THE LEGAL PROFESSION:

Responsibility And Regulation

Geoffrey C. Hazard, Jr. Deborah L. Rhode



THE LEGAL PROFESSION:

Responsibility and Regulation

GEOFFREY C. HAZARD, JR.

Nathan Baker Professor of Law, Yale Law School

and

DEBORAH L. RHODE Professor of Law, Stanford Law School

Mineola, New York
THE FOUNDATION PRESS, INC.
1985

COPYRIGHT © 1985 By THE FOUNDATION PRESS, INC. All rights reserved Printed in the United States of America

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Main entry under title: The Legal profession.

(University casebook series)
Includes bibliographies.

1. Legal ethics—United States. I. Hazard,
Geoffrey C. II. Rhode, Deborah L. III. Series.
KF306.A4L44 1985 174'.3'0973 84–28615

ISBN 0-88277-219-8

H. & R. Legal Profession UCB

THE LEGAL PROFESSION:

Responsibility and Regulation

Introduction	Page 1
PART I. HISTORICAL AND SOCIOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVE ON PROFESSIONAL REGULATION	S
 I. The Attributes of a Profession: Three Views of the Cathedral Introduction A. A. Berle, Jr., "Legal Profession and Legal Education," in 9 Encyclopaedia of the Social Sciences (1933) Louis D. Brandeis, "The Opportunity in the Law," in Business: A Profession (1913) Magali Larson, The Rise of Professionalism (1977) 	2 2 4 13 20
 II. The Growth of the Legal Profession	28 28 29 31 31 37 42
A. A Demographic Profile Julie Taylor, Demographics of the American Legal Profession (1983) Barbara A. Curran, "The Legal Profession of the 1980's: Selected Statistics From the 1984 Lawyer Statistical Report" (June 9, 1984) B. The Organization of Practice Introduction John H. Heinz and Edward O. Lauman, Chicago Lawyers: The Social Structure of the Bar (1982)	48 48 48 51 53 53

III.	The	Jerome E. Carlin, Lawyers on Their Own (1962) Joel F. Handler, The Lawyer and His Community: The	
		Practicing Bar in a Middle-Sized City (1967) Robert L. Nelson, "Practice and Privilege: Social Change and the Structure of Large Firms," 1981 American Bar	59
		Foundation Research Journal 97 John H. Heinz and Edward O. Lauman, Chicago Lawyers:	62
		The Social Structure of the Bar (1982)	66
		Notes	68
IV.		fessional Associations and Professional Autonomy	73
	A.	An Historical Framework	73
		James W. Hurst, The Growth of American Law (1950)	73
		Jerold Auerbach, Unequal Justice: Lawyers and Social Change in Modern America (1976)	76
		Emile Durkheim, Professional Ethics and Civil Morals	1.0
		(1957)	80
		C.F. Taeusch, "Professional Ethics," in 12 Encyclopaedia of the Social Sciences (1934)	84
	B.	The Rationale for Codes of Conduct and Regulatory Autonomy: The Stated Purpose and the Revisionist Responses	87
		Introduction	87
		Roscoe Pound, The Lawyer From Antiquity to Modern Times (1953)	89
		Report of the Committee on [the] Code of Professional Ethics, 1906 American Bar Association Reports 600	90
		American Bar Association Model Code of Professional Responsibility, Preamble (1981)	94
		American Bar Association, Model Rules of Professional Conduct, Preamble (1983)	95
		John Ladd, "The Quest for a Code of Professional Ethics: An Intellectual and Moral Confusion," in R. Chalk, M. Frankel and S. Chafer, <i>Professional Ethics Activities</i>	
		in the Scientific and Engineering Societies (AAAS Professional Ethics Projects, Dec. 1980)	98
		Deborah L. Rhode, "Why the ABA Bothers: A Functional Perspective on Professional Codes," 59 Texas Law Re-	100
		view (1981)	102
		Professionals Are Closing the Open Society (1980)	105

