



A Publication of the Society for Industrial Microbiology

**DEVELOPMENTS**  
*in*  
**INDUSTRIAL**  
**MICROBIOLOGY**

Volume 12



A Publication of the Society for Industrial Microbiology

*DEVELOPMENTS*  
*in*  
*INDUSTRIAL*  
*MICROBIOLOGY*

*Volume 12*

Proceedings of the  
Twenty-Seventh General Meeting  
of the  
Society for Industrial Microbiology  
Held at Kingston, Rhode Island  
August 2-7, 1970

AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES

WASHINGTON, D.C.

1971

DEVELOPMENT  
in  
INDUSTRIAL  
MICROBIOLOGY

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CATALOG CARD NUMBER 60-13953

Copyright © 1971  
Society for Industrial Microbiology  
3900 Wisconsin Ave., NW, Washington, D. C. 20016

PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES BY GARAMOND/PRIDEMARK PRESS  
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

## Foreword

### *Developments in Industrial Microbiology, Volume 12*

The technical papers contained in this volume were presented at the Twenty-Seventh Annual Meeting of the Society for Industrial Microbiology. This was an unique occasion in the history of the Society, for in addition to the presentation of the Charles Thom Award, it was the second time that an independent annual meeting was held. The success of this meeting proved that the Society can conduct independent programs of a high caliber.

The accomplishments of the meeting were assured by the membership that supported it, the authors who provided informative papers, and the many individuals whose administrative and organizational talents molded together a most informative and pleasant stay at the University of Rhode Island from August 2 to 7, 1970. The local arrangements provided by Mrs. Virginia S. Kenney, ably supported by Dr. Frank L. Howard of URI, left no one uncertain about Rhode Island hospitality. The untiring and ceaseless efforts of William N. Cannon, the 1970 Program Chairman, guaranteed appealing and instructive sessions. Four timely symposia were organized by Waldimero Coscarelli, Donald H. Hughes, Richard L. Raymond, and Clarence M. Tarzwell. The first Round Table to be held by the Society was part of the 1970 Program. The exhibits arranged by Perry A. Brett added to the overall appeal of the meeting. Also, some of the credit for this success must go to the Society officers who put so much time and effort into the annual highlight of the Society.

Finally, as Editor, I should like to acknowledge the fine cooperation received from the authors and the ready support so freely given by members of the Editorial Committee. Also, I should like to express my personal thanks to the former Editor of Developments, Dr. Cyril J. Corum and to Mrs. Margaret U. Chambers of AIBS; both have assisted me greatly in this first time around.

E. DONALD MURRAY  
*Editor*  
SIM Proceedings, 1970

# **SOCIETY FOR INDUSTRIAL MICROBIOLOGY**

## **Editorial Committee 1970-71**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>E. DONALD MURRAY</b> , <i>Editor and Chairman</i> | Food and Industrial R & D Laboratories<br>John Labatt Limited<br>London, Ontario                    |
| <b>WILLIAM N. CANNON</b>                             | Greenfield Laboratories<br>Eli Lilly and Company<br>Greenfield, Indiana                             |
| <b>CYRIL J. CORUM</b>                                | Antibiotics Development<br>Eli Lilly and Company<br>Indianapolis, Indiana                           |
| <b>JOHN V. CROSS</b>                                 | Food and Industrial R & D Laboratories<br>John Labatt Limited<br>London, Ontario                    |
| <b>ARTHUR M. KAPLAN</b>                              | Applied Microbiology Group<br>U.S. Army Natick Laboratories<br>Natick, Massachusetts                |
| <b>H. T. (JACK) KEMP</b>                             | Microbiology and Environmental<br>Biology Division<br>Battelle Memorial Institute<br>Columbus, Ohio |
| <b>RICHARD L. RAYMOND</b>                            | Corporate Research Department<br>Sun Oil Company<br>Marcus Hook, Pennsylvania                       |
| <b>MARGARET L. WULF</b>                              | Agricultural Department<br>Dow Chemical Company<br>Midland, Michigan                                |

