Third Edition

WORKPLACE/ WOMEN'S PLACE

An Anthology

Paula J. Dubeck Dana Dunn

Workplace/ Women's Place An Anthology

Third Edition

Paula J. Dubeck University of Cincinnati

Dana Dunn University of Texas, Arlington



Oxford University Press, Inc., publishes works that further Oxford University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship, and education.

Oxford New York

Auckland Cape Town Dar es Salaam Hong Kong Karachi

Kuala Lumpur Madrid Melbourne Mexico City Nairobi

New Delhi Shanghai Taipei Toronto

With offices in Argentina Austria Brazil Chile Czech Republic France Greece Guatemala Hungary Italy Japan Poland Portugal Singapore South Korea Switzerland Thailand Turkey Ukraine Vietnam

Copyright © 2006 by Oxford University Press, Inc.

Published by Oxford University Press, Inc. 198 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10016 http://www.oup.com

Oxford is a registered trademark of Oxford University Press

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of Oxford University Press.

ISBN 978-0-19-533526-2

About the Contributors

Teresa L. Amott is a feminist, economist, and activist. She co-edited, with Julie Matthaei, Race, Gender, and Work: A Multicultural Anthology (1991). She is currently Vice Provost at Gettysburg College.

Patricia Aniakudo is a doctoral candidate in psychology at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee. Her interest in African American women firefighters was sparked by her sister, Maurten, who is a professional firefighter in Milwaukee, and by her understanding of the intertwining of race and gender.

Mary Blair-Loy is an associate professor of sociology at the University of California, San Diego. She received her Ph.D. from the University of Chicago, and her research interests include gender, culture, work-family issues, and organizations/careers. She has published in a number of journals, including Social Forces and Gender and Society. She is the author of the book Competing Devotions: Career and Family among Executive Women published by Harvard University Press in 2003.

Marie-Therese Claes is a professor at ICHEC Business School, Brussels and University of Louvain-la-Neuve. She served as president of the International Network of Women in Management from 1997–2000.

Kathleen Cooke is an employee of Danya International, Inc. in Silver Spring, Maryland.

Shelly J. Correll is an associate professor of sociology at Cornell University. Professor Correll's research is in the area of gender inequality and social psychology. In particular, she studies how cultural understandings about gender differentially influence the educational and career paths of men and women. Her "stubborn stereotypes" project seeks to understand the processes by which gender beliefs continue to impact the kinds of everyday interactions and evaluations men and women experience in achievement-oriented settings, such

as school and work. She has published in a number of journals, including the American Sociological Review and Gender and Society.

Gretchen DeHart received her M.A. from Washington State University in 1999. She continued her education, taught classes, and was involved in research projects at WSU until moving to Vermont in 2002. Currently, she works full-time at Planned Parenthood of Northern New England, coordinating their Professional Training Program. She also teaches a course on the sociology of gender through WSU's Distance Degree Program. Through this work, she continues to be engaged in her sociological interests of gender, inequality, communities, and education.

Paula J. Dubeck is an associate professor of sociology at the University of Cincinnati. Her research interests include occupations and professions, gender, and the status of women in organizations. She recently co-edited, with Dana Vannoy, Challenges for Work and Family in the Twenty-First Century (1998).

Dana Dunn is the provost and vice president for academic affairs at the University of Texas at Arlington. She has authored articles on gender stratification, sex-based earnings inequality, women in political office, and gender inequality in developing societies.

Paula England is a professor of sociology at Stanford University. She is the author of Comparable Worth: Theories and Evidence (Aldine 1992). Her research and teaching focus is on gender in labor markets and the family. She is a former editor of the American Sociological Review.

Ruth E. Fassinger is a faculty member in the Department of Counseling and Personnel Services at the University of Maryland.

The Federal Glass Ceiling Commission is a 21-member bipartisan body created by the Civil Rights act of 1991, appointed by President Bush and Congressional leaders in 1992, and chaired by the Secretary of Labor.

Sue Joan Mendelson Freeman is a professor of education and child study at Smith College and a practicing psychologist.

Carmen Garcia-Beaulieu is a research analyst in the Office of Institutional Research at Seminole Community College in Sanford, Florida. She is interested in intersections of gender and race/ethnicity, and in research on higher education.

