

ALL ENGLAND LAW REPORTS ANNOTATED

OF CASES DECIDED IN

THE HOUSE OF LORDS

THE PRIVY COUNCIL

ALL DIVISIONS OF THE SUPREME COURT
AND

COURTS OF SPECIAL JURISDICTION

1946

VOLUME 1

Consulting Editor:

Sir ROLAND BURROWS, K.C.

Recorder of Cambridge.

Managing Editor of Halsbury's Laws of England, Hailsham Edition.

Consulting Editor for Chancery Cases:

HAROLD CHRISTIE, K.C.

Bencher of Lincoln's Inn.

Consulting Editor for Taxation Cases:

CYRIL KING, K.C.

Bencher of Middle Temple.

General Editor:

P. F. SKOTTOWE, LL.B.

of Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law.

[For full list of Editors and Reporters see overleaf]

Published by the Proprietors of
THE LAW JOURNAL, 11 & 12 BELL YARD, TEMPLE BAR,
LONDON, W.C.2.

These reports are cited thus: [1946] 1 All E. R.

CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 1

PAGE	PAG
AINLEY v. AINLEY [C.A.] ALDERTON AND SONS, LTD., ROSENTHAL v. [C.A.] 583	COOTE & WARREN, LTD. (THIRD PARTY) AND LONDON & NORTH EASTERN RY. CO., HENSON v. [C. A.]
AMALGAMATED ANTHRACITE COLLIERIES, LTD. v. CORY BROTHERS AND CO., LTD. [C.A.] 232 AMES' SETTLEMENT. Re. DINWIDDY v. AMES 689	v. [C.A.]
AMES SETTLEMENT, Re, DINWIDDY v. AMES 689 ANGLO-AMERICAN OIL CO., LTD., ATTORNEY- GENERAL v. THE "F. J. WOLFE" [C.A.] 359	LTD., PRESTON v. [K.B.D. DIVL. CT.] 69
ARDERNE CINEMAS, LTD., GREENHALGH v. [C.A.] 512 ASSOCIATED LONDON PROPERTIES, LTD. v.	[CH.D.]
SHERIDAN [K.B.D.] 20 ASSOCIATED PORTLAND CEMENT MANUFACTURERS,	CRADDOCK (INSPECTOR OF TAXES) v. ZEVO FINANCE CO., LTD. [H.L.]
LTD. v. INLAND REVENUE COMRS. ASSOCIATED PORTLAND CEMENT MANUFACTURERS, LTD. v. KERR (INSPECTOR OF TAXES) [C.A.] 68	CROWN BEDDING CO., LTD. v. INLAND REVENUE COMRS. [C.A.]
ATKIN, DAY v. Re JACKSON [CH.D.] 327 ATTORNEY-GENERAL v. ANGLO-AMERICAN OIL CO.,	RATING AUTHORITY [K.B.D. DIVL. CT.] 38 CUMBERLAND CONSOLIDATED HOLDINGS, LTD. v.
LTD., THE "F. J. WOLFE" [C.A.] 359 ATTORNEY-GENERAL, PUBLIC TRUSTEE v., Re	IRELAND [C.A.] 28 CUNARD, TRUSTEES OF THE WILL OF, v. INLAND
CASSEL'S WILL TRUSTS [CH.D.]	REVENUE COMPS. MCPHEETERS v. INLAND
CASSEL'S WILL TRUSTS (NO. 2)	[CH.D.]
INLAND REVENUE COMRS. INLAND REVENUE COMRS. v. AUSTRALIAN MUUAL PROVIDENT SOCIETY [K.B.D.]	DAVIDSON v. DAVIDSON, Re WELFORD'S WILL TRUSTS [Ch.D.]
-, [C.A.] AYRSHIRE EMPLOYERS MUTUAL INSURANCE	DAY v. ATKIN, Re JACKSON [CH.D.]
ASSOCIATION, LTD., INLAND REVENUE COMES.	DESOUTTER BROTHERS, LTD., INLAND REVENUE
BAINDAIL (OTHERWISE LAWSON) v. BAINDAIL [C.A.] 342 BAKER v. MATHESON, Re PRINGLE [CH.D.] 88	DEYONG v. SHENBURN [C.A.] 22 DICKINSON (WILLIAM) & CO., LTD. v. BRISTOW
BARBER, WALKER & CO., LTD., HALLIDAY v. [C.A.] 471 BARCLAY, WILKINSON v. [K.B.D.]	(W.) (H.M. INSPECTOR OF TAXES) [C.A.] 44 DINWIDDY v. AMES, Re AMES' SETTLEMENT [CH.D.] 68 DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC PROSECUTIONS, JOYCE v.
[CH.D.]	[H.L.] Doncaster Amalgamated Collieries, Ltd. v. 18
GAMATED COLLIERIES, LTD. v. [H.L.] 642 BRAN'S EXPRESS LTD. TWINE v. [K. R. D.]	BEAN (INSPECTOR OF TAXES) [H.L.] 64 DUFFRYN (POWELL), LTD. v. RHODES [K.B.D.
BEAUFRONT, LTD., HAWTREY v. [K.B.D.] 296 BEOKER, EKER v. [K.B.D.] 721	DIVL. CT.] DUNCAN v. HAMBROOK. WOODS v. DUNCAN.
BENDALL v. COOPER, Re COOPER'S ESTATE [CH.D.] 28 BERRIMAN, LONDON & NORTH EASTERN RY., CO. v. [H.L.]	DUNCAN v. CAMMELL LAIRD & CO., LTD. [H.L.] 42 EDE, P.C. (RT. HON. CHUTER), H.M. SECRETARY OF STATE FOR HOME AFFAIRS, NETZ v. [CH.D.] 62
BICESTER (LORD), HERBERT v., Re HERBERT	EKER v. BECKER [K.B.D.]
BOARLAND (INSPECTOR OF TAXES), LEELAND v.	[K.B.D.] EPPS v. ROTHNIE [C.A.]
BOTTRILL, R. v., Ex parte KUECHENMEISTER [K.B.D. DIVL. CT.] . 635 BOURNE v. MINISTER OF PENSIONS [K.B.D.] 400	EYRE v. HAYNES [C.A.]
BOURNE v. MINISTER OF PENSIONS [K.B.D.] 400 BOURNE'S ESTILEMENT TRUSTS, Re, BOURNE v. MACKAY [C.A.] 411	FFRENCH, MINISTRY OF PENSIONS v. [K.B.D.] 27 FINK, SZALATNAY-STACHO v. [K.B.D.]
BOYNE, KRAUSS v. [K.B.D.] 543	ENGINES) [C A]
BRIDDON v. GEORGE [C.A.] 609 BRISTOW (W.) (H.M. INSPECTOR OF TAXES), DICKINSON (WILLIAM) & Co., LTD. v. [C.A.] 448 BRITISH ARC WELDING CO., LTD., AND B. & N.	FORD MOTOR CO., LTD. (AERO ENGINES), FITZ- SIMONS v. [C.A.] 42 FORREST, RYAN v., Re TRUSTS OF HOBOURN AERO
GREEN & SILLEY WEIR, LTD., HODGSON V.	COMPONENTS, LTD.'S AIR-RAID DISTRESS FUND [C.A.]
[K.B.D.] BRITISH IRON & STEEL CORPORATION, LTD. v. HALPERN [K.B.D.] 408	FOSTER, Re, COOMBER v. GOVERNORS AND GUARDIANS OF THE HOSPITAL FOR THE MAIN- TENANCE AND EDUCATION OF EXPOSED AND
BRISTOL AEROPLANE CO., LTD., YOUNG v. [H.L.] 98 BROMHAM v. WILKINS. STACEY v. WILKINS	DESERTED YOUNG CHILDREN [CH.D.] 38 FRODINGHAM IRONSTONE MINES, LTD. v. INLAND
[K.B.D. DIVL. CT.]	REVENUE COMRS. [K.B.D.]
CAMMELL LAIRD & CO., LTD., DUNCAN v., WOODS v. DUNCAN. DUNCAN v. HAMBROOK [H.L.] . 420	G (deceased), In the Estate of, M v. L. [PROB.]
CARBERAS, MILMO v. [C.A.]	GABBITAS, Ex parte, R. v. LEICESTER RECORDER [K.B.D. DIVL. CT.]
CASSEL'S WILL TRUSTS, Re, PUBLIC TRUSTEE v. ATTORNEY-GENERAL (No. 2) [CH.D.] 704	GARDEN, FYFE v. [H.L.]
CHATTERTON, WILSON v. [C.A.]	GORDON v. GORDON [C.A.]
PELHAM v. COUNTESS OF CHICHESTER [CH.D.] 722 COMPTON, Re, POWELL v. COMPTON (No. 2) [CH.D.] 117	EAPOSED AND DESERTED TOUNG CHILDREN,
COOMBER v. GOVERNORS AND GUARDIANS OF THE HOSPITAL FOR THE MAINTENANCE AND EDUCA- TION OF EXPOSED AND DESERTED YOUNG	COOMER v., Re FOSTER [CH.D.]
TION OF EXPOSED AND DESERTED YOUNG CHILDREN, Re FOSTER [CH.D.]	TAXES) v. [K.B.D.] IIDDIATT v. [C.A.] 78
COOPER'S ESTATE, Re, BENDALL v. COOPER [CH.D.] 28	GREEN v. GREEN [DIV.] 80