## Round Table

### The Use of Microorganisms to Clean up Oil Spills

The first Society Round Table discussion, on the possible role of microorganisms in cleaning up after oil spills, was held on August 6, 1970. The purpose of the discussion was to present a description of the problems associated with oil spills and to consider what role microorganisms could play in alleviating these problems. The discussion was concerned mainly with oil in aquatic environments. Much interesting information was presented describing the problem of oil spills, types of microorganisms involved, use of chemical dispersing agents, and residual oil in marine environments. Discussion leaders at this Round Table were:

William N. Cannon (*Chairman & Organizer*)  
Eli Lilly and Company  
Greenfield, Indiana

Donald G. Ahearn  
Georgia State University  
Atlanta, Georgia

Max Blumer  
Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution  
Woods Hole, Massachusetts

Robert L. Huddleston  
Continental Oil Company  
Ponca City, Oklahoma

Raam R. Mohan  
Esso Research and Engineering  
Linden, New Jersey

E. Donald Murray  
John Labatt Limited  
London, Ontario

Richard L. Raymond  
Sun Oil Company  
Marcus Hook, Pennsylvania

## Introduction

MORRIS R. ROGERS

1970 President of SIM

U.S. Army Natick Laboratories, Natick, Mass.

Volume 1 of *Developments in Industrial Microbiology* was published in 1960 as a pioneering venture by the Society for Industrial Microbiology in answer to the need for a yearly publication that would provide a technical reference source for up-to-date developments in industrial microbiology. This publication provides a written record of the proceedings of the Annual Meetings of the Society. This enables the microbiologist, the engineer, the chemist, and others in related scientific disciplines to obtain first-hand knowledge of the advances made in the many varied divisions of industrial microbiology.

In selecting the symposia topics that appear on the program of the Society's Annual Meetings, a great deal of effort is made to assemble a panel of scientific experts who can present both the current theoretical and also the practical aspects of each topic. This provides a balanced program. Basic research frequently generates information that permits insights into possible solutions to practical problems. Just as frequently, applied research contributes insights in basic research. A perusal of the 12 volumes of *Developments in Industrial Microbiology* will provide ample evidence that one of the main contributions of the Society has been to show how science takes advantage of all avenues and approaches. It makes little difference whether or not the initiating force to cover a gap in our scientific knowledge comes from practical research in industry or from scientific laboratories devoted solely to the solution of abstract and fundamental problems without intending any practical appreciation.

More specifically, the symposia topics and papers appearing in previous volumes as well as this volume will give the industrial microbiologist a sense of the rapid pace needed for anyone to keep up with the subject and to remain competent. *Developments in Industrial Microbiology* is comprehensive in the range of topics covered; its scope is actually wider than its title would indicate, since topics other than microbiology are included to give the reader a deeper appreciation of the extent to which the products of microbial metabolism are used in our world today. For example, the symposium on "Enzyme Additives in Detergents" covers not only the microbiological origins, safety, and environmental considerations in the manufacture of detergent enzymes but also includes such subjects as the performance value of enzymes in presoak formulations; the effect of enzymes on the performance of detergent formulations, and oversynthesis of microbial enzymes.

Other symposia topics of timely interest included in Volume 12 are "The Fermentation of Hydrocarbons," "The Microbial Aspects of Seawater Pollution," and "Some Aspects of Cosmetic Microbiology." Also prominent on the 1970 program was an informal Round Table Discussion on "The Use of Microorganisms to Clean Up Oil Spills." Unfortunately, because no formal manuscripts were required on the part of the participants, no record of this timely and informative discussion appears in Volume 12. Because of the importance of this subject, a symposium on this topic is planned for our 1971 Annual Meeting to be held at Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colo., and the proceedings will appear in Volume 13. The selection of these topics is an example of the Society's continuing desire to focus its attention on microbial problems of outstanding interest, and to solicit the technical participation which will provide a "state of the art" view on controversial topics of microbiological import.

