

Second Edition

ANTHROPOLOGY **IN THEORY**

ISSUES IN EPISTEMOLOGY

Edited by Henrietta L. Moore and Todd Sanders

WILEY Blackwell


Anthropology in Theory

Issues in Epistemology

SECOND EDITION

Edited by

Henrietta L. Moore and Todd Sanders



WILEY Blackwell

This edition first published 2014
© 2014 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Registered Office

John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

Editorial Offices

350 Main Street, Malden, MA 02148–5020, USA

9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK

The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

For details of our global editorial offices, for customer services, and for information about how to apply for permission to reuse the copyright material in this book please see our website at www.wiley.com/wiley-blackwell.

The right of Henrietta L. Moore and Todd Sanders to be identified as the authors of the editorial material in this work has been asserted in accordance with the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. All brand names and product names used in this book are trade names, service marks, trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. The publisher is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and author(s) have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. It is sold on the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services and neither the publisher nor the author shall be liable for damages arising herefrom. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data is available on request

PB ISBN: 9780470673355

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Cover image: Brenda Mayo, *Untitled*. Reproduced by permission of the artist.

Cover design by Simon Levy Associates

Set in 9.5/11.5pt Minion by SPi Publisher Services, Pondicherry, India

Printed in Singapore by Ho Printing Singapore Pte Ltd

Anthropology in Theory

Notes on the Editors

Henrietta L. Moore FBA is the William Wyse Chair of Social Anthropology at the University of Cambridge. Her work on gender has developed a distinctive approach to the analysis of the interrelations of material and symbolic gender systems, embodiment and performance, and identity and sexuality. She has worked extensively in Africa, particularly on livelihood strategies, social transformation, and symbolic systems. Recent research has focused on virtual worlds, new technologies, and the relationship between self-imagining and globalization. Her most recent monograph, *Still Life: Hopes, Desires and Satisfaction* (Polity, 2011), argues for a reconsideration of globalization based on ordinary people's capacities for self-making and social transformation.

Todd Sanders is Associate Professor of Anthropology at the University of Toronto, and has worked in Africa for two decades. His projects have had varied foci and share a common theoretical concern with social and scientific knowledge practices. His books include *Those Who Play with Fire: Gender, Fertility and Transformation in East and Southern Africa* (with Henrietta L. Moore and Bwire Kaare; Athlone/Berg, 1999), *Magical Interpretations, Material Realities: Modernity, Witchcraft and the Occult in Postcolonial Africa* (with Henrietta L. Moore; Routledge, 2001), *Transparency and Conspiracy: Ethnographies of Suspicion in the New World Order* (with Harry West; Duke University Press, 2003), and *Beyond Bodies: Rainmaking and Sense Making in Tanzania* (University of Toronto Press, 2008).

General Introduction

Henrietta L. Moore and Todd Sanders

Theory as Practice

This collection attests to the strength and diversity of anthropological theorizing in the twentieth and early twenty-first centuries. We use the term “theorizing” rather than the more usual noun form “theory” because the pieces collected here are intended to reflect the practice of engaging with theory, particular ways of thinking, analyzing, and reflecting that have emerged in the context of writings over this period. Anthropology as a discipline has a number of subdivisions or “traditions.” These may be broadly cast as national – as in British, American, Japanese, Brazilian anthropology – and regional – as in the particular theoretical concerns of specific regions, such as “persons,” “cross-cousin marriage,” “gift exchange,” and so on. The boundaries between these different “traditions” are far from fixed, and indeed are being constantly transcended. The writings collected here draw on a variety of perspectives. Our aim is not to provide a representative sample of any – and certainly not all – traditions, but to make available a flavor of the intellectual conversations and debates on specific epistemological issues that formed the practice of theorizing in twentieth- and early twenty-first-century anthropology.

No one collection could ever hope to be representative of anthropological theories per se. The question “What is anthropological theory?” is inextricably tied to the question “What is anthropology?” (Moore 1999: 2; Moore and Sanders, this volume). Anthropology has been variously defined as the study of “other cultures,” “cultural difference,” “social systems,” “world views,” “ways of life,” and “forms of knowledge.” Sometimes these abstractions are given more concrete referents, such as political systems, livelihoods, kinship systems, family structures, and religious beliefs. The only difficulty is that neither the more abstract conceptual categories nor the empirical entities are the exclusive domain of anthropology, which immediately raises the issue of how we would delineate specifically anthropological theories. This is obvious in the practice of anthropology, since most anthropology courses begin by teaching students about Durkheim, Weber, and Marx, whose writings have been formative for the discipline. Contemporary anthropological theorizing also engages in extensive theoretical borrowing, and recent examples would include the work of Bourdieu, Foucault, Gramsci, Bakhtin, Agamben, and many others. We make no attempt in this collection – it would in any case be impossible – to provide examples of all the theories from the humanities, social sciences, and sciences that have influenced anthropological theorizing. Rather, we have integrated extracts from writers outside anthropology where their thinking contributes to particular debates or discussion points within a specific set of epistemological difficulties under discussion within the volume. For example, in section 2 on *structure and system*, we have included an extract from Durkheim (5), not only because his writings had a profound