Kathleen Gerson is a professor of sociology at New York University. She received her Ph.D. in Sociology from the University of California, Berkeley. Her research interests are work-family linkages, human development over the life course, and gender and the family. She has published numerous journal articles and books, including No Man's Land: Men's Changing Commitments to Family and Work, Basic Books 1993, and The Time Divide: Balancing Work and Family in Contemporary Society with Jerry A. Jacobs, published by Harvard University Press.

Naomi Gerstel is a professor of sociology at the University of Massachusetts-Amherst. Her books include Commuter Marriage and Families and Work (both co-authored with Harriet Gross). Her most recent articles are on caregiving and homeless families. She is currently at work on a project on family policies at the workplace.

Jennifer Glass is a professor of sociology at the University of Iowa. She has published over 30 articles and books on work and family issues, gender stratification in the labor force, and mother's employment and mental health. Her research has been funded by the National Science Foundation and the Sloan Foundation and has appeared in numerous journals, including the American Journal of Sociology, American Sociological Review, and Social Forces. She has received the Reuben Hill Award from the National Council on Family Relations, and a fellowship from the Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences.

Maria J. Gomez is a counseling psychologist in independent practice in Houston, Texas.

James E. Gruber is a professor of sociology at the University of Michigan-Dear-

born. His research examines the causes and consequences of sexual harassment of working women in the United States and Europe. Studies focus on the effects of women's sociocultural, organizational, and personal resources on the frequency and severity of harassment, as well as the types of responses women give to their harassers.

Kevin D. Henson is an assistant professor of sociology at Loyola University Chicago. His research interests include how contingent work, particularly temporary work, perpetuates and re-creates gender, race, and class inequalities. He is the co-editor of *Unusual Occupations: Current Research on Occupations and Professions* (2000).

Arlie Russell Hochschild is a professor of sociology at the University of California, Berkeley. Her research interests include sociology of family, sociology of gender, and social psychology. She is the author of *The Second Shift* (1989) and *The Time Bind* (1997).

Jerry A. Jacobs is a professor of sociology at the University of Pennsylvania. He received his Ph.D. in Sociology from Harvard University. His research interests include gender and higher education and social stratification. He has published over 50 research articles in academic journals and four books, including *The Time Divide*, co-authored with Kathleen Gerson.

Miliann Kang is an assisant professor of women's studies at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst. She received her Ph.D. in sociology from New York University. Her research interests are the social construction of race, class, and gender; immigrant women; and emotional labor in service industries. In 2000, she received the Cheryl Allyn Miller Award from Sociologists for Women in Society for research on women and work.

Christine Larson is a financial journalist who has written for the Wall Street Journal, Smart Money and many other publications. She specializes in making complex information simple, down-to-earth, and fun to read. She holds a B.A. from Princeton University.

Meg Lovejoy is a doctoral candidate in sociology at Brandeis University. Her research interest is on the impact of race, class, and gender on girls' and women's mental health. She has published in the area of ethnic differences in body image and eating disorders, as well as on the impact of the women's studies curriculum in higher education on student development.

Jeanette Luna is affiliated with the National Council of La Raza in Washington, D.C.

Lisa A. Mainiero is a professor of management at the Charles F. Dolan School of Business, Fairfield University. She received her doctorate in organizational behavior from Yale University. Her research interests concern gender influences on career patterns, office romance, and power/dependence issues. She has published in a number of journals, including Administrative Science Quarterly and the Journal of Management.

Susan Ehrlich Martin received her Ph.D. in sociology from American University. She wrote Breaking and Entering: Policewomen on Patrol (1980) and Doing Justice, Doing Gender (1996), co-authored with Nancy Jurik. She has been a study director at the National Research Council and at the Police Foundation. She formerly directed a research program on alcohol and violence at the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. She is currently an independent consultant.

Julie A. Matthaei is a feminist economist and activist who teaches at Wellesley College. She is currently co-chair of the Committee Against Racism and Discrimination.

Mary Mattis is vice president for research and advisory services at Catalyst, where she designs national research projects that benchmark women's career development and advancement. She also advises corporations on barriers to women's advancement and writes extensively on the glass ceiling.

