

# *Understanding*

## **THE LAW OF ZONING AND LAND USE CONTROLS**

THIRD EDITION



Barlow Burke



**LexisNexis®**

# UNDERSTANDING THE LAW OF ZONING AND LAND USE CONTROLS

---

Third Edition

**Barlow Burke**

*Professor of Law*

*American University*



LexisNexis®

ISBN: 978-0-7698-6377-1  
eBook ISBN: 978-0-3271-8528-4

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

Burke, D. Barlow, 1941-

Understanding the law of zoning and land use controls / Barlow Burke, Professor of Law, American University. -- Third edition.

pages cm.

Includes index.

ISBN 978-0-7698-6377-1

1. Zoning law--United States. 2. Land use--Law and legislation--United States. I. Title.

KF5698.B87 2013

346.7304'5--dc23

2013025877

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender and the Matthew Bender Flame Design are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2013 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

**NOTE TO USERS**

To ensure that you are using the latest materials available in this area, please be sure to periodically check the LexisNexis Law School web site for downloadable updates and supplements at [www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool](http://www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool).

Editorial Offices  
121 Chanlon Rd., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800  
201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200  
[www.lexisnexis.com](http://www.lexisnexis.com)

MATTHEW BENDER

## The *Understanding* Series

Many professors recommend these concise yet comprehensive *Understanding* treatises to students for additional insight. Titles available (print and eBook versions) include:

Civil Procedure	Jewish Law
Civil Rights Litigation	Juvenile Law
Conflict of Laws	Labor Law
Constitutional Law	Law of Zoning and Land Use Controls
Contracts	The Law of Terrorism
Copyright Law	Lawyers' Ethics
Corporate Law	Local Government
Corporate Taxation	Modern Real Estate Transactions
Criminal Law	Negotiable Instruments & Payment Systems
Criminal Procedure: Investigation	Nonprofit and Tax Exempt Organizations
Criminal Procedure: Adjudication	Partnership and LLC Taxation
Disability Law	Patent Law
Employment Discrimination	Products Liability Law
Employment Law	Property Law
Environmental Law	Remedies
Evidence	Sales and Leases of Goods
Family Law	Secured Transactions
Federal Courts and Jurisdiction	Securities Law
Federal Income Taxation	Torts
The First Amendment	Trademark Law
Immigration Law	Trusts and Estates
Insurance Law	White Collar Crime

### New *Understanding* Series titles forthcoming:

ADR

Animal Law

California Community Property Law

Election Law

Employee Benefits

Federal and California Evidence

Understanding titles are available in print and eBook versions. Visit the LexisNexis Store ([www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool-store](http://www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool-store)), campus bookstores, Amazon.com, VitalSource® (<http://store.vitalsource.com>), and other online campus bookstore sellers, including Barnes & Noble ([www.barnesandnoble.com](http://www.barnesandnoble.com)) to order any of these titles.

# UNDERSTANDING THE LAW OF ZONING AND LAND USE CONTROLS

# LexisNexis Law School Publishing Advisory Board

---

## **Paul Caron**

*Charles Hartsock Professor of Law*  
University of Cincinnati College of Law

## **Olympia Duhart**

*Associate Professor of Law*  
Nova Southeastern University, Shepard Broad Law School

## **Samuel Estreicher**

*Dwight D. Opperman Professor of Law*  
*Director, Center for Labor and Employment Law*  
NYU School of Law

## **Steve Friedland**

*Professor of Law*  
Elon University School of Law

## **Joan Heminway**

*College of Law Distinguished Professor of Law*  
University of Tennessee College of Law

## **Edward Imwinkelried**

*Edward L. Barrett, Jr. Professor of Law*  
UC Davis School of Law

## **Paul Marcus**

*Haynes Professor of Law*  
William and Mary Law School

## **John Sprankling**

*Distinguished Professor of Law*  
McGeorge School of Law

## **Melissa Weresh**

*Director of Legal Writing and Professor of Law*  
Drake University Law School

# PREFACE

---

Zoning, land use, and environmental regulation was one of my first professional interests. My students in any course I taught in the early 1970s, can attest to this. Trained as a city planner, I have long been familiar with the literature and discipline of land use planning. Yet, I came to know that the field is a crowded one. A brief survey of the legal periodicals will confirm that land use and takings law is a tough area in which to write and not be preempted by the annual out-pouring of writing, both from law professors and students. Aside from a law review article published to gain myself a promotion, I went elsewhere to find subjects for my writing, all the while teaching and thinking about this subject. I am happy now to return to it in print.

