

DAVID HUME

A TREATISE
OF
HUMAN NATURE

EDITED, WITH AN ANALYTICAL INDEX BY
L. A. SELBY - BIGGE, M. A.

CHINA SOCIAL SCIENCES PUBLISHING HOUSE
CHENGCHENG BOOKS LTD.

图书在版编目(CIP)数据

人性论:英文/(英)休谟著. -影印本.

-北京:中国社会科学出版社,1999.12

(西学基本经典·哲学类/西学基本经典工作委员会 编)

ISBN 7-5004-2652-6

I.人… II.休… III.休谟,D.(1711~1776)-人性论-著作-英文
IV.①B82-069②B561.291

中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字(1999)第 68397 号

版权总代理:TAO MEDIA INTERNATIONAL

(2790 19th Avenue, Ste. 20, San Francisco, CA 94132 U. S. A)

西学基本经典·哲学类

西学基本经典工作委员会 编

中国社会科学出版社 出版发行

(北京鼓楼西大街甲 158 号 邮编 100720)

E-mail: 5004@Peoplespace.net

诚成图书有限公司制作

中国建筑工业出版社密云印刷厂印刷

新华书店经销

1999 年 12 月第 1 版 1999 年 12 月第 1 次印刷

开本 880×1230 1/32 印张 355.75

哲学类全 22 册 定价:1100.00 元

Reprinted from the English Edition by The Clarendon Press

EDITOR'S PREFACE.



THE length of the Index demands apology or at least justification. An index may serve several purposes. It enables a reader or student to find some definite passage, or to see whether a certain point is discussed or not in the work. For this purpose a long is evidently better than a short index, an index which quotes than one which consists of the compiler's abbreviations, and its alphabetical arrangement gives it an advantage over a table of contents which is hardly secured by placing the table at the end instead of the beginning. But besides this, in the case of a well known and much criticised author, an index may very well serve the purpose of a critical introduction. If well devised it should point, not loudly but unmistakeably, to any contradictions or inconsequences, and, if the work be systematic, to any omissions which are of importance. This is the aim of the index now offered: it undoubtedly is not what it should be, but Hume's Treatise seems to offer an excellent field for an attempt. Hume loses nothing by close and critical reading, and, though his language is often perversely loose, yet it is not always the expression of loose thinking: this index aims at helping the student to see the difference and to fix his attention on the real merits and real deficiencies of the system: it does not aim at saving him the trouble of studying it for himself.

ADVERTISEMENT TO BOOKS I AND II.

MY design in the present work is sufficiently explain'd in the introduction. The reader must only observe, that all the subjects I have there plann'd out to my self, are not treated of in these two volumes. The subjects of the understanding and passions make a compleat chain of reasoning by themselves ; and I was willing to take advantage of this natural division, in order to try the taste of the public. If I have the good fortune to meet with success, I shall proceed to the examination of morals, politics, and criticism ; which will compleat this Treatise of human nature. The approbation of the public I consider as the greatest reward of my labours ; but am determin'd to regard its judgment, whatever it be, as my best instruction.

THE
CONTENTS.

INTRODUCTION	PAGE xvii
------------------------	--------------

BOOK I.

OF THE UNDERSTANDING.

PART I.

Of ideas ; their origin, composition, abstraction, connexion, &c.

SECT.		
I.	Of the origin of our ideas	1
II.	Division of the subject	7
III.	Of the ideas of the memory and imagination	8
IV.	Of the connexion or association of ideas	10
V.	Of relations	13
VI.	Of modes and substances	15
VII.	Of abstract ideas	17

PART II.

Of the ideas of space and time.

I.	Of the infinite divisibility of our ideas of space and time	26
II.	Of the infinite divisibility of space and time	29
III.	Of the other qualities of our ideas of space and time	33
IV.	Objections answer'd	39
V.	The same subject continu'd	53
VI.	Of the idea of existence and of external existence	66

PART III.

Of knowledge and probability.

I.	Of knowledge	69
II.	Of probability ; and of the idea of cause and effect	73
III.	Why a cause is always necessary ?	78

SECT.	PAGE
IV. Of the component parts of our reasonings concerning causes and effects	82
V. Of the impressions of the senses and memory	84
VI. Of the inference from the impression to the idea	86
VII. Of the nature of the idea, or belief	94
VIII. Of the causes of belief	98
IX. Of the effects of other relations, and other habits	106
X. Of the influence of belief	118
XI. Of the probability of chances	124
XII. Of the probability of causes	130
XIII. Of unphilosophical probability	143
XIV. Of the idea of necessary connexion	155
XV. Rules by which to judge of causes and effects	173
XVI. Of the reason of animals	176

PART IV.

