FUTURE INTERESTS AND ESTATE PLANNING

Cases and Jext

W. BARTON LEACH JAMES K. LOGAN

University Casebook Some

CASES AND TEXT

ON

FUTURE INTERESTS AND ESTATE PLANNING

Being the Successor to Leach, Cases and Materials on the Law of Future Interests, Second Edition, 1940

By

W. BARTON LEACH Story Professor of Law, Harvard Law School

and

JAMES K. LOGAN

Dean of the Law School, University of Kansas

Brooklyn
The Foundation Press, Inc.
1961

Copyright © 1961 by W. BARTON LEACH and JAMES K. LOGAN All rights reserved.

University Casebook Series

ACCOUNTING AND THE LAW, Third Edition (1964), with Problem Pamphlet

The late James L. Dohr, Director, Institute of Accounting, Columbia University.

Ellis L. Phillips, Jr., Professor of Law, Columbia University.

George C. Thompson, Professor, Columbia University Graduate School of Business, and

William C. Warren, Dean of the Law School, Columbia University.

ACCOUNTING, LAW AND (1949)

Donald Schapiro, Instructor in Law, Yale University, and Ralph Wienshienk, Visiting Lecturer in Law, Yale University.

ACCOUNTING, MATERIALS ON, (1959), with 1968 Supplement

Robert Amory, Jr., Esq.,

W. Covington Hardee, Esq., Third Edition by

David R. Herwitz, Professor of Law, Harvard University, and Donald T. Trautman, Professor of Law, Harvard University.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW, Fourth Edition (1960), with Problems Supplement

Walter Gellhorn, Professor of Law, Columbia University, and Clark Byse, Professor of Law, Harvard University.

ADMIRALTY (1954)

The late Stanley Morrison, Professor of Law, Stanford University, and The late George W. Stumberg, Professor of Law, University of Texas.

ADVOCACY, COURSE ON (1968)

A. Leo Levin, Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania. Harold Cramer, Esq., Member of the Philadelphia Bar with Maurice Rosenberg, Professor of Law, Columbia University, as consultant.

ANTITRUST LAW (1967)

Harlan M. Blake, Professor of Law, Columbia University Robert Pitofsky, Professor of Law, New York University.

ARBITRATION (1968)

Shelden D. Elliott, Professor of Law, New York University.

BANKRUPTCY ACT (Annotated) 1967 Edition

The late James Angell MacLachlan, Professor of Law Emeritus, Harvard University.

BUSINESS ORGANIZATION: EMPLOYMENT—AGENCY—PARTNERSHIP— ATTORNEYS, Third Edition (1965)

Alfred F. Conard, Professor of Law, University of Michigan, and Robert L. Knauss, Associate Professor of Law, University of Michigan.

BUSINESS ORGANIZATION: CORPORATIONS (1948)

A. A. Berle, Jr., Professor of Law, Columbia University, and William C. Warren, Dean of the Law School, Columbia University.

BUSINESS PLANNING (1966) with 1968 Problem Supplement

David R. Herwitz, Professor of Law, Harvard University.

CIVIL PROCEDURE, see Procedure

COMMERCIAL AND INVESTMENT PAPER, Third Edition (1964) with Statutory Materials

Roscoe T. Steffen, Professor of Law, University of California, Hastings College of the Law.

COMMERCIAL LAW, CASES & MATERIALS ON, Second Edition (1968) with Statutory Supplement

E. Allan Farnsworth, Professor of Law, Columbia University. John Honnold, Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania,

COMMERCIAL PAPER AND BANK DEPOSITS AND COLLECTIONS (1967) with Statutory Supplement

William D. Hawkland, Dean of the Law School, State University of New York at Buffalo.

COMMERCIAL TRANSACTIONS—Text, Cases and Problems, Fourth Edition (1968)

Robert Braucher, Professor of Law, Harvard University, and Arthur E. Sutherland, Jr., Professor of Law, Harvard University.

COMPARATIVE LAW, Second Edition (1959)

Rudolf B. Schlesinger, Professor of Law, Cornell University.