influence, albeit in different ways, on the work of Radcliffe-Brown (6) and Lévi-Strauss (8), but also because it discusses the relationship between the individual and society, which is one of the concerns of section 1. The extract from Durkheim thus provides both a context for readers engaging with the work of Radcliffe-Brown and Lévi-Strauss and an indirect commentary on the vexed question of what distinguishes social structures from social relations. Our intention throughout has been to portray anthropological theorizing as a set of dialogues – dialogues that are not only internal to the discipline, but also engage with writings outside the discipline from which anthropology has often sought inspiration. Thus we have included extracts that not only reflect a writer's theoretical position – or at least one of her or his positions – but can also be maintained in a productive relation with positions taken by other writers elsewhere in the volume. Consequently, individual extracts should not be taken as necessarily representative of an individual's entire oeuvre.

In designing a collection of this kind, it is evident that a plethora of organizational principles proffer themselves, all with strengths and weaknesses. It might have been feasible – if somewhat constraining – to have divided anthropological theorizing into anthropological theories of “kinship,” “politics,” “economics,” and so on. Equally, it might have been appropriate to divide disciplinary endeavor into “schools of thought,” such as functionalism, structural-functionalism, and structuralism. Another possible set of categorizations might have been suggested by reference to specialist sub-fields, such as the anthropology of cognition, art, nationalism, psychology, development, gender, the body, medical anthropology, and so on. All these sub-fields borrow extensively from other disciplines and many of them require specialist theoretical knowledge. Every one of these ways of organizing the collection was considered. They were ultimately abandoned not just because as categorizations and principles of organization they can be readily contested, but because we wanted to emphasize what might be distinctive about anthropological theorizing, that is, the *practice* of it.

How This Book is Organized

Anthropology is not anthropology because it studies kinship or cognition or politics or art, or because it has had practitioners who are structuralists or post-structuralists. What is distinctive about anthropology is the way it has created and constructed itself, the particular history of the formation of ideas that have given rise to a distinctive discipline and a set of associated practices. It is this process of theorizing that this volume seeks to capture. Today's conversations are clearly different from those of the past, and while it is difficult to understand contemporary concerns without some knowledge of the origins of the debates, the volume is not organized on a purely historical basis. The aim has been to show the recursive and enduring nature of key questions, principally the lasting search for a more complete understanding of the anthropological object of inquiry; in other words, the extent to which anthropological theorizing has always been driven by the question “What is anthropology?” The volume thus aims to demonstrate both the variations and the continuities in the key questions anthropologists have asked: “what is the relationship between the individual and society”; “what is the difference between society and culture”; “what makes us distinctively human”; “how are we to comprehend cultural difference in the context of a universal humanity”; “what is the relationship between models and reality”; “what is the relationship between the models of the observer and those of the observed”?

The collection as a whole provides an introduction to these questions for readers inside and outside anthropology. It also builds up a dialogue about specific sets of assumptions on which theorizing in anthropology is based, the methods appropriate to address certain questions, and the theoretical frameworks through which they are received. So, for example, in section 2, *structure and system*, we have included extracts from different writers discussing the term “structure” and what it encompasses and entails. A concept such as “structure” not only defines the kinds of questions that can be asked of data, but also determines the methods used to collect data. The aim of each section is to provide a kind of minor “genealogy of knowledge” where the extracts explore through dialogue with each other not only what certain concepts and the pre-theoretical assumptions on which they are based reveal, but

also what they remain silent on, the questions that do not get asked. The overall structure of the book is, as we have said, not historically oriented, but is, rather, based on a series of counterpoints or questions, so that issues on which certain sections are silent get picked up later in subsequent sections. The contributions can, of course, be read in any order, but the volume's layout is intended to provide a pathway through a series of interlinked debates for readers less familiar with anthropology. We provide an overview of the theoretical development of anthropology in the twentieth and early twenty-first century and its epistemological concerns in the next chapter (Moore and Sanders, this volume).