Brenda Mejia recently joined the Institute for Urban and Minority Education at Teachers College as a research assistant and research coordinator. She obtained her graduate education at Teachers College—Columbia University where she completed her master's degree in psychology and education with honors. Ms. Mejia's research interests are focused on accultura-

tion, the advancement of intercultural understanding of obstacles faced by Latino and African American populations.

Katherine McGonagle is a senior research associate in the Survey Methodology Program at the University of Michigan's Institute for Social Research. She has worked with health-related survey data since receiving her doctorate in social psychology from Miami University in 1988. She has published numerous journal articles describing gender differences in the prevalence and correlates of mental illness and methodological aspects of mental health research.

Peggy Orenstein was formerly managing editor of Mother Jones magazine, and was a founding editor of the award-winning 7 Days magazine. Her work has appeared in such publications as The New York Times Magazine, Esquire and The New Yorker. Her most recent book is Flux: Women on Sex, Work, Love, Kids, and Life in a Half-Changed World (2000).

Patricia S. Parker is an assistant professor in the Department of Communication Studies at the University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill. She received her Ph.D. from the University of Texas at Austin. Dr. Parker's research and teaching interests include critical studies of gender, race, and culture in organizations. Her recent work focuses on the communication strategies and leadership behaviors of African American women executives within dominant culture organizations.

Jo Anne Preston is an assistant professor in sociology at Brandeis University. She is currently completing a book on the feminization of school teaching. Her previous publications address the relationship of mill-girl narratives to collective identity and labor activism, the conflict between female apprentices and merchant-tailors in the early industrial period, and the discrepancy between female teachers' self-conceptions and nineteenth century gender ideology.

Joann Prosser is a faculty member in the Department of Counseling and Personnel Services at the University of Maryland.

Belle Rose Ragins is an associate professor of Management at Marquette University. She received her Ph.D. in Individual-Organ-

izational Psychology from the University of Tennessee. She researches, teaches, and consults on diversity, mentoring and gender issues and was awarded the first visiting research fellowship position at Catalyst. She has published in a number of journals including Journal of Applied Psychology and the Academy of Management Journal.

Barbara F. Reskin is a professor at Harvard University. Her books related to gender and work include Women and Men at Work (with Irene Padavic), Job Queues, Gender Queues: Explaining Women's Inroads Into Male Occupations (with Patricia Roos), and Sex Segregation in the Workplace: Trends, Explanations and Remedies (edited volume).

Jackie Krasas Rogers is an assistant professor of labor studies and industrial relations at the Pennsylvania State University. Her research interests include the reproduction of gender and racial-ethnic inequalities in the labor process, specifically within contingent employment. In addition to her work on temporary clerical employment, she has also interviewed contract attorneys.

Mary Romero is a professor at the School of Justice Studies at Arizona State University, and the author of Maid in the U.S.A. (Routledge 1992), co-editor of Women's Untold Stories: Breaking Silence, Voicing Complexity (Routledge 1999), Challenging Fronteras: Structuring Latina and Latino Lives in the U.S. (Routledge 1997), and Women and Work: Race, Ethnicity and Class (Sage Publications 1997).

Mary Ross is a financial analyst at Newark InOne. She has done research on gender and organizations.

Louise Marie Roth is an assistant professor in the department of sociology at the University of Arizona. She received her Ph.D. in Sociology from New York University. Her research interests include gender, the family, and organizations, occupations, and work. She has published in a number of journals, including Social Forces, Socio-

logical Quarterly, and the American Sociological Review.

Pamela Stone is an associate professor of sociology at Hunter College and the Graduate Center of the City University of New York. Her research is on women in the workforce, with a particular focus on sex segregation, earnings discrimination, and pay equity. She is currently writing a book on her study of high-level women who leave professional jobs to become full-time, athome mothers.

Sherry E. Sullivan is an associate professor at Bowling Green State University. She received her doctorate from Ohio State University. Her main research interest is in the study of careers, and she has published in such journals as the Journal of Management, the Academy of Management Executive, and Journal of Applied Psychology.

Bickley Townsend is senior vice president of Catalyst, a non-profit research and consulting firm that focuses on gender issues in businesses and the professions. She earned her Ph.D. in regional planning and social demography from Cornell University. She was previously senior editor of *American Demography* magazine.

Christine L. Williams is a professor of sociology at the University of Texas at Austin. Her research interests include sexuality and gender, work and occupations and theory. She is the author of Still a Man's World: Men Who Do "Women's Work" (University of California Press, 1995).