Not only is the field a crowded one, but it is also jurisdiction-specific. The practice of land use law does not travel well across state lines, and few practices are even state wide in scope. The opinions of state Supreme Courts reflect this. It takes time to learn what does travel and what is worth learning in law school about this subject. I'm glad I waited.

This book is the result of that wait. It is written for two types of readers. First, there is the first-year law student whose professor presents the law of zoning, land use controls, and regulations, in the context of the required course on real property. It is also rich enough in detail to appeal to a more advanced student in an upper-level elective course or seminar on the same subject, although this reader can be selective because she will have less difficulty understanding the constitutional and administrative framework for land use controls. For neither type of reader is this book intended as a treatise. I have always tried to include and discuss leading cases, but otherwise citations are kept to a representative-case minimum.

First, I set out the constitutional framework for land use regulation in a discussion of the takings clause, with a summary of the salient constitutional rules as the discussion proceeds and at the end of this part of the book. The United States Supreme Court opinions on the takings clause present a jurisprudence that will provoke useful and lively discussion in class about the make-up of the Court, and its short and long range purposes in deciding these cases. They provide fascinating material for Court watchers. The land use bar provides experts more sensitive to the nuances of these opinions than your constitutional law professor is likely to be. The discussions of these opinions here are intended to give you a background to participate in further analysis of these cases and a context in which to set the Supreme Court's future land use opinions.

Next appears a discussion of the basic form of land use controls — Euclidian zoning. Once the basic form of a zoning ordinance is summarized and presented, the text discusses more complex forms of land use regulation — so-called non-Euclidian regulations; these require an attorney to exercise increasing amounts of administrative and professional discretion and involve negotiation with municipal officials. Along the way, these chapters present and discuss basic problems, as well as problems based on the United States Supreme Court opinions that relate to these types of land use regulations.

Administrative and legislative relief from land use controls is the bread and butter of a land use practice. This discussion proceeds in that way, and from the straight-forward to the discretionary. Distinguishing a client's need for a variance, special exception, rezoning, or other administrative actions, is often the first task of an attorney presented

---

## PREFACE

with a land use problem. It is basic and imperative that an attorney understand the uses to which each can be put, separately and in combination, and not waste a client's time and money pursuing the wrong one.

Finally, halting further regulation of a client's property by pursuing vested rights and estoppel is the last task of the attorney discussed in the body of the book.

In writing this book, I owe three debts. First, I owe much to the students and research assistants who have read it over the years. They are Esten Goldsmith, Patricia Hammes, Sean Fleming, Julie Richmond, Stephanie Quaranta, and Erika Gaspar.

Second, as my friend and co-teacher for more than two decades, John J. Delaney, of the Maryland firm of Linowes & Blocher, has unstintingly provided me with a wealth of knowledge of, enthusiasm for, and professionalism of the highest caliber in land use practice as he has seen it evolve during the four decades of his own law practice. This book, *donum indignum*, is dedicated to John.

Third, and more recently, Phil J. Tierney has added to it with the insights of a state administrative law judge and hearing examiner with a special interest, enthusiasm, and expertise in land use.

To John, Phil, and now in Phil's place, Stephen Orens, all distinguished attorneys, I, my students, and this book owe much.