In *part I*, the debates are animated by the question of the relationship between society and culture, and indeed the issue which divided British and American anthropology in the first half of the twentieth century: whether it was culture or society that formed the object of anthropological inquiry. Different writers in the sections in *part I* discuss the definition of these terms and how they relate to the individuals who comprise them. One major difficulty here is the fact of cultural difference and how it relates to our common humanity, to the environment in which we live, and to our individual natures. What is crucial is the way that cultural determinism and cultural relativism interact in the thinking of individual authors. While one could characterize the basic trend through the twentieth century as a move from strong forms of cultural determinism (humans are the products of their culture/society and its environment) to a view that emphasizes individual agency in the context of intersubjective relations with others (humans are biologically cultural beings who develop within a cultural world) (see Moore and Sanders, this volume), this would be to ignore the recursive nature of epistemological postulates in anthropological thinking. The extracts in this part demonstrate the differences between writers of similar historical periods, and the continuities and discontinuities between contemporary writers and those at the beginning of the twentieth century, particularly with regard to the mechanisms that link forms of abstraction – concepts such as “structure,” for instance – to forms of explanation. A perennial complication in anthropology is that since abstractions are created by the analyst, and they provide the building blocks for anthropological models, there is considerable debate about how such abstractions relate to the empirical data from which they are abstracted, and beyond that, how anthropological models *qua* models relate to those of informants (see Moore and Sanders, this volume).

Part II takes up these questions in a different guise and focuses on language, meanings, and interpretations, particularly with regard to the relationship of cultural meanings to actors' models. The pre-theoretical assumptions under interrogation in this part are those based on the idea that language is central to social life, that it is what defines us as human, and thus we must analyze social life as the creation and negotiation of meaning within which actors interpret their experience and order their actions. A focus on meaning inevitably raises queries about the degree to which individuals within a culture share meanings, how knowledge may be differentially distributed as a result of power, and how meanings and values get transferred from one generation or group to another. This connects work in this area to older debates about the relationship between culture and thought, not only with regard to the beliefs and thoughts of individuals, but also in relation to the pre-theoretical assumption that language is necessary for thought. Work on bodies, praxis, and phenomenology emphasizes that there are forms of knowledge that are non-linguistic, that the human body, for example, knows the world through its engagement with the world and with others in that world. However, if practical knowledge of the world is the result of engagement with that world, then what scope is there for individual creativity or for social change; how can we negotiate the apparent impasse between objective structures and subjective experience? Thus, *part II* takes up once again, albeit from a very different angle, the question of how to transcend the division between the individual and culture, what might be intended or encompassed by the term “structures” (as in linguistic structures/structures of meaning), and how the models of both observer and observed relate to knowledge and to power (see Moore and Sanders, this volume).

Part III addresses issues of scale and comparison, but more than this, it provides a sustained reflection on a series of models for knowing the world. These models are all derived in one way or another from western philosophical traditions, and the question is the degree to which they are appropriate for knowing the worlds of other people, in other times and places. Underlying this question is a broader

concern about whether it is possible to know the world. Is anthropology an objective science or a subjective form of interpretation? What kind of instrument of knowledge is the anthropologist? Anthropology has developed a very clear critique of the relations between power and knowledge that have constituted the domain of anthropology itself and its associated practices. This debate acknowledges that knowledge is always a matter of ethics. Anthropology, like all disciplines, creates a world full of specific kinds of entities – societies, cultures – which is inhabited by particular kinds of agents – persons, individuals, etc. Much critical anthropology has served to work against the power relations that constitute the anthropological field of knowledge, and has criticized the comparative models of anthropology for occluding the perspectives, voices, and lived realities of the people being studied. This raises once again – but in the context of unequal power relations – how adequately anthropological models represent the lived reality of people's lives. However, debates in this area go further than earlier debates because they question the nature of the theoretical itself, including the very project of western knowledge as it underpins anthropology (see Moore 1999; Moore and Sanders, this volume). Hence, the discussion focuses on whether and under what circumstances comparison is possible, appropriate, and powerful. Can we do without models? Can we have objective knowledge of other people's worlds? What do we relinquish – and at what cost to ourselves and others – if we give up on the notion of anthropology as science?

Part IV discusses the shifts in the conditions of production of anthropological research and therefore of anthropological knowledge. Cultures – however they might have been represented in the past – have never been fixed, bounded, or unitary. In the context of globalization, migration, and transnational flows, anthropology has been forced to rethink not only the major concepts of anthropology – society, culture, kinship, and others – but also the very notion of cultural difference itself. This is in part because anthropology has “come home”; “other cultures” are no longer in “other places,” and anthropology is much less able to distance itself from the communities it studies. The nature of the academy has also changed profoundly, and it is not just the communities and cultures studied by anthropologists that are transnational and transcultural, but anthropologists themselves. This has had a major impact on both knowledge construction and critical politics within the discipline. Issues of perspective, power, positionality, and hybridity have been largely forced onto the agenda of the discipline by those scholars who most forcefully live hybridity and multiple positionality. Anthropology, like the world itself, is becoming simultaneously globalized and localized. One powerful irony here is that at the very moment anthropology appeared to want to abandon the organizing trope of culture, the rest of the world started to adopt it. International agencies, local civil society groups, management consultants, consumer researchers, and a host of other groups and institutions embraced it as the lens through which to understand difference in a globalized world. It has become a mobilizing concept for many indigenous and civil society groups around the world, and in some cases the explanation for power differentials, exclusions, and even hatreds and acts of violence. The result is that not only have the contexts for anthropological research shifted, but so has the nature of the relationship between observer and observed. Anthropology and anthropologists no longer command the high ground of representation – if indeed they ever did – and have had to recognize that their view on cultural difference is only one among many. New ways of imaging the anthropological object of inquiry have emerged: new images, metaphors, and concepts. This gives rise to new practices, new ways of doing field research, of combining advocacy and research, of imagining the very nature of the social itself (see Moore 1996, 1999; and Moore and Sanders, this volume).

