

FIAS Interdisciplinary Science Series

*Series Editor:* Walter Greiner

Peter O. Hess  
Mirko Schäfer  
Walter Greiner

# Pseudo-Complex General Relativity



FIAS Frankfurt Institute  
for Advanced Studies



Springer

Peter O. Hess · Mirko Schäfer  
Walter Greiner

# Pseudo-Complex General Relativity

Peter O. Hess  
Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares  
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México  
Mexico City, Distrito Federal  
Mexico

Walter Greiner  
Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies  
University of Frankfurt  
Frankfurt am Main, Hessen  
Germany

Mirko Schäfer  
Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies  
University of Frankfurt  
Frankfurt am Main, Hessen  
Germany

FIAS Interdisciplinary Science Series  
ISBN 978-3-319-25060-1      ISBN 978-3-319-25061-8 (eBook)  
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-25061-8

Library of Congress Control Number: 2015952035

Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London  
© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland is part of Springer Science+Business Media  
([www.springer.com](http://www.springer.com))

# **FIAS Interdisciplinary Science Series**

## **Editor-in-chief**

Walter Greiner, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

## **Editorial Board**

Ernst Bamberg, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Marc Thilo Figge, Jena, Germany

Thomas Haberer, Heidelberg, Germany

Volker Lindenstruth, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Joachim Reinhardt, Frankfurt, Germany

Klaus Schulten, Urbana, USA

Wolf Singer, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Horst Stöcker, Darmstadt, Germany

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/10781>

# Preface

General relativity (GR), one of the most and best checked physical theories of our time, exhibits singularities: The theory predicts that when a sufficient large mass collapses, no known force is able to stop it until all mass is concentrated at a point. The theory also predicts so-called *coordinate singularities*. These are singularities in the metric which vanish after a transformation to different coordinates. For example, when an astronaut falls freely towards a black hole, he will not see anything special, except the gravitational force with its deadly tidal effect. However, a fixed observer at a safe distance will see at a certain distance from the center, the *Schwarzschild radius* for a nonrotating black hole, an event horizon. *No information can reach the observer from places at smaller radial distances!* This is a rather disconcerting observation, telling that part of the space is excluded from the observation by a nearby observer. On the other hand: Why should GR still be valid in extremely strong gravitational fields, as one encounters near the Schwarzschild radius?

This was the reason why two of the authors of this book (P.O. Hess and W. Greiner) started to discuss this point several years ago. We believe that *no acceptable physical theory should have a singularity (!)*, not even a coordinate singularity of the type discussed above! The appearance of a singularity shows the limitations of the theory. In GR this limitation is the strong gravitational force acting near and at a supermassive concentration of a central mass. There are other very successful theories, like the Quantum Electrodynamics (QED), which exhibits singularities, infinities, due to taking into account the very large momenta corresponding to very small distances in space-time. Most of the physicists would agree that any field theory should not apply at very small distances. Methods of regularizing field theories have been developed, giving a recipe how to remove the infinite contribution. But that is what they are: *Recipes!* In 2007 the authors of this book published a new field theory, called *pseudo-complex Field Theory*, where they introduced pseudo-complex variables, which will play an important role in this book. Owing to the extension to pseudo-complex fields and operators, it is shown that the theory is automatically regularized. This is due to the appearance of a

minimal length as a *parameter*. Because it appears only as a parameter, Lorentz transformation does not affect it, thus, *all continuous and discrete symmetries of nature are maintained!* However, due to the extremely small effects and the minimal length, there is no hope to measure the deviations in near future.

This was the reason why we started to look for extreme physical situations, such as strong gravitational fields near a large mass. The first question was: Is there a possibility to avoid the formation of the event horizon? This would mean that the large mass concentrations, for example at the center of galaxies, are still there but these objects are *no black holes!* It will be shown that there is one natural algebraic extension of GR, namely to pseudo-complex (pc) coordinates. We developed the *pseudo-complex General Relativity* (pc-GR) and found several observational effects which can be measured in near future (See Chap. 5 of this book). The *very long baseline interferometry* (VLBI), to which ALMA, the European observatory in the Atacama dessert in Chile belongs, will be able to resolve the central massive objects at the centers of our galaxy and in M87. Thus, as GR, also pc-GR is a testable theory!

