HOUSEWIVES AND CITIZENS

DOMESTICITY AND THE WOMEN'S MOVEMENT IN ENGLAND, 1928–64



HOUSEWIVES AND CITIZENS

DOMESTICITY AND THE WOMEN'S MOVEMENT IN ENGLAND, 1928-64

→ Caitríona Beaumont
→

Manchester University Press

Manchester and New York

distributed in the USA exclusively by Palgrave Macmillan

Copyright © Caitríona Beaumont 2013

The right of Caitriona Beaumont to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted by here in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

Published by Manchester University Press
Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9NR, UK
and Room 400, 175 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10010, USA
www.manchesteruniversitypress.co.uk

Distributed exclusively in the USA by Palgrave 175 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10010, USA

Distributed exclusively in Canada by UBC Press University of British Columbia, 2029 West Mall, Vancouver, BC, Canada v6T 1Z2

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library
Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data applied for

ISBN 978 0 7190 8607 6 hardback

First published 2013

The publisher has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for any external or third-party internet websites referred to in this book, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Typeset in Minion with Scala Sans display by Koinonia, Manchester Printed in Great Britain by TJ International Ltd, Padstow

GENDER IN HISTORY

Series editors: Lynn Abrams, Cordelia Beattie, Pam Sharpe and Penny Summerfield

1>===

The expansion of research into the history of women and gender since the 1970s has changed the face of history. Using the insights of feminist theory and of historians of women, gender historians have explored the configuration in the past of gender identities and relations between the sexes. They have also investigated the history of sexuality and family relations, and analysed ideas and ideals of masculinity and femininity. Yet gender history has not abandoned the original, inspirational project of women's history: to recover and reveal the lived experience of women in the past and the present.

The series Gender in History provides a forum for these developments. Its historical coverage extends from the medieval to the modern periods, and its geographical scope encompasses not only Europe and North America but all corners of the globe. The series aims to investigate the social and cultural constructions of gender in historical sources, as well as the gendering of historical discourse itself. It embraces both detailed case studies of specific regions or periods and broader treatments of major themes. Gender in History books are designed to meet the needs of both scholars and students working in this dynamic area of historical research.

Housewives and citizens



SELECTED TITLES AVAILABLE IN THE SERIES

Destined for a life of service: defining African–Jamaican womanhood, 1865–1938 Henrice Altink

Love, intimacy and power: marital relationships in Scotland, 1650–1850 Katie Barclay

Women of the right spirit: paid organisers of the Women's Social and Political Union (WSPU) 1904–18 Krista Cowman

Modern motherhood: women and family in England, c. 1945–2000 Angela Davis

Jewish women in Europe in the Middle Ages: a quiet revolution Simha Goldin

Murder and morality in Victorian Britain: the story of Madeleine Smith

Eleanor Gordon and Gwyneth Nair

The military leadership of Matilda of Canossa, 1046–1115 David J. Hay

The shadow of marriage: singleness in England, 1914–60 Katherine Holden

The feminine public sphere: middle-class women and civic life
in Scotland, c. 1870-1914 Megan Smitley

Being boys: working-class masculinities and leisure Melanie Tebbutt

Elizabeth Wolstenholme Elmy and the Victorian feminist movement:
the biography of an insurgent woman Maureen Wright

For my parents Piaras and Margaret Beaumont

For my family Patrick, Dónal, Rosa and Martha McDonnell

Acknowledgements

I owe a great many thanks to everyone who helped me at the various stages of the research and writing of this book. Firstly, thank you to the team at Manchester University Press for their professionalism and patience. It was a pleasure to work with them. This research began as a doctoral thesis at the Centre for Social History, University of Warwick and I am grateful to my supervisors, Tony Mason and Jim Obelkevitch, for their support and wise counsel. I would also like to thank Pat Thane, Maria Luddy and June Purvis for their advice and interest in my work over the years. I am grateful to the ESRC and to the University of Warwick Graduate Award Scheme for funding my doctoral studies and to the Weeks Centre for Social and Policy Research, London South Bank University, for awarding me a number of research grants since 2005, allowing me to complete my research.

Over the course of this project I have been helped by many librarians and archivists and would like to thank the staff at the Women's Library, Lambeth Palace Library, the London Metropolitan Archive, the British Library, the Modern Records Centre at the University of Warwick, the Public Records Office and Birmingham City Library. Thanks also to Daphne Glick of the National Council of Women and to the Mothers' Union for allowing me access to their uncatalogued collections. I would also like to thank David Doughan, formally of the Women's Library, for his interest and encouragement in my work from the outset.