PART II. PROFESSIONAL ROLES

			Page
I.	Intr	oduction: The Premises of Partisanship	109
	Intr	oduction	109
	Will	iam K. Frankena, Ethics (2d ed.1973)	112
		ofessional Responsibility: Report of the Joint Conference,"	
	44	A American Bar Association Journal 1159 (1958)	119
II.	The	Criminal Defense Paradigm	126
		roe H. Freedman, "Professional Responsibility of the	
	C	riminal Defense Lawyer: The Three Hardest Questions,"	
		Michigan Law Review 1469 (1966)	
		L. Fuller, "The Adversary System," in H. Berman, ed.,	
		alks on American Law (1961)	136
		Griffiths, "Ideology in Criminal Procedure or a Third	
		fodel' of the Criminal Process," 79 Yale Law Journal 359	197
		970)	
III.	The	Paradigm Extended: Advocacy in Civil Contexts	
	A.	Role-Differentiated Morality	142
		Richard Wasserstrom, "Lawyers as Professionals: Some	
		Moral Issues," 5 Human Rights 1 (1975)	142
		Deborah L. Rhode, "Ethical Perspectives on Legal Prac-	150
		tice," 37 Stanford Law Review (1985)	150
		Erwin Chemerinsky, "Protecting Lawyers From Their Profession: Redefining the Lawyer's Role," 5 Journal	
		of the Legal Profession 31 (1980)	159
		Gerald J. Postema, "Moral Responsibility in Professional	100
		Ethics," 55 New York University Law Review 63 (1980)	161
		Notes	
	B.	Conduct in Litigation	172
		Introduction	172
		William H. Simon, "The Ideology of Advocacy," 1978	
		Wisconsin Law Review 30	172
		Marvin E. Frankel, "The Search for Truth: An Umpireal	
		View," 123 University of Pennsylvania Law Review	170
		1031 (1975)	
	C	Notes The Use and Abuse of Pretrial Procedures	
	C.	Marvin E. Frankel, Partisan Justice 17-18 (1980)	
		marvin E. Frankei, Furtisum Justice 11-10 (1900)	191

III.		e Paradigm Extended: Advocacy in Civil Contexts—Con-	Giant Control
	t	inued Wayne D. Brazil, "Civil Discovery: How Bad Are the Problems?" 67 American Bar Association Journal	
		450 (1981)	
		Notes	
	D.	Negotiation	198
		Alvin B. Rubin, "A Causerie on Lawyers' Ethics in Negotiation," 35 Louisiana Law Review, 577 (1975)	198
		Steven D. Pepe, "Standards of Legal Negotiations: Interim Report for ABA Commission on Evaluation of Professional Standards and ABA House of Delegates	
		(1983)	206
		James J. White, "Machiavelli and the Bar: Ethical Limitations on Lying in Negotiation," 1980 American Bar	
		Foundation Research Journal 926	208
		Geoffrey C. Hazard, Jr., "The Lawyer's Obligation to Be	
		Trustworthy When Dealing With Opposing Parties," 33	
		South Carolina Law Review 181 (1981)	213
		Charles Fried, "The Lawyer as Friend: The Moral Founda-	
		tions of the Lawyer-Client Relationship," 85 Yale Law Journal 1060 (1976)	917
		Notes	
	E.	The Lawyer as Counselor	
		1. The Corporate Lawyer's Decisionmaking Authority and	
		Ethical Responsibility	232
		Geoffrey C. Hazard, Jr., Ethics in the Practice of Law	
		(1978)	232
		Deborah L. Rhode, "Ethical Perspectives on Legal	000
		Practice," 37 Stanford Law Review (1985)	230
		Analysis: An Essay on the Relevance of Moral Phi-	
		losophy to Bureaucratic Theory," in K. Sayre, ed.,	
		Values in the Electric Power Industry (1977)	237
IV.	Mul	tiple Interests	217
Ι V .		roduction	
	A.	The Lawyer for the Situation	
		Linda J. Silberman, "Professional Responsibility Problems of Divorce Mediation," 7 Family Law Reporter, Febru-	-10
		ary 17, 1981, at 4001	248
		Eric Schnapper, "Legal Ethics and the Government Law- yer," 32 The Record 649 (1977)	
		•	