This book contains several exercises with explicit and detailed solutions. It is therefore also of interest for students working in GR. Many of the exercises correspond to considerations not published in text books or at least not in detailed form. We therefore are convinced that this book is helpful also for students only starting to work in General Relativity.

The book is divided into seven chapters. In Chap. 1, the necessary basis is led to deal with pc-variables. This chapter is necessary to understand the content from the second chapter and further on. The noninterested reader can skip it but surely he will have to return soon to the first chapter.

Chapter 2 is a central piece of this book, where the pc-GR is introduced and the basic philosophy is discussed. First, a historical overview is given on former attempts to extend GR (which includes Einstein himself), all with distinct motivations. It will be shown that the only possible algebraic extension is to introduce pc-coordinates, otherwise for weak gravitational fields, nonphysical ghost solutions appear. *Thus, the need to use pc-variables.* We will see that the theory contains a minimal length with important consequences. After that, the pc-GR is formulated and compared to the former attempts. A new variational principle is introduced, which requires in the Einstein equations an additional contribution. Alternatively, the standard variational principle can be applied, but one has to introduce a constraint with the same former results. The additional contribution will be associated to vacuum fluctuation, whose dependence on the radial distance can be approximately obtained, using semiclassical quantum mechanics. *The main point is that pc-GR predicts that mass not only curves the space but also changes the vacuum structure of the space itself.* In the following chapters, the minimal length will be set to zero, due to its smallness. Nevertheless, the pc-GR will keep a remnant of the pc-description, namely that the appearance of a term, which we may call “dark energy,” is inevitable.

The first application will be discussed in Chap. 3, namely solutions of central mass distributions. For a nonrotating massive object, it is the pc-Schwarzschild

solution; for a rotating massive object, the pc-Kerr solution; and for a charged massive object, it will be the Reissner–Nordström solution. This chapter serves to become familiar on how to resolve problems in pc-GR and on how to interpret the results. One of the main consequences is that we can eliminate the event horizon and thus, *there will be no black holes!* The huge massive objects in the center of nearly any galaxy and the so-called galactic black holes are within pc-GR still there, but with the absence of an event horizon!

Chapter 4 gives another application of the theory, namely the Robertson–Walker solution, which we use to model different outcomes of the evolution of the universe. New solutions will appear as the limit of constant acceleration, the limit of zero acceleration after a period of a nonzero acceleration. We also discuss the possibility of an oscillating universe, with repeated big bangs, with no need to explain the smoothness of the universe.

The success of a theory depends on the capability to predict new phenomena. Chapter 5 is just dedicated to this purpose. We will see that at a large distance from a large massive object, GR and pc-GR will show no differences. However, near the Schwarzschild radius significant deviations of pc-GR from GR are predicted. The orbital frequency of a particle in a circular orbit and stable orbits in general will be calculated. As a distinct feature, in pc-GR there will be a maximal orbital frequency. We show that above a given spin of the star, there will be no *innermost stable circular orbit* (ISCO) and an accretion disk will reach the surface of the star. This has important consequences for the physics of the accretion disk: It will appear brighter (emit more light) and due to the maximum in the orbital frequency, a dark ring is predicted by pc-GR. Also the redshift will be calculated. This is of great importance: One observes so-called *quasi-periodic oscillations* (QPO) and the redshift of Fe K $\alpha$  lines. Knowing the orbital frequency of a QPO and the redshift, GR and pc-GR get for each observable a radius for the position of the QPO. Both radii, obtained from both observables, should coincide. They do not in GR, but they do in pc-GR! Of course, this depends still on the interpretation of the nature of the QPO and the discussion is still on.

In Chap. 6, neutron stars are discussed and a primitive model for the coupling of mass to the dark energy is proposed. This chapter is of conceptional nature and is meant to show that large masses for neutron stars can be obtained. The jewel of this chapter is the discussion of the so-called *energy conditions*. They are used to see if an ansatz for an energy–momentum tensor, treating for example ideal fluids, makes sense. We found no book or article in the literature where these conditions are treated as extensively as here with detailed solutions. Thus, this chapter serves also for people interested only in the standard theory of GR.