Barlow Burke  
Washington, D.C.  
April 2013

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

<b>Part 1</b>	<b>FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPTS: THE POLICE POWER, TAKINGS, AND ZONING .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter 1</b>	<b>THE POLICE POWER .....</b>	<b>3</b>
§ 1.01	DILLON'S RULE .....	6
<b>Chapter 2</b>	<b>THE TAKINGS CLAUSE AND ITS PUBLIC USE REQUIREMENT .....</b>	<b>13</b>
§ 2.01	THE TAKINGS CLAUSE .....	13
§ 2.02	THE PUBLIC USE REQUIREMENT .....	16
[A]	The <i>Berman</i> Case .....	18
[B]	The <i>Midkiff</i> Case .....	19
[1]	Later Developments .....	21
[C]	The <i>Poletown</i> Case .....	22
[1]	Industrial Parks and Mining .....	24
[D]	The <i>Oakland Raiders</i> Case .....	24
[E]	<i>Kelo v. City of New London</i> .....	25
[1]	The Decision .....	25
[2]	Reaction to <i>Kelo</i> .....	29
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>TYPES OF TAKINGS — PHYSICAL AND REGULATORY TAKINGS .....</b>	<b>31</b>
§ 3.01	PHYSICAL TAKINGS .....	31
[A]	Criticism and Response .....	33
[B]	Citations to Other Cases in <i>Loretto</i> .....	34
[C]	Personal Property and the <i>Loretto</i> Rule .....	34
[D]	The Aftermath of the Case .....	35
[E]	Easements .....	36
§ 3.02	YEE LIMITS ON LORETTO'S CATEGORICAL RULES .....	36
[A]	<i>Loretto</i> and <i>Yee</i> Combined .....	38
§ 3.03	REGULATORY TAKINGS .....	38
[A]	Early Nuisance Abatement Cases .....	39
§ 3.04	<i>PENNSYLVANIA COAL CO. v. MAHON</i> .....	40
[A]	The Facts .....	40
[B]	Diminution in Value .....	41
[C]	Balancing Public Benefits against Private Injuries .....	41
[1]	The Property .....	43
[2]	Reciprocity of Advantage .....	44

---

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

[3]	A Regulation that “Goes Too Far” . . . . .	45
[D]	Takings Jurisprudence . . . . .	45
[E]	The Natural State Exception . . . . .	46
[F]	The Police Power, Nuisances, and <i>Mahon</i> . . . . .	47
[G]	Holmes and the <i>Euclid</i> Case . . . . .	47
§ 3.05	<i>KEYSTONE BITUMINOUS COAL ASSOCIATION v. DEBENEDICTIS</i> . . . . .	48
[A]	Distinguishing <i>Mahon</i> . . . . .	48
[B]	Narrowing <i>Mahon</i> . . . . .	49
§ 3.06	CONCLUSION . . . . .	50
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>THE EVOLUTION OF REGULATORY TAKINGS</b> . . . . .	<b>53</b>
§ 4.01	THE FACTS IN <i>PENN CENTRAL</i> . . . . .	53
[A]	The <i>Penn Central</i> Test . . . . .	54
[1]	Investment-Backed Expectations . . . . .	55
[2]	Two Applications of IBEs . . . . .	56
[3]	IBEs and <i>Palazzolo v. Rhode Island</i> . . . . .	57
§ 4.02	JUSTICE BRENNAN’S <i>PENN CENTRAL</i> MAJORITY OPINION . . . . .	58
[A]	The Restatement Section . . . . .	58
[B]	The Refutation Section . . . . .	58
[C]	The “As Applied” Section . . . . .	59
[D]	TDRs . . . . .	60
§ 4.03	THE HOLDINGS . . . . .	61
§ 4.04	THE DISSENTING OPINION . . . . .	62
§ 4.05	THE AFTERMATH . . . . .	62
§ 4.06	THE AGINS TEST . . . . .	63
[A]	The Uses of <i>Agins</i> . . . . .	64
[B]	The Aftermath of <i>Agins</i> . . . . .	65
[C]	Using <i>Penn Central</i> and <i>Agins</i> . . . . .	66
§ 4.07	THE JUST COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT . . . . .	66
[A]	Computation Methods . . . . .	67
§ 4.08	SUMMARY OF THE LAW OF DUE PROCESS AND TAKINGS . . . . .	67
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>TEMPORARY REGULATORY TAKINGS</b> . . . . .	<b>69</b>
§ 5.01	BACKGROUND . . . . .	69
§ 5.02	<i>FIRST ENGLISH EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH OF GLENDALE v. LOS ANGELES COUNTY</i> . . . . .	70
[A]	The Facts . . . . .	70
[B]	The Holding . . . . .	71
[C]	The Dissent . . . . .	73
[D]	Effects of <i>First English</i> on Other Supreme Court Cases . . . . .	73
[E]	The Exception for Normal Administrative Delay . . . . .	74