Locating Anthropology

It has often been said that there is no single anthropology, but only a series of anthropologies. The perspective developed in this collection would see that statement as a question of scale, as a matter of position, of what one chooses to foreground, on the one hand, and consign to the background, on the other. The variety, diversity, and richness of contemporary anthropological theorizing are indisputable,

as is the existence of the vigorous debates which are its origin. However, when we speak of anthropology we should not lose sight of the fact that it is an intellectual endeavor, a discipline and a profession. In other words, it is not only about ways of thinking, but also about ways of doing in the context of specific institutions and power relations. All ideas are generated and communicated within particular historical, material, social, and political relations and processes. Styles of reasoning, as Hacking argues, create the possibility of truth and falsehood precisely because they are historically situated (Hacking 1982: 56–7). This is not to claim that truth is not the object of our inquiries or that the refinements and careful calibrations of thought, reasoning, and method that make anthropology a social science are unimportant. It is, rather, to draw attention to the circumstances, contexts, and practices within which the effects of truth are produced.

Contemporary anthropology as a discipline and as a set of practices is engaged in multiple ways with the world it reflects upon. This engagement is complex, frequently vexed, but always productive. Theorizing is not only about the nature, limits, and sources of knowledge. It is also about the process of self-reflection that constitutes the practice of theorizing on the grounds and contexts of knowledge production in a way that acknowledges their material and historical constraints and ambitions. This leads to contestation about the very nature of theory and the theoretical. In contemporary anthropology, this has been evident not only in the debate about objects, the question of what constitutes the objects of anthropological inquiry, but also in the parallel discussion about subjects and subject positions – that is to say, who speaks for other cultures, but more than that, who speaks for anthropology itself. These subject positions are geographically and institutionally framed, but they are also epistemological. It seems indisputable that, being a product of western culture and philosophy, anthropology has been constituted historically as much by its subject positions as by its objects of inquiry, as much by who speaks in its name and in what voice as by the question “What is anthropology?” The gaze of the anthropological observer has never been an unmarked one, but the question for the future is whether that gaze can be effectively unmoored not only from the traditions that gave rise to it, but also from the broader imaginary of the west and its relations to others.

References

-
- | | |
|--|--|
| <p>Hacking, I. 1982 Language, Truth and Reason. In <i>Rationality and Relativism</i>. M. Hollis, and S. Lukes, eds. Oxford: Blackwell.</p> <p>Moore, H. L. 1996 The Changing Nature of Anthropological Knowledge: An Introduction. In <i>The</i></p> | <p><i>Future of Anthropological Knowledge</i>. H. L. Moore, ed. London: Routledge.</p> <p>Moore, H. L. 1999 Anthropological Theory at the Turn of the Century. In <i>Anthropological Theory Today</i>. H. L. Moore, ed. Cambridge: Polity Press.</p> |
|--|--|

Acknowledgments

The editors and publisher gratefully acknowledge the permission granted to reproduce the copyright material in this book:

- 1 Franz Boas, "The Aims of Anthropological Research," pp. 243–59 from *Race, Language and Culture*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press and The Free Press, 1982 [1940]. Originally published in *Science N.S.*, vol. 76 (1932), pp. 605–13.
- 2 A. L. Kroeber, "The Concept of Culture in Science," pp. 118–20, 129–33 from *The Nature of Culture*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1952. Copyright © 1952 by University of Chicago Press. Reprinted by permission of University of Chicago Press.
- 3 Gregory Bateson, pp. 111–22 from *Naven: A Survey of the Problems Suggested by a Composite Picture of the Culture of a New Guinea Tribe Drawn from Three Points of View*, 2nd edn. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1958 [1936]. Copyright © 1958 by the Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Jr. University. All rights reserved. Used with permission of Stanford University Press, www.sup.org.
- 4 Ruth Benedict, pp. 251–68, 270–8, 285–6 from *Patterns of Culture*. Boston and New York: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1934. Copyright © 1934 by Ruth Benedict. Copyright renewed 1961 by Ruth Valentine. Reprinted by permission of Houghton Mifflin Company and Taylor and Francis. All rights reserved.
- 5 Emile Durkheim, pp. 89–12 from George E. G. Catlin (ed.), *The Rules of Sociological Method*, 8th edn. Sarah A. Solovay and John H. Mueller (trans.). New York: The Free Press, 1938. Copyright © 1938 by George E. G. Catlin. Copyright renewed 1966 by Sarah A. Solovay, John H. Mueller, George E. G. Catlin. Reprinted with the permission of The Free Press, a Division of Simon & Schuster Adult Publishing Group. All rights reserved.
- 6 A. R. Radcliffe-Brown, "On Social Structure," pp. 189–200 from *Journal of Royal Anthropological Institute* 70(1), 1940. Copyright © 1940 by *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. Reprinted with permission of John Wiley & Sons UK
- 7 E. R. Leach, "Introduction," pp. 1–17, 313–18 from *Political Systems of Highland Burma: A Study of Kachin Social Structure*. London: Athlone Press, 1970. Copyright © 1970 by Athlone Press. Reprinted by permission of The Continuum International Publishing Group. First published in 1964.
- 8 Claude Lévi-Strauss, "Social Structure," pp. 321–8, 333–5, 338–9, 347–50 from *Anthropology Today*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1962 [1952]. Copyright © 1952 by University of Chicago Press. Reprinted by permission of University of Chicago Press.