Janice D. Yoder is a professor of psychology at the University of Akron. Her interests in women in nontraditional occupations spawned research on the pioneering women cadets at West Point, the costs of deviating from occupational gender norms, sexual harassment, tokenism theory, and strategies for empowering solo women. She is the author of Women and Gender: Transforming Psychology (1999). She is a fellow of the American Psychological Association. ◆

Table of Contents

About	the Contributors	
Introd	Auction to the Study of Women and Work	
100	ne: Becoming Workers: Girls' zation for Employment	
Introd	<i>luction</i>	
1.	Parental Influence and Women's Careers	
2.	Shortchanging Girls: Gender Socialization in Schools	
*3.	Gender and the Career Choice Process: The Role of Biased Self-Assessment	
Unit Two: Workplace Inequality: Gendered Structures and Their Consequences		
Introd	<i>fuction</i> 53	
*4.	Women's Employment Among Blacks, Whites, and Three Groups of Latinas: Do More Privileged Women Have Higher Employment?	

^{*} Indicates chapters new to this edition.

5.	Gendered Jobs and Gendered Workers
6.	Sex Segregation in the Workplace
*7.	The Penny Pinch
8.	Women, Men, and Management Styles
9.	The Glass Ceiling
*10.	Gender Gap in the Executive Suite: CEOs and Female Executives Report on Breaking the Glass Ceiling95 Belle Rose Ragins, Bickley Townsend, and Mary Mattis An examination of overt and subtle barriers that high ranking women see as affecting their advancement and how these factors compare with what male CEOs view as influencing women's advancement to top corporate positions.
11.	The Impact of Male Work Environments and Organizational Policies on Women's Experiences of Sexual Harassment 110 <i>James E. Gruber</i> Discusses how formal organizational practices can actively remedy or reduce sexually harassing behaviors in the work place.
Unit Th	ree: Work and Family: Seeking a Balance
Introd	<i>luction</i> 119
12.	The Second Shift: Working Parents and the Revolution at Home

^{*} Indicates chapters new to this edition.

13.	The Time Bind		
	Arlie Russell Hochschild Longer work hours come up against family demands straining the work/family relationship, yet some employ- ees find work more satisfying than home life. Discusses factors that account for these competing pressures on the family.		
*14.	The second is the second in the second second in the second second in the second secon		
	Pamela Stone and Meg Lovejoy The complexities that high achieving women face in finally making the decision to stay home illuminate the conflicting pressures of the work situation, family, and limited options, and belies the notion of "choice."		
*15.	Family and Career Trajectories Among African American Female Attorneys		
	Mary Blair-Loy and Gretchen DeHart An examination of changing patterns of family formation for different cohorts of African American female attorneys.		
*16.	The Work-Home Crunch		
	Kathleen Gerson and Jerry A. Jacobs An examination of variations in working hours across different family forms and social statuses, with an assessment of the consequences of competing pressures for 21st century workers.		
Unit Four: Women Workers Across the Spectrum			
Introd	uction		
17.	Race, Class, Gender, and Women's Works: A Conceptual Framework		
*18.	Negotiating Identity in Raced and Gendered Workplace Interactions: The Use of Strategic Communication by African American Women Senior Executives Within Dominant Culture Organizations. 194 Patricia S. Parker An examination of how African American women in high status positions negotiate meaning, identity, and power to find voice in dominant culture organizations; the is- sues they confront and strategies they use are presented.		

^{*} Indicates chapters new to this edition.

*19.	Selling Women Short: A Research Note on Gender Differences in Compensation on Wall Street
	Louise Marie Roth Even with equivalencies in human capital, women in major Wall Street financial corporations experience a significant wage gap compared to men. Factors influencing this gap are discussed.
*20.	Voces Abriendo Caminos (Voices Forging Paths): A Qualitative Study of the Career Development of Notable Latinas
21.	Gender and the Formation of a Women's Profession: The Case Of Public School Teaching
	Jo Anne Preston Analyzes the process by which female-dominated semi-professions attempt to become professional, based on historical data on public school teachers.
22.	'Outsider Within' the Firehouse: Subordination and Difference in the Social Interactions of African American Women Firefighters
	Janice D. Yoder and Patricia Aniakudo Experiences of African American women firefighters are discussed in terms of inequality as well as differences from white females and African American males.
23.	Police Force or Police Service: Gender and Emotional Labor 264
	Susan Ehrlich Martin Discussion of the substantial amount of emotional labor required in policing and the dilemmas this creates for female officers.
24.	'Hey, Why Don't You Wear a Shorter Skirt?': Structural Vulnerability and the Organization of Sexual Harassment in Temporary Clerical Employment
*25.	The Managed Hand: The Commercialization of Bodies and Emotions in Korean Immigrant-Owned Nail Salons

^{*} Indicates chapters new to this edition.