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 5.03	FIRST ENGLISH AND MORATORIA .....	75
§ 5.04	DETERMINING THE TIME OF THE TEMPORARY TAKING .....	75
§ 5.05	MEASURING REGULATORY DAMAGES .....	77
§ 5.06	OFF-SETTING THE TAKINGS AWARD .....	78
§ 5.07	THE EFFECTS OF FIRST ENGLISH ON ZONING ADMINISTRATION .....	79
§ 5.08	RIPENESS AND REGULATORY TAKINGS .....	80
§ 5.09	SECTION 1983 ACTIONS .....	80
§ 5.10	FIRST ENGLISH ON REMAND .....	81
§ 5.11	GOVERNMENTAL CONFUSION AND BAD FAITH .....	82
§ 5.12	STATE TAKINGS LEGISLATION .....	83
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>THE STRUCTURE OF ZONING: THE EUCLID CASE . .</b>	<b>85</b>
§ 6.01	INTRODUCTION .....	85
§ 6.02	VILLAGE OF EUCLID v. AMBLER REALTY COMPANY .....	87
§ 6.03	A POST-EUCLID PRESUMPTION OF VALIDITY .....	92
§ 6.04	SOME BACKGROUND ON EUCLID .....	92
§ 6.05	A PRESUMPTION + A RATIONAL BASIS = DEFERENCE .....	93
§ 6.06	THE REMEDY .....	93
§ 6.07	THE DISTRICT COURT OPINION IN EUCLID .....	94
<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>EUCLIDIAN LAND-USE CONTROLS AND NON- CONFORMING USES .....</b>	<b>97</b>
§ 7.01	ZONING AND PLANNING .....	97
§ 7.02	ACCESSORY USES .....	102
§ 7.03	NON-CONFORMING USES .....	106
[A]	Amortization .....	110
[B]	The Natural Expansion Doctrine .....	113
[C]	Changes in Use .....	114
<b>Chapter 8</b>	<b>AESTHETICS AND ZONING .....</b>	<b>117</b>
§ 8.01	THE POLICE POWER AND AESTHETICS .....	117
§ 8.02	HISTORIC DISTRICTS .....	120
§ 8.03	PRESERVATION OF HISTORIC LANDMARKS .....	122
[A]	Landmark Interior Regulation .....	124
[B]	Landmark Designation Procedures .....	125
§ 8.04	AESTHETICS AND INCENTIVE ZONING .....	126
§ 8.05	AESTHETICS AND BILLBOARDS .....	127
[A]	How Can Cities Like San Diego Respond to <i>Metromedia</i> ? .....	128
§ 8.06	LOW-INCOME NEIGHBORHOODS AND BILLBOARDS .....	131

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 8.07	A BAN ON BILLBOARDS .....	131
<b>Chapter 9</b>	<b>MORATORIA AND GROWTH CONTROLS .....</b>	<b>133</b>
§ 9.01	MORATORIA .....	133
[A]	Statutory Prohibitions on Moratoria .....	136
§ 9.02	INTERIM ZONING CONTROLS .....	136
§ 9.03	GROWTH CONTROLS .....	137
§ 9.04	THE RAMAPO PLAN .....	139
[A]	Summary .....	141
[B]	Open Issues .....	142
[C]	Creating Sounder Ramapo Plans .....	142
[D]	Development Options .....	145
§ 9.05	CONCURRENCY .....	146
§ 9.06	LARGE LOTS AND GROWTH CONTROLS .....	146
§ 9.07	THE PETALUMA PLAN .....	147
[A]	Challenging a Petaluma-Like Ordinance .....	149
§ 9.08	GROWTH CONTROLS AND UTILITIES .....	150
§ 9.09	INTER-GOVERNMENTAL GROWTH CONTROL COOPERATION ..	152
§ 9.10	GROWTH CAPS .....	152
§ 9.11	URBAN BOUNDARIES .....	154
<b>Part 2</b>	<b>THE ZONING FORMS OF ACTION .....</b>	<b>155</b>
<b>Chapter 10</b>	<b>ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF FROM ZONING ORDINANCES .....</b>	<b>157</b>
§ 10.01	DEFINITIONS AND INTRODUCTION .....	157
§ 10.02	THE VARIANCE .....	158
[A]	Two Types of Variances .....	160
[1]	Use Variances .....	160
[a]	Differences in Analysis between Use and Area Variance .....	161
[2]	Hybrid Variances .....	161
[B]	Variances and Takings Law .....	162
[C]	Proof of a Hardship .....	163
[D]	The Burden of Proof and Substantial Evidence .....	164
[E]	Two Statutes Compared .....	165
[F]	Variances as an Administrative Remedy .....	167
[G]	The Board and Legislative Judgments .....	168
[H]	Variances and Social Benefits .....	169
[I]	Variance Conditions and Remedies .....	169
[J]	The Self-Created Hardship .....	170
[K]	Variances from Special Exceptions .....	172