Marisa Silvestri, Louise Ryan and Tanya Evans provided me with very helpful comments on the proposal for this book so thanks to them for their constructive criticism. I am grateful also to Matthew Hilton and to the anonymous readers of both the book proposal and final manuscript who provided me with invaluable comments and suggestions.

I would like to thank all of my colleagues in the Department of Social Sciences, London South Bank University, for their interest, support and comradeship over the years. Thanks to Dave Edwards, my Head of Department, for giving me the space and time to complete the book. In particular I would like to thank my colleague and friend Marisa Silvestri. Throughout this project she has listened to all of my concerns, doubts and anxieties and has provided excellent advice every time with endless patience and good humour.

Thank you to all of my friends and family who have listened to me talk about 'the book' for a number of years and asked how I was getting on. Without their interest and support life would have been very difficult and I hope they know how much they are appreciated. Thanks especially to all my friends in Tooting and to Tony Credland and Sceherazade Ameer for their help with formatting the cover image. Thank you to all of the McDonnells for putting up with me for so long and thanks to my sisters Clíona, Míde and Helen Beaumont for their love and friendship. I would like to thank my parents, Piaras and Margaret Beaumont for always believing in me and enabling me to pursue my interest in women's history. Thanks especially to Piaras for pinning the image of Mrs 1963 to our kitchen

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

cupboard at home so that years later I could use it as the cover for this book.

A special thanks goes to my children, Dónal, Rosa and Martha, who have taken such an interest in this research and who have been very patient in allowing me to spend so much time working on it when they wanted me to play with them. I hope they think it was worth it. Last but not least, thanks to Patrick for just about everything.

List of Abbreviations

Association for Education in Citizenship AEC AGM annual general meeting Abortion Law Reform Association ALRA Air Raid Precaution ARP BCL Birmingham City Library British Federation of Business and Professional Women **BFBPW** British Federation of University Women BFUW BHL British Housewives League BI. British Library **CMW** Council of Married Women CWI. The Catholic Women's League **ECCC** Equal Compensation Campaign Committee **EPCC** Equal Pay Campaign Committee GFS Girls' Friendly Society London Metropolitan Archive LMA Lambeth Palace Library LPL MRC Modern Records Centre MSH Mary Sumner House MU Mothers' Union MWA Married Women's Association NBCA National Birth Control Association **NBCW** National Board of Catholic Women National Council for Equal Citizenship NCEC National Council of Women NCW National Health Service NHS National Union of Societies for Equal Citizenship NUSEC National Union of Women's Suffrage Societies NUWSS National Union of Women Workers NUWW NWCA National Women Citizens' Association ODC Open Door Council Public Record Office PRO Standing Conference of Women's Organisations **SCWO** Standing Joint Committee of Industrial Women's Organisations **SJCIWO** SJCWWO Standing Joint Committee of Working Women's Organisations Six Point Group SPG National Union of Townswomen's Guilds TG Women's Advisory Housing Council WAHC Women's Auxiliary Police Corps WAPC WCA Women's Citizens' Association

Women's Co-operative Guild

Women's Freedom League

WCG

WFL

ABBREVIATIONS

WGPW Women's Group on Public Welfare

WI The National Federation of Women's Institutes

WL Women's Library

WLM Women's Liberation Movement

WPCC Women's Police Campaign Committee

WVS Women's Voluntary Service

YWCA Young Women's Christian Association.

Contents

	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	page ix
	ABBREVIATIONS	xi
	Introduction	1
1	Origins and aspirations: voluntary women's organisations and the representation of housewives, mothers and citizens	8
2	Housewives and citizens: the rights and duties of women citizens	40
3	Moral dilemmas: divorce, birth control and abortion	68
4	Welfare rights for women: maternity care, social welfare benefits and family allowances	101
5	Active citizenship for women: war and protest	135
6	Housewives and citizens: post-war planning and the post-war years	165
7	Domesticity, modernity and women's rights: voluntary women's organisations and the women's movement 1950–64	189
	Conclusion	215
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	219
	INDEX	234

Introduction

For many housewives women's organisations provide the best access to cultural or educational pursuits ... resolutions are frequently passed by the branches to the national headquarters urging government intervention in matters where their particular knowledge and experience has shown that reform is both necessary and possible, and they exercise an undoubted influence upon the trend of domestic affairs.'