	1		
Green (B. & N.) & SILLEY WEIR, LTD., AND	GE	KERR (INSPECTOR OF TAXES), ASSOCIATED PORT-	AGE
BRITISH ARC WELDING CO., LTD., HODGSON v. [K.B.D]	95	LAND CEMENT MANUFACTURERS, LTD. v. ASSOCIATED PORTLAND CEMENT MANUFAC-	
GREEN (E.) & SON, LTD., FOSTER WHEELER, LTD.	63	TURERS, LTD. v. INLAND REVENUE COMES.	68
U. [C.A.] GREENHAIGH U. ARDERNE CINEMAS, LTD. [C.A.] 5	512		
GRONDKOWSKI AND MALINOWSKI, R. v. [C.C.A.]	329 559	King, Norman v. [C.A.] Kitson & Co., Ltd., Re [C.A.] Klass, Maddox Properties, Ltd. v. [K.B.D.]	487
HALLIDAY v. BARBER, WALKER & CO., LTD. [C.A.] 4 HALPERN, BRITISH IRON & STEEL CORPORATION,	471	KRAUSS v. BOYNE [K.B.D.]	543
HAMBROOK, DUNCAN v. WOODS v. DUNCAN.	408	[K.B.D. DIVL. CT.]	635
DUNCAN v. CAMMELL LAIRD & CO., LTD. [H.L.] 4 HARRISON v. METROPOLITAN PLYWOOD CO	420	[PROB.] LTD., AND LANGHAM HOTEL CO., LTD., Re [CH.D.]	579
[K.B.D.]	243	CO., LTD., Re [CH.D.] LANE'S ESTATE, Re, MEAGHER v. GOVERNORS AND	319
HAYES, LANGSTONE v. [C.A.]	114	GUARDIANS OF THE NATIONAL GALLERY OF	735
HEAVEN AND GOVERNORS AND GUARDIANS OF	uwu	LANGHAM HOTEL CO., LTD., AND "L" HOTEL	319
THE NATIONAL GALLERY OF IRELAND, MEAGHER v., Re LANE'S ESTATE [CH.D.]	735	GUARDIANS OF THE NATIONAL GALLERY OF IRELAND AND HEAVEN [CH.D.]	114
AND COOTE & WARNER, LTD. (THIRD PARTY)	050	Tenvinton Comiss. C. [II.D.]	598
HERBERT, Re, HERBERT v. BICESTER (LORD)	853	LEBUS, INLAND REVENUE COMRS. v. [K.B.D. & C.A.]	476
HIVAO, LTD. v. PARK ROYAL SCIENTIFIC INSTRU-	421	LERLAND v. BOARLAND (INSPECTOR OF TAXES) [K.B.D.]	13
MENTS, LTD. [C.A.] HOBOURN AERO COMPONENTS, LTD.'S AIR-RAID	350	LEICESTER CITY COUNCIL, MILLS & ROCKLEYS, LTD. v. [K.B.D. DIVL. CT.]	424
DISTRESS FUND, Re TRUSTS OF, RYAN v. FORREST [C.A.]	501	LEICESTER RECORDER, R. v., Ex parte GABBITAS	615
	95	LEVER BROS., AND UNILEVER, LTD. v. INLAND REVENUE COMRS. [H.L.]	486
HOLMES, R. v. [C.C.A.] HOPWOOD v. TEXTILE PAPER TUBE CO., LTD. [C.A.]	524 618	LIDDIATT v. GREAT WESTERN RY. CO. [C.A.] LILLEY, PETTIT v. [K.B.D. DIVL. CT.]	731 593
	460	LIMMER & TRINIDAD LAKE ASHPHALT CO., LTD.,	527
CEMENT MANUFACTURERS, LTD. v., ASSOCIATED		JORDAN v. [K.B.D.] LINNETT v. COMMISSIONER OF POLICE FOR THE METROPOLIS [K.B.D. DIVL. CT.]	380
PORTLAND CEMENT MANUFACTURERS, LTD. v. KERR (INSPECTOR OF TAXES) [C.A.]	68	LITT'S WILL TRUSTS, Re, PARRY v. COOPER [C.A.] LIVERPOOL AND LONDON WAR RISKS ASSOCIATION,	314
PROVIDENT SOCIETY. AUSTRALIAN MUTUAL PROVIDENT SOCIETY v. INLAND REVENUE		LTD., OCEAN STEAMSHIP CO., LTD. v. [K.B.D.] LONDON & NORTH EASTERN RY. CO. v. BERRIMAN	123
COMRS. [K.B.D.]	236	[H.L.] LONDON & NORTH EASTERN RY. CO., AND COOTE	255
INLAND REVENUE COMRS. v. AYRSHIRE EMPLOYERS	837	& WARREN, LTD. (THIRD PARTY), HENSON v.	653
INLAND REVENUE COMES., CROWN BEDDING Co.,	452	[C.A.] LONDON JUSTICES, R. v. [C.A.] LONDON PASSENGER TRANSPORT BOARD, WILKIE	129
INLAND REVENUE COMRS., CUNARD, TRUSTEES OF THE WILL OF, v. MCPHEETERS v. INLAND	202	v. [K.B.D.] LUTON CORPORATION, MORRIS v. [C.A.].	650
	159	M— v. L—, In the Estate of G— (deceased)	579
LTD. [C.A.]	58	MACINTYRE v. MACINTYRE AND MOPPETT [DIV.]	121
	168	MACKAY, BOURNE v., Re BOURNE'S SETTLEMENT TRUSTS [C.A.]	411
INLAND REVENUE COMRS. v. L.B. (HOLDINGS), LTD. (IN LIQUIDATION) [H.L.]	598	MCKAY, GILBERT v. [K.B.D. DIVL. CT.] MACMILLAN & CO., LTD. v. REES [C.A.].	458 675
INLAND REVENUE COMES. v. LEBUS [K.B.D. & C.A.]	476	MCPHEETERS v. INLAND REVENUE COMRS., TRUSTEES OF THE WILL OF CUNARD v. INLAND	
UNIAND REVENUE COMRS., LEVER BROS. AND UNIEVER, LTD. v. [H.L.] INLAND REVENUE COMRS. v. NATIONAL ANTI-	186	MADDOX PROPERTIES, LTD. v. KLASS [K.B.D.]	159 487
VIVISECTION SOCIETY [C.A.]	205	MALINOWSKI AND GRONDKOWSKI, R. v. [C.C.A.] MANCHESTER CORPN., TWYFORD v. [CH.D.]	559 621
INLAND REVENUE COMES., NORTHERN ALUMINIUM CO., LTD. v. [K.B.D. & C.A.]	546	MALINOWSKI AND GRONDKOWSKI, R. v. [C.C.A.] MANCHESTER CORPN., TWYFORD v. [CH.D.] MATHESON, BAKER v., Re PRINGLE [CH.D.] MAY, POWELL, v. [K.B.D. DIVL. CT.]	88 444
INLAND REVENUE COMRS., TRINIDAD PETROLEUM	488	NATIONAL GALLERY OF IRELAND AND HEAVEN,	
DEVELOPMENT CO., LTD. v. [H.L.] 4 IRELAND, CUMBERLAND CONSOLIDATED HOLDINGS,	197	Re Lane's Estate [Ch.D.]	735
LTD. v. [C.A.]	284	[K.B.D.] MILLENIUM PRODUCTIONS, LTD. v. WINTER GARDEN	243
NATIONAL GALLERY OF, AND HEAVEN, MEAGHER v., Re Lane's Estate [Ch.D.]	735	THEATRE (LONDON), LTD. [C.A.] MILLS & ROCKLEYS, LTD. v. LEICESTER CITY	678
v., Re Lane's Estate [CH.D.]	327	COUNCIL [K.B.D. DIVL. CT.]	424 288
[K.B.D.] JAY (WILLIAM A.) & SONS v. VEEVERS (J. S.),	198	MINISTER OF PENSIONS, BOURNE v. [K.B.D.]	400 272
LTD. [MANCHESTER WINTER ASSIZES]	846	MINISTER OF PENSIONS, JONES v. [K.B.D.]	312 273
JENNINGS, NEALE v. [C.A.] 2 JOHNSON, EYRE v. [K.B.D.] 3 JOHNSON v. HUMPHREY [CH.D.] 4 JOHNSON v. JOHNSON [DIV.] 5	719	MINISTER OF PENSIONS, NUTTALL v. [K.B.D.]	400
JOHNSON v. JOHNSON [DIV.] JOHNSON (INSPECTOR OF TAXES) v. W. S. TEY, LTD.	573	MINISTER OF PENSIONS v. PRETTY. SHIPP v. MINISTER OF PENSIONS [K.B.D.]	417
[K.B.D.]	16g	MINISTER OF PENSIONS [K.B.D.] MINISTER OF PENSIONS, ROWING v. [K.B.D.] MINISTER OF PENSIONS, STARE v. [K.B.D.] MINISTER OF PENSIONS v. WALITON [K.B.D.]	400 310
, [C.A.] JONES v. MINISTER OF PENSIONF [K.B.D.]	312	MISCHEFF, PROPERTY HOLDING CO., LTD. v. [K.B.D.]	
CO., LTD. [K.B.D.] JOYOE v. DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC PROSECUTIONS	527	MIST v. TOLMAN & SONS (A FIRM) [C.A.]	139
[H.L.] KANSSEN, MORRIS v. [H.L.]	186	BOBOUGH [K.B.D.]	276
manuscript at contract of [12,12,1]	100	DIOTERE AND MACINITIES, MACINITIES V. [DIV.]	Lash

	PAGE		PAGE
MORRIS v. KANSSEN [H.L.] MORRIS v. LUTON CORPOBATION [C.A.]	586	ROBIN, SOMERSHIELD v. [C.A.] ROSENTHALL v. ALDERTON AND SONS, LTD. [C.A.]	218 583
NATIONAL ANTI-VIVISECTION SOCIETY, INLAND		ROTHNIE, EPPS v. [C.A.]	146
REVENUE COMRS. v. [C.A.]	205 224	ROWING v. MINISTER OF PENSIONS [K.B.D.] RYAN v. FORREST, Re TRUSTS OF HOBOURN AEBO	664
NETHERSOLE v. WITHERS (H.M. INSPECTOR OF		COMPONENTS, LTD.'S AIR-RAID DISTRESS FUND	
TAXES) [C.A.] NETZ v. EDE, P.C. (RT. HON. CHUTER), H.M.	711	[C.A.] SCHERING, LTD. (IN VOLUNTARY LIQUIDATION) v.	501
SECRETARY OF STATE FOR HOME AFFAIRS [CH.D.]	628	STOCKHOLMS ENSKILDA BANK AKTIEBOLAG	
NEWPORT COUNTY BOROUGH, MONMOUTH COUNTY	276	[H.L.]	36
v. [K.B.D.] NEWTON AND CATTERMOLES (TRANSPORT), LTD.'S	210	SELLARS, R. v. [C.C.A.]	82
CONTRACT Re Re NORTHERN GARAGE LTD.	F 0.0	Rt. Hon. Lord) and Admiral Henry William	10
NO-NAIL BOXES LTD. NO-NAIL CASES PRO-	566	GRANT [K.B.D.] SHAYLER v. WOOLF [CH.D.]	16
[CH.D.] NO-NAIL BOXES, LTD., NO-NAIL CASES PRO- PRIETARY, LTD. v. [H.L.] NO-NAIL CASES PROPRIETARY, LTD. v. NO-NAIL	523	SHENBURN, DEYONG v. [C.A.]	226
PRIETARY, LTD. v. [H.L.] NO-NAIL CASES PROPRIETARY, LTD. v. NO-NAIL BOXES, LTD. [H.L.]		SHERIDAN, ASSOCIATED LONDON PROPERTIES,	133
BOXES, LTD. [H.L.] NORMAN v. KING [C.A.]	339	LTD. v. [K.B.D.]	20
NORMAN v. SIMPSON [C.A.]	74	SHIPP v. MINISTER OF PENSIONS. MINISTER OF	417
NORTH WEST NORFOLK ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE AND COUNTY VALUATION COMMITTEE FOR THE		PENSIONS v. PRETTY [K.B.D.]	74
COUNTY OF NORFOLK, PRATT v. [C.A.]	4	Sims, R. v. [C.C.A.]	697
NORTHERN ALUMINIUM CO., LTD. v. INLAND REVENUE COMRS. [K.B.D. & C.A.]	546	SMEE, SPICER v. [K.B.D.] SOMERSHIELD v. ROBIN [C.A.]	489 218
NORTHERN GARAGE, LTD., Re, Re NEWTON AND		SORRELL AND PUTLAND, R. v. [C.C.A.]	85
CATTERMOLES (TRANSPORT), LTD'S CONTRACT	566	SPAWFORTH v. SPAWFORTH [DIV.]	379 489
NUGENT, MINISTRY OF PENSIONS v. [K.B.D.]	273	STACEY v. WILKINS, BROMHAM v. WILKINS	200
NUGENT-HEAD v. JACOB (INSPECTOR OF TAXES)	198	[K.B.D. DIVL. CT.] STAPLETON, Re, STAPLETON v. STAPLETON [CH.D.]	293
NUTTALL v. MINISTER OF PENSIONS [K.B.D.]	400	STARR v. MINISTER OF PENSIONS [K.B.D.]	400
OCEAN STEAMSHIP CO., LTD. v. LIVERPOOL AND	123	STENOR, LTD. v. WHITESIDES (CLITHEROE), LTD.	170
LONDON WAR RISKS ASSOCIATION, LTD. [K.B.D.] OFFICE CLEANING SERVICES, LTD. v. WESTMINSTER	120	[C.A.] STOCKHOLMS ENSKILDA BANK ARTIEBOLAG,	176
WINDOW AND GENERAL CLEANERS, LTD. [H.L.]	320	SCHERING, LTD. (IN VOLUNTARY LIQUIDATION)	
OSTIME (INSPECTOR OF TAXES), PONTYPRIDD AND RHONDDA JOINT WATER BOARD v. [H.L]	668	v. [H.L.] SZALATNAY-STACHO v. FINK [K.B.D.]	36
PARGETER v. PARGETER [C.A.]	570	TAYLOR v. INLAND REVENUE COMRS. [C.A.]	488
PARK ROYAL SCIENTIFIC INSTRUMENTS, LTD., HIVAC, LTD. v. [C.A.]	350	TEXTILE PAPER TUBE Co., LTD., HOPWOOD v.	618
HIVAC, LTD. v. [C.A.]		THOMAS v. THOMAS [DIV.]	170
[CH.D.] PARRY v. COOPER, Re LITT'S WILL TRUSTS [C.A.]	321	TITHE REDEMPTION COMMISSION v. THE GOVERNORS OF THE BOUNTY OF QUEEN ANNE [CH.D.]	148
PELHAM v. COUNTESS OF CHICHESTER, Re EARL OF		TOLEMAN & SONS (A FIRM), MIST v. [C.A.]	139
CHICHESTER'S WILL TRUSTS [CH.D.]	722	TOLTEN, THE. UNITED AFRICA CO., LTD. v. OWNERS OF M.V. TOLTEN [ADM.]	70
HENRY WILLIAM GRANT, SHADBOLT (INSPECTOR		TRINIDAD PETROLEUM DEVELOPMENT CO., LTD.	79
OF TAXES) v. [K.B.D.] PETTIT v. LILLEY [K.B.D. DIVL. CT.]	16 593	v. Inland Revenue Comrs. [H.L.]	497
PHILLIPS (INSPECTOR OF TAXES) v. EMERY [K.B.D.]	144	TRY (W. S.), LTD., JOHNSON (INSPECTOR OF TAXES) v. [K.B.D.]	165
PICKERING, WILSON & MEESON (A FIRM) v. [C.A.]	394	,[C.A.]	532
POLICE COMMISSIONER FOR THE METROPOLIS, LINNETT v. [K.B.D. DIVL. CT.]	380		564 202
PONTYPRIDD AND RHONDDA JOINT WATER	668	TWYFORD v. MANCHESTER CORPN. [CH.D.]	621
POWELL v. COMPTON, Re COMPTON (No. 2) [CH.D.]	117	UNILEVER, LTD. AND LEVER BROS. v. INLAND REVENUE COMRS. [H.L.]	486
POWELL v. MAY [K.B.D. DIVL. CT.]	444	UNITED AFRICA CO., LTD. v. OWNERS OF M.V.	
PRATT v. NORTH WEST NORFOLK ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE AND COUNTY VALUATION COMMITTEE		TOLTEN. THE TOLTEN [ADM.] VEEVERS (J. S.), LTD., JAY (WILLIAM A.) & SONS	79
FOR THE COUNTY OF NORFOLK [C.A.]	4	v. [MANCHESTER WINTER ASSIZES]	646
PRESTON v. COVENTRY AND DISTRICT CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY, LTD. [K.B.D. DIVL. CT.]	694	Walton, Minister of Pensions v. [K.B.D.] Welford's Will Trusts, Re, Davidson v.	310
PRETTY, MINISTER OF PENSIONS v., SHIPP v.	417	DAVIDSON [CH.D.]	23
MINISTER OF PENSIONS [K.B.D.] PRINGLE, Re, BAKER v. MATHESON [CH.D.]	417 88	WESTMINSTER WINDOW AND GENERAL CLEANERS, LTD., OFFICE CLEANING SERVICES, LTD. v. [H.L.]	320
PROPERTY HOLDING CO., LTD. v. MISCHEFF		WHEELER (FOSTER), LTD. v. E. GREEN & SON, LTD.	020
[K.B.D.] PUBLIC TRUSTEE v. ATTORNEY-GENERAL, Re	406	[C.A.] WHITESIDES (CLITHEROES), LTD., STENOR, LTD. v.	63
CASSEL'S WILL TRUSTS [CH.D.]	32	[C.A.]	176
PUBLIC TRUSTEE v. ATTORNEY-GENERAL, Re- CASSEL'S WILL TRUSTS (No. 2) [CH.D.]	704	WILKIE v. LONDON PASSENGER TRANSPORT BOARD [K.B.D.]	650
PUTLAND AND SORRELL, R. v. [C.C.A.]	85	WILKINS, STACEY v. BROMHAM v. WILKINS	000
QUEEN ANNE'S BOUNTY (THE GOVERNORS OF), TITHE REDEMPTION COMMISSION v. [CH.D.]	148	THE PARTY OF THE P	293 387
QUESKEY, Re, QUESKEY v. QUESKEY [Ch.D.]	717	WILSON v. CHATTERTON [C.A.]	431
R. v. BOTTRILL, Ex parte KUECHENMEISTER	635	WILSON & MEESON (A FIRM) v. PICKERING [C.A.]	394
[K.B.D. DIVL. CT.] B. v. Grondkowski and Malinowski [C.C.A.]	559	WINTER GARDEN THEATRE (LONDON), LTD.,	000
R. v. HOLMES [U.U.A.] R. v. LEICESTER RECORDER Ex parts GARDINIS	524	MILLENIUM PRODUCTIONS, LTD. v. [C.A.] WITHERS (H.M. INSPECTOR OF TAXES), NETHER-	678
R. v. Holmes [C.C.A.] R. v. Leicester Recorder, Ex parte Gabbitas [K.B.D. Divl. Ct.]	615	SOLE U. [U.A.]	711
R. v. LONDON JUSTICES [C.A.] R. v. PUTLAND AND SORRELL [C.C.A.]	129	WOLFE, THE P. J., ATTOKNEY-GENERAL V. ANGLO-	
R. v. SELLARS [C.C.A.]	82	AMERICAN OIL CO., LTD. [C.A.]	
R. v. Sims [C.C.A.]	697	DUNCAN v. CAMMRLL LAIRD & CO. LTD [H L.]	
RUBES, MACMILLAN & CO., LTD. v. [C.A.]	675	YOUNG v. BRISTOL AEROPLANE CO., LTD. [H.L.]	464 98
RHODES, DUFFRYN (POWELL), LTD. v. [K.B.D. DIVL. CT.]	888	ZEVO FINANCE CO., LTD., CRADDOCK (INSPECTOR OF TAXES) v. [H.L.]	
	000	OF ARABO/ V. [H.L.]	oza