## Table of Contents

Introduction	<i>Morris R. Rogers</i>	xi
Arthur Milton Kaplan—1970 Charles Thom Award Winner		1
Industrial Microbiology—Concepts, Challenges, and Motivations	<i>Arthur M. Kaplan</i>	3

### ENZYMES AS ADDITIVES IN DETERGENTS

*Donald H. Hughes, Convener*

How Enzymes Got into Detergents	<i>Claus Dambmann, Poul Holm, Villy Jensen, and Mogens H. Nielsen</i>	11
Microbiological Orgins of Detergent Enzymes	<i>L. Leon Campbell</i>	24
Environmental Considerations in the Manufacture of a Detergent Enzyme	<i>James G. Crawford and Edward F. Bouchard</i>	25
Performance Value of Enzymes in Presoak Formulations	<i>Virgil J. Richter</i>	36
The Effect of Enzymes on the Performance of Detergent Formulations	<i>W. A. Farone and Arno Cahn</i>	42
Safety Evaluation of Enzyme Detergents—Microbiological Studies	<i>J. A. Kooistra</i>	48
Oversynthesis of Microbial Enzymes	<i>Arnold L. Demain</i>	56

### FERMENTATION OF HYDROCARBONS

*Richard L. Raymond, Convener*

Microbial Protein from Hydrocarbons	<i>V. F. Coty and R. I. Leavitt</i>	61
-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----

Influence of Growth Conditions on Amino Acid Composition of the Cellular Protein of a <i>Micrococcus</i> Strain Grown on Hydrocarbons	R. J. Ertola, R. F. Segovia, M. Gambaruto, J. C. Monesiglio, and C. Artuso	72
Myxotrophic Growth of Algae on Hydrocarbon Substrates	Margaret J. Masters and J. E. Zajic	77
Flocculants from Paraffinic Hydrocarbons	J. E. Zajic and Eva Knettig	87
Hydrocarbon Co-oxidation by <i>Nocardia corallina</i> Strain V-49	V. W. Jamison, R. L. Raymond, and J. O. Hudson	99

#### MICROBIOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF SEAWATER POLLUTION

Clarence M. Tarzwell, *Convener*

Physiological Studies of Algae Isolated from a Polluted Biotope	Emanuel V. Sorge and John J. A. McLaughlin	109
The Role of Yeasts in the Decomposition of Oils in Marine Environments	Donald G. Ahearn, Samuel P. Meyers, and Paul G. Standard	126
Physical Measurements of Our Natural Resources	G. C. McLeod and C. Rhee	135
The Fate of Freshwater Bacteria in the Sea	Galen E. Jones	141

#### SOME ASPECTS OF COSMETIC MICROBIOLOGY

Waldimero Coscarelli, *Convener*

Microbiological Problems Associated with the Development and Production of Cosmetic and Toiletry Products	Frank E. Halleck	155
A Laboratory Comparison of Selective Media for <i>Pseudomonas</i> in Cosmetics and Toiletries	Janet C. Curry and Estelle G. Butera	165
Incidence and Characterization of Fungi in Eye Cosmetics	Joan W. Kuehne and Donald G. Ahearn	173
Antibacterial Cosmetics and the Microflora of Human Skin	Richard R. Marples	178