CONFLICT OF LAWS, Fifth Edition (1964) with 1967 Supplement

Elliott E. Cheatham, Professor of Law, Vanderbilt University, Erwin N. Griswold, Solicitor General of the United States, Willis L. M. Reese, Professor of Law, Columbia University, and Maurice Rosenberg, Professor of Law, Columbia University.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW, Third Edition (1968)

Edward L. Barrett, Jr., Dean of the Law School, University of California at Davis,

Paul W. Bruton, Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania, and John O. Honnold, Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW, Seventh Edition (1965) with 1968 Supplement

Noel T. Dowling, Professor of Law Emeritus, Columbia University. Gerald Gunther, Professor of Law, Stanford University.

CONTRACT IN CONTEXT (1952)

Addison Mueller, Professor of Law, University of California at Los Angeles.

CONTRACTS, (1965) (Successor Volume to Fatterson, Goble & Jones, Cases on Contracts) with Statutory Supplement

Harry W. Jones, Professor of Law, Columbia University. E. Allan Farnsworth, Professor of Law, Columbia University. William F. Young, Professor of Law, Columbia University.

CONTRACTS AND CONTRACT REMEDIES, Fourth Edition (1957)

Harold Shepherd, Professor of Law Emeritus, Stanford University, and Harry H. Wellington, Professor of Law, Yale University.

CONTRACTS AND CONTRACT REMEDIES (1959)

John P. Dawson, Professor of Law, Harvard University, and Wm. Burnett Harvey, Dean of the Law School, Indiana University.

CONVEYANCES, Second Edition (1941)

Marion R. Kirkwood, Professor of Law Emeritus, Stanford University.

COPYRIGHT, Unfair Competition, and Other Topics Bearing on the Protection of Literary, Musical, and Artistic Works (1960)

ii

Benjamin Kaplan, Professor of Law, Harvard University, and Ralph S. Brown, Jr., Professor of Law, Yale University.

P7204---2z

- CORPORATE REORGANIZATION, with Statutory Supplement (1950)

 The late E. Merrick Dodd, Professor of Law, Harvard University, and DeForest Billyou, Professor of Law, New York University.
- CORPORATIONS, Third Edition—Unabridged (1959) with 1968 Supplement
 The late Ralph J. Baker, Professor of Law, Harvard University, and
 William L. Cary, Professor of Law, Columbia University.
- CORPORATIONS, Third Edition—Abridged (1959) with 1968 Supplement
 The late Ralph J. Baker, Professor of Law, Harvard University, and
 William L. Cary, Professor of Law, Columbia University.

CREDITORS' RIGHTS, Fifth Edition (1957)

The late John Hanna, Professor of Law Emeritus, Columbia University, and The late James Angell MacLachlan, Professor of Law Emeritus, Harvard University.

CREDITORS' RIGHTS AND CORPORATE REORGANIZATION, Fifth Edition (1957)

The late John Hanna, Professor of Law Emeritus, Columbia University, and The late James Angell MacLachlan, Professor of Law Emeritus, Harvard, University.

CREDITORS' RIGHTS AND SECURED TRANSACTIONS, 1967

William E. Hogan, Professor of Law, Cornell University.
William D. Warren, Professor of Law, University of California at Los Angeles.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE, THE ADMINISTRATION OF, CASES AND MATERIALS ON, 1966

Francis C. Sullivan, Professor of Law, Louisiana State University. Paul Hardin III, Professor of Law, Duke University. John Huston, Professor of Law, University of Washington. Frank R. Lacy, Professor of Law, University of Oregon. Daniel E. Murray, Professor of Law, University of Miami. George W. Pugh, Professor of Law, Louisiana State University.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE, Third Edition, 1968, two volumes: I. Criminal Law, II. Criminal Law Administration

Fred E. Inbau, Professor of Law, Northwestern University, James R. Thompson, Professor of Law, Northwestern University, and Claude R. Sowle, Dean of the Law School, University of Cincinnati.

CRIMINAL LAW AND ITS ADMINISTRATION (1940), with 1956 Supplement The late Jerome Michael, Professor of Law, Columbia University, and Herbert Wechsler, Professor of Law, Columbia University.

CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE, Third Edition (1966)

Rollin M. Perkins, Professor of Law, University of California, Hastings College of the Law.

DAMAGES, Second Edition (1952)

The late Charles T. McCormick, Professor of Law, University of Texas, and William F. Fritz, Professor of Law, University of Texas.