INDEX

1	PAGE		AGE
Accommodation crossing, no duty on railway company to employ watchman Actor, loss of goods from dressing room, rights against producer	731 226	purposes nor within "poor relations" cases —Fund not subject to valid charitable trusts [Re TRUSTS OF HOBOURN AERO COM- PONENTS, LTD.'S AIR-RAID DISTRESS	501
A TOMETO A TUDA		FUND, RYAN v. FORREST]	501
ADMIRALTY Jurisdiction—Action in rem—Damage by British ship to pier in Nigerian harbour —Admirally jurisdiction in rem exercisable over British and foreign ships for damage in any waters—Admirally Court Act, 1840 (c. 65), s. 6—Admirally Court for, 1861 (c. 10), ss. 7, 35—Supreme Court of Judica-		COMPANIES Directors—Failure to appoint—Allotment of shares by persons purporting to be directors—Distinction between defective appointment and no appointment—Rule in Turquand's case—Companies Act, 1929 (c. 23), s. 143, Sched. I, Table A, art. 88	
ture (Consolidation) Act 1025 (a 40) a		[Moppie at Vargery]	586
ture (Consolidation) Act, 1925 (c. 49), s. 22 (1) (a) (iv) [UNITED AFRICA CO., LTD. v. OWNERS OF M.V. TOLIEN. THE TOLIEN) Adultery confession of, as provocation Advertisement, prohibition by local authority Air-raid distress, fund for, as charity light Air-raid shelter, duty of corporation to light Ailen, liability for treasonable acts performed	501	Practice — Reconstruction — Transfer of property and liabilities to another company—Form of order—Companies Act, 1929 (c. 23), s. 154—R.S.C., Ord. 53B, r. 13—Appendices to R.S.C., 1883, App. L, No. 37 [Re. "I," HOTEL CO. LTD. AND	319
outside realm	186	Private company—Alteration of rights	
ALIENS Enemy alien—Deportation—Act of State—Licence allowing enemy alien to remain in the kingdom may be withdrawn at any time—Striking out statement of claim—R.S.C., Ord. 25, r. 4 [NETZ v. RT. HON. CHUTER EDE, P.C., H.M. SECRETARY OF STATE FOR HOME AFFAIRS] Interned — Habea cornus — Avail-		LANGHAM HOTEL CO., LTD.] Private company—Alteration of rights of a class of shareholders—Subdivision of shares—10s. ordinary shares already issued, subdivided into 2s. ordinary shares ranking pari passu for all purposes with other 2s. ordinary shares already issued—Rights of original holders of 2s. shares not "varied"—Companies Act, 1929 (c. 23) s. 50, Sched. I, Table A, arts. 3, 37, 54 [GREEN-HALGH v. ARDERNE CINEMAS, LTD.] Receivers—Remuneration—Receiver appointed at agreed remuneration by trustees of trust deeds securing debentures	512
ability of writ [R. v. BOTTRILL, Ex parte		appointed at agreed remuneration by	
ability of writ [R. v. BOTTRILL, Ex parts KUECHENMEISTER]	635	trustees of trust deeds securing debentures	
Alternative accommodation, absence of garage,		—Compulsory winding up—Application by liquidator for remuneration of receiver	
effect of	609	by liquidator for remuneration of receiver	
Annuity, cesser of, estate duty, burden of duty,	WO .	to be fixed by the court—Jurisdiction of the court—Law of Property Act, 1925 (c. 20) ss. 101 (1) (iii), (3), 109 (6)—Companies Act, 1929 (c. 23), s. 300 [Re GREYCAINE, Lett.]	
how borne	704	the court—Law of Property Act, 1925 (c. 20)	
Anti-vivisection Society, charity, as	205	88. 101 (1) (111), (3), 109 (6)—Companies	
Area, alteration of, financial adjustments	276	Act, 1929 (c. 23), 8. 309 [Re GREYCAINE,	000
Attendance, for purpose of Rent Restrictions	100	LTD. 1	329
Act	406	Shares—Purchase of shares with	
Bad debts, recovery of, assessment to income	* * * * *	financial assistance of company-Validity	
tax .,	448	of debenture issued in connection with pur-	
DITTO OF THEST LINES		chase of shares—Companies Act, 1929 (c.	
BILLS OF EXCHANGE		chase of shares—Companies Act, 1929 (c. 23), s. 45 [VICTOR BATTERY CO., LTD. v. CURRY'S, LTD.]	
Signed blank crossed " not negotiable "		CURRY'S, LTD.]	519
cheque—Blanks frauaulently filled in by		Winding up—Shareholder's petition	
cheque—Blanks fraudulently filled in by agent—Drawer not estopped—Payee a "person" within Bills of Exchange Act,		—Company formed to take over a business —Business sold after 46 years—Construc-	
person within Bills of Exchange Act,		-Business sold after 46 years-Construc-	
1882 (c. 61), s. 81 [WILSON & MEESON (A	004	tion of memorandum of association-	
PIRM) C. PICKERING]	394	Whether substratum gone — Just and	
FIRM) v. PICKERING]	005	Whether substratum on association— Whether substratum one — Just and equitable—Companies Act, 1929 (c. 23), s. 168 (6) [Re KITSON & Co., LTD.] Voluntary winding up—Cumulative preferential dividends in arrears— Surplus assets after payment of debts and	100
Propodenting represents treeses	225	108 (b) [Re KITSON & CO., LTD.]	435
Broadcasting, propaganda, treason, as	186	, voluntary winding up-Cumu-	
BURIAL		duive preserential dividends in arrears—	
Burial ground—Right of burial board		surplus assets after payment of acots and	
to charge for mermission to cut inscriptions			
on gravestones—" Monumental inscrin-	1	Construction of articles of association [Re	
tion "-Burial Act. 1852 (c. 85), 88, 33		preference and ordinary shareholders— Construction of articles of association [Re F. DE JONG & CO., LTD.]	556
to charge for permission to cut inscriptions on gravestones—"Monumental inscrip- tion"—Burial Act, 1852 (c. 85), 8s. 33, 34, 38 [TWYFORD v. MANCHESTER CORPN.]	621	are a series as a series and the series of the series and the seri	-0.0
Bye-law, repugnant to general law, invalid	444	CONFLICT OF LAWS	
		CONFLICT OF LAWS Libel — Privilege — Official communi-	
CHARITIES		cations on State matters [SZALATNAY-	
Bequest of money on educational trusts		STACHO v. FINK]	303
-Asset consisting of debt payable to testator			
under agreement for dissolution of partner- ship—Business to be carried on by other		CONTEMPT OF COURT	
ship—Business to be carried on by other		Attachment and committal—Order for	
		custody of child-Failure to hand over	
on breach of certain conditions, to wind up		child—Service of order—Order to be com- plied with on or before stated time—Order	
ousiness and repay himself his debt-		pirea with on or before stated time-Order	
Assets of business, at time of testator's			
ueath, including equity of redemption of		affected immutation Drosses of the state	
vialita and an acres - w neiner testator's		- Enforcement only if miles strictly assertion	
rights under agreement a charge or in-		with PSC Ord A1 " 5 Matrimonial	
partners—I educor entued under agreement, on breach of certain conditions, to wind up business and repay himself his debt—Assets of business, at time of testator's death, including equity of redemption of business premises—Whether testator's rights under agreement "a charge or incumbrance affecting or to affect land"—Charitable Uses Act 1735. (* 36) * 3		served out of time—Transition hot to comply with order—Knowledge of order by person affected immaterial—Process of committal—Enforcement only if rules strictly complied with—R.S.C., Ord. 41, r. 5—Matrimonial, Causes Rules, 1944, r. 62 (2) [GORDON v. CARPOLI]	
	0.00	GORDON]	247
Charitable purposes — Fund raised by	366	GORDONI	426
employees of a commany to manife	1	CONTRACT	
employees of a company to provide grants		CONTRACT	
for contributors in respect of air-raid distress	-	Illegality—Enemy debt to neutral	
—No means test applied in considering claims—Claims by non-contributors not considered—Gift not for general public		country guaranteed by English company— Contract completely performed except for payment—Whether contract abrogated on	
countributors of non-contributors not		Contract completely performed except for	
constacted—Gift not for general public	į	payment—w netner contract acrogated on	

	PAGE		PAGI
Convoy, vessels in, application of collision	36 646	CROWN PRACTICE Habeas Corpus—Interned alien enemy —Availability of writ—Declaration of Government as to state of war—Whether conclusive [R. v. Bottbill, Ex parte Kuechenmeister]	
		DAMAGES Special damage—Loss of earnings through injuries—No deduction in respect of income tax [Jordan v. Limmer and TRINIDAD LAKE ASPHALT CO., LTD.] Dangerous machinery, duty to guard Desertion, failure to establish, whether curable by supplemental petition period of, deletion of non-cohabitation	52° 24° 37°
COUNTY COURTS Appeal—Misdirection—Onus of proof —Judge's note ambiguous—Benefit of doubt		clause, refusal to accept offer to resume cohabitation	
[NORMAN v. KING] Jurisdiction—Action for recovery of possession—Limit of jurisdiction—Furnished house—Whether apportionment provisions of Rent Restrictions Acts apply—County Counts Act, 1934 (c. 53), s. 48 (1) —Increase of Rent and Mortgage Interest (Restrictions) Act, 1920 (c. 17), s. 12 (2), proviso (i)—Rent and Mortgage Interest Restrictions Act, 1923 (c. 32), s. 10 [SOMER-SHIELD v. ROBIN] ————————————————————————————————————	218	DESIGNS Registration—Design for fuse for use in vulcanising machine—Novelty claimed only for shape of fuse—Fuse only for use in a particular type of machine—Shape dictated solely by functional considerations —Whether "a mere mechanical device"— Size of article to which design has been applied not relevant—Patents and Designs Acts, 1907-1938, ss. 49 (1), 93—Designs Rules, 1932-1938, r. 17 [STENOR, LTD. v. WHITESIDES (CLITHEROE), LTD.]	
[HALLIDAY v. BARBER, WALKER & CO., LTD.] Court of Appeal, decisions of, inconsistent with decisions of House of Lords . 429, Criminal cause or matter, proceedings for recovery of excise penalties	431	DIVORCE Deserion—Continuation of desertion —Non-cohabitation clause — Subsequent deletion of clause—Previous period of deser- tion added to period subsequent to deletion of clause—Matrimonial Causes Act, 1937 (c. 57), s. 6 (3) [GREEN v. GREEN] —, Failure to establish statutory	308
CRIMINAL LAW Appeal—Criminal cause or matter— Sale of intoxicating liquor without excise licence—Application for order of prohibition—Refusal—Appeal to Court of Appeal —Jurisdiction—Supreme Court of Judicature (Consolidation) Act, 1925 (c. 49), s. 31 (1) (a) [R. v. London Justices] Burden of proof—Rationed goods	129	perioa—Whether curable by supplemental petition—Supreme Court of Judicature (Consolidation) Act, 1925 (c. 49), s. 176—Matrimonial Causes Act, 1937 (c. 57), s. 2 (b) [SpawFoRTH v. SpawFoRTH] . Evidence — Cross-examination — Husband's petition—Prayer for discretion inserted erroneously—Application to amend	379
acquired without surrendering covpons—Discharge of burden of proof if facts of acquisition constitute supply not prohibited by Order—Consumer Rationing (Consolidation) Order, 1944 (S.R. & O., 1944, No. 800), arts. 3, 4 [R. v. Sellars]. Evidence—Rationed goods acquired without coupons—Onus of proof—Duly of prosecution to establish prima facie case—Consumer Rationing (Consolidation) Order, 1944 (S.R. & O., 1944, No. 800), arts. 1, 2 (3), 4 [R. v. Putland and Sorrell] Murder—Provocation—Wife's con-	82	denying adultery—Wife's petition alleging different adultery without reference to adultery denied in affidavit—Husband's evidence confined only to matters in affidavit—Cross-examination restriction to charge specifically denied—Supreme Court of Judicature (Consolidation) Act, 1925 (c. 49), s. 198 [MacIntyre v. MacIntyre And Moppert] Nullity—Marriage in England with Indian already lawfully married in India according to Hindu law. Hindu Jaw. ner.	121
fession of adultery—Whether sufficient pro- vocation to reduce murder to manslaughter [R. v. HOLMES] Separate trial—Common enterprise— Discretion of judge—Iudicial exercise— Matters for consideration—Attack by one accused on another—Prejudice—Test applied on appeal—Whether exercise of discretion resulted in miscarriage of justice	524	mitting polygamy—Hindu marriage recognised as valid in English law—English ceremony of marriage a nullity [BAINDAIL] (OTHERWISE LAWSON) v. BAINDAIL] —, Venereal disease—Doctor requested by patient to disclose confidential information to named person before presentation of petition—Duty of doctor—Matrimonial Causes Act, 1937 (c. 57), s. 7 (1) (c)	342
[R. v. GRONDKOWSKI AND MALINOWSKI. Separate trials—Several counts alleging buggery with separate persons—Evidence admissible on one count but inadmissible on another—Evidence tending to show accused of bad disposition—Limits of exception— Interests of justice—Corroboration— Functions of judge and jury—Indictments Act, 1915 (c. 90), s. 5 (3), Sched, I, r. 3		[C. v. C.] Validity of marriage—Second marriage contracted ten years after disappearance of first husband—Exhaustive inquiries—No evidence that first husband dead at date of second marriage—Desertion by second husband [Twensy v. Twensy] Dressing room, theft from, duty of producer	
[R. v. SIMS] Treason — Allegiance — Alien — Holder of British passport—Passport issued on alien's declaration of being British subject by birth—Alien broadcasting propaganda for the King's enemies—Adhering to the King's enemies without the realm—Whether alien owing allegiance to the Croum—Rights and obligations of the holder of a British passport—Jurisdiction of English court to try alien for treason committed abroad—Treason Act, 1351 [JOYCE v. DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC PROSECUTIONS]		Dust, regulation for removal of, breach	224

