01
B. Policy Goals and Key Concepts	4.02
(1) The goals of competition policy—economic efficiency, consumer welfare and the nature of ‘competition’	4.02
C. The Benefits of Conduct or Structural Change—Static and Dynamic Efficiency	4.12
(1) Static efficiency—allocative and productive efficiencies	4.13
(2) Dynamic efficiency	4.14
D. Controlling ‘Anticompetitive’ Agreements—the Role of Article 81	4.16
(1) How ‘agreements’ lead to competitive harm	4.16
(2) How ‘agreements’ lead to coordinated effects	4.17
(3) How ‘agreements’ lead to unilateral effects	4.18
(4) How ‘agreements’ lead to a reduction in dynamic efficiency	4.19
(5) How ‘restrictive’ agreements lead to consumer benefits	4.20
E. ‘Horizontal’ and ‘Vertical’ Agreements	4.24
(1) Horizontal agreements and consumer harm	4.25
(2) Vertical agreements and consumer harm	4.45
F. Assessing Economic Benefits under the New Paradigm	4.66
(1) General concepts	4.66
(2) Economic benefits of horizontal agreements	4.69
(3) Economic benefits of vertical restrictions	4.78
G. Economic Assessment under the New Paradigm—Conclusion	4.82

5. IP Licensing under the New Paradigm	
A. Introduction	5.01
B. Applying the Paradigm to IP Licensing—Step-by-Step	5.02
(1) Step one—is there an ‘agreement’ or ‘concerted practice’ between ‘undertakings’?	5.03
(2) Step two—is the agreement ‘restrictive’ for the purposes of Article 81(1)?	5.05
(3) Step three—is a restrictive provision ‘ancillary’ to an otherwise non-restrictive agreement?	5.14
(4) Step four—does the agreement or restriction have the <i>object</i> of restricting competition?	5.20
(5) Step five—does the agreement or provision have the <i>effect</i> of restricting competition?	5.23
C. ‘Reduction of Intertechnology Competition’	5.29
D. Foreclosure Effects	5.43
E. Inratechnology Competition	5.48
(1) Step six—does the agreement generate economic benefits?	5.51
(2) Step seven—do the benefits ‘outweigh’ the restrictive effects?	5.54
(3) Step eight—are restrictive provisions ‘indispensable’ to obtain the benefits?	5.57
(4) Step nine—do consumers share in the benefits?	5.62
(5) Step ten—does the agreement ‘eliminate’ competition?	5.63
F. The Block Exemption, the IP Guidelines and the New Paradigm	5.64
G. Applying the New Paradigm in Practice—the Process of Self-assessment	5.70
6. Market Definition	
A. Introduction	6.01
B. General Principles for Defining Markets in EU Law	6.03
(1) The purpose of market definition	6.04
(2) The analytical framework for market definition	6.06
(3) Special issues	6.28
C. General Principles of Market Definition—Conclusion	6.38
D. Defining Markets and IP Rights	6.40
(1) Product markets, technology markets, innovation markets	6.40
(2) Defining downstream product markets where IP rights are involved	6.44

(3) Defining technology markets	6.53
(4) Geographic markets in IP cases	6.64
E. Assessing Market Power in Technology Cases	6.67
(1) Market power in downstream product markets	6.69
(2) Market power in technology cases	6.76
III APPLYING THE METHODOLOGY TO AGREEMENTS UNDER THE NEW RULES	
7. ‘Vertical’ Agreements for Technology Transfer between ‘Non-competitors’	
A. Introduction	7.01
B. When is a Licence Agreement Deemed to be between Non-competitors?	7.04
(1) Applying the new paradigm to agreements between non-competitors	7.08
(2) Analysis of specific restraints	7.17
(3) Field of use and customer restrictions	7.51
(4) Output restrictions	7.57
(5) Captive use restrictions	7.60
(6) Royalties	7.66
(7) Tying and bundling	7.73
(8) No-challenge clauses	7.82
(9) Restrictions on development or use of competing technology	7.87
(10) Improvements and grant-backs	7.95
(11) Other ancillary restrictions	7.101
8. Licensing between Competitors	
A. Introduction	8.01
B. Preliminary Issues—When is a Licence Agreed between ‘Competitors’—How do Different Competitive Relationships Affect the Competition Law Analysis?	8.05
C. Applying the New Paradigm to Licensing between Competitors	8.09
D. Analysis of Specific Restraints	8.25
(1) When is a licence agreement without restrictive clauses restrictive of competition?	8.26
(2) Retail pricing	8.30
(3) Royalties	8.31
(4) Territorial restrictions	8.36

(5) Output restrictions	8.50
(6) Customers' restrictions	8.52
(7) Field of use restrictions	8.56
(8) Captive use restrictions	8.66
9. Cooperative Development and Exploitation of Technology—Standard-setting, Technology Pools, and Joint Research and Development	
A. Introduction	9.01
B. Joint R&D and IP Licensing	9.02
(1) The general approach to R&D cooperation under Article 81	9.03
(2) Licensing and R&D	9.04
C. Standard-Setting	9.07
(1) Background	9.07
(2) Application of Article 81—the approach of the Horizontal Guidelines	9.10
(3) Applying the new Article 81 paradigm to standard-setting	9.17
(4) Standard-setting and IP rights—‘FRAND’ terms and disclosure or licensing obligations on participants	9.21
D. Technology Pools	9.31
(1) Competitive harm in patent pools	9.32
(2) Economic benefits and balancing under Article 81(3)	9.42
10. Technology Licensing and Article 82	
A. Introduction	10.01
B. The Structure of Article 82 Analysis	10.03
(1) Market definition in licensing cases under Article 82	10.06
(2) Dominance in licensing cases	10.07
(3) Abuse in licensing cases	10.13
C. Unfair Licence Terms (Article 82(a))	10.15
(1) Unfair pricing or royalty terms	10.16
(2) Unfair licence conditions	10.18
D. Discrimination	10.20
(1) Dissimilar conditions	10.21
(2) Equivalent transactions	10.22
(3) Competitive disadvantage	10.24
(4) Application to IP licensing—single firm dominance	10.25
(5) Joint dominance	10.26