DECEDENTS' ESTATES AND TRUSTS, Third Edition (1967)

John Ritchie III, Dean and Professor of Law, Northwestern University, Neill H. Alford, Jr., Professor of Law, University of Virginia, and Richard W. Effland, Professor of Law, Arizona State University.

DECEDENTS' ESTATES AND TRUSTS (1968)

Howard R. Williams, Professor of Law, Stanford University.

iii

DOMESTIC RELATIONS, Fourth Edition (1961), with Statutory Supplement

Albert C. Jacobs, President, Trinity College, and Julius Goebel, Jr., Professor of Law Emeritus, Columbia University.

DOMESTIC RELATIONS-Civil and Canon Law (1963)

Philip A. Ryan, Professor of Law, Georgetown University, and Dom David Granfield, Associate Professor, Catholic University of America.

The late Zechariah Chafee, Jr., Professor of Law, Harvard University, and Edward D. Re, Professor of Law, St. John's University.

ETHICS, see Legal Profession

EVIDENCE (1968)

David W. Louisell, Professor of Law, University of California, Berkeley, John Kaplan, Professor of Law, Stanford University, Jon R. Waltz, Professor of Law, Northwestern University.

EVIDENCE, Fifth Edition (1965) with 1967 Supplement

John M. Maguire, Professor of Law Emeritus, Harvard University. Jack B. Weinstein, Professor of Law, Columbia University. James H. Chadbourn, Professor of Law, Harvard University. John H. Mansfield, Professor of Law, Harvard University.

EVIDENCE (1968)

Francis C. Sullivan, Professor of Law, Louisiana State University, Paul Hardin, III, Professor of Law, Duke University.

FEDERAL COURTS, Fourth Edition (1962) with 1966 Supplement

The late Charles T. McCormick, Professor of Law, University of Texas, James H. Chadbourn, Professor of Law, Harvard University, and Charles Alan Wright, Professor of Law, University of Texas.

FEDERAL COURTS AND THE FEDERAL SYSTEM (1953)

Henry M. Hart, Jr., Professor of Law, Harvard University and Herbert Wechsler, Professor of Law, Columbia University.

FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, 1968 Edition

FEDERAL TAXATION, see Taxation

FREE ENTERPRISE AND ECONOMIC ORGANIZATION, Third Edition (1966) two volumes: I. Concentration & Restrictive Practices, II. Regulation of Entry, Rates and Discrimination

Louis B. Schwartz, Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania.

FUTURE INTERESTS AND ESTATE PLANNING (1961) with 1962 Supplement W. Barton Leach, Professor of Law, Harvard University, and James K. Logan, Dean of the Law School, University of Kansas.

FUTURE INTERESTS (1958)

Philip Mechem, Professor of Law Emeritus, University of Pennsylvania.

INSURANCE, Fourth Edition (1961)

The late Edwin W. Patterson, Professor of Law, Columbia University, and William F. Young, Professor of Law, Columbia University.

INTERNATIONAL LAW, See also Transnational Legal Problems and United Nations Law

INTERNATIONAL TRANSACTIONS AND RELATIONS (1960)

Milton Katz, Professor of Law, Harvard University, and Kingman Brewster, Jr., President, Yale University.

INTRODUCTION TO LAW, see Legal Method, also On Law in Courts

JUDICIAL CODE: Rules of Procedure in the Federal Courts with Excerpts from the Criminal Code, 1968 Edition

Henry M. Hart, Jr., Professor of Law, Harvard University, and Herbert Wechsler, Professor of Law, Columbia University.

JURISPRUDENCE (Temporary Edition Hard Bound) (1949)

Lon L. Fuller, Professor of Law, Harvard University.

JUVENILE COURTS (1967)

Hon, Orman W. Ketcham, Juvenile Court of the District of Columbia. Monrad G. Paulsen, Professor of Law, Columbia University.

LABOR LAW, Sixth Edition 1965 with Statutory Supplement

Archibald Cox, Professor of Law, Harvard University, and Derek C. Bok, Professor of Law, Harvard University.

LABOR RELATIONS (1949)

The late Harry Shulman, Dean of the Law School, Yale University, and Neil Chamberlain, Professor of Economics, Columbia University.