P	NO.		
which was free from rates, or a rentcharge which was otherwise free from rates"—" Was"—" Free from rates"— Only rentcharges free from legal liability to be rated at the time they were created to be free from rates—Tithe Act, 1936 (c. 43), Sched. I, Pt. I, paras. 3 (a), 4 [Tithe Redemytion Commission v. The Governors of	AGR	Cesser of annuity—Annuity bequeathed by will—Annuity to annuitant and on her death to her daughters—How burden of duty to be borne—Finance Act, 1894 (c. 30), ss. 2 (1) (b), 7 (7), 8 (4), 9 (1), 14 (1) [Re CASSEL'S WILL TRUSTS, PUBLIC TRUSTEE v. ATTORNEY-GENERAL]	704
THE BOUNTY OF QUEEN ANNE]	148	ESTOPPEL	
EMERGENCY LEGISLATION Company — Debenture — Winding-up order—Receiver appointed by debenture- holder with leave of court after winding-up order—Status of receiver—Whether applica- tion by receiver for leave to sell receiver			394
Courts (Emergency Powers) Act, 1943 (c. 19), s. 1 (2) (a) (v) [RE NORTHERN GARAGE, LTD., RE NEWTON AND CATTERMOLES (TRANSPORT), LTD.'S CONTRACT] Compensation—Civil defence volunteer	566	EVIDENCE Admissibility — Documents — Regimental records—Confidential Crown documents—Not public documents—Inadmissible as evidence [PETITT v. LILLEY]	598
—Injuries sustained while proceeding to place of duty—Whether arising out of and in the course of performance of duty—Application of decisions under Workmen's Compensation Act.—Personal Injuries (Emergency Provisions) Act, 1939 (c. 82), 8.8 (1) [MINISTER OF PENSIONS v. WALTON] —Personal injuries — Factory worker injured on way to shelter during alarm—War injury—Reduction of lights—Enforcement of blackout—"Injurious act" —"Use of weapon"—Personal Injuries	310	FACTORIES AND WORKSHOPS Dangerous machinery—Absolute duty —Cutter of spindle moulding machine— Whether guard provided most efficient guard —Whether duty extends to employee other than operator—Absolute liability under Factories Act, 1937, s. 14, modified by Woodworking Machinery Regulations, 1922, reg. 17—Factories Act, 1937 (c. 67), ss. 14, 60—Woodworking Machinery Regulations, 1922 (S.R. & O., 1922, No. 1196), reg. 17 [HARRISON v. METROPOLITAN PLYWOOD	
(Emergency Provisions) Act, 1939 (c. 82), s. 8 (1) [MINISTRY OF PENSIONS v. FFRENCH] Essential work—Reinstatement—Dismissal of employee for alleged serious misconduct—Direction to reinstate—Employee paid wages and offered similar employment at another colliery—"Reinstatement"—Defence (General) Regulations, 1939, reg. 58A — Essential Work (Coalmining Industry) Order, 1943 (S. & & O., 1943, No. 505), art 5 (3) [POWELL DUFFRYN, Leyn. R. BLODSE]	272	CO.] Dock—Ship under repair—Defective staging—Accident to workman employed by sub-contractors engaged for the electric arc welding—Contractors in occupation of ship—Staging provided by contractors—Sub-contractors not liable—Breach of statutory duty by contractors—Shipbuilding Regulations, 1931 (S.R. & O., 1931, No. 133), reg. 11 (b) [HODGSON v. BRUTISH ARC WELDING	245
Pensions—Claims in respect of dis- ablement or death attributed to var service —Pensions Appeal Tribunal—Appeal from decision of Minister—Burden of proof— Minister's reason embedient voiced	666	CO., LTD., AND B. & N. GREEN & SILLEY WEIR, LTD.] Statutory duty—Breach—Health regulation for removal of dust—Tubercular condition of workman becoming active—Whether caused by breach of regulation—Onus of proof—Factories Act 1937 (c. 67), s. 47 (1) [MIST v. TOLEMAN & SONS (A FIRM)]	95
opinion—Medical opinion conclusive only if authenticated by medical man—"Evidence"—Pensions Appeal Tribunals Act, 1943 (c. 43) & 1. Sched. aggs. 5 (2) (2).		Food, sale of, misrepresentation as to weight Film, rights, grant of, for lump sum, assessment	694
Pensions Appeal Tribunals (England and Wales) Pulse 1942 (S. R. & O. 1942 No.		to income tax	711
dence — rensions Appeal Tribinals Ac, 1943 (c. 43), s. 1, Sched., para. 5 (2), (3)— Pensions Appeal Tribinals (England and Wales) Rules, 1943 (S.R. & O., 1943, No. 1757), rr. 12 (6), 13, 14, 15, 16—Royal Warrant concerning Retired Pay, Pensions, etc. Dec. 1943 (Cond. 6489) art 4 (1) (2)		Act	487
etc., Dec., 1943 (Cmd. 6489), art. 4 (1), (2), (3) [STARR v. MINISTER OF PENSIONS. NUTTALL v. SAME. BOURNE v. SAME] War risk injury—Coastguard employed, at look-out hut on sea point—Whether propinquity to sea, tidal water or	400	Betting in public place—Bye-law repugnant to general law—Street Betting Act, 1906 (c. 43), ss. 1 (1), (4), 2—Betting and Lotteries Act 1934 (c. 58), ss. 2 (1), 20 [POWELL' n. MAY]	444
harbour sufficient for pension entitlement— Pensions (Mercantile Marine) Act, 1942 (c. 26), s. 1 (2)—War Pensions (Coast- guards) Scheme, 1944 (S.R. & O., 1944, No. 500) [MINISTRY OF PENSIONS v. NUGENT]	273	Private lottery—Promotion and conduct—Breach of statutory conditions— Names and addresses of promoters on tickets—Liability of printer—Betting and Lotteries Act, 1934 (c. 58), ss. 21, 22, 24 [STACEY v. WILKINS. BROMHAM v.	
Rationing—Rationed goods acquired without coupons—Burden af proof on person charged—Consumer Rationing (Consolidation) Order, 1944 (S.R. & O., 1944, No.		Gas company, assessment for rates	38
tion) Order, 1944 (S.R. & O., 1944, No. 800), arts. 3, 4 [R. v. Sellars],—, Onus of proof—Duty of prosecution to establish prima facie case—Consumer Rationing (Consolidation) Order, 1944 (S.R. & O., 1944, No. 800), arts. 1, 2 (3), 4 [R. v. PUTLAND AND SORRELL]	82	GUARANTEE Indemnity—Railway company granting privilege to employees of wagon repairing company to enter on railway company's property—Repairing company undertaking to indemnify railway company against damages, etc., for injury to repairing company's employees—Requisition, of railways.	

ing privilege to employees of wagon repairing ing privilege to employees of wagon repairing company to enter on railway company's property—Repairing company undertaking to indemnify railway company against damages, etc., for injury to repairing company's employees—Requisition of railways and vagons by Government during war—Whether indemnity undertaking still effect tive [HENSON v. LONDON & NORTH EASTERN RY. CO., AND COOTE & WARREN, LTD. (THIRD PARTY)] .. 653

HIGHWAYS

Obstruction—Air-raid shelter erected in roadway by local authority—Lighting—Shelter not illuminated—Corners of shelter painted with white paint—Cyclist injured

ESTATE DUTY

Assessment of duty—Property passing on death—Annuity—"To Mrs. E.J., and annuity of £5,000 and after her death an annuity of £5,000 to her two daughters, C. and N., and to the survivor of them"—Cesser of annuity on Mrs. J.'s death and springing-up of a new annuity—Finance Act, 1894 (c. 30), ss. 1, 2 (1) (b) [Re CASSEL'S WILL TRUSTS, PURLIC TRUSTEE v. H.M. ATTORNEY-GENERAL]...

by colliding with shelter—Duty of local authority to give appropriate warning of danger [Morris v. Luton Corporation] 1 Hindu, marriage of, validity in English law 342

HUSBAND AND WIFE

HUSBAND AND WIFE

Desertion—Quarrels between parties—
Wife's withdrawal from cohabitation—
Wife's offer to resume cohabitation rejected
by husband—Husband continuing in
desertion by refusal of wife's offer—Summary Jurisdiction (Married Women) Act,
1895 (c. 39), s. 4 [THOMAS v. THOMAS].

Legal proceedings—Claim for possession of house, the matrimonial home—No
relationship of landlord and tenant—
Proceedings not properly constituted—
Married Women's Property Act, 1882 (c.
75), s. 17—County Court Rules, Ord. VI,
7. (1) [PARGETER v. PARGETER].

Separation agreement—Provision for
payment of specific sum veekly—Payments
without deduction of income tax—Stopping
of weekly payments—Wife's action for wilful neglect to maintain—Order for maintenance—Income Tax Act, 1918 (c. 40), All
Schedules Rules, r. 19 [JOHNSON v.
JOHNSON]

Separation deed-Covenant by husband Separation deed—Covenant by husband to pay annuity to wife—Term during which annuity payable not stated—Death of husband—Whether husband's estate liable for payments—Law of Property Act, 1925 (c. 20), s. 80 (1) [LANGSTONE v. HAYES] 114

INCOME TAX

Annual payments—Capital or income
—Direction in will to make up deficiency of
income out of capital—Payments made
prior to ascertainment of residue—"Residuary
estate"—Payments "in respect of
income"—Irrelevant that payments mude
out of capital—Recurrent payments—
"Annual payment"—Whether payments
"in respect of "a limited interest in residue
—Income Tax Act, 1918 (c. 40), Sched. D,
Case III, r. 1 (a), Rule 21, All Schedules
Rules—Finance Act, 1938 (c. 46), ss. 30 (2),
35 (3) [TRUSTEES OF THE WILL OF
FLORENCE CUNARD v. INLAND REVENUE
COMES. ELLA MCPHEETERS v. INLAND
REVENUE COMES.]

REVENUE COMRS.]

Appeal—Point of law not raised before Commissioners—Right to raise on appeal [W. S. TRY, LTD. v. JOHNSON (INSPECTOR OF TAXES)]

[W. S. TRY, LTD. v. JOHNSON (INSPECTOR OF TAXES)]

Capital or income—Isolated transaction—Grant of film and other rights in play for lump sum—Grant of licence for term of years—Whether related to "royalty" calculation—Whether casual profit of a revenue nature—Income Tax Act, 1918 (c. 40), Sched. D. Case VI [NETHERSOLE v. WITHERS (INSPECTOR OF TAXES)]...

Capital or revenue expenditure—Company directors retiring from ofice—Covenants in restraint of trade—Payments made by company to retiring directors in consideration of covenants—Payments not deductible from company's trading profits as revenue expenditure—Capital expenditure—Income Tax Act, 1918 (c. 40), Sched. D. Case I [ASSOGIATED PORTLAND CEMENT — Theome Tax Act, 1918 (c. 40), Sched. D.,
Cage I [ASSOCIATED PORTLAND CEMENT
MANUFACTURERS, LTD. v. INLAND
REVENUE COMRS. ASSOCIATED PORTLAND CEMENT MANUFACTURERS, LTD. v.
KERR (INSPECTOR OF TAXES)]
Deduction against modific. (Cod. mine.