Finally, in Chap. 7, the geometric differential structure of pc-GR is investigated. The motivation for this chapter is to complete the presentation of pc-GR in a rigorous manner. For a noninterested reader of differential geometry, this chapter can be skipped. However, he may find it to be useful, to learn more of this topic. No explicit knowledge of differential geometry is required, because all necessary definitions will be given. This makes this chapter especially useful.



The book appears within the series of the *FIAS Lecture Notes*, which is meant to publish on topics of interdisciplinary interest and new developments. We think that this is an ideal place for resuming all results obtained within pc-GR.

Finally, we would like to express our sincere thanks to all the people who contributed with their help to the realization of this book. We thank Gunther Caspar and Thomas Schönenbach for their contribution to Chaps. 3 and 5, Thomas Boller and Andreas Müller for their contribution to Chap. 5 and Isaac Rodríguez for his contribution to Chap. 6. The Chap. 3 is based mainly on the master theses of Thomas Schönenbach and Gunther Casper, Chap. 5 is on the Ph.D. thesis of Thomas Schönenbach, and Chap. 6 is based on the Ph.D. thesis of Isaac Rodríguez. We acknowledge useful comments by J. Kirsch. We also thank Laura Quist for their patience and logistic help. P.O.H. wants also to acknowledge financial help from DGAPA-PAPIIT (IN100315). M.S. acknowledges the support from Stiftung Polytechnische Gesellschaft.

Mexico City  
Frankfurt am Main  
Frankfurt am Main  
March 2015

Peter O. Hess  
Mirko Schäfer  
Walter Greiner



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Mathematics of Pseudo-complex General Relativity</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Definitions and Properties	1
1.2	Calculus	9
	References	14
<b>2</b>	<b>Pseudo-complex General Relativity</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1	The Attempt by A. Einstein	16
2.2	Caianiello's Observation	17
2.3	Concerns Brought Forward by M. Born	22
2.4	Hermitian Gravity	23
2.5	The Approach in pc-GR	26
2.6	Construction of Pseudo-complex General Relativity	33
2.7	A Modification of the Variational Principle	38
	References	53
<b>3</b>	<b>Solutions for Central Masses: pc-Schwarzschild, pc-Kerr and pc-Reissner-Nordström</b>	<b>55</b>
3.1	Modified Variational Procedure in an 8-dimensional Space	55
3.1.1	Isotropic and Anisotropic Fluids	57
3.2	The pc-Schwarzschild Solution	58
3.3	The pc-Kerr Solution	76
3.4	The pc-Reissner-Nordström Solution	85
	References	91
<b>4</b>	<b>Pseudo-complex Robertson-Walker Metric</b>	<b>93</b>
4.1	Solving the Equations of Motion	102
4.2	Some Consequences	113
4.3	An Oscillating Universe	122
4.3.1	The Adiabatic Expansion and Contraction of the Universe	127
	References	130