LEGAL DRAFTING (1951)

Robert N. Cook, Professor of Law, University of Cincinnati.

LEGAL METHOD, Second Edition (1952)

Noel T. Dowling, Professor of Law Emeritus, Columbia University, The late Edwin W. Patterson, Professor of Law, Columbia University, and Richard R. B. Powell, Professor of Law, University of California, Hastings College of the Law.

Second Edition by Harry W. Jones, Professor of Law, Columbia University.

LEGAL PROFESSION, Second Edition (1955)

Elliott E. Cheatham, Professor of Law, Vanderbilt University.

LEGISLATION, Second Edition (1959)

Horace E. Read, Vice President, Dalhousie University.

John W. MacDonald, Professor of Law, Cornell Law School, and

Jefferson B. Fordham, Dean of the Law School, University of Pennsylvania.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT LAW (1949)

Jefferson B. Fordham, Dean of the Law School, University of Pennsylvania.

MODERN REAL ESTATE TRANSACTIONS, Second Edition (1958)

Allison Dunham, Professor of Law, University of Chicago.

MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS, see Local Government Law

NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS, Third Edition (1968), with Statutory Supplement

E. Allan Farnsworth, Professor of Law, Columbia University,

NEW YORK PRACTICE, Second Edition (1968)

Herbert Peterfreund, Professor of Law, New York University, Joseph M. McLaughlin, Professor of Law, Fordham University.

OIL AND GAS, Second Edition (1964)

Howard R. Williams, Professor of Law, Stanford University, Richard C. Maxwell, Dean of the Law School, University of California, Los Angeles, and

Charles J. Meyers, Professor of Law, Stanford University.

ON LAW IN COURTS (1965)

Paul J. Mishkin, Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania. Clarence Morris, Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania.

OWNERSHIP AND DEVELOPMENT OF LAND

Jan Krasnowiecki, Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania.

PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW (1959)

E. Ernest Goldstein, Professor of Law, University of Texas.

PLEADING & PROCEDURE: STATE AND FEDERAL, 1968

David W. Louisell, Professor of Law, University of California at Berkeley, and

Geoffrey C. Hazard, Jr., Professor of Law, University of Chicago.

PROCEDURE-Biography of a Legal Dispute

Marc A. Franklin, Professor of Law, Stanford University.

PROCEDURE—CIVIL PROCEDURE (1961)

James H. Chadbourn, Professor of Law, Harvard University, and A. Leo Levin, Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania.

PROCEDURE-CIVIL PROCEDURE, Temporary Second Edition, (1968)

Richard H. Field, Professor of Law, Harvard University, and Benjamin Kaplan, Professor of Law, Harvard University.

PROCEDURE—CIVIL PROCEDURE (1962)

Maurice Rosenberg, Professor of Law, Columbia University, Jack B. Weinstein, Professor of Law, Columbia University.

PROCEDURE—FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, 1968 edition PROCEDURE PORTFOLIO (1962)

James H. Chadbourn, Professor of Law, Harvard University, and A. Leo Levin, Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania.

PROPERTY, Second Edition (1966)

John E. Cribbet, Dean of the Law School, University of Illinois, William F. Fritz, Professor of Law, University of Texas, and Corwin W. Johnson, Professor of Law, University of Texas.

PROPERTY-PERSONAL (1953)

The late S. Kenneth Skolfield, Professor of Law Emeritus, Boston University.

PROPERTY-PERSONAL, Third Edition (1954)

Everett Fraser, Dean of the Law School Emeritus, University of Minnesota —Third Edition by

Charles W. Taintor II, late Professor of Law, University of Pittsburgh.

PROPERTY-REAL-INTRODUCTION, Third Edition (1954)

Everett Fraser, Dean of the Law School Emeritus, University of Minnesota.

PROPERTY-REAL PROPERTY AND CONVEYANCING (1954)

Edward E. Bade, Professor of Law Emeritus, University of Minnesota.

PUBLIC UTILITY LAW, see Free Enterprise, also Regulated Industries

RECEIVERSHIP AND CORPORATE REORGANIZATION, see Creditors' Rights

REGULATED INDUSTRIES (1967) with Statutory Supplement

William K. Jones, Professor of Law, Columbia University.