Deduction against profits—Coal mine Statutory obligation to remedy damage to —Statutery obligation to remedy damage to district drainage system resulting from subsidence — Contribution to scheme releasing mine owner from statutory obligation—Contribution payable by instalments—Whether capital or revenue expense [DONCASTER AMALGAMATED COLLIERIES, LTD. v. BEAN (INSPECTOR OF TAXES)]... Exemption — Charity — Anti-Vivisaction Society—Whether established for charitable purposes only—Admissibility of evidence as to detrimental effect of society"s

charitatic purposes only Aumitotoring evidence as to detrimental effect of society's object—Income Tax Act, 1918 (c. 40), ss. 37 (1) (b), 40 [INLAND REVENUE COMES. v. NATIONAL ANTI-VIVISECTION SOCIETY] 205

, Income derived from investments of superannuation funds—Claims for repayment of tax deducted at the sourcepayment of tax deducted at the source—Two Finance Acts in respect of same financial year—Second Act increasing standard rate of tax imposed by the first Act—Increased ate payable in respect of whole financial year—Sale of investments by trustees before increase of standard rate—Deduction made at original rate—Deficiency in tax deduction to be made up by adding to deduction due on next payment—Purchase of investments by trustees after increase of standard rate—Income received on new investments not only less tax at increased rate but also less Income received on new investments not only less tax at increased rate but also less deficiency in tax arising through transferors having suffered tax only at former standard rate—Deficiency payment to be "accounted for and assessed in the same manner as the tax deducted from original payment"—Payments of tax in fact made by transferees—Transferors not to be treated as persons who made payments—Income Tax Act, 1918 (c. 40), s. 27—Finance Act, 1921 (c. 32), s. 32—Finance (No. 2), Act, 1939 (c. 109), s. 7, Sched. 6, paras. 1, 2—Finance (No. 2) Act, 1940 (c. 48), s. 6, Sched. 5, paras. 1, 2, Standbeolt (Inspector of Taxes v. The Rt. Hon. Lord Pender and Admiral Henry William Grant].

Mutual insurance association—Sur-Mutual insurance association—Sur-

Provident society — Non-resident -Head office in Australia, branch office Head office in Austraia, orance office in London—Income from investments of life assurance fund—Taxation of income from business carried on by London branch— Basis for ascertaining income so chargeable —Income from certain investments exempted from United Kingdom tax by statutory pro-From United Kingdom tax by statutory pro-visiom—Assessments to tax excessive by reason of error in returns—Relief—Method of computation to be followed in respect of portion of income specifically exempted from tax but included in income from investments chargeable to United Kingdom tax—Income chargeagle to Uniea Ringdom ux-Income Tax Act, 1918 (c. 40), s. 46, Sched. C, r. 2 (d), Sched. D, Case III, r. 3 (1), (2), (4), Miscellaneous Rules, r. 7—Finance Act, 1923 (c. 14), s. 24 [AUSTRALIAN MUTUAL PROVIDENT SOCIETY V. INLAND REVENUE COUND.

Relief from United Kingdom tax of balance of income already charged with Dominion tax—Assessment to tax excessive by reason of error in returns—Relief—Method of computation to be followed in respect of portion of income specifically exempted from tax but included in income from investments chargeable to United exempted from tax but included in income from investments chargeable to United Kingdom tax—Income Tax Act, 1918 (c. 40), s. 46, Sched. C, r. 2 (d), Sched. D, Case III r. 3 (1), (2), (4), Miscellaneous Rules, r. 7—Finance Act, 1920 (c. 18), s. 27—Finance Act, 1923 (c. 14), s. 2 (INLAND REVENUE COMES. v. AUSTRALIAN MITCHUL PROSERVERS. MUTUAL PROVIDENT SOCIETY. AUSTRA-LIAN MUTUAL PROVIDENT SOCIETY v. INLAND REVENUE COMES.]

Sched. D—Income arising from foreign possessions — Married woman — "Living with her husband"—"Living... separate from her husband"—Assessment on wife as feme sole—Husband on military service abroad—Wife entitled in her own right to income from abroad—Income Tax Act, 1918 (c. 40), All Schedules Rules, r. 16 [NUGENT-HEAD v: JACOB (INSPECTOR OF TAYER)]

TAXES)] ** ** **

ix

PAGE	DA	GE
Sched. E—Payment of lump sum to company director in consideration of accept- ance of reduced salary and alteration of director's tenure of office—Compensation	—Damage consequence of warlike operation [OCEAN STEAMSHIP CO., LTD. v. LIVER-POOL AND LONDON WAR RISKS ASSOCIATION, LTD.]	
not in nature of a capital receipt—Income Tax Act, 1918 (c. 40), Sched. E [LEELAND v. BOARLAND (INSPECTOR OF TAXES)] 13 ———————————————————————————————————	INTOXICATING LIQUORS Licensed premises — Co-licensees — "Keepers"—Management and sole control in one of two co-licensees—Knowingly per- mitting disorderly conduct on premises— Liability—Metropolitan Police Act, 1839 (c. 47), s. 44 [LINNETT v. COMMISSIONER OF POLICE FOR THE METROPOLIS]	
s. 23 [PHILIPS (INSPECTOR OF TAXES) v. EMERY] 144 Sur-tax—Undistributed income—Ap- portionment according to member's interest in assets—"Able to secure"—"Any means whatsoever"—Whether limited to lawful	JUDGMENTS Judicial decisions as authorities— Decision of Court of Appeal inconsistent with the decision of House of Lords [FITZ- SIMONS N. FORD MOTOR CO. LTD. (AERO	,,,,,
tn assets—"Able to secure"—"Any means subatsoever"—Whether limited to lawful means—Finance Act, 1922 (c. 17), s. 21—Finance Act, 1939 (c. 41), s. 15 [INLAND REVENUE COMRS. v. L.B. (HOLDINGS), LTD. (IN LIQUIDATION)]	ENGINES) Previous decisions of Court of Appeal inconsistent with general principles laid down by House of Lords [WILSON v. CHATTERTON]	431
entitled under his will to share profits— Continuing partners unable to pay share of profits during year of assessment—Widow not liable—Income Tax Act, 1918 (c. 40), Sched. D. Case III, r. 1 (a), All Schedules	LANDLORD AND TENANT Covenant not to sub-let—Breach by tenant—Landlord aware of continuing	380
Rules, r. 19 [INLAND REVENUE COMRS. v. LEBUS] Trade receipt—Company engaged in ribbon development—Compensation paid to company—Appropriate year of assessment—Income Tax Act, 1918 (c. 40), Sched. D, Case I—Restriction of Ribbon	breach—Rent restriction—Sale of premises —Claim for possession against sub-tenant "Lawfully or unlawfully sub-let"— Increase of Rent and Mortgage Interest (Restrictions) Act, 1920 (c. 17), ss. 5 (5), 15 (3)—Rent and Mortgage Interest Restric-	
Development Act, 1935 (c. 47), ss. 1, 2, 7, 9 [W. S. Try, Ltd. v. Johnson (Inspector	tions Act, 1923 (c. 32), s. 7 (1) [NORMAN v. SIMPSON]	74
OF TAXES)] 532 ———————————————————————————————————	Lease of premises by railway company to wagon repairing company—Indemnity clause against damages etc. for injury to	570
ities—Sums provided out of general rate— Whether trade receipt—Income Tax Act, 1918 (c. 40), Sched. D, Case I [PONTYPRIDD AND RHONDDA JOINT WATER BOARD v.	repairing company's employees—Employee injured away from demised premises—Whether indemnity restricted to injury connected with demised premises—Construction [HERSON v. LONDON & NORTH EASTERN RY. CO., AND COOTE & WARREN, LTD. (THIRD PARTY)]. Licence — Revocation — Licence for	
OSTIME (INSPECTOR OF TAXES)] 668 INFANTS Maintenance — Statutory power of maintenance—Contingent interest in income of residuary estate—Direction to accumulate	(Third Party)]. Licence — Revocation — Licence for use of theatre—Express term entitling licence to determine licence—No provision for determination by licensor—No right in licensor to revoke licence [MILLENIUM]	653
intermediate income—Statutory power not available—Trustee Act, 1925 (c. 19), ss. 31 (1), (3), 69 (2) [Re STAPLETON, STAPLETON D. STAPLETON]	PRODUCTIONS, LTD. v. WINNER GARDEN THEATRE (LONDON), LTD.!	678
(c. 27)—Guardanship of Injants Act, 1925 (c. 45), ss. 7 (3), 9 (1) (a), (4), 11 (2) [Re QUESKEY, QUESKEY v. QUESKEY]	given date—Notice invalid [ASSOCIATED LONDON PROPERTIES, LTD. v. SHERIDAN] ——, Lease for fixed term then quarterly—Notice served before expiration	20
INJUNCTION Breach of contract—Grant of irrevocable licence to use theatre—Negative covenant by licensor not to revoke implied	of fixed term—Validity [BRITISH IRON & STEEL CORPORATION, LTD. v. HALPERN]	408
covenant by licensor not to revoke implied in grant—Right of licencees to injunction to restrain licensor from acting on purported revocation [MILLENIUM PRODUCTIONS, LTD. v. WINTER GARDEN THEATRE (LONDON), LTD.]	—Rent and Mortgage Interest Restrictions (Amendment) Act, 1933 (c. 32), s. 3, Sched. 1 (h) [DAGGER v. SHEPHERD] Recovery of possession—Lease continuing until cessation of hostilities—Actual day of cease fire order—Uncertainty—Validation of War-time Leases Act, 1944 (c. 34), s. 1	133
of servant—Balance of convenience [Hivac, Ltd. v. Park Royal Scientific Instru-	[EKER v. BECKER] Rent restriction—Cottage in rectory grounds—Claim for possession by rector— Whether necessary for convenient occupation of rectory—Question of fact—Pluralities Act, 1838 (c. 106), s. 59 [NEALE v.	721
Injurious act, provision of black-out not amounting to 272 INSURANCE Marine insurance—War risks—War-like operations—Ship carrying urgently needed war material including deck cargo—	JENNINGS] Furnished letting—" Attet- ance"—" Furnished letting—" Attet- stantial part of rent for attendance and use of furniture—Increase of Rent and Mort- gage Interest (Restrictions) Act., 1920	221
Damage caused by effect of heavy seas on deck cargo and aggravated by reason of speed of ship—Damage resulting from additional risks incurred by reason of warlike operation	(c. 17), s. 12 (2), proviso (i)—Rent and Mortgage Interest Restrictions Act, 1923 (c. 32), s. 10 [Property Holding Co., Ltd. v. Mischeff]	406

