ANDREAS RECKWITZ

INVENTION



CREATIVITY

'Whether you think you are creative or not, you should read on. In carefully dissecting the social and historical constitution of this concept, Andreas Reckwitz provides a compelling account of how creativity has become a defining feature of contemporary society.'

Elizabeth Shove, Lancaster University

'Reckwitz's *The Invention of Creativity* is not a "creative industries" book. It is instead a sociology that addresses not so much the aestheticization of society as the societalization of the aesthetic – of the pervasion of what Reckwitz, with Foucault, calls the aesthetic dispositif. Neither a dismissal nor a celebration, the book is instead a genealogy of creativity – of how *homo economicus* has metamorphosed into *homo aestheticus*.'

Scott Lash, Goldsmiths, University of London

Contemporary society has seen an unprecedented rise in both the demand and the desire to be creative, to bring something new into the world. Once the reserve of artistic subcultures, creativity has now become a universal model for culture and an imperative in many parts of society.

In this new book, cultural sociologist Andreas Reckwitz investigates how the ideal of creativity has grown into a major social force, from the art of the avant-garde and postmodernism to the 'creative industries' and the innovation economy, the psychology of creativity and self-growth, the media representation of creative stars, and the urban design of 'creative cities'. Where creativity is often assumed to be a force for good, Reckwitz looks critically at how this imperative has developed from the 1970s to the present day. Though we may well perceive creativity as the realization of some natural and innate potential within us, it has rather to be understood within the structures of a very specific culture of the new in late modern society.

The Invention of Creativity is a bold and refreshing counter to conventional wisdom that shows how our age is defined by radical and restrictive processes of social aestheticization. It will be of great interest to those working in a variety of disciplines, from cultural and social theory to art history and aesthetics.

Andreas Reckwitz is Professor of Cultural Sociology at the European University Viadrina, Frankfurt an der Oder.

Cover design: &&& Creative Ltd, www.andandandcreative.com Printed in Great Britain





polity

# The Invention of Creativity

Modern Society and the Culture of the New

Andreas Reckwitz

Translated by Steven Black

First published in German as Die Erfindung der Kreativität. Zum Prozess gesellschaftlicher Ästhetisierung © Suhrkamp Verlag, Berlin, 2012

This English edition © Polity Press, 2017

The translation of this work was funded by Geisteswissenschaften International – Translation Funding for Humanities and Social Sciences from Germany, a joint initiative of the Fritz Thyssen Foundation, the German Federal Foreign Office, the collecting society VG WORT and the Börsenverein des Deutschen Buchhandels (German Publishers & Booksellers Association).

Polity Press 65 Bridge Street Cambridge CB2 1UR, UK

Polity Press 350 Main Street Malden, MA 02148, USA

All rights reserved. Except for the quotation of short passages for the purpose of criticism and review, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publisher.

ISBN-13: 978-0-7456-9703-1 ISBN-13: 978-0-7456-9704-8 (pb)

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Reckwitz, Andreas, author.

Title: The invention of creativity: modern society and the culture of the new / Andreas Reckwitz.

Description: Malden, MA: Polity, 2017. | Includes bibliographical references and index. Identifiers: LCCN 2016043495 (print) | LCCN 2016057624 (ebook) | ISBN

9780745697031 (hardback) | ISBN 9780745697048 (paperback) | ISBN 9780745697062 (Mobi) | ISBN 9780745697079 (Epub)

Subjects: LCSH: Cultural industries. | Creative ability. | Social sciences—Philosophy. | BISAC: SOCIAL SCIENCE / Sociology / General.

Classification: LCC HD9999.C9472 R43 2017 (print) | LCC HD9999.C9472 (ebook) | DDC 338.4/77–dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2016043495

Typeset in 10.5 on 12 pt Sabon by Toppan Best-set Premedia Limited Printed and bound in the UK by CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon

The publisher has used its best endeavours to ensure that the URLs for external websites referred to in this book are correct and active at the time of going to press. However, the publisher has no responsibility for the websites and can make no guarantee that a site will remain live or that the content is or will remain appropriate.

Every effort has been made to trace all copyright holders, but if any have been inadvertently overlooked the publisher will be pleased to include any necessary credits in any subsequent reprint or edition.