IV.	Mu	ltiple Interests—Continued Geoffrey C. Hazard, Jr., Ethics in the Practice of Law (1978)	
		Derrick A. Bell, Jr., "Serving Two Masters: Integration Ideals and Client Interests in School Desegregation Litigation," 85 Yale Law Journal 470 (1976)	
		Deborah L. Rhode, "Class Conflicts in Class Actions," 34 Standford Law Review 1183 (1982)	266
	В.	Lawyer-Client Conflicts	275
		Abraham S. Blumberg, "The Practice of Law as a Confidence Game," 1 Law and Society Review, June 1967, at 15	275
		Douglas E. Rosenthal, Lawyer and Client: Who's in Charge? (1974)	
		Richard Wasserstrom, "Lawyers as Professionals: Some Moral Issues," 5 Human Rights 1 (1975)	280
	P	ART III. THE DELIVERY OF LEGAL SERVICES	
I.	Reg	rulating the Market	284
	A.	Solicitation	284
		Jerold Auerbach, Unequal Justice: Lawyers and Social Change in Modern America (1976)	284
		Philip Shuchman, "Ethics and Legal Ethics: The Propriety of the Canons as a Group Moral Code," 37 George Washington Law Review 244 (1968)	287
		Notes	289
	B.	Advertising	293
		Barbara A. Curran and Francis Spalding, The Legal Needs of the Public (Preliminary Report of a National Sur- vey by the ABA Special Committee to Survey Legal Needs) (1974)	909
		Barbara A. Curran, The Legal Needs of the Public: The	293
		Final Report of a National Survey (1977)	294
		Geoffrey C. Hazard, Jr., Russell G. Pearce, and Jeffrey W. Stempel, "Why Lawyers Should Be Allowed to Advertise: A Market Analysis of Legal Services," 58 New York University Law Review 1084 (1984)	
	C.	Lay Competition	
		Milton S. Friedman, Capitalism and Freedom (1962)	

I.	Reg	Regulating the Market—Continued Deborah L. Rhode, "Policing the Professional Monopoly: A Constitutional and Empirical Analysis of Unauthorized Practice Prohibitions," 34 Stanford Law Review 1 (1981)	Page
		Thomas Ehrlich and Murray L. Schwartz, Reducing The Costs of Legal Services: Possible Approaches by the Federal Government (A Report to the Subcommittee on Representation of Citizen Interests of the Senate Com- mittee on the Judiciary), 93d Congress, 2d Session (1974)	317
II.	The	Distribution and Redistribution of Legal Services	322
	Intr	oduction	322
	A.	The Nature of "The Problem:" Too Many Lawyers or Too Little Justice?	
		Derek C. Bok, "A Flawed System of Law Practice and Training," 33 Journal of Legal Education 570 (1983)	323
		Marc Galanter, "Reading the Landscape of Disputes: What We Know and Don't Know (and Think We Know) About Our Allegedly Contentious and Litigious Society," 31 U.C.L.A. Law Review 4 (1983)	327
	B.	An Overview of Possible Prescriptions	341
		Introduction	341
		1. Reducing the Costs and Increasing Access to the Legal System	342
		Thomas Ehrlich and Murray L. Schwartz, Reducing the Costs of Legal Services: Possible Approaches by the Federal Government (A Report to the Subcommittee on Representation of Citizen Interests of the Senate Committee on the Judiciary), 93d Congress, 2d Session (1974)	342
		Richard L. Abel, "Delegalization: A Critical Review of Its Ideology, Manifestations, and Social Conse- quences," In E. Blankenburg, E. Klausa, and H. Rottleuthner, eds., Alternative Rechtsformen und Alternativen zum Recht, 6 Jahrbuch für Rechtsso- ziologie und Rechtstheorie (1979)	347
		Frank E. A. Sander, "Varieties of Dispute Processing," 70 Federal Rules Decisions 111 (1976)	349
		Notes	353