RESTITUTION, Second Edition (1966)

John W. Wade, Dean of the Law School, Vanderbilt University.

SALES AND SECURITY, Fourth Edition (1962), with Statutory Supplement

George G. Bogert, James Parker Hall Professor of Law Emeritus, University of Chicago.

The late William E. Britton, Professor of Law, University of California, Hastings College of the Law, and

William D. Hawkland, Dean of the Law School, State University of New York at Buffalo.

P7204-6z

SALES AND SALES FINANCING, Third Edition (1968) with Statutory Supplement

John Honnold, Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania.

SECURITY, Third Edition (1959)

The late John Hanna, Professor of Law Emeritus, Columbia University.

SECURITIES REGULATION (1968)

Richard W. Jennings, Professor of Law, University of California at Berkeley.

Harold Marsh, Jr., Professor of Law, University of California at Los Angeles.

TAXATION, FEDERAL, Sixth Edition (1966) with 1968 Supplement

Erwin N. Griswold, Solicitor General of the United States.

TAXATION, FEDERAL ESTATE AND GIFT, 1961 Edition with 1965 Supplement

William C. Warren, Dean of the Law School, Columbia University, and Stanley S. Surrey, Professor of Law, Harvard University.

TAXATION, FEDERAL INCOME, 1960 Edition integrated with 1961 Supplement and a 1964 Supplement

Stanley S. Surrey, Professor of Law, Harvard University, and William C. Warren, Dean of the Law School, Columbia University.

TORTS, Second Edition (1952)

The late Harry Shulman, Dean of the Law School, Yale University, and Fleming James, Jr., Professor of Law, Yale University.

TORTS, Fourth Edition (1967)

William L. Prosser, Professor of Law, University of California, Hastings College of the Law, and

The late Young B. Smith, Professor of Law, Columbia University.

TRADE REGULATION, Fourth Edition (1967) with 1968 Supplement

Milton Handler, Professor of Law, Columbia University.

TRADE REGULATION, see Free Enterprise

TRANSNATIONAL LEGAL PROBLEMS (1968) with Documentary Supplement

Henry J. Steiner, Professor of Law, Harvard University, Detlev F. Vagts, Professor of Law, Harvard University.

TRUSTS, Fourth Edition (1967)

George G. Bogert, James Parker Hall Professor of Law Emeritus, University of Chicago.

Dallin H. Oaks, Professor of Law, University of Chicago.

TRUSTS AND SUCCESSION, Second Edition (1968)

George E. Palmer, Professor of Law, University of Michigan.

UNITED NATIONS LAW, Second Edition (1967) with Documentary Supplement (1968)

Louis B. Sohn, Bemis Professor of International Law, Harvard University.

WILLS AND ADMINISTRATION, 5th Edition (1961)

Philip Mechem, Professor of Law Emeritus, University of Pennsylvania, and

The late Thomas E. Atkinson, Professor of Law, New York University.

WORLD LAW, see United Nations Law

P7204—7z VII

University Casebook Series

EDITORIAL BOARD

LON L. FULLER

DIRECTING EDITOR

Professor of Law, Harvard University

EDWARD L. BARRETT, Jr.

Dean of the Law School, University of California at Davis

DEREK C. BOK

Dean of the Law School, Harvard University

JEFFERSON B. FORDHAM

Dean of the Law School, University of Pennsylvania

HARRY W. JONES

Professor of Law, Columbia University

PAGE KEETON

Dean of the Law School, University of Texas

BAYLESS A. MANNING

Dean of the Law School, Stanford University

LOUIS H. POLLAK

Dean of the Law School, Yale University

WILLIAM L. PROSSER

Professor of Law, University of California, Hastings College of the Law

JOHN RITCHIE, III

Dean of the Law School, Northwestern University

SAMUEL D. THURMAN

Dean of the Law School, University of Utah

WILLIAM C. WARREN

Dean of the Law School, Columbia University

P7204—8z

viii

This is shop-talk for teachers. The Foreword is orientation for students, if anybody can get them to read it.

This volume is the successor to Leach, Cases on Future Interests (2d ed. 1940); but the coverage, content, and treatment are so different that it would not be reasonably descriptive to call it a third edition. It is the start of a new stirps.