	GE	PAG	E
tion of rent for use of furniture-Increase		[MONMOUTH COUNTY v. NEWPORT COUNTY BOROUGH]	16
of Rent and Mortgage Interest (Restrictions)		Lottery, private, necessity for names of all	
Act, (c. 17), s. 2 (1), proviso (i)—Rent and Mortgage Interest Restrictions Act, 1923 (c.		promoters on tickets 29	0
32), s. 10 (1) [MADDOX PROPERTIES, LTD.	408	MAGISTRATES	
v. KLASS] House originally not within 1939	487	Appeal to quarter sessions—Motoring offence—Licence suspended by magistrates	
Act-Subsequent damage by enemy action		—Disqualification removed by order—	
-Rateable value reduced-Whether brought within the protection of the Act-New house		Jurisdiction—Desirability of stating special reasons—Road Traffic Act, 1930 (c. 43),	
—Rent and Mortgage Interest Restrictions		s. 35—Summary Jurisdiction (Appeals)	
Act, 1939 (c. 17), ss. 3 (1), 7 (1) [EYRE v. HAYNES]	225	Act, 1933 (c. 38), n. 31 (1) (vil) [R. v. Leicester Recorder, Exparte Gabbitas] 61	5
——, Recovery of possession—Alterna-		Maintenance, application of income for, direc-	
tive accommodation offered — Relevant matters for consideration—" Reasonable		tion to accumulate surplus income from residue	28
to make order "-Misdirection-" Suitable alternative accommodation "-Absence of		Manslaughter, confession of adultery, whether	2.4
garage irrelevant-Rent and Mortgage		reducing murder to 52	246
Interest Restrictions (Amendment) Act, 1933 (c. 32), s. 3 (1), Sched. I, para. (h)		MASTER AND SERVANT	
	609	Duty of master—Proper system of working—Failure to take reasonable care to prevent theft of servant's property—Whether breach of duty—Actor's clothing	
landlord—" Not being a landlord who		to prevent theft of servant's property—	
has become landlord by purchasing the		stolen from dressing room [DEYONG v.	
has become landlord by purchasing the dwelling-house after Dec. 6, 1937."—Unoccupied house purchased by plaintiff after statutory date—Subsequent tenancy agreement—"Landlord."—Rent and Mort-		SHENBURN]	26
after statutory date—Subsequent tenancy		worker assisting trade competitor during	
agreement—" Landlord "—Rent and Mort- gage Interest Restrictions (Amendment) Act,		spare time—Whether breach of duty [HIVAC, LTD. v. PARK ROYAL SCIENTIFIC INSTRU-	
1933 (c. 32), Sched. I (h)—Increase of Rent and Mortgage Interest (Restrictions)		MENTS, LTD.;	50
Rent and Mortgage Interest (Restrictions) Act, 1938 (c. 26), Sched. II [EPPS v.		Ship under repair—Defective staging—	
	146	Accident to workman employed by sub-con-	
NOTHNIE] , Sole use of three unfurnished rooms and joint use of other rooms		tractors engaged for the electric arc welding —Staging provided by contractors—Sub-	
-" Part of a house let as a separate	T.10	contractors for specialised work not respon-	
dwelling " [Krauss v. Boyne] ——, Standard rent—Premises let as	543	Electric British and Welding Co.,	
dwelling-house in 1940—Same premises let in 1938, at high rent, as business offices with		LTD., AND B. & N. GREEN & SILLEY WEIR,	95
lineman for toward to sleep on measurings		Liability of master for negligence of servant—Fatal accident to unauthorised passenger in commercial van—Trespasser—Extent of duty to take care [TWINE v. BEAN'S EXPRESS, LTD.]	10
Claim by landlord to fix standard rent by reference to 1938 letting—" Dwelling-house" —1938 letting not as a dwelling-house—Increase of Rent and Mortgage Interest (Restrictions) Act, 1920 (c. 7f., s. 12 (1) (a) —Rent and Mortgage Interest Restrictions		servant—Fatal accident to unauthorised	
—1938 letting not as a dwelling-house—		-Extent of duty to take care [TWINE v.	
Increase of Rent and Mortgage Interest		BEAN'S EXPRESS, LTD.] 20)2
-Rent and Mortgage Interest Restrictions		MEDICINE	
Act, 1959 (c. /1) [MACMILLAN & CO., LTD.	675	Doctor and patient—Disclosure of	
Repair—Covenant to repair and yield	010	confidential information—Patient suffering from venereal disease—Proceedings for	
up in repair—Whether breach excused by regulations prohibiting repair above certain		decree of nullity contemplated—Request by	
cost without licence—Defence (General)		patient for disclosure of confidential informa- tion to named person—Duty of doctor.	
Regulations, 1939, reg. 56A [EYRE v. JOHNSON]	719	[C. v. C.]	12
JOHNSON] Term — Certainty — Commencement		from transactions between members, assess-	
and termination defined by reference to commencement and termination of war—		ment to income tax	37
Date of termination of European war— Declaration by Government that national		poll 32	21
emergency ended—Notice to quit—Validity		National Fire Service, injury to member of, whether war service	0
emergency ended—Notice to quit—Validity of—Validation of War-Time Leases Act, 1944 (c. 34), ss. 1, 2—Tenancy Agreements (End of the War in Europe) Order, 1945 (S.R. & O., 1945, No. 703/L.8 [HAWTREY BRAITEGORN LINE)		whether war service	100
(End of the War in Europe) Order, 1945		NEGLIGENCE	
(S.R. & O., 1945, No. 703/L.8 [HAWTREY v. BEAUFRONT, LTD.]	296	Contributory negligence—Apportion- ment of liability—Assessment of damages—	
Written agreement purporting to be a		Costs—Law Reform (Contributory Negligence) Act, 1945 (c. 28), s. 1 [WILLIAM A. JAY AND SONS v. J. S. VEEVERS, LTD.] 64	
sub-lease—Sub-term expressed to extend beyond date of expiry of head lease—No		A. JAY AND SONS v. J. S. VEEVERS, LTD.] 64	46
reversion left in head tenant—Head tenant not entitled to serve notice to quit—No con-		with air-raid shelter during black-out—	
tractual obligation on so-called sub-lessee		Principle in Baker v. Longhurst not	
to deliver up possession to head tenant [MILMO v. CARBERAS]	288	Principle in Baker v. Longhurst not generally applicable—Special circum- stances of each case proper test [MORRIS	
	200	v. LUTON CORPORATION	1
Privilege—Absolute privilege—Official		Duty to take care—Negligent driving of commercial van—Fatal accident to un-	
communications on State matters—Level of		authorised passenger—Trespasser—Duty to	
such communications—Conflict of laws [SZALATNAY-STACHO v. FINE]	303	drivers employers [TWINE v. BEAN'S EXPRESS, LTD.]	02
		Employee of London Passenger Trans-	
LOCAL GOVERNMENT Alteration of area—Extension of		port Board injured while mounting omnibus —Negligence of conductress—Employee	
county borough to include part of county area		-Negligence of conductress-Employee using free pass-Pass issued subject to	
-Loss to county ratepayers-Financial adjustments-Increased burden on county		condition exempting Board from liability for injury however caused—Whether em- ployee using pass at time of accident—Pass	
ratepayers—Arbitration—Amount of com-		ployee using pass at time of accident—Pass issued as a privilege—Pass-holder a licensee	
tion—"Income"—Local Government Act, 1933 (c. 51), ss. 151, 152, Sched. V, r. 1—		and not carried under a contract—Road Traffic Act, 1930 (c. 43), s. 97 [WILKIE v.	
1933 (c. 51), ss. 151, 152, Sched. V, r. 1— Newport Extension Act. 1934 (c. Ivii), s. 58		Traffic Act, 1930 (c. 43), s. 97 [WILEIE v. LONDON PASSENGER TRANSPORT BOARD] 6	50

хi

REVENUE Excess profits tax—Acquisition of shares by a company in another company already controlled indirectly—Uncertainty as to liability to excess profits tax—Whether main benefit expected to accrue from the transaction was avoidance or reduction of	
Recorder, jurisdiction of, suspension of licence for motoring offence 61 Regimental records, admissibility 59 Rent, meaning of 21 Repair, meaning of 25	38
of coupons 82, 8 Receiver, remuneration of, power of court to	
Rationing, acquiring goods without surrender	4
Valuation list—Proposals by county valuation committee to increase large proportion of assessments in area—Systematic examination of all assessments in rating area by county valuation officer with a view to revaluation—Separate proposals in respect of each hereditament—Whether valuation committee making in effect a new valuation in the Hating and Valuation Act, 1925 (c. 90), ss. 18, 19, 21 (2), 31 (3), 37—Bating and Valuation (Postponement of	
RATES AND RATING Assessment—Gas company—Computation on profits basis—Capital sum required for conduct of undertaking—Source from which, and price at which, capital obtainable irrelevant [CROYDON GAS CO. v. RATING AUTHORITY FOR THE COUNTY BOROUGH	4
Repair of privately owned wagons on railway company's property—Walking pass to repairing company's employees to enter on railway company's property—Condition incorporated on pass relieving railway company of responsibility for injury by accident—No evidence of actual knowledge of condition—Reasonable notice of condition—Onus of prof [Henson v. London & North Eastern Ry. Co. and Coote & Warren, Ltd. (Third Party)]65.	3
Railways — Accommodation crossing —Duties of railway company—No obliga- tion to employ watchman or keeper—Effect of propinquity to level crossing—Railway Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (c. 29), s. 47 [LIDDIATT v. GREAT WESTERN RAIL-	1
RAILWAYS AND CANALS Death of signal fifter on line—Statutory duty of railway to appoint look-out—Signal fitter engaged on routine oiling of signal apparatus on permanent way—"Protection to permanent way men when relaying or repairing permanent way"—Whether sig- nal fitter within the protection—Whether oiling "repairing the permanent way"— Railway Employment (Prevention of Acci- dents) Act, 1900, (c. 27), s. 1 (1), Sched., cl. 12—Prevention of Accidents Rules, 1902 (S.R. & O., 1902, No. 616), r. 9 [LON- DON & NORTH EASTERN RY. CO. v. BERRI- MAN]	5
PUBLIC AUTHORITIES Act of State—Declaration of Government—State of war—Continued existence of country as a state—Whether conclusive [R. v. Bottrill, Ex parte Kuechen-Meister] Pump, supply of water from, covenant by vendor, whether running with land	
Pleading—Striking out statement of claim—No cause of action—Action to restrain Home Secretary from deporting enemy alien—R.S.C., Ord. 25, r. 4 [NETZ v. RT. HON. CHUTER EDE, P.C., H.M. SECRETARY OF STATE FOR HOME AFFAIRS] 623	8

SALE OF GOODS

Delivery of lesser quantity—Rights of purchaser—Prescribed and non-prescribed conditions — Inconsistency — Control of Timber (No. 21) Order, 1941 (S.R. & O., 1941, No. 2088), arts. 1 (1), (2), 6, Sched. III [WILKINSON v. BARCLAY]

COUNCIL]

Travelling expenses, housewife directed to work in factory, expenses not deductible in tax assessment.

, workman, of, attending for examination,	PAGE accruer clause on failure of issue—Last survivor dying without issue—Rule in	PAGE
TROVER AND DETINUE Detinue—Assessment of value to be	Coopppl	314
paid in default of return of goods—Value to be assessed as at date of judgment [ROSENTHAL v. ALDERTON AND SONS, LTD.] Vacant possession, includes unimpeded physical	"The residue of my estate to be divided equally" between testator's brother and sisters "during their lifetime"	014
enjoyment of property	hetween testator's nenhews and nieces—	
doctor, request by patient	FOSTER, COOMBER v. GOVERNORS AND	
WATERS AND WATERCOURSES Sale of land—Contemporaneous agree- ment for supply of water—Vendor owner of adjacent land—Covenants by vendor to	MAINTENANCE AND EDUCATION OF EX-	
supply water from pump on vendor's land for use of purchaser in connection with house on conveyed land and to keep pump in repair—Whether benefit of agreement	ary estate—Direction to accumulate inter- mediate income—Intermediate income not available for maintenance during minority —Trustee Act. 1925 (c. 19), ss. 31 (1), (3),	
Whether covenants relating to land—Law of Property Act, 1925 (c. 20), s. 78 [SHAYLER v. WOOLF]	STAPLETON Soldier's will—Note of oral instruc-	323
Weights and Measures	272 capable of exercising special power over personalty—Wills Act, 1837 (c. 26), ss. 9, 10, 11, 27—Wills (Soldiers and Sailors)	
Sale of bacon—Weight—"Misrepre- sentation"—Sale of Food (Weights and Measures) Act, 1926 (c. 38), s. 3 [PRESTON v. COVENTRY AND DISTRICT CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY, LTD.]	Act, 1918 (c. 58), s. 3 (1) [Re EARL OF CHICHESTER'S WILL TRUETS, PELHAM v. COUNTESS OF CHICHESTER]	722
WILLS Construction — Conditions — Validity	Accident—Disease as injury caused by accident—Succession of accidental injuries—Cumulative effect—Vibrations	
—Gift on condition that donee should "by poll assume" a different Christian name —Gift over if condition not fulfilled—Condition void for impossibility—Donee absolutely entitled to gift [Re PARROTT'S WILL TRUSTS, COX v. PARROTT].	caused by rapidly rotating instrument— "Raynaud's disease" [FITZSIMONS v. FORD MOTOR CO., LTD.]	429
to be applied " for donee's " maintenance		431
and schooling until she attains the age of 21 —" To be derived from interest of my shares in War Loan 1917"—Duration of annuity	84), s. 1 (1) [WILSON v. CHATTERTON] Costs—Travelling expenses of work- man attending for examination by medical referee—No arbitration actual or pending Jurisdiction of county court indee—	
—, Gift of testator's residuary estate to his sons in the event of testator and his wife dying "during the present war"—	327 —Jurisdiction of county court judge— Workmen's Compensation Act, 1925 (c. 84), s. 19 (2), Sched. 1 (7)—Workmen's Compen- sation Rules, 1926 (S.R. & O., 1926, No.	
Substitutionary dispositions if sons should also die "during the present war"—" The present war"—Strict legal meaning dis-	sation Rules, 1926 (S.R. & O., 1926, No. 448), rr. 57 (9). 76 (4) [HALLIDAY v. BARBER, WALKER & CO., LTD.] Industrial disease—Certifying surgeon's certificate—Limit to conclusiveness—	471
BENDAL v. COOPER	proof-Workmen's Compensation Act	
interests of my moneys to be divided	PAPER TUBE CO., LTD.]	618
deaths of these two named the capital to go to my nephew "—Testatrix, C. and E. killed instantaneously by the same bomb—Whether gift in will revoked by codicil—"Simultaneous death"—"After the deaths of these two named "—Gift of capital to take effect only after death of survivor of Mrs. M.	due to pneumocomiosis — Compensation —Contribution by previous employer to compensation payable by last em- ployer — Recorded agreement by last	
"Simultaneous death"—" After the deaths of these two named "—Gift of capital to take effect only after death of survivor of Mrs. M. and E.—E.'s half share payable to Mrs. M. during the remainder of Mrs. M.'s life—Law of Property Act, 1925 (c. 20), s. 184 [Re	sum naument—" Compensation "— Coal	
	88 NO. 885) Daras, 8. 9(Z)(a) AMALGAMATED	
use, to revert to estate if she does not remarry "—Absolute off to be implied on sister's remarriage [Re Lane's Estate, Meagher v. Governors and Guardians of the National Gallery of Ireland	Right to sue for breach of statutory duty	232
AND HEAVEN] , Rule in Lassence v. Tierney— Life interests with remainder to issue and	735 Reinaute Felinautes Interest between remedies—Receipt of compensation—Know-ledge of workman—"Option"—When option exercised—Workmen's Compensation Act, 1925 (c. 84), s. 29 (1) [YOUNG v. BRISTOL AEROPIANE CO., LTD.]	98