In 1964, Women in Britain, a survey published by the Central Office of Information, reported that there were 120 national organisations for women active within the UK. These groups were made up of a mixture of philanthropic, voluntary, feminist, political and religious women's groups.² Of the 120, only fifteen were classified as overtly feminist, reflecting the fact that groups openly espousing a feminist agenda were somewhat marginalised at this time.³

Historians of women in the twentieth century have explored the history of a wide variety of women's organisations active throughout the century. These histories have included suffrage and post-suffrage feminist societies, women's sections of the established political parties and working-class women's organisations such as the Women's Co-operative Guild (WCG), all of whom engaged in political campaigns to extend female equality. In the last two decades the scope of this scholarship has expanded to include voluntary women's organisations, religious groups, service clubs and professional societies seeking to bring women together over a wide variety of issues.

Histories of the women's movement have however tended to focus predominantly on the trials and tribulations of suffrage and post-suffrage feminist societies. This has resulted in a narrative suggesting that after the passing of the 1928 Equal Franchise Act the movement lost momentum in the 1930s. Following a brief revival during the Second World War the movement once again slipped into decline, only to be revitalised by the emergence of the Women's Liberation Movement (WLM) in the late 1960s. This orthodox interpretation has been challenged by a number of historians who have demonstrated that a vibrant and successful network of women's organisations remained active throughout the post-suffrage years and well into the 1950s, 1960s and 1970s.

The inclusion of a more diverse range of women's organisations, for example middle-class housewives' associations such as the Women's

Institutes (WI) and the Mothers' Union (MU), has opened up debates amongst historians about the meanings of feminism and how the terms 'feminist movement' and 'women's movement' should be defined and applied. Maggie Andrews, in her history of the WI, has argued that the organisation represented the 'acceptable face of feminism' allowing members to 'work out alternative meanings for the structure of their lives.' In doing so it is suggested that WI members embraced their domestic roles but at the same time contested 'social constructions of gender."

Lorna Gibson argues that to include the WI in the history of the women's movement the term 'feminism' needs redefining. Feminism, Gibson suggests, 'is assumed to originate from women's dissatisfaction with domesticity. Moreover the concept of feminism also assumes some recognition of the imbalance of power between men and women and the desire to achieve equality between the sexes. As Karen Offen writes, 'feminism is the name given to a comprehensive critical response to the deliberate and systematic subordination of women as a group by men as a group within a given cultural setting. Such definitions make it difficult to comprehend the role played by voluntary women's groups who embraced domesticity, never challenged established gender roles and were reluctant to be associated with feminist beliefs.

To deal with this problem Gibson proposes a new definition of 'moderate feminism' or 'empowerment' so that organisations such as the WI can be included in the history of the women's movement. This is justified on the grounds that they allowed women to 'have fulfilled lives within the traditional social constructions of gender and acceptance of patriarchy.' Offering a contrasting view, Cordelia Moyse, in her history of the MU, questions whether 'the lack of shared motivation and analysis of gender politics undermine the inclusion of some women's groups into the feminist movement?"

Whilst the arguments put forward by Andrews and Gibson are persuasive it is important to remember that the five voluntary women's organisations included in this study, the MU, Catholic Women's League (CWL), National Council of Women (NCW), WI and National Union of Townswomen's Guilds (TG), never questioned traditional gender roles, nor did they ever envisage themselves as feminist throughout the years 1928–64. It would be wrong therefore to attempt to reconceptualise these groups so that they take on a more recognisable feminist identity. It would be equally misconceived to alter our understanding of feminist theory and the concept of patriarchy in order to secure a 'fit' for voluntary women's organisations, who it must be remembered were outspoken in their endorsement of women's domestic role and the centrality of mothers to family life.

Moyse's assertion that housewives' associations cannot therefore be included in the feminist movement appears logical but once again highlights the underlying confusion surrounding the terms 'feminist movement' and 'women's movement'. In most cases the two terms are conceived to be one and the same thing. However, when the diversity of the hundred or so organisations actively representing the interests of women throughout the years 1928–64 is considered, it is far too limiting only to view those with obvious feminist tendencies as part of the 'women's movement'.

Instead of attempting to locate feminist principles within all of these groups or developing a new understanding of feminism and patriarchy, what is argued here is that the term 'women's movement' needs to be revised. In a sense the women's movement needs to be liberated from its exclusive association with feminism. This will allow the movement to be recast as a social movement encompassing all women's organisations, including feminist, political and conservative women's groups, who campaigned to improve the position and status of women in society throughout the twentieth century.