Of the sceptical and other systems of philosophy.

I. Of scepticism with regard to reason	180
II. Of scepticism with regard to the senses	187
III. Of the antient philosophy	219
IV. Of the modern philosophy	225
V. Of the immateriality of the soul	232
> VI. Of personal identity	251
VII. Conclusion of this book	263

BOOK II.

OF THE PASSIONS.

PART I.

Of pride and humility.

I. Division of the subject	275
II. Of pride and humility; their objects and causes	277
III. Whence these objects and causes are deriv'd	280
IV. Of the relations of impressions and ideas	282
V. Of the influence of these relations on pride and humility	285

SECT.	PAGE
VI. Limitations of this system	290
VII. Of vice and virtue	294
VIII. Of beauty and deformity	298
IX. Of external advantages and disadvantages	303
X. Of property and riches	309
XI. Of the love of fame	316
XII. Of the pride and humility of animals	324

PART II.

Of love and hatred.

I. Of the objects and causes of love and hatred	329
II. Experiments to confirm this system	332
III. Difficulties solv'd	347
IV. Of the love of relations	351
V. Of our esteem for the rich and powerful	357
VI. Of benevolence and anger	366
VII. Of compassion	368
VIII. Of malice and envy	372
IX. Of the mixture of benevolence and anger with compassion and malice	381
X. Of respect and contempt	389
XI. Of the amorous passion, or love betwixt the sexes	394
XII. Of the love and hatred of animals	397

PART III.

Of the will and direct passions.

I. Of liberty and necessity	399
II. The same subject continu'd	407
III. Of the influencing motives of the will	413
IV. Of the causes of the violent passions	418
V. Of the effects of custom	422
VI. Of the influence of the imagination on the passions	424
VII. Of contiguity and distance in space and time	427
VIII. The same subject continu'd	432
IX. Of the direct passions	438
X. Of curiosity, or the love of truth	448

BOOK III.

OF MORALS.

PART I.

Of virtue and vice in general.

SECT.	PAGE
I. Moral distinctions not deriv'd from reason	455
II. Moral distinctions deriv'd from a moral sense	470

PART II.

Of justice and injustice.

I. Justice, whether a natural or artificial virtue	477
II. Of the origin of justice and property	484
III. Of the rules that determine property	501
IV. Of the transference of property by consent	514
V. Of the obligation of promises	516
VI. Some farther reflections concerning justice and injustice	526
VII. Of the origin of government	534
VIII. Of the source of allegiance	539
IX. Of the measures of allegiance	549
X. Of the objects of allegiance	553
XI. Of the laws of nations	567
XII. Of chastity and modesty	570

PART III.

Of the other virtues and vices.

I. Of the origin of the natural virtues and vices	574
II. Of greatness of mind	592
III. Of goodness and benevolence	602
IV. Of natural abilities	606
V. Some farther reflections concerning the natural virtues	614
VI. Conclusion of this book	618

A

TREATISE OF HUMAN NATURE.



BOOK I.

OF THE UNDERSTANDING.

PART I.

OF IDEAS, THEIR ORIGIN, COMPOSITION, CONNEXION,
ABSTRACTION, &c.

SECTION I.

Of the Origin of our Ideas.

ALL the perceptions of the human mind resolve themselves into two distinct kinds, which I shall call IMPRESSIONS and IDEAS. The difference betwixt these consists in the degrees of force and liveliness with which they strike upon the mind, and make their way into our thought or consciousness. Those perceptions, which enter with most force and violence, we may name *impressions*; and under this name I comprehend all our sensations, passions and emotions, as they make their first appearance in the soul. By *ideas* I mean the faint images of these in thinking and reasoning; such as, for instance, are all the perceptions excited by the present discourse, excepting only, those which arise from the sight and touch, and excepting the immediate pleasure or uneasiness it may occasion. I believe it will not be very necessary to employ many words in explaining this distinction. Every

SECT. I.

—
*Of the
origin of
our ideas.*

B

PART I.

—♦—
*Of ideas,
 their ori-
 gin, com-
 position,
 &c.*

one of himself will readily perceive the difference betwixt feeling and thinking. The common degrees of these are easily distinguished; tho' it is not impossible but in particular instances they may very nearly approach to each other. Thus in sleep, in a fever, in madness, or in any very violent emotions of soul, our ideas may approach to our impressions: As on the other hand it sometimes happens, that our impressions are so faint and low, that we cannot distinguish them from our ideas. But notwithstanding this near resemblance in a few instances, they are in general so very different, that no-one can make a scruple to rank them under distinct heads, and assign to each a peculiar name to mark the difference¹.