- 9 Bronislaw Malinowski, "The Group and the Individual in Functional Analysis," pp. 938–47, 948–62 from *The American Journal of Sociology* 44(6), 1939. Copyright © 1939 by *The American Journal of Sociology*. Reprinted by permission of University of Chicago Press.
- 10 Julian H. Steward, "The Concept and Method of Cultural Ecology," pp. 31–42, 224, 226–7 from *Theory of Cultural Change: The Methodology of Multilinear Evolution*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1955. Copyright © 1955 by Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois. Copyright renewed 1983 by Jane C. Steward. Used with permission of the University of Illinois Press.
- 11 Leslie A. White, "Energy and the Evolution of Culture," pp. 363–93, 390–1, 392–3, 423, 427, 431–3 from *The Science of Culture: A Study of Man and Civilization*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Company, 1949. Copyright © 1949 by Leslie White. Reprinted by permission of Robert L. Carneiro, American Museum of Natural History.
- 12 Andrew P. Vayda and Roy A. Rappaport, "Ecology, Cultural and Noncultural," pp. 476, 479–80, 483–5, 488–94, 407 from James A. Clifton (ed.), *Introduction to Cultural Anthropology*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1968.
- 13 J. H. M. Beattie, "Understanding and Explanation in Social Anthropology," pp. 45–56, 57–60 from *The British Journal of Sociology* 10(1), 1959. Reprinted with permission of John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- 14 Holy Ladislav and Stuchlik Milan, "Anthropological Data and Social Reality," pp. 5–12, 12–19, 122–9 from *Actions, Norms and Representations*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983. Copyright © 1983 by Cambridge University Press. Reproduced with permission of Cambridge University Press.
- 15 Pierre Bourdieu, "Objectification Objectified," pp. 30–41, 287–9, 320, 322–3, 325–9 from *The Logic of Practice*, Richard Nice (trans.). Cambridge: Polity, 1990 [1980]. Copyright © 1990 by Polity Press. Reprinted with permission of Stanford University Press, www.sup.org. All rights reserved and copyright © 1980 by Les Editions de Minuit. Reprinted with permission of Georges Borchardt, Inc. for Les Editions de Minuit, and The Estate of Pierre Bourdieu (Jérôme Bourdieu for ebook rights)
- 16 Clifford Geertz, "Thick Description: Toward an Interpretive Theory of Culture," pp. 4–7, 9–20 from *The Interpretation of Cultures*. New York: Basic Books, 1973. Copyright © 1973 by Clifford Geertz. Reprinted by permission of Basic Books, a member of the Perseus Books Group.
- 17 Talal Asad, "Anthropology and the Analysis of Ideology," pp. 609–21, 624–7 from *Man* 14(4), 1979. Copyright © 1979 by *Man*. Reprinted by permission of John Wiley & Sons UK.
- 18 Sherry B. Ortner, "Subjectivity and Cultural Critique," pp. 31–52 (with cuts) from *Anthropological Theory* 5(1), 2005. Copyright © 2005. Reprinted with permission of SAGE.
- 19 Claude Lévi-Strauss, "Structural Analysis in Linguistics and in Anthropology," pp. 31–54 from *Structural Anthropology*, Claire Jacobson and Brooke Grundfest Schoepf (trans.). London: Allen Lane, the Penguin Press, 1968. English language translation copyright © 1963 by Basic Books, Inc. Reproduced by permission of Basic Books, a member of the Perseus Book Group.
- 20 Malcolm Crick, "Ordinary Language and Human Action," pp. 90–8, 173–4, 177, 187, 190–1 from *Explorations in Language and Meaning: Towards a Semantic Anthropology*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1976. Copyright © 1976 by John Wiley & Sons.
- 21 Maurice Bloch, "Language, Anthropology and Cognitive Science," pp. 183–94, 195–8 from *Man* 26, 1991. Copyright © 1991 by *Man*. Reprinted by permission of John Wiley & Sons UK.
- 22 Harvey Whitehouse, "Towards an Integration of Ethnography, History and the Cognitive Science of Religion," pp. 247–80 (with cuts) from H. Whitehouse and J. Laidlaw (eds.), *Religion, Anthropology and Cognitive Science*. Durham, NC: Carolina Academic Press, 2007, pp. 247–80. Reprinted with permission of Carolina Academic Press.
- 23 Charles Stafford, "Linguistic and Cultural Variables in the Psychology of Numeracy," pp. S128–S141 from *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* s1, 2008. Reprinted with permission of John Wiley & Sons UK.
- 24 T. M. Luhrmann, "Subjectivity," pp. 345–61 (with cuts) from *Anthropological Theory* 6(3), 2006. Copyright © 2006. Reprinted with permission of SAGE.