26.	Chicanas Modernize Domestic Service
	Mary Romero Describes how Chicana domestic service workers are restructuring this occupation so as to maximize its benefits and reduce its disadvantages.
Unit Fiv	ve: Policy and Assessment
Introd	uction 309
*27.	Are We There Yet?: Reflections on Work and Family as an Emergent Social Issue
	Paula J. Dubeck Tracks the increasing attention given to work and family from the mid-1980s, including corporate responses to work-family issues and issues that remain to be addressed.
*28.	Kaleidoscope Careers: An Alternative Explanation for the 'Opt-Out' Revolution
	Lisa A. Mainiero and Sherry E. Sullivan Presents an alternative to the linear (male) model of career as one that responds to "opting out" of the work force, and how organizations can benefit from incorporating such a model for employees.
29.	Job Leaves and the Limits of the Family and Medical Leave Act: The Effects of Gender, Race, and Family 340
	Naomi Gerstel and Katherine McGonagle Examines those who need job leaves, those who use them and factors that account for the difference within the framework of the Family and Medical Leave Act. Limitations of the Act are discussed.
*30.	Blessing or Curse? Work-Family Policies and Mothers' Wage Growth Over Time
Appen	adix I
	2004 Salary Survey from NAFE
Appen	ndix II
	Data and Information on Women's Labor Force Participation 367
	Charts on Earnings for Full-time Wage and Salary Workers 369
	The Wage Gap
	Mothers in the Labor Force

^{*} Indicates chapters new to this edition.

Introduction to the Study of Women and Work

he rapid influx of women into the paid labor market in the last thirty years of the twentieth century was one of the most dramatic social changes of that century. This change also continues to have a far-reaching impact on lives today. Women have always worked, yet much of the work they performed has not counted officially as "work." The best example of this uncounted work is domestic work, or household work. 1 Domestic work is nonmarket work. It is performed outside the formal economy in support of households and families, not for exchange on the market. While such work is clearly of great importance, it is not the focus of this book. The selections in this book focus on women's participation in paid work performed outside the home.

In 2004, women made up 47 percent of the workforce (U.S. Census 2004-05). By comparison, women comprised 33.4 percent in 1960 and 42.5 percent in 1980 (Kemp 1994). Women can be found working in virtually all occupations, and their employment contributes significantly to family and personal well-being. In 2003, it was estimated that wives employed full-time contributed 35.2 percent of the family income of dual-earner households (Bureau of Labor Statistics 2005). In addition, women's participation in the labor force increasingly reflects a pattern that approximates that of men's: continuous rather than being interrupted for years of child bearing and rearing.

There are many reasons for examining women's increased involvement in paid work. The first reasons discussed here are economic. Women are a valuable economic resource in that their labor is necessary for the production of the goods and services we all consume. Traditionally, the study of work addressed primarily male workers (Acker 1988). With women representing almost one half of the workforce today, it is equally important to understand issues such as (1) what motivates women to be productive workers, (2) what causes women to be satisfied with their work, and (3) what factors facilitate or impede women from achieving success in their work situation.

Another reason for studying working women is that the majority of women who work for pay do so out of perceived economic need (Baca Zinn and Eitzen 1993). It has become increasingly difficult to support a family at what is considered to be a desirable standard of living on just one income. Further, many households today do not consist of traditional families with adult males present. Rather, women are the only economic providers in these households. Women earn less for the work they perform than men, and this contributes to disproportionately high rates of poverty in female-headed households, a phenomenon that has come to be known as the feminization of poverty. The economic reasons for studying women and work are that women are important producers in today's economy, as well as important economic providers for their families and households.