There is another division of our perceptions, which it will be convenient to observe, and which extends itself both to our impressions and ideas. This division is into SIMPLE and COMPLEX. Simple perceptions or impressions and ideas are such as admit of no distinction nor separation. The complex are the contrary to these, and may be distinguished into parts. Tho' a particular colour, taste, and smell are qualities all united together in this apple, 'tis easy to perceive they are not the same, but are at least distinguishable from each other.

Having by these divisions given an order and arrangement to our objects, we may now apply ourselves to consider with the more accuracy their qualities and relations. The first circumstance, that strikes my eye, is the great resemblance betwixt our impressions and ideas in every other particular, except their degree of force and vivacity. The one seem to be in a manner the reflexion of the other; so that all the

¹ I here make use of these terms, *impression and idea*, in a sense different from what is usual, and I hope this liberty will be allowed me. Perhaps I rather restore the word, *idea*, to its original sense, from which Mr. *Locke* had perverted it, in making it stand for all our perceptions. By the term of *impression* I would not be understood to express the manner, in which our lively perceptions are produced in the soul, but merely the perceptions themselves; for which there is no particular name either in the *English* or any other language, that I know of.

perceptions of the mind are double, and appear both as impressions and ideas. When I shut my eyes and think of my chamber, the ideas I form are exact representations of the impressions I felt; nor is there any circumstance of the one, which is not to be found in the other. In running over my other perceptions, I find still the same resemblance and representation. Ideas and impressions appear always to correspond to each other. This circumstance seems to me remarkable, and engages my attention for a moment.

SECT. I
—+—
Of the
origin of
our ideas.

Upon a more accurate survey I find I have been carried away too far by the first appearance, and that I must make use of the distinction of perceptions into *simple and complex*, to limit this general decision, *that all our ideas and impressions are resembling*. I observe, that many of our complex ideas never had impressions, that corresponded to them, and that many of our complex impressions never are exactly copied in ideas. I can imagine to myself such a city as the *New Jerusalem*, whose pavement is gold and walls are rubies, tho' I never saw any such. I have seen *Paris*; but shall I affirm I can form such an idea of that city, as will perfectly represent all its streets and houses in their real and just proportions?

I perceive, therefore, that tho' there is in general a great resemblance betwixt our *complex* impressions and ideas, yet the rule is not universally true, that they are exact copies of each other. We may next consider how the case stands with our *simple* perceptions. After the most accurate examination, of which I am capable, I venture to affirm, that the rule here holds without any exception, and that every simple idea has a simple impression, which resembles it; and every simple impression a correspondent idea. That idea of red, which we form in the dark, and that impression, which strikes our eyes in sun-shine, differ only in degree, not in nature. That the case is the same with all our simple impressions and ideas, 'tis impossible to prove by a particular enumeration of them. Every one may satisfy himself

PART I.

—♦—
*Of ideas,
 their ori-
 gin, com-
 position,
 &c.*

in this point by running over as many as he pleases. But if any one should deny this universal resemblance, I know no way of convincing him, but by desiring him to shew a simple impression, that has not a correspondent idea, or a simple idea, that has not a correspondent impression. If he does not answer this challenge, as 'tis certain he cannot, we may from his silence and our own observation establish our conclusion.

Thus we find, that all simple ideas and impressions resemble each other; and as the complex are formed from them, we may affirm in general, that these two species of perception are exactly correspondent. Having discover'd this relation, which requires no farther examination, I am curious to find some other of their qualities. Let us consider how they stand with regard to their existence, and which of the impressions and ideas are causes, and which effects.

The *full* examination of this question is the subject of the present treatise; and therefore we shall here content ourselves with establishing one general proposition, *That all our simple ideas in their first appearance are deriv'd from simple impressions, which are correspondent to them, and which they exactly represent.*

In seeking for phænomena to prove this proposition, I find only those of two kinds; but in each kind the phænomena are obvious, numerous, and conclusive. I first make myself certain, by a new review, of what I have already asserted, that every simple impression is attended with a correspondent idea, and every simple idea with a correspondent impression. From this constant conjunction of resembling perceptions I immediately conclude, that there is a great connexion betwixt our correspondent impressions and ideas, and that the existence of the one has a considerable influence upon that of the other. Such a constant conjunction, in such an infinite number of instances, can never arise from chance; but clearly proves a dependence of the impressions on the ideas, or of the ideas on the

impressions. That I may know on which side this dependence lies, I consider the order of their *first appearance*; and find by constant experience, that the simple impressions always take the precedence of their correspondent ideas, but never appear in the contrary order. To give a child an idea of scarlet or orange, of sweet or bitter, I present the objects, or in other words, convey to him these impressions; but proceed not so absurdly, as to endeavour to produce the impressions by exciting the ideas. Our ideas upon their appearance produce not their correspondent impressions, nor do we perceive any colour, or feel any sensation merely upon thinking of them. On the other hand we find, that any impressions either of the mind or body is constantly followed by an idea, which resembles it, and is only different in the degrees of force and liveliness. The constant conjunction of our resembling perceptions, is a convincing proof, that the one are the causes of the other; and this priority of the impressions is an equal proof, that our impressions are the causes of our ideas, not our ideas of our impressions.