## CONTRIBUTED PAPERS

Rapid Detection of Bacterial Cells by Radioactive Methods	M. G. Crum	191
<i>Candida lipolytica</i> : A Nonpathogenic Test Organism for Deterioration of Plastics	J. L. Osmon and R. E. Klausmeier	197
The Effect of Added Nutrient on Plasticizer Deterioration	R. E. Klausmeier, P. L. Williams, J. L. Osmon, E. I. Jamison, and J. L. Kanzig	204
Increase in Cellulase Yields by Addition of Surfactants to Cellobiose Cultures of <i>Trichoderma viride</i>	Elwyn T. Reese and Anne Maguire	212
Characterization of Deoxyribonucleic Acid from <i>Streptomyces venezuelae</i> Spores	L. W. Enquist and S. G. Bradley	225
Studies on Some <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> Isolates from Bovine Mastitis	Eugene L. Dulaney and Carolyn L. Ruby	237
The Effect of 9-(3-Dimethylaminopropylidene) Fluorene HCl on Episomal-Directed Mating Systems	Eugene L. Dulaney and Carolyn L. Ruby	243
Evaluation of Selenite-F Broth as a Selective Medium for <i>Salmonella</i>	A. R. Whitehill and B. L. Gardner	249
A Shortened Immunofluorescence Technique for the Detection of Salmonellae in Inoculated Food Samples	N. F. Insalata, F. C. A. Sunga, and G. H. Schell	253
Survival of Microorganisms in Fish Protein Concentrate Stored under Controlled Conditions	Daniel Goldmintz	260
A New Automated Unit for Cleaning and Disinfecting Anesthesia Equipment and Other Medical Instruments	P. M. Borick, F. H. Dondershine, and R. A. Hollis	266
Ethylene Oxide Inactivation of Coliphage	R. A. Gammon, K. Kereluk, and R. S. Lloyd	273
Effects of Hydrostatic Pressures on Anaerobic Corrosion of Various Metals and Alloys by Sulfate-Reducing Marine Bacteria	Charles A. Willingham and Helen L. Quinby	278
Microbiological Instrumentation—A Quest	Fred W. Thomae, Jr., and John A. Geating	285
Comparison of Blood Agar to Other Media Used in the Recovery of Microorganisms from Phenolic-Treated Surfaces	Daniel Goldmintz, Michael Musachio, and Leo J. Hart	291

Population Dynamics in Continuous Cultures of Heterogeneous Microbial Populations	<i>S. Ghosh and F. G. Pohland</i>	295
Laboratory Microbiology of Spacecraft Coatings	<i>H. T. Kemp and C. W. Cooper</i>	312
Microbial Status of Certain Ultra-Clean Water Systems	<i>Lorraine S. Gall and John Lounsbury</i>	331
Effects of Heat, Chemicals, and Radiation of Cutting Fluid Flora	<i>T. F. Heinrichs and H. W. Rossmore</i>	341
Phenotypic and Genetic Effects in <i>Neurospora crassa</i> Produced by Selected Gases and Gases Mixed with Oxygen	<i>Stephanie Stephens, Carolyn DeSha, and Robert Fuerst</i>	346
A Versatile Optical Scanning Counter for Microbiology	<i>N. A. Kaufmann, R. A. Monroe, and R. D. Muir</i>	354
Passive Hemagglutination Studies on Staphylococcal Acid Phosphatase	<i>Yoshio Arae and C. L. San Clemente</i>	370
Chlortetracycline Resistance in Enteric Microorganisms in Chickens and Swine	<i>W. L. Margard, A. C. Peters, R. N. Pesut, and J. H. Litchfield</i>	376
Microbial Susceptibility of Reticulated Polyester Urethane Foam in Simulated Fuel Tank Environments	<i>Morris R. Rogers and Arthur M. Kaplan</i>	393
Organic Thiocyanates and Industrial Antimicrobial Agents	<i>Donald C. Wehner and Charles F. Hinz</i>	404
Index		411

ARTHUR MILTON KAPLAN

*1970 Charles Thom Award Winner, Society for Industrial Microbiology*

The second Charles Thom Award was made to Arthur M. Kaplan on August 5, 1970, at the S.I.M. annual banquet held at Point Judith, Rhode Island. The Thom Award is the major award of the Society and is granted to an individual who has made an outstanding contribution to research in industrial microbiology. The award was given to Dr. Kaplan for his scientific contributions and achievements in research designed to prevent and to control the microbiological degradation of material. The first Thom Award was made to Dr. Kenneth B. Raper in 1967.

Dr. Kaplan is a graduate of Massachusetts State College, Amherst (1939), received his M.S. degree from the State College of Washington, Pullman (1941), and his Ph.D. from the University of Massachusetts, Amherst (1948). He has published extensively in the scientific and technical literature as author or co-author of over 80 articles and holds 10 patents in areas concerned with microbial deterioration or contamination. He is a member of several scientific, honorary, and professional societies including the Society for Industrial Microbiology, American Society for Microbiology, American Chemical Society, Institute of Food Technologists, and the Research Society of America. Dr. Kaplan is a past president, treasurer, and director of S.I.M. In recognition for his outstanding service to the Society, he was given the S.I.M. Award of Merit in 1967.