- 25 Charles Whitehead, "Why the Behavioural Sciences Need the Concept of the Culture-Ready Brain," pp. 43–71 (with cuts) from *Anthropological Theory* 12(1) (2012), pp. 43–71. Copyright © 2012. Reprinted with permission of SAGE.
- 26 Michael Jackson, "Knowledge of the Body," pp. 327–40, 341–5 from *Man* 18, 1983. Copyright © 1983 by *Man*. Reprinted by permission of John Wiley & Sons UK.
- 27 Emily Martin, "The End of the Body?," pp. 121–9, 130–40 from *American Ethnologist* 19(1), 1992. All rights reserved. Copyright © 1992 by American Anthropological Association. Reproduced by permission of the American Anthropological Association and the author. Not for sale or further reproduction.
- 28 Lesley Sharp, "Hybridity: Hybrid Bodies of the Scientific Imaginary," pp. 262–75 from Frances Mascia-Lees (ed.), *Companion to the Anthropology of the Body and Embodiment*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing Ltd., 2011, Wiley-Blackwell. Reprinted with permission of John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- 29 Max Weber, "Puritanism and the Spirit of Capitalism," pp. 25–34 from Sam Whimster (ed.), *The Essential Weber*. London and New York: Routledge, 2004. Reproduced by permission of Taylor & Francis Books.
- 30 Eric R. Wolf, "Introduction," pp. 3–23, 431, 435, 438–9, 446, 450–1, 456, 460–1, 463, 467–9 from *Europe and the People Without History*, 2nd edn. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1982. Copyright © 1983 The Regents of the University of California. Reprinted by permission of the Regents of the University of California and the University of California Press.
- 31 Jean Comaroff and John Comaroff, "Introduction," pp. 14–32, 316–18, 353–6, 358–60, 362–70, 372–8, 382–4, 389, 393–4 from *Of Revelation and Revolution: Christianity, Colonialism and Consciousness in South Africa*, Vol. 1. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1991. Copyright © 1991 by University of Chicago Press. Reprinted by permission of University of Chicago Press.
- 32 Donald L. Donham, "Epochal Structures I: Reconstructing Historical Materialism," pp. 52–63, 64–70 (with cuts) from *History, Power, Ideology: Central Issues in Marxism and Anthropology*, 2nd edn. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1999. Copyright © 1999 by Donald L. Donham. Reproduced by permission of University of California Press.
- 33 Pierre Bourdieu, "Structures and the Habitus," pp. 72–3, 76–84, 85–7, 214–16 from *Outline of a Theory of Practice*, Richard Nice (trans.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1977. English translation © Cambridge University Press, 1977. Reprinted with permission of Librairie Droz S.A., Genève.
- 34 Michael Lambek, "Body and Mind in Mind, Body and Mind in Body: Some Anthropological Interventions in a Long Conversation," pp. 103–18, 121–3 (with cuts) from Michael Lambek and Andrew Strathern (eds.), *Bodies and Persons: Comparative Perspectives from Africa and Melanesia*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998. Copyright © 1998 by Cambridge University Press. Reprinted with permission of Cambridge University Press.
- 35 Sherry B. Ortner, "So Is Female to Male as Nature Is to Culture?," pp. 173–80, 235, 242–4, 246–8, 250–1 from *Making Gender: The Politics and Erotics of Culture*. Boston: Beacon Press, 1996. Copyright © 1996 by Sherry B. Ortner. Reprinted by permission of Beacon Press, Boston.
- 36 Henrietta L. Moore, "Global Anxieties: Concept-Metaphors and Pre-theoretical Commitments in Anthropology," pp. 72–88 from *Anthropological Theory* 4(1), 2004. Copyright © 2004 by *Anthropological Theory*. Reproduced by permission of Sage Publications Ltd.
- 37 Robert J. Thornton, "The Rhetoric of Ethnographic Holism," pp. 285–6, 288–99, 301–3 from *Cultural Anthropology* 3(3), 1988. Copyright © 1988 by *Cultural Anthropology*. Not for sale or further reproduction. Reprinted by permission of the American Anthropological Association.
- 38 Lila Abu-Lughod, "Writing Against Culture," pp. 137–54, 161–2 from Richard G. Fox (ed.), *Recapturing Anthropology: Working in the Present*. Santa Fe: School of American Research Press, 1991. Copyright © 1991 by the School of American Research Press, Santa Fe, USA. Reproduced by permission of SAR Press.
- 39 Marilyn Strathern, "Cutting the Network," pp. 517–31, 533–5 from *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 2(3), 1996. Copyright © 1996 by *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. Reproduced by permission of John Wiley & Sons UK.