For further information on Polity, visit our website: politybooks.com

## The Invention of Creativity



#### Preface to the English Edition

The first German edition of this book appeared in 2012. This English translation provides the occasion to re-evaluate the book within the context of the Anglophone discussion around creativity and society that has been emerging since the beginning of the new millennium. An aspect of this re-evaluation is the question as to what degree the book reflects a specifically German context.

The role of creativity as a cultural blueprint and an economic factor in the formation of late modern society first emerged as an object of inquiry around 2000, particularly in Great Britain, North America and Australia, where it has remained prominent in discussion in sociology as well as in broader intellectual and political discourse. Two of these contexts are of particular note here. First, a mainly academic but also popular discourse has been taken up on the relevance of 'creativity' to the economic prosperity of contemporary societies, regions and cities and the emergence of a 'creative class' of producers and consumers. This discussion has also carried over into political consulting and urban planning.1 Second, research areas have developed in sociology and cultural studies dealing with the so-called creative industries, which have been spearheading this economic and social transformation. These industries encompass the audio-visual, print and digital media, as well as the arts and crafts, film, design, music, architecture and advertising. The abundant research in this field has been concerned mostly with the detailed analysis of creative labour and the cultural markets, the structural transformation of consumption and the global spread of the creative industries. It has also

critically studied the increasingly global phenomenon of the state subsidising of the creative industries.<sup>2</sup>

The Invention of Creativity takes a step back from these sociological and economic analyses of the creative economy of the present and takes in a more historical and theoretical view of society as a whole. The book regards today's creative industries as the tip of a much bigger iceberg, which conceals below the surface a more fundamental and historically far-reaching transformation of modern Western society. The main claim of the book is the following: late modern society has been fundamentally transformed by the expectation and desire to be creative. What is meant here by creativity is the capacity to generate cultural and aesthetic novelty. Modern society has become geared to the constant production and reception of the culturally new. This applies to the economy, the arts, lifestyle, the self, the media, and urban development. We are witnessing the crystallization of what I have called a *creativity dispositif*, which is increasingly determining the shape of late modern society.

The term dispositif signals a certain influence coming from Michel Foucault. The book undertakes a genealogical analysis. I reach from the present back into the past, through the twentieth century as far back as the late eighteenth. Creativity is taken not as a given but, rather, as an enigma, as sexuality was for Foucault. How did creativity come to be accepted as a desirable norm? In which heterogeneous complexes of practices and discourses has the dispositif of creativity gradually been developing? The genealogical approach avoids economic reductionism. The economy is certainly one of the main places where the culture of the new develops – a complex I refer to in the book as aesthetic capitalism. Yet the scope of the creativity dispositif extends beyond that of the economy. It also takes in the internal dynamics of media technologies and the human sciences, above all psychology, with its techniques of the self. Since the 1980s, the dispositif has also been propped up by state control in the form of what I have called cultural governmentality, urban planning being among the most conspicuous examples of this. Yet political reductionism must also be avoided. As such, the study undertaken here is not orthodoxly Foucauldian. It is concerned less with revealing the creativity dispositif as a new system of domination than it is with working out the internal dynamics and the internal contradictions of what can be called the society of creativity. For my line of argument, the following point is crucial: in modern culture, the orientation towards creativity began in romanticism in the marginalized niche of the arts. Ever since, it has been spreading to more and more parts of society. Sociology therefore has to take the field of the arts more seriously than it did in the past. The arts do not merely watch from the sidelines; instead, they are a structural blueprint for late modern society as a whole.

In this process, the tension between an anti-institutional *desire* for creativity and the institutionalized *demand* for creativity has continued to mount to the present day and has now become acute. For this reason, it is important to take seriously the affective dimension of the creativity dispositif, the importance of aesthetic practices in contemporary society, the existence of what I have termed *aesthetic sociality*, and the way the dispositif directs audiences' sensuous, affective attention. These aspects have been left underexposed by the tradition following Foucault. Yet they need to be brought to light if we are to be able to take up a critical stance towards the society of creativity.