<b>5</b>	<b>Observational Verifications of pc-GR</b>	131
5.1	Motion of a Particle in a Circular Orbit: Orbital Frequency and Redshift	133
5.1.1	Radial Dependence of the Angular Frequency and Stable Orbits	133
5.1.2	Redshift for Schwarzschild- and Kerr-Type of Solutions	142
5.1.3	Effective Potentials and Circular Orbits	144
5.1.4	Galactic "Black-Holes" and Observational Verification by pc-GR	152
5.2	Raytracing Method and the Imaging of the Accretion Disk	153
5.2.1	Theoretical Background	154
5.2.2	Predictions	171
5.3	Conclusions	179
	References	180
<b>6</b>	<b>Neutron Stars Within the Pseudo-complex General Relativity</b>	183
6.1	Theoretical Background	185
6.1.1	Interior Region	185
6.1.2	Equation of State for Standard Matter	191
6.1.3	Equation of State for the $\Lambda$ -term	192
6.1.4	Exterior Shell	193
6.1.5	Boundary Conditions	195
6.1.6	Energy Conditions	196
6.2	Numerical Framework, Results and Discussions	203
6.3	Resuming the Results Presented in This Chapter	213
	References	214
<b>7</b>	<b>Pseudo-complex Differential Geometry</b>	217
7.1	A Short Introduction to Differential Geometry	218
7.1.1	Topology	218
7.1.2	Differential Manifolds	219
7.1.3	Vectors and Tensors	220
7.1.4	Metric and Curvature	223
7.2	Pseudo-complex Differential Geometry	231
7.2.1	Pseudo-complex Manifolds as Product Manifolds	231
7.3	Pseudo-complex Tangent and Cotangent Spaces	232
7.3.1	Real Tangent and Cotangent Space of a Pseudo-complex Manifold	232
7.3.2	Pseudo-complexified Tangent and Cotangent Space	234
7.4	Metric	239
7.5	Connection and Curvature	240
7.6	Pseudo-complex General Relativity	242
	References	244
	<b>Index</b>	247

# List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Illustration of the pseudo-complex plane for the variable $X = X_R + IX_I = X_+ \sigma_+ + X_- \sigma_-$ . The <i>horizontal</i> and <i>vertical</i> line correspond to the pseudo-real and pseudo-imaginary axes, respectively. The <i>diagonal lines</i> represent the zero divisor branch . . . . .	4
Figure 3.1	The function $g = \sqrt{-g_{00}}$ , which is proportional to the effective potential with angular momentum equal to zero [9]. The <i>solid line</i> is the Schwarzschild solution and the <i>dashed line</i> the pc-Schwarzschild solution, with $B = \frac{70}{27} m^3$ . The $x$ -axis is given in units of $m$ , i.e., $2m$ corresponds to the Schwarzschild radius. Note, that in the pc-case a repulsive core appears which is responsible for halting the collapse of a large mass . . . . .	75
Figure 4.1	Dependence of the scaled acceleration as a function of $\mathbf{a}_r$ ( <i>solid line</i> ), the radius of the universe, for $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ . In this figure $\Lambda = 3$ . The maximum of this function can be deduced from (4.87), giving $\mathbf{a}_{\max} = (8/\Lambda)^{\frac{2}{3}} = 1.923$ . The maximum can be barely seen in the figure due to the extreme slow decrease of the function . . . . .	115
Figure 4.2	Dependence of the scaled acceleration as a function in $\mathbf{a}_r$ , the radius of the universe, for $\beta = \frac{2}{3}$ . In this figure, the $\Lambda = 4$ . . . . .	116
Figure 5.1	Orbital frequency as a function of $r$ , for stable geodesic prograde <i>circular motion</i> . The value $\omega = 0.219$ , for a mass of 4 million suns of the <i>dark star</i> , corresponds to about 9.4 min for a <i>full circle</i> . The plot is done for parameter values of $a = 0.995 m$ and $B = \frac{64}{27} m^3$ . . . . .	137
Figure 5.2	Orbital frequency as a function of $r$ , for retrograde circular motion. The parameters for this plot are $a = 0.995 m$ and $B = \frac{64}{27} m^3$ . . . . .	137