- 40 Nancy Scheper-Hughes, "The Primacy of the Ethical," pp. 425–20, 438–40 from *Current Anthropology* 36(3), 1995. Copyright © 1995 by *Current Anthropology*. Reprinted by permission of University of Chicago Press.
- 41 Roy D'Andrade, "Moral Models in Anthropology," pp. 399–406, 438–40 from *Current Anthropology* 36(3), 1995. Copyright © 1995 by *Current Anthropology*. Reprinted by permission of University of Chicago Press.
- 42 Melford E. Spiro, "Postmodernist Anthropology, Subjectivity, and Science: A Modernist Critique," pp. 759–80 (with cuts) from *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 38(4), 1996. Copyright © 1996 by *Comparative Studies in Society and History*. Reprinted with permission of Cambridge University Press.
- 43 Didier Fassin, "Beyond Good and Evil? Questioning the Anthropological Discomfort with Morals," pp. 333–44 from *Anthropological Theory* 8(4), 2008. Reprinted with permission of SAGE.
- 44 Oyèrónké Oyèwùmí, pp. ix–xiii, 7–9, 10–12, 181, 183–4, 210, 213, 215–20 from *The Invention of Women: Making an African Sense of Gender Discourses*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1997. Copyright © 1997 by the Regents of the University of Minnesota. Reprinted by permission of the University of Minnesota Press.
- 45 Vivek Dhareshwar, "Valorizing the Present: Orientalism, Postcoloniality, and the Human Sciences," pp. 223–31 (with cuts) from *Cultural Dynamics* 10(2), 1998. Copyright © 1998 by *Cultural Dynamics*. Reproduced by permission of SAGE.
- 46 Eduardo Viveiros de Castro, "Cosmological Deixis and Amerindian Perspectivism," pp. 469–88 from *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 4(3), 1998. Copyright © 1998 by *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. Reprinted by permission of John Wiley & Sons UK.
- 47 Stefan Helmreich, "What Was Life? Answers from Three Limit Biologies," pp. 671–96 (excerpts) from *Critical Inquiry* 37(4), 2011. Reprinted with permission of University of Chicago Press.
- 48 Marc Augé, "The Near and the Elsewhere," pp. 7–8, 10–41, 121–2 from *Non-places: Introduction to an Anthropology of Supermodernity*, John Howe (trans.). London: Verso, 1995. Copyright © 1995 by Verso. Reprinted by permission of Verso.
- 49 Bruno Latour, pp. 97–106, 146, 148–53 from *We Have Never Been Modern*, Catherine Porter (trans.). Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1993. Copyright © 1993 by Harvester Wheatsheaf and the President and Fellows of Harvard College.
- 50 Caitlin Zaloom, "How to Read the Future: The Yield Curve, Affect, and Financial Prediction," pp. 243–66 (with cuts) from *Public Culture* 21(2), 2009. Copyright © 2009 Duke University Press. All rights reserved. Republished by permission of the copyright holder, Duke University Press. www.dukeupress.edu
- 51 Webb Keane, "Signs Are Not the Garb of Meaning: On the Social Analysis of Material Things," pp. 181–201 (with cuts) from Daniel Miller (ed.), *Materiality*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2005. Copyright © 2005 Duke University Press. Republished by permission of the copyright holder, Duke University Press. www.dukeupress.edu
- 52 Yael Navaro-Yashin, "Affective Spaces, Melancholic Objects: Ruination and the Production of Anthropological Knowledge," pp. 1–6, 8–18 from *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 15(1), 2009. (This paper has been significantly shortened for use in our book.) Copyright © 2009 by *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. Reproduced by permission of John Wiley & Sons UK.
- 53 Akhil Gupta and James Ferguson, "Beyond 'Culture': Space, Identity, and the Politics of Difference," pp. 6–14, 16–18, 20–3 from *Cultural Anthropology* 7(1), 1992. All rights reserved. Not for sale or further reproduction. Copyright © 1992 by American Anthropological Association. Used by permission.
- 54 George E. Marcus, "What is at Stake – and is not – in the Idea and Practice of Multi-sited Ethnography," pp. 6–14 (with cuts) from *Canberra Anthropology* 22(2), 1999. Reprinted by kind permission of the author.