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 10.03	SEEKING A SPECIAL EXCEPTION .....	172
[A]	Generalized Mop-Up Criteria for Special Exceptions .....	176
[1]	“No Adverse Impact” Criteria .....	177
[2]	Specific and General Criteria .....	177
[B]	Legislative Review of Special Exceptions .....	178
[C]	Special Exceptions with Variances Attached .....	178
[D]	Special Exceptions and Non-Conforming Uses .....	179
[E]	Procedural Due Process .....	179
§ 10.04	ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS .....	180
<b>Chapter 11</b>	<b>SEEKING A REZONING .....</b>	<b>183</b>
§ 11.01	INTRODUCTION .....	183
§ 11.02	TWIN PRESUMPTIONS .....	183
§ 11.03	TESTS FOR THE VALIDITY OF A REZONING .....	184
§ 11.04	SPOT ZONING .....	185
§ 11.05	REZONING OR SPECIAL EXCEPTION? .....	187
§ 11.06	GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS IN REZONING: A SUMMARY .....	187
§ 11.07	EVIDENCE NEEDED FOR A REZONING .....	188
§ 11.08	THE CHANGE/MISTAKE RULE .....	188
§ 11.09	PLANNING STUDIES AND DOCUMENTS AS JUSTIFYING A REZONING .....	189
§ 11.10	INDIVIDUAL VS. CUMULATIVE CHANGE .....	190
§ 11.11	INVALID REASONS FOR A REZONING .....	190
§ 11.12	DRAFTING A COMPLAINT TO CHALLENGE A REZONING AMENDMENT .....	191
§ 11.13	REZONING “IN ACCORD WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN” AND ENVIRONMENTAL LAW .....	191
§ 11.14	THE FASANO DOCTRINE .....	193
[A]	The <i>Fasano</i> Doctrine in Other States .....	195
[B]	Some Open Issues .....	197
§ 11.15	DISTINGUISHING MUNICIPAL LEGISLATIVE FROM ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS .....	197
§ 11.16	REMEDIES FOR A DENIAL OF REZONING .....	198
§ 11.17	THE NEED FOR UNIFORMITY IN THE STANDARD OF REVIEW .....	199
<b>Chapter 12</b>	<b>NON-EUCLIDEAN REZONING: ADMINISTRATIVE FLEXIBILITY IN ZONING .....</b>	<b>201</b>
§ 12.01	CONTRACT ZONING .....	201
§ 12.02	CONDITIONAL ZONING .....	202
[A]	Testing Conditional Zoning .....	204
[B]	The U.S. Supreme Court and Conditional Zoning .....	205

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

[C]	Enabling Act Authority .....	206
§ 12.03	FLOATING ZONES .....	207
§ 12.04	PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENTS .....	208
[A]	The Standard of Review for PUD Approvals .....	211
[B]	The <i>Fasano</i> Rule .....	212
[C]	Challenges to PUD Ordinances .....	213
[D]	Staged PUDs .....	215
§ 12.05	TRANSFERABLE DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS .....	215
[A]	TDR Documents .....	217
<b>Chapter 13</b>	<b>JUDICIAL REVIEW OF ZONING ACTIONS .....</b>	<b>219</b>
§ 13.01	SOME PROCEDURAL PROBLEMS .....	219
[A]	Standing .....	219
[B]	“Aggrieved Party” Status .....	221
[C]	Standing of Neighborhood Citizens’ Associations .....	223
[D]	A BZA’s Standing to Appeal .....	224
[E]	Standing in Federal Civil Rights/Zoning Litigation .....	225
[1]	Round One .....	225
[2]	Round Two .....	226
§ 13.02	EXHAUSTION OF REMEDIES .....	227
§ 13.03	RIPENESS .....	228
[A]	United States Supreme Court Opinions on Ripeness .....	229
[B]	Premature Takings Claims .....	230
[C]	Open Questions .....	232
§ 13.04	SECTION 1983 FEDERAL DUE PROCESS ACTIONS .....	232
<b>Chapter 14</b>	<b>SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS, IMPACT FEES, LINKAGE FEES, AND EXACCTIONS .....</b>	<b>235</b>
§ 14.01	URBAN FORMS .....	235
§ 14.02	THE SUBDIVISION PROCESS .....	236
§ 14.03	THE SUBSTANCE OF REGULATION .....	239
§ 14.04	VESTING AN ENTITLEMENT TO APPROVAL .....	241
§ 14.05	THE MATTER OF THIRD PARTY LIABILITY .....	242
§ 14.06	THE EXTENT OF REGULATION .....	243
§ 14.07	THE TIMING OF REGULATION .....	243
§ 14.08	EXACCTIONS OF LAND AND MONEY .....	244
§ 14.09	OVERLAPPING JURISDICTIONS .....	244
§ 14.10	PREMATURE SUBDIVISION OF LAND .....	245
§ 14.11	THE PLAT MAP .....	245
§ 14.12	THE MERGER OF PARCELS .....	246
§ 14.13	TESTS FOR VALIDATING SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS .....	246

