

STUDIES IN WRITING

Multimodality in Higher Education



Edited by Arlene Archer and Esther Odilia Breuer

BRILL

Multimodality in Higher Education

Edited by

Arlene Archer
Esther Odilia Breuer



BRILL

LEIDEN | BOSTON

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Archer, Arlene, editor. | Breuer, Esther Odilia, 1971- editor.

Title: Multimodality in higher education / edited by Arlene Archer, Esther Odilia Breuer.

Description: Leiden ; Boston : Brill, [2016] | Series: Studies in writing ; 33 | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2016009146 (print) | LCCN 2016021452 (ebook) | ISBN 9789004312050 (hardback : alk. paper) | ISBN 9789004312067 (e-book) | ISBN 9789004312067 (E-book)

Subjects: LCSH: Academic writing. | Education, Higher--United States. | Communication--Methodology.

Classification: LCC LB2369 .M845 2016 (print) | LCC LB2369 (ebook) | DDC 808/.042071--dc23

LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2016009146>

Want or need Open Access? Brill Open offers you the choice to make your research freely accessible online in exchange for a publication charge. Review your various options on brill.com/brill-open.

Typeface for the Latin, Greek, and Cyrillic scripts: "Brill". See and download: brill.com/brill-typeface.

ISSN 1572-6304

ISBN 978-90-04-31205-0 (hardback)

ISBN 978-90-04-31206-7 (e-book)

Copyright 2016 by Koninklijke Brill NV, Leiden, The Netherlands.

Koninklijke Brill NV incorporates the imprints Brill, Brill Hes & De Graaf, Brill Nijhoff, Brill Rodopi and Hotei Publishing.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher.

Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use is granted by Koninklijke Brill NV provided that the appropriate fees are paid directly to The Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Suite 910, Danvers, MA 01923, USA. Fees are subject to change.

This book is printed on acid-free paper and produced in a sustainable manner.

Multimodality in Higher Education

Studies in Writing

The Studies in Writing Series was founded by Gert Rijlaarsdam and Eric Espéret in 1994. It was pursued by Gert Rijlaarsdam until 2014, becoming a reference in the field of writing research.

Series Editors

Raquel Fidalgo (*University of León, Spain*)
Thierry Olive (*National Centre for Scientific Research (CNRS) &
University of Poitiers, France*)

Editorial Board

Rui A. Alves (*University of Porto, Portugal*) – Montserrat Castelló (*Ramon Llull University, Spain*) – David Galbraith (*University of Southampton, UK*)
Karen Harris (*Arizona State University, USA*) – Charles A. MacArthur (*University of Delaware, USA*) – Rosa Manchón (*University of Murcia, Spain*)
Gert Rijlaarsdam (*University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands & University of Antwerp, Belgium*) – Mark Torrance (*Nottingham Trent University, UK*)
Luuk van Waes (*University of Antwerp, Belgium*) – Åsa Wengelin (*University of Gothenburg, Sweden*)