In the teaching of the functional area which the practitioner calls Estate Practice law schools differ considerably in curriculum arrangement. But, somehow or other, the students in all schools get offerings of Wills, Future Interests, and the essential ingredients of tax law as it affects family dispositions. Estate Practice teaching should be doubly oriented: (a) to planning and drafting and (b) to litigating issues of the validity and interpretation of dispositive This book is intended to cover this functional area instruments. with this double orientation, and we do not conceive that it is very important how the area is consolidated or split up in course structure. Since the book was written when one of us was teaching at Harvard and the other at Kansas we naturally thought of it in terms of these schools. Leach will use this book at Harvard in a third-year course (formerly a second-year course) two hours throughout the year. which is charged with responsibility for Wills, Future Interests and Estate Planning with ancillary responsibility for the tax aspects of family dispositions. Logan visualizes the book as the basis for the Kansas course structure: a two-hour course in Future Interests with Wills, Estate Planning, and Estate and Gift Taxation taught separately. We should be glad to offer suggestions as to use of the book in any particular curriculum arrangement that a given teacher is faced with—long or short courses with varying coverage.

Overlap with various other courses. In the use of this case-book selection of student assignments will somewhat depend upon other course coverage. For example, where students have used Casner & Leach, Cases and Text on Property, there will be little but review in Chapters I, III, and IV. Chapter II adds a new dimension to anything in Casner & Leach concerning possibilities of reverter and rights of entry, but it may largely duplicate parts of various courses in Titles or Conveyances. Chapter I may also overlap with Trusts, and a good many items with courses in Taxation. However, it is our view that (a) an integrated treatment of the subject is desirable and (b) a certain amount of overlap is not only inevitable but actually beneficial.

Coverage

The Table of Contents indicates that we start from a Future Interests base which isn't much different from what you would find in the old books of Gray and Kales.

Estate Planning; Drafting. We handle this by one complete estate plan and will (with comments) in Chapter XXVIII, a chapter on taxation of powers (Chapter XV), estate planning notes appended to all relevant chapters, two special notes on planning for the farmer and small businessman, and Problems throughout the book raising tax and drafting considerations.

Tax Aspects. Everyone knows that the statutory changes beginning with the Revenue Act of 1942 require the estate planner to achieve a mastery of the essentials of income, estate and gift taxation relevant to wills and trusts. This book does not seek to make the student a "tax man" capable of answering any and all questions which might be pertinent in an estate planning context. It seeks to attain the fairly modest goal of giving the student a basic knowledge of the tax structure which enables him to handle the usual situations, to ask the right questions of his client, and to know when to consult a tax expert and what questions to ask him. We hope the student will acquire enough basic training so that he can utilize the detailed treatment in the tax services and literature. The detailed analysis of the tax problems of J. Albert Thomas in Chapter XXVIII offers a case study which should bring down to the realm of practical application the various slices of tax law distributed throughout the book.1

What we do not do in the planning-tax area. It has been wisely said that in writing a casebook or planning a course the hard problem is what to leave out. The best way to see what judgment we have made in this matter is to examine the table of contents of Professor A. James Casner's compendious Estate Planning, of which a third edition will appear nearly simultaneously with the publication of this book. Some of the things we omit are short-term trusts, charitable dispositions, life insurance policy options, and employee benefits. For coverage of such items and for elaboration of those we cover, we

I. There has been much comment of late that under the Kennedy administration the tax laws will be substantially revised. But we doubt there will be basic changes in the general approach to the tax problems discussed in this book. For example, we cannot believe the marital deduction will be eliminated or that taxation of powers of appointment will be

greatly changed. Perhaps the fiveyear-throwback rule will undergo revision. It could stand some simplification. But we do not expect what we say in this book about taxes to become obsolete in the near future. If we are wrong, we can only promise to make corrections by issuing a supplement.

commend to the student the Casner book (with its annual supplements), a volume which both of us keep constantly at the elbow.