Adopting this new, more inclusive definition, this book will assess the contribution of five mainstream and conservative voluntary women's organisations to the women's movement between the years 1928 and 1964. The five groups selected, the MU, CWL, NCW, WI and TG, were amongst the largest and most influential organisations representing housewives and mothers in twentieth-century Britain. Each group recognised the primary roles that women had as housewives and mothers. In doing so they set out to provide members with support and advice on domestic matters, marriage and motherhood. The origins and aspirations of each group will be outlined and discussed in Chapter 1.

In spite of their endorsement of domesticity, the MU, CWL, NCW, WI and TG insisted that housewives and mothers would be mistaken to devote themselves exclusively to family life. On the contrary this study will reveal that voluntary women's groups acknowledged the status of women as equal citizens and continually sought to inform and educate their members about the importance of democratic citizenship. Focusing on citizenship rights instead of feminism, these groups encouraged members to participate in local and national politics and campaigned to ensure that women benefited from the rights of equal citizenship bestowed upon them in 1928.

Publicly rejecting feminism made sense for these organisations as they wished to attract mass memberships through their recruitment of housewives and mothers. At this time the term 'feminism' was often used in a pejorative sense and feminist societies were assumed to be radical groups seeking to undermine traditional family life. Vera Brittain, writing in 1928, articulated these prejudices when she wrote that feminists were often portrayed as 'spectacled, embittered women, disappointed, childless, dowdy and generally unloved.' Writing in a similar vein, Ray Strachey stated in 1936 that modern women 'show a strong hostility to the word feminism, and all which they imagine it to connote.'

Chapter 2 will explore the ways in which voluntary women's organisations successfully utilised the rhetoric of citizenship, with all its adherent rights and duties, to articulate the needs of their members and to provide an effective public voice for women. The woman citizen was defined as a homemaker, but this did not mean, as Barbara Caine has suggested, that the housewife was 'not seriously involved in attempting to change the political and social framework in which she lived'.18 Chapter 3 will discuss the ways in which these groups attempted to represent the views of women on the controversial and potentially divisive issues of divorce, birth control and abortion. Here it will be argued that despite the conservative views expressed by some groups, most notably the MU and CWL, the overall objective of housewives' associations in debating these issues was to protect the stability of the family and the role of the mother within the home. In doing so, voluntary women's organisations called upon the state to provide adequate public services so that women would be supported in their work as wives and mothers.

The involvement of the MU, CWL, NCW, WI and TG in a number of specific campaigns relating to social policy, for example the payment of family allowances to mothers, improved maternity services and the provision of social welfare benefits will be discussed in Chapter 4. Chapter 5 explores the contribution of these organisations to the war effort and their participation in two key wartime campaigns, the demand for equal pay and the employment of women police. The role played by voluntary women's groups in post-war reconstruction and their ability to successfully influence government policy on housing reform, as well as protecting the interests of women as housewives, workers and citizens, will be discussed in Chapter 6.

Chapter 7 considers how these mainstream women's organisations challenged traditional constructions of domesticity in the 1950s and early 1960s. It is argued that the MU, CWL, NCW, WI and TG, in continuing to highlight the importance of citizenship for women, presented a new, alternative and modern interpretation of women's domestic role. Rejecting the ideal of the 'perfect housewife' so pervasive during the 1950s and 1960s, voluntary women's groups argued that wives and mothers had more to

offer society than just knowing 'how to keep a husband happy." Instead of condemning the increasing numbers of mothers going out to work in the 1950s, these groups focused their attention on the duty of the state and employers to provide more flexible work patterns and extended child-care facilities, allowing women to balance paid work with their domestic responsibilities.

This final chapter provides further evidence of the effectiveness of female agency during these years. Engaging in a wide range of campaigning activities, voluntary women's organisations were successful in bringing about legislative reform and influencing public policy. This presents a challenge to longstanding assumptions that the 1950s and early 1960s witnessed a women's movement overpowered by an ideology of domesticity. Accordingly, this study suggests that by 1964, the MU, CWL, NCW, WI and TG had proven themselves effective in providing housewives with opportunities to participate in social, leisure and educational activities. These groups campaigned on behalf of their members and informed women about the rights and duties of democratic citizenship. In doing so they did indeed exercise 'an undoubted influence upon the trend of domestic affairs'. In light of all of these achievements, and their success in creating dynamic networks of women, these conservative women's organisations must now be included in any history of the women's movement.