VOLUME 33

The titles published in this series are listed at brill.com/siw

List of Figures and Tables

Figures

- 2.1 A lecture hall in 14th century Bologna (c. 1380) 40
- 2.2 A seventeenth-century image of a lecture hall used for both lecture and disputation at Leipzig 42
- 2.3 Lecture on the evils of alcoholism in the auditorium at Fresne prison, in the late 19th century 46
- 2.4 A wireless classroom in the early 21st century 48
- 3.1 Medium, semiotic modes and genre 58
- 3.2 A sketch of Figure 2.5 on page 52 in Bateman and Schmidt (2012), which retains the original layout and conceptual structure 63
- 3.3 The layout structure of page 52 64
- 3.4 Layout structures in a tourist brochure and an in-flight magazine 66
- 3.5 A partial rhetorical structure on page 52 in Bateman and Schmidt (2012) 69
- 5.1 Example of visual-verbal linkages in a first year student essay 98
- 5.2 Caption as delimiting argument 99
- 5.3 Single image depicting change over time 102
- 5.4 Argument established through juxtaposition of images 103
- 5.5 An example of classification in order to generalise from the particular 'type' 104
- 5.6 Comparison based on classification 105
- 5.7 Modality in a schematic diagram 109
- 5.8 Changing modality in digital arenas 110
- 7.1 Blahnik project student handwritten text inside image, foregrounding fit and sequence 146
- 7.2 Blahnik project student handwritten text inside image, foregrounding tone of voice and alternative readings 148
- 7.3 Two details of student texts from the Comic Sans fashion magazine project, showing radically different ways of handling the generally unwelcome font requirement 151
- 7.4 Dior project student text with 50 adjectives bracketed between blocks of more conventional text 153
- 7.5 Example of one of a set of student Wallace Collection texts on the theme of seductive archetypes—this one is 'The Delicate' 155
- 7.6 An example of a Mannequins Are Vile student text, showing the unevenness resulting from column restrictions anchored and calmed by imagery 157

7.7	Four contrasting examples of 128-word texts, with quite different approaches ranging from apparent fact to fiction	160
8.1	Clover model of writer identity	177
8.2	Different greeting styles	180
8.3	The fist as a common gesture	182
8.4	Transitional image 1	183
8.5	Transitional image 2	183
9.1	Adapted from Berger (2009)	196
9.2	Adapted from Berger (2009)	196
9.3	A map of delicacy and metafunctions	198
9.4	A visual description of Intersemiosis	200
9.5	The Logico-semantic framework	201
9.6	Percentage used among elaboration types	207
9.7	Visual medium divergence	208
9.8	Danger in Photography	209
9.9	Simplicity in Sketching	209
9.10	Overwhelming use of Elaboration	210
9.11	A grouping of plants	212
11.1	Resolution of forces: text produced in first year civil engineering diploma course on drawing	246
11.2	Truss diagram—enlarged view	249
11.3	Magnitude and nature of forces within Truss members—enlarged view	250

Tables

3.1	Page 52 in Bateman and Schmidt (2012)	60
3.2	Cohesive chains on page 52 of Bateman and Schmidt (2012)	72
9.1	Expansion Types	201
9.2	Subcategories of Expansion	203
9.3	Examples of Elaboration	204
9.4	Examples of Extension	205
9.5	Examples of Enhancement	206
10.1	The graduate attributes and learning outcomes related to the assignment	221
10.2	Projected manufacturing costs for each product in 2011	222
10.3	The manufacturing overhead budget for 2011	223
10.4	Frame-it Ltd projected balance sheet	224
10.5	Group 1's Budgeted Balance Sheet	226

10.6	Types of conjunctive ties in the two groups' texts	227
10.7	The frequency of process types in the two written assignments	229
10.8	Group 2's Sales Budget for s and L Line	230
10.9	Examples of relational processes used to assign a new function to the participant	232
10.10	Frequency count and top key words in the two texts	233
10.11	Group 2's Production Budget for s and L Line	234
11.1	Integrative Multi-Semiotic Model	244

List of Contributors

Arlene Archer

University of Cape Town
South Africa

Esther Odilia Breuer

Cologne University
Germany

Anders Björkvall

Stockholm University
Sweden

Lucia Thesen

University of Cape Town
South Africa

Tuomo Huippala

University of Jyväskylä
Finland

Lesley Gourlay

University College London Institute of Education
United Kingdom

Bronwyn T. Williams

University of Louisville
USA

Simon Bell

Coventry University
United Kingdom

Aditi Hunma

University of Cape Town
South Africa

Leo Roehrich

Marshall University

USA

Hesham Suleiman Alyousef

King Saud University

Saudi Arabia

Peter Mickan

University of Adelaide

Australia

Zach Simpson

University of Johannesburg

South Africa

Contents

List of Figures and Tables VII

List of Contributors X

Introduction: A Multimodal Response to Changing Communication
Landscapes in Higher Education 1