Figure 5.3	Limits to orbital frequencies of <i>circular motion</i> in the equatorial plane. The frequencies of particles moving on <i>circular orbits</i> must lie between the shown limiting curves. The parameters are $a = 0.995 m$ and $B = \frac{64}{27} m^3$ . (Explanation for gray shades: The <i>upper solid curve</i> corresponds to the limit to prograde rotation in pc-GR and the <i>lower one</i> to standard GR. The <i>upper dashed line</i> corresponds to the limit to retrograde orbits in standard GR while the <i>lower one</i> corresponds to pc-GR). . . . .	141
Figure 5.4	<i>Redshift</i> for an emitter in the equatorial plane at the position $r$ in the outside field of a spherically symmetric, uncharged and static mass (Schwarzschild metric) and also for the field at the equator of a rotating mass. $B$ is set to $\frac{64}{27} m^3$ . . . . .	143
Figure 5.5	<i>Redshift</i> for an emitter at the position $r$ in the outside field of a spherically symmetric, uncharged and rotating mass (Kerr metric) at the poles. The parameter $B$ is again chosen to be $\frac{64}{27} m^3$ . . . . .	144
Figure 5.6	Critical stable orbits for retrograde objects—the parameter $B$ is chosen as $B = \frac{64}{27} m^3$ (For <i>gray shades</i> : The <i>upper (solid) line</i> indicates the last stable orbit in standard GR while the <i>lower (dashed) curve</i> indicates the last stable orbit in pc-GR) . . . . .	150
Figure 5.7	Critical stable orbits for prograde objects (For <i>gray shades</i> : The curves describe from <i>top to bottom</i> : 1 The last stable orbit in standard GR ( <i>solid</i> ), 2 the “last” stable orbit in pc-GR ( <i>dashed</i> ), 3 the “first” stable orbit in pc-GR ( <i>dash-dotted</i> ), 4 the limit to general orbits given by (5.21) ( <i>dotted</i> ) and 5 the point where the pc-equations turn imaginary ( $r = \frac{4}{3} m$ , <i>solid</i> ). In the unshaded area orbits are stable both in GR and pc-GR, whereas in the <i>dark shaded</i> area orbits are only stable in pc-GR. Both <i>lighter shaded</i> areas do not contain stable orbits at all. The plot is done for a value of $B = \frac{64}{27} m^3$ . . . . .	151
Figure 5.8	Angular orbital frequency $\omega$ , in units of $\frac{c}{m}$ , versus the radial distance, in units of $r_g = m$ . The steady increasing curve toward smaller $r$ ( <i>blue curve</i> ) is the result of GR, while the other, with the maximum, is pc-GR. The width corresponds to the insecurity in knowing the mass and the spin of the central object. . . . .	153
Figure 5.9	Illustration of the raytracing technique: Two rays, originating from the accretion disk, are shown. The <i>red line</i> represents a light path which reaches on a geodesic path the observer at infinity, having been distorted by the	

gravitational field. The *blue-dotted light curve* represents a second order effect, where the light makes a near complete turn around the star. The raytracing method follows the ray back, starting from the observer. In each path the conservation laws and the Carter constant are verified, until one reaches a point at the accretion disk. In such a way, only light rays are taken into account which really reaches the observer, which reduces the numerical effort enormously. . . . . 154

Figure 5.10 Schematic illustration of an accretion disk. The flux function is indicated by the *arrows* above and below the disk, showing the direction of emission . . . . . 170

Figure 5.11 Normalized energy of particles on stable prograde *circular orbits*. The pc-parameter  $B$  is set to the critical value of  $(64/27) m^3$ . In the pc-GR case more energy is released as particles move to smaller radii, where the amount of released energy increases significantly in the case where no last stable orbit is present anymore. The lines end at the last stable orbit or at  $r = 1.334 m$ , respectively. **a**  $a = 0.3 m$ . **b**  $a = 0.3 m$  . . . . . 173

Figure 5.12 Shown is the flux function  $f$  from (5.102) and (5.118) for different values of  $a$  (and  $B$ ). If not stated otherwise  $B = (64/27) m^3$  is assumed for the pc-GR case. **a** Flux function  $f$  for varying spin parameter  $a$  and inner edge of the accretion disk. In the standard GR case the ISCO is taken as inner radius, for the pc-GR case see Table 5.1. **b** Dependence of the flux function  $f$  on the inner radius of the disk. . . . . 174

Figure 5.13 Infinite, counter clockwise geometrically thin accretion disk around static and rotating compact objects viewed from an inclination of  $70^\circ$ . The *left panel* shows the original disk model by [33]. The *right panel* shows the modified model, including pc-GR correction terms as described in Sect. 5.2.1.1. Scales change between the images. The first row corresponds to the spin parameter  $a = 0$ , which gives the result for the Schwarzschild case. The second row is for  $a = 0.9 m$  . . . . . 175