In terms of methodology, the research for this book has been confined to England as the MU, CWL, NCW, WI and TG had separate administrative arrangements for Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland. Although reference is made to the work of local branches, the principal objective here is to focus on the work and policy decisions of the five national organisations that represented the interests of hundreds of thousands of women throughout the years 1928–64. Sources used include the organisational archives as well the records of other societies, governmental departments and church bodies.

The archival material for each organisation is rich in detail. Annual reports, minutes of annual general meetings (AGMs), public questions committees, executive and sectional committees, all provide an excellent and insightful record of activity and achievement. In addition, the organisations produced their own journals, published reports and pamphlets and gave written and oral evidence to a large number of public enquiries and royal commissions. These records and the history of the five organisations included in this study have ensured that stories previously untold about the nature of female activism, and the agency of housewives and mothers, can now be heard.

Notes

- 1 Central Office of Information, Women in Britain Reference Pamphlet 67 (London: HMSO, 1964), p. 25.
- 2 Cited in E. Meehan, 'British Feminism from the 1960s to the 1980s', in H. Smith (ed.), British Feminism in the Twentieth Century (London: Edward Elgar, 1990), p. 192.
- 3 See B. Caine, English Feminism 1780–1980 (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1997), pp. 234–240.
- 4 For a list of women's organisations see C. Law, Suffrage and Power: The Women's Movement, 1918–1928 (London: I. B. Tauris, 1997), pp. 232–238. See also P. Gordon and D. Doughan, Dictionary of British Women's Organisations 1825–1960 (London: Woburn Press, 2001).
- 5 See for example: Law, Suffrage and Power, G. Scott, Feminism, Femininity and the Politics of Working Women: The Women's Co-operative Guild, 1880s to the Second World War (London: UCL, 1998), K. Hunt, 'Negotiating the Boundaries of the Domestic: British Socialist Women and the Politics of Consumption', Women's History Review, 9:2 (2000), pp. 389–410, P. Thane, 'Women of the British Labour Party and Feminism, 1906–45', in Smith (ed.), British Feminism in the Twentieth Century and I. Zweiniger-Bargielowska, 'Explaining the Gender Gap: The Conservative Party and the Women's Vote', in M. Francis and I. Zweiniger-Bargielowska (eds), The Conservatives and British Society, 1880–1990 (Cardiff: University of Wales Press, 1996).
- 6 See for example: C. Beaumont, 'Citizens not Feminists: The Boundary Negotiated between Citizenship and Feminism by Mainstream Women's Organisations in England, 1928–39', Women's History Review, 9:2 (2000), L. Perriton, 'Forgotten Feminists: The Federation of British Professional and Business Women, 1933–1969', Women's History Review, 16:1 (2007), M. Andrews, The Acceptable Face of Feminism: The Women's Movement as a Social Movement (London: Lawrence and Wishart, 1997), C. Moyse, A History of the Mothers' Union: Women, Anglicanism and Globalisation (London: The Boydell Press, 2009), C. Merz, After the Vote: The Story of the National Union of Townswomen's Guilds in the Year of Its Diamond Jubilee 1929–1989 (Norwich: NUTG, 1988), H. McCarthy, 'Service Clubs, Citizenship and Equality: Gender Relations and Middle-class Associations in Britain between the Wars', Historical Review, 81 (2008) and P. Thane, 'Women and Political Participation in England, 1918–1970', in E. Breitenbach and P. Thane (eds), Women and Citizenship in Britain and Ireland in the Twentieth Century: What Difference Did the Vote Make? (London: Continuum, 2010).
- 7 See for example: S. Bruley, Women in Britain since 1900 (Basingstoke: Macmillan, 1999), M. Pugh, Women and the Women's Movement in Britain (2nd ed.; Basingstoke: Macmillan, 2000), D. Beddoe, Back to Home and Duty: Women between the Wars 1918–1939 (London: Pandora, 1989) and J. Lewis, Women in England 1870–1950: Sexual Divisions and Social Change (Brighton: Wheatsheaf, 1984).
- 8 See for example: Caine, English Feminism, C. Beaumont, 'The Women's Movement, Politics and Citizenship, 1918–1950s', in I. Zweiniger-Bargielowska (ed.), Women in Twentieth Century Britain (Harlow: Pearson Education, 2001), C. Beaumont, 'Housewives, Workers and Citizens: Voluntary Women's Organisations and the Campaign for Women's Rights in England and Wales during the Post-war Period', in N. Crowson, M. Hilton and J. McKay (eds), NGOs in Contemporary Britain: Non-