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 14.14	ENFORCEMENT OF SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS .....	249
§ 14.15	SUMMARY OF SUBDIVISION FEE RATIONALES .....	249
§ 14.16	IMPACT FEES .....	251
§ 14.17	LINKAGE FEES .....	254
§ 14.18	OFFICIAL MAPS AS AN AID IN SUBDIVISION REGULATION .....	255
§ 14.19	STATE SUBDIVISION STATUTES .....	257
§ 14.20	DEVELOPMENT EXACTIONS AND TAKINGS .....	257
[A]	<i>Nollan v. California Coastal Commission</i> .....	258
[1]	The Facts .....	258
[2]	The <i>Loretto</i> Citation .....	259
[3]	Locating This Holding Amid Prior Takings Cases .....	260
[4]	<i>Nollan's</i> Impact on Subdivision Regulations .....	261
[5]	Other Possible Impacts of <i>Nollan</i> .....	262
[6]	<i>Nollan</i> as Precedent .....	262
[7]	Two Later Supreme Court Discussions of <i>Nollan</i> .....	264
[B]	<i>Dolan v. City of Tigard</i> .....	265
[1]	The Facts .....	265
[2]	The <i>Dolan</i> Majority .....	265
[3]	Three Implications of <i>Dolan</i> .....	267
[4]	Testing the Limits of <i>Dolan</i> .....	268
[5]	<i>Dolan</i> and Subdivision Exactions .....	269
[6]	Planning and Statistics after <i>Dolan</i> .....	269
[7]	The Doctrine of Unconstitutional Conditions .....	270
[8]	Impact Fees and <i>Dolan</i> .....	271
[9]	A Later Limitation .....	274
§ 14.21	SUMMARY .....	274

---

Part 3	ECONOMIC DISCRIMINATION AND ZONING .....	277
--------	--	-----

Chapter 15	EXCLUSIONARY ZONING .....	279
§ 15.01	INTRODUCTION .....	279
§ 15.02	EXCLUSIONARY ZONING DEFINED .....	279
§ 15.03	THE BASIS FOR THIS DOCTRINE .....	281
§ 15.04	JUDICIAL REMEDIES .....	281
§ 15.05	THE <i>MOUNT LAUREL</i> LITIGATION .....	282
[A]	Stage One .....	282
[B]	Stage Two .....	284
[1]	Stages One and Two Compared .....	285
[2]	Two Litigation-Related Tactical Considerations .....	286
[3]	Some Political Tactics .....	286
[C]	Stage Three .....	287