Dr. Kaplan was designated by the State Department as a member of the United States Delegation to the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Expert Group on Biodeterioration of Materials. He is a founding member of the International Biodegradation Research Group, Delft, The Netherlands, and a member of the editorial boards of the International Biodeterioration Bulletin and Developments in Industrial Microbiology. He is active in international efforts concerned with microbiology and has served in the organizing of international symposia as well as several Gordon Research Conferences. He is an associate member of the Commission on Environmental Health, Armed Forces Epidemiological Board; an Adjunct Professor in the Department of Plant Pathology and Entomology, University of Rhode Island; and a member of the Board of Governors of the American Institute of Biological Sciences.



# Industrial Microbiology—Concepts, Challenges, and Motivations

ARTHUR M. KAPLAN

*U.S. Army Natick Laboratories, Natick, Massachusetts 01760*

President Rogers, members of the Board of Directors, members of the Society for Industrial Microbiology, Ladies and Gentlemen. It is with deep emotion that I acknowledge receipt of the Charles Thom Award from the Society for Industrial Microbiology. It is an honor that is cherished since it is bestowed by my peers and therein lies its special significance for me.

Industrial microbiology, by definition, is based on the talents derived from the disciplines of science, engineering, and economics. It gathers together individuals from industry, universities, government, and private foundations. Here in this room we find microbiologists, chemists, mathematicians, engineers, materials specialists, and administrators to name but a few. To be noted by a group representing such diversity is indeed complimentary by itself. However, it is of particular salience to me because this multifaceted group highlights a frequent reality in our endeavors; that is, the presence of colleagues of various disciplines and interests working together toward the solution of problems calling for their several skills. This has been, for many of us, at once an opportunity and a challenge; an opportunity because it permitted one to understand, to some slight degree, the working and applications of another discipline and a challenge in bringing about meaningful communication between individuals so that efforts could be productive. This is especially true for that segment of microbiology where my efforts have been directed; that of microbial deterioration of materials. This, to many people, is an esoteric, bizarre sort of profession having something to do with moldy books; or, from another aspect, an art practiced by alchemists with a peculiarly modern twist—that of keeping gold from turning into dross! In reality, we concern ourselves with all manner of materials, all aspects of science and technology, and every conceivable industry. As a result, interdisciplinary effort is the very heart of our endeavors.

Many in industrial microbiology have long recognized the value of the interdisciplinary approach. The term "interdisciplinary" has been used interchangeably with the expression, "team" approach. Often, in practice, both are indeed the same. I should like, however, to make a distinction between the two because they are not necessarily synonymous since one can be cognizant of and have the talent to pursue interdisciplinary investigations as an individual. Still, it must be recognized that in this day of extreme scientific specialization there are relatively few people who are so blessed. It is interesting to observe, parenthetically, that the National Science Foundation is now sponsoring interdisciplinary research and that universities have established interdisciplinary studies curricula. As a result of such activity, perhaps we shall see in the future the more frequent appearance of the new renaissance man who is capable of conducting diverse studies by himself. However, in view of present circumstances, where there is a dearth of contemporary Leonardo da Vincis with such inherent proficiency and working with others is thus a necessity, how is the challenge of working with colleagues of other

This paper is the 1970 Charles Thom Award Address.

disciplines managed? How is this accomplished so that each individual involved contributes fully and unstintingly the best of his professional capability and intellect? On the one hand, I presume that this can and is frequently brought about through executive fiat, i.e., do this because I so direct it. In this situation, consequences are apt to be dire if failure results; if well done, tangible reward may follow. Motivation for cooperation is clear and self-evident. I submit, however, that in the greater number of cases the individual is solely or additionally motivated by the sheer excitement of the problem as he sees it or as it is communicated to him by others. He sees the opportunity of employing his talents, of appreciating the fact that without these talents an essential ingredient toward the solution will be lacking, and that somehow his is one of the major touchstones that magically catalyzes the effort into tangible, fruitful, significant results of one sort or another. It is this sense of a person's worth and dignity that leads him to work with scientific strangers, to be tolerant of other approaches, to solicit help, and finally to savor the accomplishment.