CASES REFERRED TO

	7	PACIE
Abray v. Newman (1853), 16 Beav. 431; 44 Digest 995, 8533; 22 L.J.Ch. 627 334, Absalom v. Talbot, [1944] 1 All E.R. 642; [1944] A.C. 204; 113 L.J.K.B. 369; 171 L.T. 53 Ackerley, Re. Chapman v. Andrew, [1913] 1 Ch. 510; 37 Digest 454, 562; 82 L.J.Ch. 260;	335, 448,	338 450
108 L.T. 712. Adrema, Ltd. v. Jenkinson, [1945] 2 All E.R. 29; [1945] 1 K.B. 446; 114 L.J.K.B. 313; 173 L.T. 318	24	, 25
173 L.T. 318 Ahearn v. Bellman, Sedgwick v. Ahearn (1879), 4 Ex.D. 201; 31 Digest 446, 5926; 48 L.J.Q.B. 681; 40 L.T. 771	666,	667
L.J.Q.B. 681; 40 L.T. 771 Albano, S.S., v. Allan Line S.S. Co., Ltd., Union Dampfschiffsrhederei Act. v. S.S. Parislan, [1907] A.C. 193; 41 Digest 742, 5935; 76 L.J.P.C. 33; 96 L.T. 335	134,	138
[1907] A.C. 193; 41 Digest 742, 5935; 76 L.J.P.C. 33; 96 L.T. 335 Alderman v. Great Western Ry. Co., [1937] 2 All E.R. 408; [1937] A.C. 454; Digest Supp.;	359,	364
106 L.J.K.B. 335; 156 L.T. 441	310,	
[1907] A.C. 193; 41 Digest 742, 5935; 76 L.J.F.C. 33; 96 L.T. 335 Alderman v. Great Western Ry. Co., [1937] 2 All E.R. 408; [1937] A.C. 454; Digest Supp.; 106 L.J.K.B. 335; 156 L.T. 441 Allen v. Whitehead, [1930] 1 K.B. 211; Digest Supp.; 99 L.J.K.B. 146; 142 L.T. 141381, Amand v. Secretary of State for Home Affairs, [1942] 2 All E.R. 381; [1943] A.C. 147; sub nom. R. v. Home Secretary, Ex p. Amand, 167 L.T. 177; affg., S.C. sub nom. Re Amand, [1942] 1 All E.R. 480		
		131
Anderton & Halstead, Ltd. v. Birrell, [1932] 1 K.B. 271; Digest Supp.; 101 L.J.K.B. 219;	423,	424
146 L.T. 139 Anglo-Persian Oil Co., Ltd. v. Dale, [1932] 1 K.B. 124; Digest Supp.; 100 L.J.K.B. 504;	448,	
145 L.T. 529 Appleby v. Franklin (1885), 17 Q.B.D. 93; 1 Digest 64, 532; 55 L.J.Q.B. 129; 54 L.T. 135	643, 580,	582
145 L.T. 529 Appleby v. Franklin (1885), 17 Q.B.D. 93; 1 Digest 64, 532; 55 L.J.Q.B. 129; 54 L.T. 135 Armstrong v. Reeves (1890), 25 L.R.Ir. 325; 8 Digest 258, 205i Arnold v. Bath & Wells (Bp.) (1829), 5 Bing. 316; 22 Digest 338, 3391; 2 Moo. & P. 559; 7 L.J.O.S.C.P. 120	205,	
7 L.J.O.S.C.P. 120 Ashworth v. Munn (1880), 15 Ch.D. 363; 8 Digest 273, 397; 50 L.J.Ch. 107; 43 L.T. 553	594,	376
Aspell v. Seymour, [1929] W.N. 152; Digest Supp. AG. v. Black (1871), L.R. 6 Exch. 308; 28 Digest 20, 100; 40 L.J.Ex. 194; 25 L.T. 207;	465,	468
1 Tax Cas. 54, Ex. Ch.; affg., L.R. 6 Exch. 78 AG. v. De Keyser's Royal Hotel, [1920] A.C. 508; 11 Digest 546, 499; 89 L.J.Ch. 417;	671,	672
7 L.J.O.S.C.P. 120 Ashworth v. Munn (1880), 15 Ch.D. 363; 8 Digest 273, 397; 50 L.J.Ch. 107; 43 L.T. 553 Aspell v. Seymour, [1929] W.N. 152; Digest Supp. A. G. v. Black (1871), L.R. 6 Exch. 308; 28 Digest 20, 100; 40 L.J.Ex. 194; 25 L.T. 207; 1 Tax Cas. 54, Ex. Ch.; affy, L.R. 6 Exch. 78 A. G. v. De Keyser's Royal Hotel, [1920] A.C. 508; 11 Digest 546, 499; 89 L.J.Ch. 417; 122 L.T. 691; affy, S.C. sub nom. Re De Keyser's Royal Hotel, Ltd., De Keyser's Royal Hotel, Ltd., v. R. [1919] 2 Ch. 197 A. G. v. Lockwood (1842), 9 M. & W. 378; 42 Digest 767, 1934; affd. on other grounds, sub nom. Lockwood v. A. G., 10 M. & W. 494 A. G. v. Marchant (1866), L.R. 3 Eq. 424; 8 Digest 330, 1123; 36 L.J.Ch. 47 A. G. v. National Provincial & Union Bank of England, [1914] A.C. 262; Digest Supp.;	533,	540
AG. v. Lockwood (1842), 9 M. & W. 378; 42 Digest 767, 1934; affa. on other grounds, sub nom. Lockwood v. AG., 10 M. & W. 464	256, 205,	260
AG. v. Marchant (1806), L.R. 3 Eq. 424; 8 Digest 330, 1123; 36 L.J.Ch. 47 AG. v. National Provincial & Union Bank of England, [1914] A.C. 262; Digest Supp.;	205,	212
sub nom. Re lettey, AG. v. National Provincial & Union Bank of England, 93 L.J.Ch. 231; 131 L.T. 34; affg. S.C. sub nom. Re Tetley, National Provincial & Union Bank of	000	~
AG. v. Radioff [1854], 10 Exch. 84; 14 Digest 28, 2; 23 L.J.Ex. 240; 23 L.T.O.S. 191	206,	132
AG. for Canada v. Cain, Same v. Gilhula, [1906] A.C. 542; 2 Digest 193, 541; 75 L.J.P.C.	669,	
	629, 367,	376
53 L.T. 543 Badische Co., Re, Bayer Co., etc., [1921] 2 Ch. 331; 12 Digest 397, 3219; 91 L.J.Ch. 133;	465,	467
	37 688,	689
Baily v. De Crespigny (1869), L.R. 4 Q.B. 180; 12 Digest 373, 3099; 10 B. & S. 1; 38	719.	720
Baker v. Longhurst (E.) & Sons, Ltd., [1933] 2 K.B. 461; Digest Supp.; 102 L.J.K.B. 573; 149 L.T. 264		2, 3
Barker v. Herbert, [1911] 2 K.B. 633; 36 Digest 197, 374; 80 L.J.K.B. 1329; 105 L.T. 349 490, Barnardo's Homes v. Income Tax Special Comrs., [1921] A.C. 1; 28 Digest 84, 433; 90 L.J.K.B. 645; 125 L.T. 250; sub nom. R. v. Income Tax Acts Special Purposes Comrs., Ex p. Dr. Barnardo's Homes National Incorporated Assocn., 7 Tax Cas, 646		164
Design, Re, (1907), 24 R.F.C. 50, apra., sao nom. Bayer v. Symmgton, Re Bayer s Design 110071 95 R P C 58, 48 Direct 248 888	177,	180
Bayley-Worthington & Cohen's Contract. Re. [1909] 1 Ch. 648: 40 Digest 120, 950: 78	566,	
	712,	718
130 L.T. 387; affg., S.C. sub nom. Szymonowski & Co. v. Beck & Co., [1923] 1 K.B. 457 Becke v. Smith (1836), 2 M. & W. 191; 42 Digest 625, 261	388, 599,	392 605
Bennett v. Stone, [1903] 1 Ch. 509; 40 Digest 122, 965; 72 L.J.Ch. 240; 88 L.T. 35 Bennett v. Whitehead (L. & W.), Ltd., [1926] 2 K.B. 380; 34 Digest 492, 4968; 135 L.T.	566,	570
Beck & Co. v. Szymonowski & Co., [1924] A.C. 43; 39 Digest 467, 925; 93 L.J.K.B. 25; 130 L.T. 387; offg., S.C. sub nom. Szymonowski & Co. v. Beck & Co., [1923] 1 K.B. 25; 130 L.T. 387; offg., S.C. sub nom. Szymonowski & Co. v. Beck & Co., [1923] 1 K.B. 457 Becke v. Smith (1836), 2 M. & W. 191; 42 Digest 625, 261 Bennett v. Stone, [1903] 1 Ch. 509; 40 Digest 122, 965; 72 L.J.Ch. 240; 88 L.T. 35 Bennett v. Whitehead (L. & W.), Ltd., [1926] 2 K.B. 380; 34 Digest 492, 4068; 135 L.T. 329; 19 B.W.C. 133 Bent v. Cullen. (1871), 6 Ch. App. 255; 39 Digest 137, 308; 40 L.J.Ch. 250 Bergesford v. Boyal Insurance Co. Ltd. (1938) 2 All F.W. 602; (1938) A.C. 586; Digest 187, 308; 40 L.J.Ch. 250	112, 327,	118
Detended v. teoyat insurance co., Ltd., [1990] 2 All E.E. 002, [1990] A.C. 900, Digest	580,	
Berry v. Gaukroger, [1903] 2 Ch. 116; 21 Digest 27, 155; 72 L.J.Ch. 435; 88 L.T. 521 Bethell, Re, Bethell v. Hildyard (1888), 38 Ch.D. 220; 11 Digest 413, 801; 57 L.J.Ch. 487; 58 L.T. 674	704,	
	89	343
Birch v. Joy (1852), 3 H.L. Cas. 565; 40 Digest 198, 1656 Birch v. Pease & Partners, Ltd., [1941] 1 K.B. 615; 165 L.T. 146; 34 B.W.C.C. 37; sub nom.	89 277,	282
Pease & Partners, Ltd. v. Birch, [1941] 1 All E.R. 343 Birtwhistle v. Vardill (1840), 7 Cl. & Fin. 895; 18 Digest 6, 24; previous proceedings, sub nom.		110
Doe d. Birtwhistle v. Vardili (1835), 2 Cl & Fin. 571 Blain v. Greenock Foundry Co. (1903), 5 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 893	343, 99,	848 107 620 848
Board v. Board, [1919] A.C. 958 · 18 Digest 102 30 · 88 L. I.P.C. 185 · 191 L.T. 890	618,	620 343
	233,	
Dommin v. Reame, 11910 I Un. 732; Z Digest 300, 330; 79 L.J.Ch. 388; 102 L.T. 708	465	458