Wills. It has never seemed to us that Wills is a "hard" subject; any competent student can pick it up from a text book. Furthermore, a good deal of it-probate (or Surrogate or Orphans' Court) practice —is essentially local, well within the capabilities of a bar-review course. Still, there are basic doctrines with which a student should be familiar before he leaves law school. Most of these we have introduced by a process of infiltration—sneaking them into chapters primarily designed to analyze future interests problems. The will and commentaries in Chapter XXVIII have been so contrived as to include problems of ademption, satisfaction, execution of wills, and incorporation by reference. Cases or Problems in various chapters deal with dependent relative revocation, the effect of divorce upon a pre-divorce will, the election (dissent) of the surviving spouse to take against the will, lapsed legacies and anti-lapse statutes, election of a devisee whose own property is "devised" by the testator, investment by an executor and his power to carry on a business. and devolution on intestacy. These infiltrated items may be used by the professor as a springboard for such more extended treatment (general or local, according to circumstances) as seems appropriate.

Method

The opinions have been rigorously cut down and statements of facts condensed in most instances. This is, in our opinion, a matter of simple fairness to students. They should read these cases—there is a "feel" to the law of property that cannot be acquired except through familiarity with judges' manners of thought and speech—but their time should not be wasted or their patience exhausted. No paragraph has been reprinted without a conviction that it contributes to education, in one way or another.

Problem cases have been inserted where they seem to serve a purpose, without design to spread them out evenly. They suggest applications or developments of the principal cases or probe related fields which should be kept in mind. Usually the parties are indicated by the letters of the alphabet, but occasionally names have been retained where the characters have acquired personality for us and may for the reader. Biggs v. McCarty (p. 350) may be a problem in the Rule in Wild's Case but it would be a callous soul indeed who could read a bare recital of its facts without sensing the high hopes and tragic end of the Kentucky Forty-niner and the struggles, obstetrical and economic, of the heroic Angeline. And who would rele-

gate to the lustreless anonymity of A and B, Solomon Gottschalk Goldschmidt and his wife, Theobaldina (p. 388)?²

The introductory notes to the various chapters seek to make case-reading more profitable by orienting the mind to the problems involved—and possibly more enjoyable by whetting the appetite. We also provide substantial slices of text where, in our judgment, development through cases is unwieldy or unworkable. The obvious objection to this is that too much may be afforded to students by way of exposition, thus defeating the first purpose of the case method. But one nice thing about this subject is that you don't have to make it hard. It takes care of that by itself. Hence in many instances a degree of exposition is desirable which might be excessive elsewhere.

As to the Rule against Perpetuities we do not go as far as John Chipman Gray who said that the Rule is the law of future interests; but we do think it deserves extensive treatment, especially since the current (1961) wave of academic controversy, judicial re-thinking, and legislative reform provides an unusual opportunity to probe the economic and sociological policies back of the Rule. To our minds one way to teach jurisprudence—at least with a small "i"—is to examine a specific current problem where various desiderata of public policy come in conflict. We also inject perpetuities cases early and often in the discussion of other subjects. In planning estates and drafting instruments you cannot put the Rule off in a corner and deal with it when you feel so inclined. It is an everpresent threat. Some distributive treatment of the Rule therefore seems to us essential if competent planners and draftsmen are to be trained. We tell in all its detail the horror-story of the T. Jefferson Coolidge Trust litigated in Sears v. Coolidge (Chapter XXIII) in the hope that students using this book will go forth determined to give the lie to the cynical aphorism that it is the function of the probate court to distribute decedent estates fairly and equitably among the members of the probate bar.

Despite all the efforts of estate planning courses much litigation is going to arise in the future, and the economic security of many widows and children is going to depend on skillful representation in court. We do obeisance to the tour de force of Albert M. Kales in conceiving and successfully expounding the delicate distinction of Aetna Life Insurance Company v. Hoppin in Chapter IV. We point

The verbal voluptuary will find other attractions between these covers.
 There is an engaging lilt to Doe dem. Dolley v. Ward (p. 280). Glesbert Pottgieser (p. 392) had some claim to fame in his own right but assured

himself of immortality of a sort by naming his son Giesbert Pottgieser, Jr. And somehow the marital difficulties of Frank and Veronica Nogaj (Chapter II, n. 29) couldn't happen to two people named Jones,

out in Clapp v. Ingraham (Chapter XVI) that the attorneys involved in that situation made, not one, but four successive mistakes. We dig into the unpublished materials on Sears v. Coolidge (Chapter XXIII) to suffer along with the battalion of lawyers engaged by the regiment of parties. We present, as it appeared to counsel for the widow in Boston Safe Deposit & Trust Co. v. Waite (Chapter XIII, Section 1), the torturing issue of settlement which he faced. We suggest that in Estate of Bunnell (Chapter XIII, Problem 11) counsel overlooked a mine of authorities collected in a law review article on the precise point at issue. And so on. In studying these cases it is an intriguing and valuable experience for the student to be asked whether, and how, the losing party could have done better than he did in court.