But we have still not answered the question 'Is the book informed by a specifically German perspective or not?' While it was being written, the question did not occur to me, but it comes up now as the book is presented to an Anglophone readership. I completed part of my studies in Great Britain in the 1990s, and my approach has since then been strongly influenced by the international, Anglophone and also Francophone discussion in social theory and cultural sociology. Moreover, the creativity dispositif embraces a diversity of phenomena that have assumed international dimensions, having become a major force shaping society, whether in London, New York, Copenhagen, Amsterdam, Melbourne or Berlin. This internationalism tends to mute the specifically German accent of the book altogether. Nevertheless, there remains a certain German timbre which likely has three main sources.

First, German sociology is strongly characterized by a fundamental interest in theorizing *modernity*. This stretches from Max Weber and Georg Simmel to Jürgen Habermas's *The Philosophical Discourse of Modernity* and Ulrich Beck's work on the society of risk. The theory of modernity is also a key concern of the present book. A characteristic of this tradition is that it equates modernity a priori not with capitalism but rather with what it calls 'formal rationalization' and 'social differentiation'. Underlying the book is a re-engagement with these two concepts: the late modern creativity dispositif pushes modernity's optimization imperative to the limit, while at the same time it is driven by a deeply anti-rational affectivity. Further, the sociality of creativity is manifest in a broad spectrum of heterogeneous, occasionally autonomous social spheres ranging from the arts to economics, human sciences and the mass media. In each case it manifests in different ways, yet always as part of the one overall structure.

Second, the discourse of the aesthetic in philosophy and the humanities, or Geisteswissenschaften, has also influenced the book. Since Kant and Schiller, German philosophy has been intensely concerned with the aesthetic as an autonomous sphere of social practice. More importantly, the interdisciplinary humanities in the German-speaking world since the 1980s have gone outside the narrow confines of these idealist aesthetics to bring to light the social importance of aesthetic practices and their mediality, as well as the way in which they structure perception and feeling, thus recognizing the power of aestheticization in late or postmodernity.<sup>3</sup> Noteworthy in this context is also the prominence of German media theory. These newer branches of interdisciplinary German humanities (as distinct from what is generally understood in English as Cultural Studies) have significantly influenced the book's account of the creativity dispositif as a specific manifestation of aestheticization. One effect of this influence has been the reframing of the question of the relation between social modernity and aesthetic modernity.

The third German-language context from which the book originated is more difficult to outline because it is strictly contemporary. Since the mid-2000s, the members of a new generation of German social and cultural theorists have been working independently of one another to produce a series of studies adopting a new approach to the critical examination of late modern society and culture. Just as there has emerged since 2000 a new 'Berlin school' in German film, undertaking a uniquely sociological, microscopic inspection of the complexity of contemporary life,4 so too are emerging the contours of a 'new German critical analysis' in social and cultural theory, taking a macroscopic view of late modern culture. Ulrich Bröckling's The Entrepreneurial Self, Hartmut Rosa's Social Acceleration and Joseph Vogl's The Specter of Capital can be counted among this movement. I see The Invention of Creativity as also situated within it. These books are certainly distinct from one another thematically and methodologically. Yet they share in common an interest in critically penetrating to the deep structure of late modern culture and society, a task requiring an historically and theoretically informed optics. In the wake of the global financial crisis of the late 2000s, Germany has been increasingly pushed into a political and economic leadership role at the centre of Europe, a role it assumes with reluctance and hesitation. It is perhaps no coincidence that at about the same time German intellectuals began embarking on a fundamental meditation of the crises and contradictions of Western late modernity as a whole.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank Steven Black for his precise and sensitive translation. I am also indebted to Daniel Felscher for his assistance in sourcing the biographical details and quotations. Finally, my thanks go to *Geisteswissenschaften International*, without the generous support of which this publication would not have been possible.

Berlin, summer 2016

We could paint a picture...No, it's been done before....We could do a sculpture too. Oh! But a clay or a bronze one?...But I get the impression that's been done before...We could even kill ourselves, but even that's been done before...Well, I thought that we could create an action without getting involved in it. Nooo. That's been done....How about saying something?...Been done. To sell something right away, before you do it...That's been done. Done? And could we sell it again? That's been done, too. Done already? Twice?...