II. The Distribution and Redistribution of Legal Services—Continued	n
	355 355
Deborah L. Rhode, "Why the ABA Bothers: A Functional Perspective on Professional Codes," 59 Texas	
Law Review 689 (1981)	360
Introduction————————————————————————————————————	
Legal Services Corporation Act of 1974, § 1001, 88 Stat. 378 (1974) (codified at 42 U.S.C. § 2996)	
Legal Services Corporation, 1976 Annual Report (1976) Gary Bellow, "Turning Solutions Into Problems: The	
Legal Aid Experience," 34 N.L.A.D.A. Briefcase, August 1977 at 106	371
PART IV. MAINTAINING PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS	
Introduction	
I. Legal Education Robert Stevens, "Two Cheers for 1870: The American Law School," in 5 Perspectives in American History (D. Fleming	
& B. Bailyn eds. 1971)	
Duncan Kennedy, Legal Education and the Reproduction of Hierarchy (1983)	
Richard Wasserstrom, "Legal Education and the Good Law- yer," 34 Journal of Legal Education (1984)	403
II. Admission to the Bar	
Introduction David M. White, "The Definition of Legal Competence: Will the Circle Be Unbroken?" 18 Santa Clara Law	
Review 641 (1978)	408

II.		Page
	B. Character	
III.	Policing Professional Conduct Introduction American Bar Association Committee on Evaluation of Disciplinary Enforcement, "Problems and Recommendations in	423
	Disciplinary Enforcement—Final Draft" (1970) Eric H. Steele and Raymond T. Nimmer, "Lawyers, Clients and Professional Regulation," 1976 American Bar Foundation	
	Research Journal, 917	
	689 (1981)Susan R. Martyn, "Lawyer Competence and Lawyer Discipline:	435
	Beyond the Bar?" 69 Georgetown Law Journal 705 (1981) William Pfennigstorf, "Types and Causes of Lawyers' Professional Liability Claims: The Search for Facts," 1980 Ameri-	
	can Bar Foundation Research Journal 253	444
	William H. Gates, "The Newest Data on Lawyers' Malpractice Claims" 70 American Bar Association Journal 79 (1984)	
	Douglas E. Rosenthal, "Evaluating the Competence of Law- yers," 11 Law and Society Review 257 (Special 1976) Deborah L. Rhode, "Professionalism in Perspective" (1984)	

INTRODUCTION *

Interest in the legal profession as a serious academic subject is a relatively recent phenomenon. Until the last two decades, the subject generally held a peripheral position on the academic agenda. Courses on professional responsibility, if taught at all, tended to be perfunctory. All too frequently, they vacillated between anecdotal excursions and doctrinal exegeses. Much of the literature on professional ethics was similarly unsatisfying. Formalist analysis, moralist polemics, and tepid apologia were common genres. But rarely did serious scholarship focus on the bar's social organization or the premises underlying its regulatory efforts.

In the late 1960s, issues of professional roles and responsibilities started to come under more searching scrutiny. Critics, courts, and educators began to give greater attention to the social, economic, and ideological underpinnings of professional governance. This volume is designed to present various dimensions in which such analysis has proceeded.

The readings and references collected here are neither exclusive nor exhaustive. Rather, they identify topics that can form the core of a basic course on the legal profession or serve as background for a more focused scholarly agenda. The organizing premise is that inquiry into attorneys' individual and collective responsibilities should be informed by a variety of intellectual disciplines. The following excerpts survey historical, sociological, economic, and philosophical perspectives that should illumine contemporary debates over the legal profession's ideals and institutions.**

*The authors gratefully acknowledge the editorial contributions of Stanford Law student Susan A. Dunn, and the assistance of Shannon L. Temple in preparing this manuscript.

** Almost all of the material appearing in this collection has been edited. The

deletion of sentences and paragraphs is indicated by ellipses. Most footnotes and citations have been omitted. The remaining footnotes retain their original numbers.