Studying women's increased involvement in paid work is also important for social reasons. Women's increased labor-force participation has broad social consequences that extend well beyond the economy. Families and schools provide examples of two social institutions profoundly affected by increases in women's labor-force participation. As mentioned earlier, women have always performed domestic work; in fact, they have

typically borne the primary responsibility for such work. As women begin to spend significant numbers of hours working outside the home for pay, their ability to perform domestic work and, potentially, the quality of life of their families is affected. Families have needed to adapt to women's changing work roles by making other provisions for the performance of domestic work. As we will see in Unit Three, women in many families still perform a disproportionate share of domestic tasks in addition to their paid work because these needed adaptations have not taken place. Educational institutions are also affected by women working. For example, schools can no longer depend upon the unpaid labor of the employed mothers of school children to serve as teachers' aides to support classroom activities. Issues such as providing for the transportation of children to and from school and the compatibility of school hours with parents' work hours have prompted a rise in after school care programs, which need to be examined in light of women's increased involvement in paid work.

Studying women's paid work is also warranted for what can be referred to as personal or individual reasons. Social scientists agree that work is far more than the means to an economic end. Work has personal meaning because individuals in modern society are defined, in large part, by the work they perform (O'Toole, et al. 1973, Pavalko 1988). "What do you do?" means "What type of work do you perform?" and this is often the first thing we ask a new acquaintance. The answer to this question conveys a myriad of information, including economic status, social class, level of education, interests, abilities, political views, and personality traits (Hedley 1992). "What do you do?" is shorthand for "Who are you?" and others respond to us on the basis of our answer. College professors are assumed to be intelligent and "bookish"; nurses, nurturing; engineers, detail-oriented; and salespersons, gregarious. These assumptions about who we are, derived from others' knowledge of our work, eventually impact our own perception of who we are (Dunn 1995). For this reason, we can say that work contributes to feelings of self-esteem. In order to understand who

women are today and how they feel about themselves, it is necessary to examine their participation in the workforce.

Work affects self-concept and feelings about oneself by contributing to feelings of efficacy and worth. The connection between feelings of efficacy, the power to produce effects, and work is obvious. Producing goods and services is a form of mastery over self and the environment, and is proof of one's ability to "get things done." If the output from one's work is valued by others, then work enhances feelings of worth. On the other hand, if one's work is not socially valued, self esteem is likely to be low. Consider, for example, the often under-valued, unpaid work performed by homemakers. The fact that domestic work has become under-appreciated caused many homemakers to respond to the "What do you do?" question with "I'm just a housewife" (Matthews 1987). That domestic work is insufficient for producing a positive self-concept is further supported by evidence of sub-standard mental health among fulltime homemakers (Bernard 1972). Women's increased participation in paid work is therefore personally important because it provides an opportunity for empowerment and increased self-esteem.

Finally, and perhaps most importantly, examining women's paid work is important because the type of work performed by each sex and the social valuation of that work is the best indicator, across societies and throughout time, of the degree of equality between the sexes. When women are judged to be performing valuable work, especially work for pay outside the home, on a comparable basis with men, then gender inequality is minimized.² It is not surprising then that many battles for gender equality have been fought in the workplace. Much women's movement activity in the 1960s and 1970s was centered around issues of hiring discrimination and equal pay on the assumption that if women could gain access to the same types of jobs as men for similar rates of pay, they would be treated equally (Daniel 1987). Since that time, we have learned more about how organizations operate with regard to a changing composition of the work force. Issues that seemed to be resolved at "entry" level positions of various occupations have "moved up the organization" to the very top levels; for example, women have had considerable access to entry level managerial jobs, but their move to the very top positions in organizations seems to be blocked. The more we learn about women's work experience and the context in which women work, the more we understand the structures and processes that influence that experience and either facilitate or impede women's opportunities. In doing so, we are gaining some measure of the extent to which there is equality between the sexes.

The chapters that follow explore a wide range of issues related to contemporary women's involvement in paid work. Before introducing these chapters, it is necessary to provide some historical perspective on working women. The following sections of this introduction will explore the changing patterns of women's work participation and examine the causes that underlie that change. A final section will highlight issues that emerged and are emerging in the study of women and work.