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

[1]	Some Legislative History .....	287
[2]	COAH Proceedings .....	288
[3]	Administrative Response to the Act .....	289
[4]	How Successful is the <i>Mount Laurel</i> Doctrine? .....	289
§ 15.06	FAIR SHARE HOUSING DOCTRINES IN OTHER STATES .....	290
[A]	<i>Britton v. Town of Chester</i> .....	290
§ 15.07	INCLUSIONARY ZONING .....	292
§ 15.08	APPEALS OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING PERMIT DENIALS .....	294
§ 15.09	CONCLUSION .....	297
<b>Chapter 16</b>	<b>CIVIL RIGHTS AND EXCLUSIONARY ZONING .....</b>	<b>299</b>
§ 16.01	INTRODUCTION .....	299
§ 16.02	CONSTITUTIONAL CLAIMS .....	299
§ 16.03	FEDERAL FAIR HOUSING ACT CLAIMS .....	301
[A]	Two Applications of the <i>Arlington Heights II</i> Four-Prong Test .....	302
[B]	<i>Huntington Branch, NAACP v. Town of Huntington</i> .....	303
[1]	Applying <i>Huntington Branch</i> .....	305
[C]	Rezoning Remedies .....	305
§ 16.04	DISCRIMINATION AGAINST THE HANDICAPPED .....	305
§ 16.05	CONCLUSIONS .....	308
§ 16.06	THE FAIR HOUSING ACT AND PRIVATE COVENANTS .....	308
§ 16.07	FHA VIOLATIONS MUST BE DEVELOPMENT BASED .....	309
§ 16.08	CIVIL RIGHTS AND ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE .....	309
<b>Part 4</b>	<b>WETLANDS AND BEACHES .....</b>	<b>311</b>
<b>Chapter 17</b>	<b>PROTECTION OF SENSITIVE LANDS .....</b>	<b>313</b>
§ 17.01	WETLANDS .....	313
[A]	Defining Wetlands .....	314
[B]	State Regulation .....	315
[C]	Federal Regulation .....	317
[1]	Corps Jurisdiction and State Programs .....	319
§ 17.02	THE PUBLIC TRUST .....	320
§ 17.03	BEACHES .....	321
§ 17.04	TOTAL TAKINGS .....	321
[A]	The Facts .....	321
[B]	The State Supreme Court Opinion .....	322
[C]	The United States Supreme Court — The Majority Opinion .....	322
[1]	<i>Lucas and Penn Central</i> .....	323
[2]	The Nuisance Cases .....	323
[3]	Total Taking Analysis .....	324

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

[4]	<i>Euclid</i> and <i>Lucas</i> . . . . .	326
[5]	“Background” Law in State Courts . . . . .	328
[6]	What <i>Lucas</i> Does Not Decide . . . . .	329
[a]	Footnote 7 . . . . .	329
[b]	Discrete Rights . . . . .	330
[c]	Worthless or Unsuitable? . . . . .	331
§ 17.05	TAKINGS CLAIMS — <i>PER SE</i> AND BALANCING CASES . . . . .	331
§ 17.06	FEDERALISM AND CATEGORICAL TAKINGS . . . . .	332
<b>Part 5</b>	<b>REGULATING THE USER, NOT THE USE . . . . .</b>	<b>335</b>
<b>Chapter 18</b>	<b>“FAMILY” AND GROUP HOMES . . . . .</b>	<b>337</b>
§ 18.01	DEFINING A “FAMILY” IN A ZONING ORDINANCE . . . . .	337
§ 18.02	A BETTER DEFINITION . . . . .	341
§ 18.03	GROUP HOMES AND OTHER “FAMILIES” . . . . .	342
§ 18.04	GROUPS HOMES FOR THE MENTALLY DISABLED AND THE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE . . . . .	343
[A]	Determining the Level of Review . . . . .	344
[B]	Reviewing the Ordinance . . . . .	344
[C]	The Line Between <i>Boraas</i> and <i>Cleburne</i> . . . . .	346
<b>Chapter 19</b>	<b>THE FIRST AMENDMENT AND ZONING . . . . .</b>	<b>349</b>
§ 19.01	INTRODUCTION . . . . .	349
§ 19.02	SEX BUSINESSES . . . . .	349
[A]	<i>Renton v. Playtime Theatres</i> . . . . .	350
[B]	Content-Neutral Ordinances . . . . .	353
[1]	The Governmental Interest and Content Neutrality . . . . .	353
[2]	Accessibility . . . . .	354
[C]	<i>City of Los Angeles v. Alameda Books</i> . . . . .	357
§ 19.03	BILLBOARD REGULATION . . . . .	359
§ 19.04	ZONING AND RELIGION . . . . .	361
[A]	Churches and Landmarks . . . . .	363
<b>Chapter 20</b>	<b>DEMOCRACY AND ZONING: THE PLACE OF THE REFERENDUM . . . . .</b>	<b>365</b>
§ 20.01	INTRODUCTION . . . . .	365
§ 20.02	<i>EASTLAKE v. FORREST CITY ENTERPRISES</i> . . . . .	365
[A]	Reconciling <i>Eastlake</i> with Equal Protection Cases . . . . .	367
[B]	Legislative vs. Judicial Decisions . . . . .	368
[C]	Legislative vs. Administrative Decisions . . . . .	368