Nonsense

Over the years literally hundreds of poems have been submitted by students; we have included those which we consider worthy of publication—with only mild ethical doubts as to capitalizing on these efforts of students and even colleagues. Mostly we have requested permission for publication, which has been granted. To give an appropriate tone to the enterprise we have incorporated Shakespeare in the second footnote to the Foreword, but we cannot in candor state that all of the student verse is of Shakespearean quality. Still, it has made others laugh, laughter is a recognized lubricant to the mental processes, and if anyone thinks poetry inappropriate he has the obvious expedient of leaving it unread.³

Division of Labor Between the Editors

It is right that the nature of any co-authorship should be set forth. Logan has taken primary responsibility for Chapters V (Alienability), IX (Gifts to Classes), all of Part III (Powers of Appointment) and all tax and estate planning matters. Leach has taken primary responsibility for the rest. The operative word in the two previous sentences is "primary"; each chapter, as first drafted, has been submitted to the co-author and revised in accordance with comments thus induced. Consequently this book is a joint

3. Professor Ashbel G. Gulliver has rather taken the heat off us in this respect; his recent Cases on Future Interests (1959) contains a liberal sprinkling of verse. But we wish to state without reservation that the verse in the present volume is superior to his in (a) quantity, (b) brashness, and even possibly (c) artistic merit. Anyone who chooses casebooks on the basis of verse should

have no difficulty. We court challenge on this, to be settled either by a jury of suitably prejudiced experts or trial by battle with iambic pentameters at the distance between Cambridge and New Haven. As distinguished precedent for both Gulliver and us, we cite Sir Frederick Pollock's Leading Cases Done Into English (1876).

product to such a degree that both of us take full responsibility for the whole, except as the opposite is stated in specific instances (e. g. Chapters XV and XXV).

Standard Text References. Throughout this book we give general references to the following works:

American Law of Property (Casner, ed. 1952, with supplements)
Casner, Estate Planning (2d ed. 1956 with supplements)
Powell, Real Property (1949–1958, with supplements)
Restatement, Property (1936–1944 with supplements 1948, 1954)
Simes & Smith, Future Interests (2d ed. 1956 with supplements)

We also provide more specific references to passages in American Law of Property. In Appendix B we provide a bibliography of other standard texts, U. S., British, and local. We expect to include in a Teacher's Manual a fairly extensive bibliography of law review materials.

Addendum by Leach

Missionary v. Objective Attitudes. Any book for classroom use should raise the questions, present the alternatives, and induce student thought as to the answers. Mostly we conform to this principle; but three chapters do not, and I am responsible for all of them. Feeling as strongly as I do about the content of these chapters, I have not been able to resist the temptation to carry the torch.

- (a) Chapter II (Possibilities of Reverter and Rights of Entry Broken) is arguably not in pari materia with the rest of this book anyway, dealing as it does with clogs on land titles created by these refugees from antiquity. Still, they are future interests, and if they are not treated here they are unlikely to get full treatment elsewhere. I have inveighed against these interests, sponsored legislation to get them under control, and in Chapter II have sought to cause the student to shun them as a draftsman and have shown him how to beat them as an advocate. The Woburn Baptist Church Case, with its off-the-record background, is used as the horrible example.
- (b) In Chapter XII (Estates by Implication) I have argued against American unthinking acceptance of the English cliché that the court is powerless "to make a new will for
- 4. As this book goes to press Professor A. James Casner's third edition of his Estate Planning is also going to press. It is published in two formats but with identical paging: (1) a lawyer's edition in two volumes, containing answers to the numerous Prob-

lems, (2) a student's edition in one volume, not containing the answers. In a Teacher's Manual, which we will prepare for use in the fall of 1961, we shall try to correct the references to Casner so that they will encompass the third edition.