The Changing Patterns of Women's Work

Human societies have always divided labor by sex, reserving certain work tasks for men and others for women. While a division of labor by sex has existed in all known societies, the form of the division of labor has varied. The section below describes the typical sex-based division of labor in early human societies and how that division of labor changed as societies evolved with regard to four broad types of societies: hunting and gathering societies, horticultural societies, agricultural societies, and industrial societies. Changes in women's labor-force participation and patterns of work will be examined in more detail for contemporary, post-industrial societies.

The Sex-Based Division of Labor in Pre-Industrial Societies

The earliest human societies, huntergatherer societies, had a simple division of labor by sex: men hunted wild game, women gathered naturally occurring vegetation, and

those too old or too young to participate in these activities staved home and cared for one another. The sex-based division of labor in hunter-gatherer societies was efficient because women's reproductive role was incompatible with physically demanding hunting activities that often required travel far from home (Bradley 1989). Imagine, for example, the difficulty involved in hunting large game while pregnant or nursing an infant. The gathering tasks reserved for women were compatible with pregnancy and child care because they were less physically demanding and could be performed close to home. Huntergatherer societies were small, and survival was often difficult in these subsistence-based groups (Lenski and Lenski 1982). For this reason, women's reproductive role was highly valued. The level of equality between the sexes was high in these societies because the work performed by women and men was judged to be of roughly equal importance (Boulding 1976; Chafetz 1984; Nielsen 1978). Men's hunting activities often yielded substantial subsistence resources for support of the group, but hunting activities were sometimes unreliable, and hunters often came back from their long hunts empty-handed (Friedl 1975; Sanday 1981). Women's work contribution became especially important in these periods. The nuts, roots, berries, and tubers gathered by women provided edible foodstuffs which enabled group members to survive during periods when the hunt was unproductive. Thus, the stability of women's economic contribution was highly valued, as was their reproductive role, and these factors contributed to a greater degree of equality between the sexes than in any subsequent period in history (Yorburg 1987: Nielsen 1990).

Over time, horticultural societies developed as a result of technological advancements and new forms of social organization. Rather than depend on hunting wild game and gathering naturally occurring vegetation, people in horticultural societies met their subsistence needs by domesticating plants that resulted in a more reliable and significant yield (Lenski and Lenski 1966). Women, having established a tradition of contributing to subsistence needs by gathering plants, made the rather smooth transition to domesticating plants. Men were typically responsible for claiming the land used for planting. The resulting male ownership of land placed control over the means of production in the hands of men and began to erode women's status (Friedl, 1975; Dunn et al. 1993). Men also continued to hunt, and when successful, provided the scarce and highly valued animal protein. At some point, men also began to domesticate animals in many societies, raising small herds not only for meat, but also for milk and other animal by-products (Lenski and Lenski 1966).

Horticultural societies grew in size with the advent of new technologies (e.g., more sophisticated implements, irrigation). Eventually a transition occurred from a subsistence orientation to a surplus orientation. In surplus-oriented societies, people can produce more than is required for meeting subsistence needs, making it possible for some people to be freed from food production in order to engage in other forms of work. Specialized full-time occupations emerged at this point in history. Some involved turning raw materials into handcrafted goods, others involved providing services (e.g., shopkeeper, educator). These new occupations were performed away from the homesite, so they were considered the domain of men. Domestic work, performed in and around the home, was reserved for women.

The invention of the plow marked the transition to the agricultural stage of development, and the ability to produce a surplus increased dramatically. Animal-drawn plows transferred much of the hardest labor involved in producing food to animals. Having established a tradition of working with animals-first hunting them and then domesticating them-men worked behind the animal-drawn plows and produced increasing amounts of surplus (Yorburg 1987). Other men, freed from the need to produce food, entered newly developing specialized occupations in even larger numbers. A complex market economy resulted where foodstuffs were exchanged for dollars (or other goods), which were then used to purchase goods produced by workers in the new occupations.

What were women doing while men were plowing, shopkeeping, blacksmithing, leather

crafting, and so on? First, women often assisted with these activities when needed (during harvest time, for example). Their primary work responsibilities, however, remained in the home. Women were responsible for providing an array of services to their families including cooking and storing food, sewing and manufacturing clothing, medical care, education, and even religious training (Yorburg 1987). These types of work activities are referred to as use-value production because what is produced is consumed by the family unit. In contrast, the work performed by men in agricultural societies is referred to as exchange-value production because the products are intended for exchange through the market. Exchange-value production is more highly valued than use-value production because the former provides greater flexibility in terms of what can be consumed and also affords the party performing the work the opportunity to develop social networks and ties (Nielsen 1990).