Part I

HISTORICAL AND SOCIOLOGICAL PER-SPECTIVES ON PROFESSIONAL REGULATION

I. THE ATTRIBUTES OF A PROFESSION: THREE VIEWS OF THE CATHEDRAL

INTRODUCTION

Sociological theories of professions have been traditionally dominated by a functionalist approach. Analysis has focused on explaining the professions' societal role and status in terms of certain functional characteristics. Emphasis generally centers on professionals' claim to special expertise and ethical responsibilities, which in turn give rise to other defining attributes such as regulatory autonomy, economic monopoly, codes of conduct, associational structures, and a common vocabulary, education, and sense of purpose.

This analytic framework builds on various sociological traditions. The focus on professional ethics draws force from Emile Durkheim's concept of normative occupational communities, which were to occupy the void left by breakdowns in other secular and religious institutions.² The significance of professional expertise is consistent with Max Weber's theories of specialization and technical rationality.³ Such characteristics also occupy a central place in Talcott Parsons' analysis of the legal profession. For Parsons, the central distinction between professions and other vocations arises from their functional characteristics rather than the personal objectives of their membership. While professionals, like businessmen, are motivated by the same central desires, "objective achievement and recognition," the accepted means of attaining and realizing those ends vary in accor-

1. See A. Carr-Saunders and P. Wilson, The Professions (1933); W. Moore, The Professions: Roles and Rules 5-6 (1970); Hughes, "Professions" in The Professions in America 1-14 (K. Lynn ed. 1965); Greenwood, "The Attributes of a Profession" in Professionalization (H. Vollmer & D. Mills eds. 1966); Goode, "Community Within a Community: The

Professions," 22 Am. Soc. Rev. 194 (1957).

- 2. See Emile Durkheim, Professional Ethics and Civil Morals (1940 ed.), infra at 80.
- 3. Max Weber, "On Law," in Economy and Society (M. Rheinstein ed. 1922).

dance with occupational roles.⁴ In Parsons' view, the bar acts as a "mechanism of social control," both by providing assistance and forestalling deviance; the lawyer's function is

often to resist [clients'] pressures and get them to realize some of the hard facts of their situations In this sense then, the lawyer stands as a kind of buffer between the illegitimate desires of his clients and the social interest. Here he 'represents' the law rather than the client.'

These functional accounts of the profession have drawn increasing criticism from both the left and right. The more radical critiques proceed on several levels. The ahistorical focus of conventional paradigms, and their assumption of a monolithic occupational community, ignore the variation across time, place, and professional subcultures. The attempt to construct taxonomies of vocational characteristics has been denounced as mindless "definition mongering." 6 And Parson's more ambitious framework has been thought to leave all the interesting questions unanswered. Thus, Terence Johnson argues that functional accounts border on the tautological: the theorist simply hypothesizes objectives, such as "achievement and recognition," on such an abstract level that no one can disagree, and then asserts with some confidence that professionals seek those goals.7 What such analyses leave out is how those general objectives are pursued in particular social settings and whether that pursuit is consistent with broader societal interests.

As to those questions, theorists such as Richard Abel, Magali Larson, and Milton Friedman have provided different perspectives. Abel and Larson's approach, which borrows heavily from contemporary Marxist scholarship, emphasizes both the professions' role in creating a market for their claimed expertise, and their reliance on that claim to legitimate professional power and prerogatives. Neoclassical economic analysis interprets professionalism as an elaborate form of market restraint. Other critics have focused less on profes-

- 4. Talcott Parsons, "The Professions and Social Structure," in *Essays in Sociological Theory* 43–46 (rev. ed. 1954).
- 5. "A Sociologist Looks at the Legal Profession" in Parsons, *supra* note 4, at 384
- Terence Johnson, Professions and Power 31 (1972).
 - 7. Id. at 33-34.
- 8. M. Larson, The Rise of Professionalism: A Sociological Analysis (1977),
- supra at 20; R. Abel, "Delegalization," in Alternative Rechtsformen und Alternativen zum Recht: 6 Jahrbuch für Rechtssoziologie und Rechtstheorie (E. Blankenburg, E. Klausa & H. Rottleuthner eds. 1979); "The Rise of Professionalism, R. Abel," 6 Brit. J. Law & Soc'y 82 (1979).
- 9. See, e.g., M. Friedman, Capitalism and Freedom (1962).