At this point, I suspect that the thought must be running through some of your minds that I describe a fantasy that just doesn't happen in the real world, that individual motivation divorced from tangible reward, or lack of it, does not exist. Well, what is the real scientific world? Recently, I attended a conference concerned with disinfection of water supplies and waste water treatments. Early in the conference, there was discussion of a laboratory study. The speaker pointed out that the study, of course, had to be considered in the light of circumstances existing in the real world and experimental conditions were therefore established to simulate "real world" conditions. Following this, there was constant reference during the conference to the phrase "real world," with one speaker finally stating that the leading theme of the conference appeared to be the "real world." Why did the phrase touch a responsive chord? In one instance, because some of the conference attendees were engineers faced with overwhelming pollution problems that required immediate answers in terms of types of treatment plants, treatment procedures, and cost analysis among others. Nevertheless, as the same engineers spoke, it was interesting to observe the broadness of thought as demonstrated by the chemical, biological, and mathematical factors touched on and considered, the pride in tying in these separate but related arts, and finally the obvious sense of accomplishment in describing substantive design solutions. So, for this pragmatic group, there was present the individual motivation toward participating in a multidisciplinary task in exactly the terms described above and in the very real and present world of environmental pollution.

There were others present who were most interested in the mode of action involved in the control by halogens of water-borne pathogenic microorganisms. These people were also intrigued by the real world. Their real world consisted of such concerns as the failure of iodine to react with RNA isolated from representative enteric pathogenic virus, the inactivation of the intact viral particle by specific chemical species of iodine, and the determination of the exact situations required for a given chemical species to exist. Theirs was indeed the real world of providing means for insuring noninfective water supplies. But, in this real world, the dialogue between virologists, biochemists, organic chemists, physical chemists, and engineers generated a great deal of heat as each brought the tools of his trade, if you will, to bear on the problem and each was certain that the other's science simply didn't explain things properly. Here we had inputs of the various crafts but, since this was a world where definitions and proofs were at a basic level, the validity of each position was more difficult to establish as contrasted with the more visible and tangible solutions of the engineers. Each individual protagonist, however, was motivated to contribute his science toward unravelling the

chemical, physical, and biological complexity by the sheer challenge of the problem. Thus, I feel that one does not deal in fantasy but indeed finds in the real world of science the justification and drive for individual expression in interdisciplinary research.

In citing examples to illustrate scientific motivation for interdisciplinary effort, I have chosen one example from the very applied area of civil engineering and the other example from a more basic level of science, the mode of action of biocides. Yet, both are parts of industrial microbiology. It is intriguing that in industrial microbiology, by its very title an applied science, there exists significant basic effort. Again, those who are part of industrial microbiology are not surprised because they know that application and technology are based on such studies. Examples can be found in such areas as the mechanisms of transformation of steroids by microorganisms, the production of antibiotics, studies concerned with influencing metabolism of microorganisms to favor elaboration of a given metabolite of commercial value, mode of action of enzymes in breaking down given organic substrates, iron and sulfur metabolism of importance in metal corrosion, concrete erosion, and water pollution, and so forth. What is surprising to us, I believe, is the rather tardy recognition that basic research can indeed be application-oriented research rather than research for its own sake, with no thought given to its ultimate usefulness. I should like to illustrate this point with a specific example to indicate that this thought is neither heretical nor contradictory.

A number of years ago we undertook studies to provide better protection to cellulosic textiles than we were obtaining with fungicides available to us. One of the more promising developments at that time was the treatment of the cellulose with a compound, trimethylolmelamine, that offered passive protection to the cellulose as contrasted to the active protection offered by fungicides where fungal growth is prevented through the toxic action of the fungicide. Obviously, since the compound was nontoxic to cellulolytic fungi, it established a barrier that somehow prevented access of fungal cellulases to susceptible sites of the cellulose molecules. We naturally were curious as to whether this was due to a gross barrier effect on a supramolecular level, i.e., the formation of polymers that would plug pores in the cellulose structure or coat fibers thus blocking enzymes, or whether the effect was due to chemical linkage of the melamine compound with cellulose at a molecular level, altering the cellulose structure so that the enzymes could not approach a susceptible molecular site because of configuration changes in the cellulose. Work carried on in our own laboratories and in other laboratories commissioned by us to study the problem established that there was indeed covalent linkage of the trimethylolmelamine with cellulose and that this linkage occurred at carbons 2, 3, and 6 of the anhydroglucose units of the cellulose molecules. At the same time, it was also shown, using regenerated cellulose gels, that polymer formation occurred in the pores of the gels, plugging the pores. We thus were faced with some support for blocking at either the molecular or supramolecular level or a combination of both.