Arlene Archer and Esther Breuer

PART 1

Multimodality in Academia

- 1 Ploughing the Field of Higher Education: An Interview with Gunther
Kress 21

Anders Björkvall

- 2 The Past in the Present: Modes, Gaze and Changing Communicative
Practices in Lectures 31

Lucia Thesen

- 3 Aspects of Multimodality in Higher Education Monographs 53

Tuomo Hippiala

- 4 Multimodality, Argument and the Persistence of Written Text 79

Lesley Gourlay

PART 2

Multimodality in Text Composition

- 5 Multimodal Academic Argument: Ways of Organising Knowledge
across Writing and Image 93

Arlene Archer

- 6 Genre Inside/Genre Outside: How University Students Approach
Composing Multimodal Texts 114

Bronwyn T. Williams

- 7 Writing against Formal Constraints in Art and Design: Making Words Count 136
Simon Bell
- 8 Reclaiming the Authorial Self in Academic Writing through Image Theatre 167
Aditi Hunma

PART 3

Multimodality across Domains

- 9 Intersemiosis in Science Textbooks 195
Leo Roehrich
- 10 Multimodal Literacy and Numeracy Practices in Postgraduate Management Accounting 216
Hesham Suleiman Alyousef and Peter Mickan
- 11 *Drawn Writing: The Role of Written Text in Civil Engineering Drawing* 241
Zach Simpson
- Index 257

A Multimodal Response to Changing Communication Landscapes in Higher Education

Arlene Archer and Esther Breuer

Introduction

Multimodal communication is playing an increasingly important role in everyday life, the workplace, public sphere, as well as in academic settings. Changes in the communication landscape in Higher Education have engendered an increasing recognition of the different semiotic dimensions of representation. Multimodality refers to “a field of application rather than a theory” (Bezemer & Jewitt, 2010, p. 180). It offers a theoretical perspective that brings together socially organised resources that lecturers and students use to make meaning. These resources include modes (such as image, writing, gesture, gaze, speech, posture) and media (such as screens, books, notes). In Higher Education, multimodality manifests in multimodal pedagogies (including the use of digital technologies), in multimodal student texts, and in the increasing interdisciplinarity of both content and methods (for instance, the analysis of film in the discipline of history).

Most research on academic discourse has been based on the analysis of written text (for example, Galtung, 1981; Swales, 1990) and as a result, most classes on the teaching of academic writing have concentrated on language. However, student assignments require increasingly complex multimodal competencies and Higher Education needs to be equipped to help students with the construction of these texts. As with predominantly written assignments, multimodal texts raise issues about power and access in Higher Education. The norms and conventions around constructing multimodal texts are no more ‘transparent’ than the norms around writing. As a consequence and as will be shown in the different chapters in this book, multimodality in Higher Education is important

Archer, A. & Breuer, E. (2016). Introduction. A Multimodal Response to Changing Communication Landscapes in Higher Education. In R. Fidalgo & T. Olive (Series Eds.) & A. Archer, & E.O. Breuer (Vol. Eds.), *Studies in Writing: Vol. 33, Multimodality in Higher Education*, (pp. 1–17). Leiden: Brill.

and in need of further research, both in the analysis of academic texts and in the ways in which a multimodal approach can foster the writing and learning processes of students. *Multimodality in Higher Education* shows how a multimodal approach is used and could be used in different texts and contexts in Higher Education.

Writing in Higher Education

Key to *Multimodality in Higher Education* is the exploration of how to define the scope, nature, and function of writing in Higher Education, especially when 'writing' now includes oral, visual, multimedia, and technology-enriched aspects. Writing has always been a multimodal practice. Old Egyptian script was based on images, and so still are Chinese and other logographic languages (Coulmas, 2003). The visual and spatial dimensions of writing are evident in spelling, typography, emphasis and layout. For instance, differently spelt words have different visual connotations. Spelling is used to differentiate between voices, indicate spoken voice in writing and degrees of informality, and can also index a 'cool visual dialect' such as in the language of mobile telephones. Typography includes fonts, lettering systems, calligraphy, and gives writing materiality through the medium used, such as pens, brushes, pencils, word processors. Emphasis can be achieved through font size, use of bold, boxes around text, point form. Layout and the use of white space can complement the writing, as in instructions, or can intrude on the writing as in calligraphy and concrete poetry where clarity gives way to visual appeal. Writing thus creates a "web" not only of semantic meaning, but also of "visual connotation" (Sharples, 1999, p. 137).