SECT. I

—+—
Of the
origin of
our ideas.

To confirm this I consider another plain and convincing phenomenon; which is, that where-ever by any accident the faculties, which give rise to any impressions, are obstructed in their operations, as when one is born blind or deaf; not only the impressions are lost, but also their correspondent ideas; so that there never appear in the mind the least traces of either of them. Nor is this only true, where the organs of sensation are entirely destroy'd, but likewise where they have never been put in action to produce a particular impression. We cannot form to ourselves a just idea of the taste of a pine-apple, without having actually tasted it.

There is however one contradictory phenomenon, which may prove, that 'tis not absolutely impossible for ideas to go before their correspondent impressions. I believe it will readily be allow'd, that the several distinct ideas of colours, which enter by the eyes, or those of sounds, which are con-

PART I.

—♦—
*Of ideas,
 their ori-
 gin, com-
 position,
 &c.*

vey'd by the hearing, are really different from each other, tho' at the same time resembling. Now if this be true of different colours, it must be no less so of the different shades of the same colour that each of them produces a distinct idea, independent of the rest. For if this shou'd be deny'd, 'tis possible, by the continual gradation of shades, to run a colour insensibly into what is most remote from it; and if you will not allow any of the means to be different, you cannot without absurdity deny the extremes to be the same. Suppose therefore a person to have enjoyed his sight for thirty years, and to have become perfectly well acquainted with colours of all kinds, excepting one particular shade of blue, for instance, which it never has been his fortune to meet with. Let all the different shades of that colour, except that single one, be plac'd before him, descending gradually from the deepest to the lightest; 'tis plain, that he will perceive a blank, where that shade is wanting, and will be sensible, that there is a greater distance in that place betwixt the contiguous colours, than in any other. Now I ask, whether 'tis possible for him, from his own imagination, to supply this deficiency, and raise up to himself the idea of that particular shade, tho' it had never been conveyed to him by his senses? I believe there are few but will be of opinion that he can; and this may serve as a proof, that the simple ideas are not always derived from the correspondent impressions; tho' the instance is so particular and singular, that 'tis scarce worth our observing, and does not merit that for it alone we should alter our general maxim.

But besides this exception, it may not be amiss to remark on this head, that the principle of the priority of impressions to ideas must be understood with another limitation, *viz.* that as our ideas are images of our impressions, so we can form secondary ideas, which are images of the primary; as appears from this very reasoning concerning them. This is not, properly speaking, an exception to the rule so much as an explanation of it. Ideas produce the images of themselves

in new ideas; but as the first ideas are supposed to be derived from impressions, it still remains true, that all our simple ideas proceed either mediately or immediately from their correspondent impressions.

SECT. II.

—♦♦—
*Division of
 the subject.*

This then is the first principle I establish in the science of human nature; nor ought we to despise it because of the simplicity of its appearance. For 'tis remarkable, that the present question concerning the precedency of our impressions or ideas, is the same with what has made so much noise in other terms, when it has been disputed whether there be any *innate ideas*, or whether all ideas be derived from sensation and reflexion. We may observe, that in order to prove the ideas of extension and colour not to be innate, philosophers do nothing but shew, that they are conveyed by our senses. To prove the ideas of passion and desire not to be innate, they observe that we have a preceding experience of these emotions in ourselves. Now if we carefully examine these arguments, we shall find that they prove nothing but that ideas are preceded by other more lively perceptions, from which they are derived, and which they represent. I hope this clear stating of the question will remove all disputes concerning it, and will render this principle of more use in our reasonings, than it seems hitherto to have been.

SECTION II.

Division of the subject.

SINCE it appears, that our simple impressions are prior to their correspondent ideas, and that the exceptions are very rare, method seems to require we should examine our impressions, before we consider our ideas. Impressions may be divided into two kinds, those of SENSATION and those of REFLEXION. The first kind arises in the soul originally, from unknown causes. The second is derived in a great measure from our ideas, and that in the following order. An