Figure 5.14 Several line profiles for different values of the spin parameter  $a$ . **a** pc-GR. **b** Standard GR . . . . . 176

Figure 5.15 Comparison between theories. The plots are done for parameter values  $r = 100 m$  for the outer radius of the disk,  $\vartheta = 40^\circ$  for the inclination angle and  $\alpha = 3$  for the

	power law parameter. The inner radius of the disks is determined by the ISCO and thus varies for varying $a$ .	
	<b>a</b> $a = 0.0m$ . <b>b</b> $a = 0.3m$ . . . . .	177
Figure 5.16	Comparison between theories. The plots are done for parameter values $r = 100m$ for the outer radius of the disk, $\vartheta = 40^\circ$ for the inclination angle and $\alpha = 3$ for the power law parameter. The inner radius of the disks is determined by the ISCO and thus varies for varying $a$ .	
	<b>a</b> $a = 0.6m$ . <b>b</b> $a = 0.9m$ . . . . .	178
Figure 5.17	Comparison between theories for different values for the spin parameter. The plot is done for parameter values $r = 100m$ for the outer radius of the disk, $\vartheta = 40^\circ$ for the inclination angle and $\alpha = 3$ for the power law parameter. The inner radius of the disks is determined by the ISCO . . .	179
Figure 6.1	Equation of State for star matter resulting for the standard matter component. Also shown are the EoS for $p = \varepsilon$ and $p = \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon$ . . . . .	193
Figure 6.2	Baryonic energy density profile for different values of the coefficient $\alpha$ . The central $\Lambda$ -term pressure $p_{\Lambda c}$ has been fixed to $1\varepsilon_0$ . . . . .	206
Figure 6.3	Baryonic pressure profile for different values of the coefficient $\alpha$ . The central $\Lambda$ -term pressure $p_{\Lambda c}$ has been fixed to $1\varepsilon_0$ . . . . .	206
Figure 6.4	Baryonic total mass versus total radius for different values of the coefficient $\alpha$ . The central $\Lambda$ -term pressure $p_{\Lambda c}$ has been fixed to $1\varepsilon_0$ . . . . .	207
Figure 6.5	Baryonic total mass versus central baryonic energy density for different values of the coefficient $\alpha$ . The central $\Lambda$ -term pressure $p_{\Lambda c}$ has been fixed to $1\varepsilon_0$ . . . . .	208
Figure 6.6	Baryonic compactness versus total radius for different values of the coefficient $\alpha$ . The central $\Lambda$ -term pressure $p_{\Lambda c}$ has been fixed to $1\varepsilon_0$ . . . . .	208
Figure 6.7	$\Lambda$ -term energy density profiles for different values of the coefficient $\alpha$ . The central $\Lambda$ -term pressure $p_{\Lambda c}$ has been fixed to $1\varepsilon_0$ . The parameter $B_m$ has been chosen (Model A). . . . .	210
Figure 6.8	Zoom from Fig. 6.7 (Model A) . . . . .	210
Figure 6.9	$\Lambda$ -term radial and tangential pressure profiles for $\alpha = -0.5$ . The central $\Lambda$ -term pressure $p_{\Lambda c}$ has been fixed to $1\varepsilon_0$ . The parameter $B_m$ has been chosen (Model A). . . . .	211



Figure 6.10	Radial metric coefficients profiles for different values of the coefficient $\alpha$ . The central $\Lambda$ -term pressure $p_{\Lambda c}$ has been fixed to $1\varepsilon_0$ . The parameter $B_m$ has been chosen (Model A). . . . .	211
Figure 6.11	$\Lambda$ -term energy density profiles for different values of the coefficient $\alpha$ . The central $\Lambda$ -term pressure $p_{\Lambda c}$ has been fixed to $1\varepsilon_0$ . The parameter $B_m$ has been chosen (Model B). . . . .	612
Figure 6.12	$\Lambda$ -term radial and tangential pressure profiles for $\alpha = -0.5$ . The central $\Lambda$ -term pressure $p_{\Lambda c}$ has been fixed to $1\varepsilon_0$ . The parameter $B_m$ has been chosen (Model B). . . . .	213