- 55 Arjun Appadurai, "Grassroots Globalization and the Research Imagination," pp. 1–19 from *Public Culture* 12(1), 2000. Copyright © 2000 by Duke University Press. All rights reserved. Republished by permission of the copyright holder, Duke University Press. www.dukeupress.edu
- 56 John Comaroff, "The End of Anthropology, Again: On the Future of an In/Discipline," pp. 524–32 from *American Anthropologist* 12(4), 2010, pp. 524–32. Reproduced with permission of the American Anthropological Association. Not for sale or further reproduction.
- 57 Pierre Bourdieu, "Participant Objectivation," pp. 281–8, 291–4 from *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 9(2), 2003. Reprinted with permission of John Wiley & Sons UK.
- 58 P. Steven Sangren, "Anthropology of Anthropology? Further Reflections on Reflexivity," pp. 13–16 from *Anthropology Today* 23(4), 2007. Reprinted with permission of John Wiley & Sons UK.
- 59 Gustavo Lins Ribeiro, "World Anthropologies: Cosmopolitics for a New Global Scenario in Anthropology," pp. 363–86 (with cuts) from *Critique of Anthropology* 26(4), 2006. Copyright © 2006. Reprinted with permission of SAGE.
- 60 Douglas R. Holmes and George E. Marcus, "Cultures of Expertise and the Management of Globalization: Toward the Re-functioning of Ethnography," pp. 236–41, 243–6, 248–50 from A. Ong and S. Collier (eds.), *Global Assemblages*. New York: Blackwell, 2004. Reprinted with permission of John Wiley & Sons UK.

Every effort has been made to trace copyright holders and to obtain their permission for the use of copyright material. The publisher apologizes for any errors or omissions in the above list and would be grateful if notified of any corrections that should be incorporated in future reprints or editions of this book.

Contents

Notes on the Editors	x
General Introduction	xi
<i>Henrietta L. Moore and Todd Sanders</i>	
Acknowledgments	xvi
Anthropology and Epistemology	1
<i>Henrietta L. Moore and Todd Sanders</i>	
PART I	19
Section 1 Culture and Behavior	21
1 The Aims of Anthropological Research	22
<i>Franz Boas</i>	
2 The Concept of Culture in Science	32
<i>A. L. Kroeber</i>	
3 Problems and Methods of Approach	37
<i>Gregory Bateson</i>	
4 The Individual and the Pattern of Culture	43
<i>Ruth Benedict</i>	
Section 2 Structure and System	53
5 Rules for the Explanation of Social Facts	54
<i>Emile Durkheim</i>	
6 On Social Structure	64
<i>A. R. Radcliffe-Brown</i>	
7 Introduction to <i>Political Systems of Highland Burma</i>	70
<i>E. R. Leach</i>	
8 Social Structure	78
<i>Claude Lévi-Strauss</i>	

Section 3 Function and Environment	89
9 The Group and the Individual in Functional Analysis <i>Bronislaw Malinowski</i>	90
10 The Concept and Method of Cultural Ecology <i>Julian H. Steward</i>	102
11 Energy and the Evolution of Culture <i>Leslie A. White</i>	109
12 Ecology, Cultural and Noncultural <i>Andrew P. Vayda and Roy A. Rappaport</i>	123
Section 4 Methods and Objects	129
13 Understanding and Explanation in Social Anthropology <i>J. H. M. Beattie</i>	130
14 Anthropological Data and Social Reality <i>Ladislav Holy and Milan Stuchlik</i>	141
15 Objectification Objectified <i>Pierre Bourdieu</i>	151
PART II	163
Section 5 Meanings as Objects of Study	165
16 Thick Description: Toward an Interpretive Theory of Culture <i>Clifford Geertz</i>	166
17 Anthropology and the Analysis of Ideology <i>Talal Asad</i>	173
18 Subjectivity and Cultural Critique <i>Sherry B. Ortner</i>	186
Section 6 Language and Method	191
19 Structural Analysis in Linguistics and in Anthropology <i>Claude Lévi-Strauss</i>	192
20 Ordinary Language and Human Action <i>Malcolm Crick</i>	204
21 Language, Anthropology and Cognitive Science <i>Maurice Bloch</i>	210
Section 7 Cognition, Psychology, and Neuroanthropology	221
22 Towards an Integration of Ethnography, History and the Cognitive Science of Religion <i>Harvey Whitehouse</i>	222
23 Linguistic and Cultural Variables in the Psychology of Numeracy <i>Charles Stafford</i>	226
24 Subjectivity <i>T. M. Luhrmann</i>	231
25 Why the Behavioural Sciences Need the Concept of the Culture-Ready Brain <i>Charles Whitehead</i>	236