What is seen as 'academic' writing is contestable and always emergent. Bhatia (2002) understands academic communication as the "situated linguistic behaviour in institutionalised academic or professional settings" (p. 22) and Swales (1990) talks about the "classes of communicative events which typically possess features of stability" (p. 9). In order to understand how texts work, one must, therefore, include the analysis of multimodal elements in texts, how they interact with each other, as well as with the genre in which they are performed.

In all approaches to genre analysis, no matter how different they can be (e.g. Berkenkotter & Huckin, 1995; Bhatia, 1993; Martin, 1993; Martin, Christie & Rothery, 1987; Miller, 1994; Swales, 1990), it is essential to keep in mind that different genres (creative writing, journalistic writing, business writing, academic writing) have social origins and that genres have varying degrees of status in particular domains. Genres have been developed over time for

particular communicative purposes and thus reflect the disciplinary cultures of specific social groups. It is the discourse communities that own them, the “socio-rhetorical networks that form in order to work towards sets of common goals”. Members of these discourse communities possess a “familiarity with the particular genres that are used in the communicative furtherance of those sets of goals” (Swales, 1990, p. 9). There are textual and discursive features in disciplinary genres, as well as contextual and disciplinary factors that define them. This means that the writer does not have complete freedom to change these genre characteristics—especially if the writer is not a long-standing member of the academic community (Bhatia, 2004; 2010; Hyland, 2004).

When comparing academic texts emanating from different academic contexts, one can see that students from English speaking backgrounds tend to focus on creating linearity in texts that contain content that is topic relevant (Clyne, 1994; Siepmann, 2006). Other academic approaches, for example in France, Germany, Russia, Arabia, do not cohere to this rule of linearity but prefer to present a wider picture of the topic or of taking different perspectives on them (Galtung, 1983). Reading these texts is more demanding, and could result in academic communities being seen as elitist, trying to ‘keep out’ readers that do not belong to the academic community. These traditions tend not to ‘sell’ ideas as does the English academic community, but rather to ‘tell’ them (Swales & Feak, 1994, p. 214), and the text is understood as working as a “stimulus for thought or even intellectual pleasure” (Yakhontova, 2002, p. 230). English (which today means internationally accepted) academic writing tends to ‘empathise’ with the reader, developing the argument in a linear way, making sure the reader can grasp the argument and share the opinions introduced by the author. This shows that original German and English academic styles are somewhat different. However, in recent years German academic writing has changed because it has become crucial for academic success to be published in international (in terms of English language) journals. If writers do not meet the textual ideologies applied by the evaluators, texts are refused not because of content or purely linguistic inappropriateness, but because the reviewers do not accept the different discursive and pragmatic patterns (Clyne, 1994; Lillis & Curry, 2010, p. 156).

One of the main challenges for teaching writing is to provide access to academic and disciplinary discourses through making explicit how texts work in a critical manner, whilst at the same time, inducting students into these discourses (see Archer 2010; Breuer 2013). Discursive practices are ideological in the ways in which they serve to maintain existing social relations of power. Street (1996) shows how joining a particular ‘literacy club’ can be problematic for those trying to learn its rules of entry from non-dominant, or disad-

vantaged positions in the power structures of the university and the society in which the university is located. Social, political and economic power is closely associated with access to and knowledge of certain discourse forms. There are social, educational and political advantages of acculturation into university practices for individual students. If students are denied access, their marginalisation is perpetuated in a society that values these practices. However, socialization into dominant practices could contribute to maintaining their dominance and uncritically perpetuating the status quo. Dominant practices include languages, varieties, discourses, modes of representation, genres and types of knowledge. Teachers of writing are, therefore, in a double-bind. On the one hand, it would be in their learners' interests if they could help them to conform to the expectations of the institution. On the other hand, by doing so, they are reproducing the ideologies and inequities of the institution and society at large.