For a number of reasons, we decided to concentrate on changes at the molecular level and we continued our studies for the most practical of motives. If we could establish the exact number and distribution of altered molecules required to prevent cellulase fit with the cellulose substrate, we would then have a valuable basis for development of treatments on rational rather than empirical grounds. But in order to do this, we must first have an idea not only of the structure of the modified cellulose substrate but, as important, an idea of the structure and exact conformation of the cellulolytic enzymes. This requires the purification, separation, and isolation of crystalline enzymes so that the proper tools of physical, analytical, and biochemistry can be utilized to determine structure and conformation. Where does this lead? To one of

the very frontiers of basic science, the elucidation of the exact structure of protein molecules. A study that is certainly fundamental yet, in the present context, one of direction for very practical reasons. It is because of this seeming paradox that in our own laboratory we make no attempt to classify our efforts. We do what is required of us and have used the rather nondescript terms of short- or long-range research to describe our efforts if we are pressed for definitions.

The concern for putting a label on the type of research done has been a matter of discussion for many years and still continues. As recently as June 15 of this year, Dr. Otto Behrens, Associate Director of Research, Eli Lilly Research Laboratories, in an article in *BioScience*, discussed the interrelationship of basic and applied research in the pharmaceutical industry. His was an introduction to four other articles prepared to illustrate various ways in which pharmaceutical research interacts with the basic sciences. The articles used as examples were concerned with interferon inducers, proinsulin, enzyme induction by drugs, and immune suppressants, respectively. The authors included employees of two drug companies, a consultant to a drug company, and a university professor. All the articles demonstrated the preoccupation of the authors with basic phenomena and the authors' biographical sketches confirmed this. For example, one author had written extensively on the amino acid sequence of glucagon and proinsulin, another on the physiological role of the hepatic microsomal mono-oxygenase enzyme system, etc. Nevertheless, all the articles were obviously related to practical goals of drug companies. How to describe such research? Dr. Behrens held to the distinction between basic and applied research but observed: "The consideration of goals for applied research may lead to the realization that certain basic phenomena must be investigated before experimental approaches to the practical problem can be formulated. In such instances, the experimental differences between basic and applied research may be insignificant." He also noted and gave examples of the contributions applied research has made to basic research thus: "With the discovery of the antibiotics came the recognition that these substances also serve as new tools for the study of metabolism." Reiss, in an article in the June 29 issue of *Chemical and Engineering News*, discussing industrial research careers, also is concerned with descriptive terms for research. He prefers the terms phenomena-oriented research to basic research to indicate its involvement with the elucidation of natural phenomena. Concerning applied research, he states: "The term applied research will be retained to indicate involvement with application and creative activity concerned with the integration of knowledge components, in novel ways, into technological constructs." He further states: "Applied, as well as phenomena-oriented, research can involve the investigation of fundamental mechanisms on the atomic and molecular level, and in this sense can be fundamental as opposed to empirical or Edisonian. This fact is often seriously overlooked by students, and leads to an erroneous order of preference in which applied science is usually a second choice."

During the 50's and early 60's when federal funds were bountifully available, basic research was the only research in the minds of many. Presently, both in the United States and Europe, mission orientation is being emphasized. Of course, both efforts must be carried on but I must say, and I have attempted to illustrate the point, that mission orientation offers the same individual and scientific challenge that has always existed in the realm of what some prefer to call pure science. In some ways, the challenge is greater because one is now forced to think beyond the direct job of conducting research in his specialty alone. Perhaps it is for the better if we are forced to broaden our outlook, in spite of ourselves, to consider other elements involved in this "real world" of science and technology, to absorb something of the philosophy and