An important exception to this pattern of restricting women's work to the domestic sphere occurred in cases of slavery, wherein enslaved groups performed coerced labor. Africans brought to the United States as slaves, for example, did not conform to the sex-based division of labor described above. While it is the case that some black women slaves were forced to work primarily in the slave owner's home, many others were forced to work alongside men in the fields, engaged in extremely physically demanding labor (Deckard 1975; Matthaei 1982).

As women became increasingly associated with domestic labor and use-value production, their status relative to men declined (Nielsen 1990). Gender inequality reached its peak in agricultural societies, not because women were no longer working hard and making an economic contribution, but because of the changed nature of their work. Men were the more visible producers, they owned the means of production (land), the product of their labor was now relatively stable, and what they produced could be exchanged for an almost infinite variety of goods and services through the market. Women, working "behind the scenes" in the less glamorous domestic arena, supported

their husbands' work by attending to his needs and those of the rest of the family (Cott 1977).

The Impact of Industrialization on Women's Work

The next major societal transition that had an impact on the nature of work and the division of labor between the sexes was the emergence of industry. Industrialization involved using forms of power other than human and animal (e.g., water, steam, mechanical, electric) to produce manufactured goods. Efficient utilization of these new forms of power meant that workers had to be located in a common work setting and resulted in the rise of the factory mode of production. During the early phases of industrialization in both Europe and the United States, some women-especially unmarried women, women from the lower classes, and minority women-worked in factories (Matthaei 1982). A sex-based division of labor developed for the specialized factory jobs. Women worked with smaller equipment and machinery, on average, and were concentrated in jobs in the textile industry. The jobs women performed paid lower wages than those performed by men (Deckard 1975). Men, with higher wages, were viewed as the primary breadwinners for families, and women's economic contributions, although often necessary, were considered supplemental. Women employed in factories continued to be responsible for domestic work at home, creating for the first time a "double shift" for women consisting of eight or more hours of paid work to be followed by a night shift of use-value work in support of the family (Andersen 1988). Married women, especially white women who could afford to do so, stayed home as full-time homemakers (Matthaei 1982).

By the turn of the twentieth century (1900), just under 19 percent of all working-age women participated in paid work, and the majority of these women were under the age of 24. Less than 6 percent of married women worked for pay outside the home at this time (Kessler-Harris 1982; Costello and Krimgold 1996). Married women's rates of labor-force participation remained low during the first three decades of the twentieth

century due to what has come to be known as the cult of domesticity. The cult of domesticity, also referred to as the doctrine of separate spheres, was borrowed from the English upper-middle classes and held that a woman's proper place was in the home (Reskin and Padavic 1994). Under the cult of domesticity, the homemaker's absence from the paid workforce served as a symbol of the husband's masculinity (Matthaei 1982). Married women only entered the labor force when their husbands were incapable of providing a family wage-a wage sufficient for providing for the family. The cult of domesticity encouraged married women to be economically dependent on their spouses, and thereby led to a decline in women's status.

Poor women and women of color, forced to work out of economic need, retained a higher degree of independence than white women. Ironically, for these women who could not afford the "luxury" of the full-time homemaker role, paid work resulted in somewhat more equal standing with their male peers. Even today, some minority groups have higher rates of female labor-force participation than white women, and the sexes also share resources more equally in these groups (Almquist 1987).

In the early decades of the twentieth century, women who worked outside the home had limited options. Single white women were sometimes employed in new vocations as clerical workers, teachers, and nurses (Andersen 1988). Working class women and women of color worked in factories as laborers, and many immigrant women were employed as domestic servants in other women's homes. There were slow but rather steady increases in the rates of women's labor-force participation until the early 1940s, when World War II created severe labor shortages and an increased demand for female labor. Over five million more women were in the labor force in 1944 than in 1940 due to wartime efforts (Herz and Wootton 1996). During the war years, the sex-based division of labor in manufacturing broke down as many women worked—and performed well—in nontraditional jobs (Kemp 1994). However, despite their successful job performance, women were often displaced from the previously