Writers need to acquire the textual genre features as well as the knowledge about social and cultural practices in the foreign language setting. They have to identify the social forces that underlie the form and purposes of genre and its changing function (Dufrenne, 1963; Galtung, 1981). Concentrating only on formal features in academic texts without showcasing why it is that we write in a specific way, does not lead to a critical engagement with these texts (Hyland, 2004). This is even more so for international students because, as noted above, "given acts and objects appear vastly different in different cultures, depending on the values attached to them" (Oliver, xi). In addition, there is always a tension between convention and a dynamic for constant change. This is the effect of the "constantly transformative action of people acting in ever changing circumstances" (Kress, 2003, p. 108). Thus, there can be no sense of a 'pure genre'; rather there is constant change, mixing and hybridisation of genres (see Breuer, 2011). A more generative notion of genre for Higher Education is not one where you exclusively learn the forms of existing kinds of texts in order to replicate them, but "where you learn the generative rules of the constitution of generic form within the power structures of a society" (Kress, 2003, p. 121). Teaching writing should thus aim to bring generic conventions into focus, to show what kinds of social situations produce them, and what the meanings of these social situations are. Students need to explore the nature of the discourse community they are working in to identify the discourse conventions and the dominant genres so that they can gain critical access to those genres.

The challenges of teaching writing and multimodal composition are compounded and enlivened through changing communication landscapes in Higher Education in terms of both spaces and texts. It is to these changing spaces and texts that we now turn.

Changing Spaces in Higher Education

Changes in Higher Education, such as shifts towards managerialism, commercialism, and accountability have increasingly resulted in a reduction in dialogic spaces. However, it is imperative to recognise the value of unregulated spaces where contesting knowledge and subject positions can be foregrounded since there is a strong link between a particular learning space and the creation of an academic identity. These can be physical spaces which can be more or less performative or dialogic. In thinking about changing spaces in Higher Education, Thesen's chapter on lecture theatres is particularly apt. She offers new ways of thinking about lectures that highlight embodiment and performance, as well as multivocal and distributed meaning. Thesen argues that the rise of the new media may strengthen the potential of lectures: "As the online environment gets drawn into pedagogy and assessment, and with the increased 'textualization' of academic work ... this performative face-to-face aspect may be kept alive" (Thesen, 2007, p. 49). Hunma's chapter also explores alternate spaces in Higher Education, particularly in relation to performativity which can facilitate the development of the 'authorial self' in academic writing. Unregulated spaces are enabled through image theatre, where students are invited to negotiate the "positional and spatial boundaries of pedagogical spaces" and the "rules and reach for creative and critical textual performance" (Hunma, this volume, p. xx).

Changing Texts in Higher Education

Along with the changing spaces in Higher Education, there are also changing texts. Archer (2011) mentions three types of multimodal assignments encountered in Higher Education, namely predominantly visual texts, written texts that use images, written texts that analyse and discuss visuals. Researchers have also explored the changing nature of the doctoral thesis, including the visual and performing arts doctoral thesis (Ravelli et al., 2013; Fransman, 2012; Kress, 2012). Digital media have enabled students to create and distribute multimodal work which has had implications for the ways in which we engage with text in Higher Education. As Kress notes, a mode is a "socially shaped and culturally given resource for making meaning" (2009, p. 55). That is, the way different modes work does not lie in their materiality per se, but in the way that social groups define and use them. For example, in academic writing double inverted commas usually indicate a quote, whereas single inverted commas often signal metaphoric expression or irony.