sions' collective interests than on their client relationships. While Parsons stressed the positive functions of professionals in mediating public and private concerns, theorists such as Maureen Cain and Ivan Illich have emphasized the preemptive and disabling consequences of such mediation. In their analysis, professions are more than trades with pretensions. Rather, professional practitioners occupy a position of dominance that enables them unilaterally to define, assess, and mystify the terms of their assistance. In

A.A. Berle, Jr., "Legal Profession and Legal Education"

9 Encyclopaedia of the Social Sciences 340-45 (1933).

A survey of the legal profession of modern times shows the need in every country of a group equipped to deal with the complex problems of law and administration under the wide variety of institutional set ups. But this group is rarely popular. In Russia a body of theorists, practitioners and administrators of the old regime were swept away by the Soviet state on the theory that they could be dispensed with in a non-exploitative society; yet the multiplication of administrative machinery and the need for interpreting rules and applying some process of justice called back into existence in fact, if not formally, a profession skilled in interpreting the regulation of a communist system. There have been other cases in continental Europe of similar hostility to the legal profession—notably in France during the revolution. In that case too the lawyers were identified in the minds of the revolutionists with the entire system of oppression and privilege of the ancien regime. But the profession has invariably reemerged.

In civilizations like the west European, dominated by economic and psychological individualism, the advocate is the fine flower of the bar, leaders of the profession are engaged rather in arguing the rights of the individual before criminal courts than in handling the rights of individuals in civil suits. The continuity of the historic drive from the code of Justinian through the *Code Napoléon* and into the modern French, German and Italian codes has maintained a uniformity of position as between the barrister in Europe and the Byzantine logothete of the later, particularly the Eastern Roman Empire. The need for reconciling the importance of the individual with the de-

10. I. Illich, Disabling Professions 86–87 (1977); Cain, "The General Practice Lawyer and the Client: Towards a Radical Conception," 7 Int'l J. Soc. &

Law 331 (1979). See also J. Lieberman, Tyranny of the Experts 55–68 (1970).

11. See sources cited supra note 10.

mands of a crowded, close knit society has also thrust the legal philosopher into prominence. Continental Europe unlike eastern Europe or the common law countries has also a separate category for lawyers who are to be judges. In the common law system the judges are recruited from the legal profession, without, however, any special training for the function; in continental systems one line of legal training leads to the judicial posts exactly as another line leads from apprenticeship through the grades of attorney and counselor to the dignity of the barrister.

In both England and the United States the dominance of the commercial and industrial structures, the complexity of business organization and the position of world economic leadership steadily thrust upon the legal profession problem after problem which was not originally intended to form a part of legal practise. In both countries the legal profession in addition to exercising its historic monopoly over control of the machinery of the courts and over the giving of private counsel to parties with respect to their legal rights became virtually an intellectual jobber and contractor in business The British system, seeking to preserve the ancient supremacy of the barrister, kept the two functions separate within the profession (and incidentally separated the bar even from its clients) by assigning the legal burden of the new economic system to solicitors—men trained differently from the barristers and not privileged to practise before courts but skilled in interpreting law, drafting documents, handling the many problems of conveyancing a property, organizing business enterprises, securing the orderly course of credits and managing the entire paper work of commerce. In the United States no such distinction was formally made. In theory all lawyers were alike; all had the same rights and were supposed to be able to perform the same duties. In fact, however, the functions diverge as they do in England, so that one branch of the American profession. rarely appearing in the courts, devotes itself to handling business matters, giving business counsel, drafting documents and the like; another branch to handling litigious matters, trying cases in the courts and working the judicial machinery. Still others develop specialties—patent law, admiralty law, customs and tariff matters and practise before various administrative tribunals. The division is informal and one of choice but none the less real.

The position of the legal profession in American life illustrates in clearest relief the consequences for the profession of the rapid industrial and financial growth of the community. One of the results of capitalistic organization in the United States lay in the transfer