	PAGE
Bousernsker Er n (1806) 13 Ves 71: 2 Digest 157, 270	325, 326 37, 56
Bow, McLachlan & Co. v. Ship Camosun, [1909] A.C. 597; 40 Digest 380, 116; 79 L.J.P.C.	79, 81
Bower, Re, Bower v. Mercer, [1930] 2 Ch. 82; Digest Supp.; 99 L.J.Ch. 17; 141 L.T. 639 Bower v. Peate (1876), 1 Q.B.D. 321; 36 Digest 99, 658; 45 L.J.Q.B. 446; 35 L.T. 321 489, Bowman v. Secular Society, Ltd., [1917] A.C. 406; 8 Digest 265, 270; 86 L.J.Ch. 568;	24, 27 490, 495
117 L.T. 161 Boyce v. Whitwick Colliery Co., Ltd., Coalville Urban District Council v. Boyce (1934), 151 L.T. 464; Digest Supp.; 18 Tax Cas. 655	206, 213
	643, 645 343
Bramwell v. Bramwell, [1942] 1 All E.R. 137; [1942] 1 K.B. 370; 111 L.J.K.B. 430 Brinkley v. AG. (1890), 15 P.D. 76; 11 Digest 414, 803; 59 L.J.P. 51; 62 L.T. 911	570, 572 343, 348
L.J.Ch. 505; 86 L.T. 445 Bramwell v. Bramwell, [1942] 1 All E.R. 137; [1942] 1 K.B. 370; 111 L.J.K.B. 430 Brinkley v. AG. (1890), 15 P.D. 76; 11 Digest 414, 803; 59 L.J.P. 51; 62 L.T. 911 Brinsmead v. Harrison (1871), L.R. 6 C.P. 584; 43 Digest 531, 668; 40 L.J.C.P. 281; 24 L.T. 798; on appeal (1872), L.R. 7 C.P. 547; 41 L.J.C.P. 190; 27 L.T. 99 Brintons, Ltd. v. Turvey, [1905] A.C. 230; 34 Digest 464, 3799; 74 L.J.K.B. 474; 92 L.T. 578; 7 B.W.C.C. 1; affg., S.C. sub nom. Higgins v. Campbell & Harrison, Ltd., Turvey v. Brintons, Ltd., [1904] 1 K.B. 328 British & sheetse Co. Ltd. v. Royd [1903] 2 Ch. 439; 9 Digest 442, 2865; 73 L.J. Ch. 31;	583, 584
L.T. 578; 7 B.W.C.C. 1; affg., S.C. sub nom. Higgins v. Campbell & Harrison, Ltd., Turvey v. Brintons, Ltd., [1904] 1 K.B. 328	432, 433,
88 L.T. 763 British Insulated & Helsby Cables v. Atherton, [1926] A.C. 205: 28 Digest 52, 264: 95	587, 591
L.J.K.B. 336; 134 L.T. 289; affg. S.C. sub nom. Atherton v. British Insulated & Helsby Cables, Ltd., [1925] 1. K.B. 421 68, 71, 73, 74,	643, 645
British South Africa Co. v. Companhia de Mocambique, [1893] A.C. 602; 11 Digest 346, 334; 63 L.J.Q.B. 70; 69 L.T. 604	9, 80, 81
433: 72 L.T. 477	395, 396 161, 163
December 1 Hamilton (William) & Co. Itd [1044] S.T.T. 200 : [1049] Socion Notes 99	
Brown v. Sherwood Colliery Co., Ltd., [1949] 2 All E.R. 25; [1940] 1 K.B. 726; Digest Supp.; 109 L.J.K.B. 761; 162 L.T. 316	474, 475
20 21	409, 410
Browne's Will Trusts, Re. London v. Brown, [1915] 1 Ch. 690; 44 Digest 1215, 10506; 34 L.J.Ch. 623; 113 L.T. 39 334, 336, Buccleuch, The Duke of, (1889), 15 P.D. 86; 42 Digest 627, 293; 62 L.T. 94; on appeal,	
[1891] A.C. 310 . Burrell (Charles) & Sons, Ltd. v. Selvage (1921), 90 L.J.K.B. 1340; 34 Digest 272, 2309; 126 L.T. 49; 14 B.W.C.C. 158; affg., S.C. sub nom. Selvage v. Burrell (Charles) &	599, 605
Sons, Ltd., (1921) 1 K.B. 355 429, Burton v. Chapel Coal Co., Ltd., (1909) S.C. 430; 34 Digest 491, k; 46 Sc.L.R. 375; [1909]	430, 431
7 S.L.T. 111; 2 B.W.C.C. 120	107, 110
Cadbury Bros., Ltd. v. Sinclair, [1933] 103 L.J.K.B. 29; Digest Supp.; 149 L.T. 412; 18 Tax Cas. 157	529, 531 187, 189
Campden Charities, Re (1881), 18 Ch.D. 310; 8 Digest 343, 1359; 50 L.J.Ch. 646; 45 L.T. 152 Canadian Pacific Ry. Co. v. R., [1931] A.C. 414; Digest Supp.; 100 L.J.P.C. 129; 145	205, 212
L.T. 129	679, 680
44 L.J.C.P. 109; 31 L.T. 785	395, 396 699, 702
	122, 129 458, 453
Cavill v. Amos (1900), 64 J.F. 309; 42 Digest 355, 59 Channel Collieries Trust, Ltd. v. Dover, St. Margaret's & Martin Mill Light Ry. Co., [1914] 2 Ch. 506; 10 Digest 1147, 8118; 84 L.J.Ch. 28; 111 L.T. 1051 Chapman v. Chapman & Thomas, [1938] 1 All E.R. 635; [1938] P. 93; Digest Supp.; 107 L.J.P. 30; 158 L.T. 424 Chettorteen v. Segretary of State for India [1895] 2 OR 189; 32 Digest 111, 1428; 64	587, 591
	379, 380
L.J.Q.B. 676; 72 L.T. 858 Chauntler v. Robinson (1849), 4 Exch. 163; 36 Digest 199, 389; 19 L.J.Ex. 170; 14 L.T.O.S. 107	304, 305 490, 496
Chetti v. Chetti, [1909] P. 67; 11 Digest 416, 827; sub nom. Venugopal Chetti v. Venugopal Chetti, 78 L.J.P. 23: 99 L.T. 885	343
Christchurch Inclosure Act, Re [1888], 38 Ch.D. 520; 8 Digest 289, 658; 57 L.J.Ch. 564; 58 L.T. 827	502, 510
Clan Line Steamers, Ltd. v. Board of Trade, The Clan Matheson, [1929] A.C. 514; Digest Supp.; 98 L.J.K.B. 408; 141 L.T. 275 Clarke v. Ramuz, [1891] 2 Q.B. 456; 40 Digest 184, 1636; 60 L.J.Q.B. 679; 65 L.T. 657 Clark's Trust, Re (1875), 1 Ch.D. 497; 8 Digest 262, 241; 45 L.J.Ch. 194	124, 126 285, 286 502, 508
Cleaver v. Mutual Reserve Fund Life Assocn., [1892] 1 Q.B. 147; 29 Digest 369, 2909;	580, 581
61 L.J.Q.B. 128; 66 L.T. 220 Cliff v. Midland Ry. Co. (1870), L.R. 5 Q.B. 258; 38 Digest 313, 347; 22 L.T. 382 Clover, Clayton & Co., Ltd. v. Hughes, [1910] A.C. 242; 34 Digest 273, 2316; 79 L.J.K.B. 470; 102 L.T. 340; 3 B.W.C.C. 275.	733, 734 432, 434
Codling v. Mowlem (J.) & Co., Ltd., [1914] 3 K.B. 1055; 34 Digest 491, 4067; 83 L.J.K.B. 1727; 111 L.T. 1086; 7 B.W.C.C. 786; affy., S.C. [1914] 2 K.B. 61 Coe v. London & North Eastern Ry. Co., [1943] 2 All E.R. 61; [1943] 1 K.B. 531; 112	99, 111
L.J.K.B. 497: 168 L.T. 382	102, 112
Cohen v. Cohen, [1940] 2 All E.R. 331; [1940] A.C. 631; Digest Supp.; 109 L.J.P. 53; 163 L.T. 183 Cole v. De Trafford (No. 2), [1918] 2 K.B. 523; 34 Digest 198, 1001; 87 L.J.K.B. 1254;	379, 380
	227, 228 544, 545
119 L.T. 476 Cole v. Harris, [1945] 2 All E.B. 146; [1945] K.B. 474; 114 L.J.K.B. 481; 173 L.T. 50 Cole v. London & North Eastern Ry. Co. (1928), 21 B.W.C.C. 87; Digest Supp. Coffar v. Coggins & Griffith (Liverpool), Ltd., [1945] 1 All E.R. 326; [1945] A.C. 197; 114 L.J.K.B. 148; 172 L.T. 205 Collingwood v. Home and Colonial Stores, Ltd., [1936] 3 All E.R. 200; Digest Supp.; 155 L.T. 550; affa., [1936] 1 All E.R. 74	429, 431 227, 230
Collingwood v. Home and Colonial Stores, Ltd., [1936] 3 All E.R. 200; Digest Supp.; 155 L.T. 550; affa., [1936] 1 All E.R. 74	490, 495

	P	AGE
Collins, Re, Collins v. Collins (1886), 32 Ch.D. 229; 28 Digest 223, 825; 55 L.J.Ch. 672; 55 L.T. 21	825,	326
Collins v. Adamson (Joseph) & Co., Adamson (Joseph) & Co. v. Collins, [1937] 4 All E.R. 236;	68, 367,	72 373
172 L.T. 158	510,	511
11 Tax Cas. 730	715, 465,	
Corroran, Re, Corcoran v. Riddell (1892), 62 L.J.Ch. 267; 8 Digest 274, 418; 67 L.T. 754	162, 367,	163 371
Costello v. Pigeon (Owners), [1913] A.C. 407; 34 Digest 238, 203; sub nom. Costello v. Kelsall Brothers, 82 L.J.K.B. 873; 108 L.T. 929; 6 B.W.C.C. 480 Cotman v. Brougham, [1918] A.C. 514; 9 Digest 78 286; 87 L.J.Ch. 379; 119 L.T. 162;	432,	433
Cotman v. Brougham, [1918] A.C. 514; 9 Digest 78 286; 87 L.J.Ch. 379; 119 L.T. 162; affg. S.C. sub nom. Re Anglo-Cuban Oil, Bitumen & Asphalt Co., Ltd., [1917] 1 Ch. 477 Cottage Club Estates v. Woodside Estates Co. (Amersham), [1928] 2 K.B. 463; Digest	436,	442
Supp.; 97 L.J.K.B. 72; 139 L.T. 353	465,	468
affg. S.C. sub nom. Re Anglo-Cuban Oil, Bitumen & Asphalt Co., Ltd., [1917] 1 Ch. 477 Cottage Club Estates v. Woodside Estates Co. (Amersham), [1928] 2 K.B. 463; Digest Supp. 97 LJ.K.B. 72; 139 L.T. 353 Covas v. Bingham (1853), 2 E. & B. 836; 39 Digest 401, 377; 2 C.L.R. 12; 23 L.J.Q.B. 26; sub nom. Bingham v. Covas, 22 L.T.O.S. 97 Cranston, Re, Webb v. Oldfield, [1898] 1 I.R. 431; 8 Digest 259, 214;	388, 210,	391 217
99 L.T. 216; 1 B.W.C.C. 43 99, Crichton's Oil Co., Re, [1902] 2 Ch. 86; 10 Digest 1008, 6980; 71 LJ.Ch. 531; 86 L.T. 787	107, 556,	
Crichton's Oil Co., Re. [1902] 2 Ch. 86; 10 Digest 1006, 6980; 71 L.J.Ch. 531; 86 L.T. 787 Cricklewood Property & Investment Trust, Ltd. v. Leighton's Investment Trust, Ltd., [1945] A.C. 221; 114 L.J.K.B. 110; 172 L.T. 140 Crisp v. Bunbury (1832), 8 Bing, 394; 3 Digest 135, 98; 1 Moo. & S. 646; 1 L.J.C.P. 112 Curprise a Danger 16943, 24 L.F.P. 3609	654,	687
Cumming v. Danson, [1942] 2 All E.R. 653 Crippen, In the Estate of, [1911] P. 108; 23 Digest 167, 1834; 80 L.J.P. 47; 104 L.T. 224 Cunard v. Antifyre, Ltd., [1933] 1 K.B. 551; Digest Supp.; 103 L.J.K.B. 321; 148 L.T. 287	611, 580, 490,	581
Dalton v. Angus (1881), 6 App. Cas. 740; 19 Digest 7, 4; sub nom. Public Works Comrs. v.		
Angus & Co., Dalton v. Angus & Co., 50 L.J.Q.B. 689; 44 L.T. 844 Danziger v. Thompson, [1944] 2 All E.R. 151; [1944] K.B. 654; 113 L.J.K.B. 539; 170	490,	
L.T. 424 Dawson, Re, Pattison v. Bathurst, [1915] 1 Ch. 626; 8 Digest 273, 391; 84 L.J.Ch. 476; 113		
Dawson v. African Consolidated Land & Trading Co., [1898] 1 Ch. 6; 9 Digest 433, 2823:		376 591
Derry v. Inland Revenue (1927), 13 Tax Cas. 30; Digest Supp.; [1927] S.C. 714 Desoutter Bros., Ltd. v. Hanger (J. E.) & Co., Ltd., [1936] 1 All E.R., 535; Digest Supp.	199, 712, 134,	201 716
De Vries v. Sparks (1927), 137 L.T. 441; Digest Supp. Dewar v. Inland Revenue Comrs, [1935] 2 K.B. 351; Digest Supp.; 104 L.J.K.B. 645; 153 L.T. 357; 19 Tax Cas. 561		
D'Huart v. Harkness (1865), 34 Beav. 324; 11 Digest 383, 611; 5 New Rep. 440; 34	723	726
Distington Hematite Iron Co., Ltd. v. Possehl & Co., [1916] 1 K.B. 811; 2 Digest 175, 403 85 L.J.K.B. 919; 115 L.T. 412	3;	7, 45
Dodworth v. Dale, [1936] 2 All E.R. 440; [1936] 2 K.B. 503; Digest Supp.; 105 L.J.K.B. 586; 155 L.T. 290; 20 Tax Cas. 285	690,	
Doe v. Spiller (1806), 6 Esp. 70; 31 Digest 447, 5938 Doe d. France v. Andrews (1850), 15 Q.B. 756; 22 Digest 338, 3389 Doe d. Matthewson v. Wrightman (1801), 14 Esp. 5; 31 Digest 443, 5901 297,	297, 594, 302,	597
Dormer (otherwise Ward) v. Ward, [1901] P. 20; 27 Digest 521, 5629; 69 L.J.P. 144; 83 L.T. 556	690,	692
Dover, Ltd. v. Nurnberger Celluloidwaren Fabrik Gebruder Wolff, [1910] 2 Ch. 25; 48 Digest 251, 914; 79 L.J.Ch. 625; 102 L.T. 684	177,	185
Dredge v. Conway, Jones & Co., [1901] 2 K.B. 42; 24 Digest 924, 166; 70 L.J.K.B. 494; 84 L.T. 345; 3 W.C.C. 104	264,	271
84 L.T. 345; 3 W.C.C. 104	146,	148
Duffield v. Elwes (1840), 2 Beay, 268: 16 Digest 56, 631	502, 247,	
Dunbar (otherwise White) v. Dunbar, [1909] P. 90; 27 Digest 501, 5365; 78 L.J.P. 35; 100 L.T. 380	690,	692
Duncan v. Cammell Laird & Co., Ltd., [1942] 1 All E.R. 587; [1942] A.C. 624; 111 L.J.K.B. 406; 166 L.T. 366 Dyke v. Elliott, The Gauntlet (1872), L.R. 4 P.C. 184; 42 Digest 730, 1526; 8 Moo. P.C.C.N.S. 428; 41 L.J.Adm. 65; 26 L.T. 45	594,	596
P.C.C.N.S. 428; 41 L.J.Adm. 65; 26 L.T. 45 Dyson v. AG., [1911] 1 K.B. 410; Digest Pleading 75, 645; 81 L.J.K.B. 217; 105 L.T. 758 629,		270 634
Faton a Wimney & Co. 1td [1027] 4 All E D 509, [1020] 1 W D 259, Diggst Supp. 107		
Eaton v. Wimpey & Co., Ltd., [1937] 4 All E.R. 583; [1938] 1 K.B. 353; Digest Supp.; 107 LJ.K.B. 259; 158 L.T. 6; 30 B.W.C.C. 408 Eaves, Re, Eaves v. Eaves, [1939] 4 All E.R. 260; [1940] Ch. 109; 109 L.J.Ch. 97; 162		620
L.T. 8 Edinburgh Street Tramways Co. v. Edinburgh Corpn., [1894] A.C. 456; 43 Digest 354, 113;	690,	
63 L.J.Q.B. 769; 71 L.T. 301 Edwards v. Godfrey, [1899] 2 Q.B. 333; 34 Digest 492, 4072; 68 L.J.Q.B. 666; 80 L.T. 672;		9, 91
1 W.C.C. 32 Edwards (Job), Ltd. v. Birmingham Navigations, [1924] 1 K.B. 341: 36 Digest 215, 575;		110
93 L.J.K.B. 261; 130 L.T. 522	493,	733
30 L.T. 874 Engell v. Fitch (1869), L.R. 4 Q.B. 659; 40 Digest 263, 2284; 10 B. & S. 738; 38 L.J.Q.B. 304 Ensign Shipping Co., Ltd. v. Inland Revenue Comrs. (1928), 139 L.T. 111; Digest Supp.;		287
12 Tax Cas. 1169 Errington, Rs. Gibbs v. Lassam, [1927] 1 Ch. 421; 44 Digest 997, 8544; 96 L.J.Ch. 345; 136 L.T. 764	533,	282
100 11.1.10%,	4011	460