Grupa Azorro, Everything Has Been Done I, 2003 (Courtesy of Raster Gallery, Warsaw)



## Contents

Pr	eface to the English Edition	Vi
Introduction: The Inevitability of Creativity		1
1.	Aestheticization and the Creativity Dispositif: The Social Regime of Aesthetic Novelty	9
2.	Artistic Creation, the Genius and the Audience: The Formation of the Modern Artistic Field	33
3.	Centrifugal Art: Dissolving the Boundaries of Art Practices	57
4.	The Rise of the Aesthetic Economy: Permanent Innovation, Creative Industries and the Design Economy	85
5.	The Psychological Turn in Creativity: From the Pathological Genius to the Normalization of the Self as Resource	127
6.	The Genesis of the Star System: The Mass-Media Construction of Expressive Individuality	154
7.	Creative Cities: Culturalizing Urban Life	173
8.	Society of Creativity: Structures, Dissonance, Alternatives	201
Notes		236
Index		292

#### Introduction

### The Inevitability of Creativity

If there is a desire in contemporary society that defies comprehension, it is the desire not to be creative. It is a desire that guides individuals and institutions equally. To be *incapable* of creativity is a problematic failing, but one that can be overcome with patient training. But not to want to be creative, consciously to leave creative potential unused and to avoid creatively bringing about new things, that would seem an absurd disposition, just as it would have seemed absurd not to want to be moral or normal or autonomous in other times. Must not any individual, any institution, indeed the whole of society, strive towards the kind of creative self-transformation for which they would seem, by their very nature, to be predestined?

The extraordinary importance attributed to creativity as an individual and social phenomenon in our time is illustrated by Richard Florida's programmatic text The Rise of the Creative Class (2002). According to Florida, the main transformation that occurred in Western societies between the end of the Second World War and the present day is more cultural than technological. This transformation has been ongoing since the 1970s and consists in the emergence and spread of a 'creative ethos'. The bearer of this creative ethos is a new, rapidly spreading and culturally dominant professional group, the 'creative class', busy involved in producing ideas and symbols, working in fields ranging from advertising to software development, from design to consulting and tourism. In Florida's account, creativity is not restricted to private self-expression. In the last three decades, it has become a ubiquitous economic demand in the worlds of labour and the professions.

Florida's study is far from being a neutral account. Instead, it endeavours to promote the very phenomenon it is discussing. Consequently, his view is selective. Nevertheless, there is much evidence to indicate that the normative model of creativity, accompanied by corresponding practices aimed at harnessing institutionally those apparently fleeting bursts of creative energy, has been entering the heart of Western culture since the 1980s at the latest and is now stubbornly occupying it.<sup>2</sup> In late modern times, creativity embraces a duality of the *wish* to be creative and the *imperative* to be creative, subjective desire and social expectations. We *want* to be creative and we *ought* to be creative.

What does creativity mean in this context? At first glance, creativity has two significations. First, it refers to the potential and the act of producing something dynamically new. Creativity privileges the new over the old, divergence over the standard, otherness over sameness. This production of novelty is thought of not as an act occurring once only but, rather, as something that happens again and again over a longer period of time. Second, the topos of creativity harks back to the modern figure of the artist, the artistic and the aesthetic in general.<sup>3</sup> In this sense, creativity is more than purely technical innovation. It is also the capacity to receive sensuous and affective stimulation from a new, human-made object. Aesthetic novelty is associated with vitality and the joy of experimentation, and its maker is pictured as a creative self along the lines of the artist. Creative novelty does not merely fulfil a function, like mere useful technological invention; it is instead perceived, experienced and enjoyed in its own right both by the observer and by the person who brought it about.

From a sociological viewpoint, creativity is not simply a superficial semantic phenomenon but, rather, a crucial organizing principle of Western societies over the last thirty years or so. This development was initially most noticeable at the economic and technical heart of capitalist societies in the sphere of labour and the professions. What will be referred to here as contemporary 'aesthetic capitalism' is based, in its most advanced form, on forms of work that have long since moved beyond the familiar model of the routine activities performed by labourers and office workers, with their standardized, matter-offact ways of engaging with objects and people. These older forms of labour have been replaced by work activities that demand the constant production of new things, in particular of signs and symbols – texts, images, communication, procedures, aesthetic objects, body modifications – for a consumer public in search of originality and surprise. This applies to the media and design, education and consultation, fashion and architecture. Consumer culture has generated a desire