COLE'S PRACTICAL PHYSIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY

TENTH EDITION

REVISED AND RE-WRITTEN
BY

ERNEST BALDWIN

DAVID JAMES BELL

COLE'S Practical Physiological Chemistry

Revised and re-written by

ERNEST BALDWIN, Ph.D.

Professor of Biochemistry at University College in the University of London

Formerly Fellow of St. John's College and University Lecturer in Biochemistry in the University of Cambridge

and

DAVID JAMES BELL, Sc.D., F.R.S.E.

Senior Biochemist, Agricultural Research Council Poultry Research Centre, Edinburgh

Formerly University Lecturer in Biochemistry in the University of Cambridge

TENTH EDITION

CAMBRIDGE W. HEFFER & SONS LTD 1955

TO THE EVERGREEN MEMORY OF SYDNEY WILLIAM COLE

THIS IOTH EDITION OF HIS "PRACTICAL PHYSIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY" IS AFFECTIONATELY DEDICATED BY TWO OF HIS FRIENDS AND FORMER COLLEAGUES

Printed in Great Britain at the Works of W. HEFFER & SONS LTD., CAMBRIDGE, ENGLAND

COLE'S PRACTICAL PHYSIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY

1st Edition 1904 2nd Edition 1908 3rd Edition 1913 4th Edition 1914 5th Edition 1919 6th Edition 1920 7th Edition 8th Edition 1926 1928 9th Edition 1933 Reprinted 1942 ditto 1943 ditto 1944 10th Edition 1955

PREFACE

Our former colleague and mentor, Sydney William Cole, M.A. of Trinity College, Cambridge, died on 18th December 1951 at the age of 75. It is no exaggeration to say that as the author of "Practical Physiological Chemistry," as one of the earliest collaborators of F. Gowland Hopkins, and as one of the original members of the Cambridge School of Biochemistry, his fame is world-wide.

During his years of retirement from his University Lectureship Mr. Cole deputed us to re-write his book, the last edition of which, the ninth, appeared 20 odd years ago. Since then the chemical investigation of biological mechanisms as well as the methods employed in these investigations have advanced our knowledge to a degree that was scarcely even dreamed of 20 years ago.

We have endeavoured to retain the familiar plan of Cole, a plan to which we have long been accustomed, formerly as students and later as teachers. We have retained the outlook on human physiology knowing that most students of that subject, if they wish to learn about organisms other than man, must be prepared to study the wider field of comparative biochemistry and leave the conventional courts of medical study.

All the experiments detailed here we have been accustomed to find successful in the hands of students. The more knowledgeable may criticise some of the quantitative procedures as being "old-fashioned" or as "not used in the hospital." We would answer that, over a period of many years' teaching by Mr. Cole and later by ourselves the methods described are in our collective experience the most suitable for the student of physiology; they require simple apparatus, are not time-consuming and, in the hands of the average student, give satisfactory results.

Excellent manuals of clinical chemistry exist for those who require them; "Cole" has always been a students' textbook and we hope that the present-day students will find the 10th edition as useful as the nine earlier editions were to their predecessors.

ERNEST BALDWIN. D. J. BELL.

Easter, 1955.

CONTENTS

									PAGE
DEDICAT	ION					* *			iv
Preface									v
			CI	HAPTI	T G				
Hydrog	DI TO	r Corre			EK I				
									-
Α.		Dissociat				* *	• •	* *	I
В.	Acids	and Ba	ases;	The pl	1 Scale			* 1	3
C.		Dissociat						* *	5
D.		res of a					t	* *	7
E.		ion Cur							10
F.		Solution			• •	• •	• •		II
G.		Hydroly					. 11		13
н.		Preparat	ion of	Stand	lard Bu	iffer S	olution	S	14
I.	Indica				.17				18
J.		e of Ind							24
K.		mination						se of	
	S	tandard	l Buffe	ers		* *			26
L.	Deter	minatio	n of p	oH by	Gillespi	ie's Dr	op Me	thod	29
M.		mination							32
N.	Deter	minatio	n of pI	H by th	ne Quinl	hydron	e Elect	rode	42
C D-		D		HAPTE					
Some Pi			ERTIES	OF SO	DLUTION	S			
Α.	Colloi	44.00			. 11		***		45
В.		olytes a					*:*		49
C.		tic Pres					sis		52
D.	Freezi	ing Poir	nt Dep	pression	n				56
E.	Surfac	ce Tensi	ion an	d Ads	orption				58
			OTT	L DMD	D 111				
			-		R III				
REACTIO									
A.		fication						* *	60
В.		nary of							60
C	Diagon	ydrates tive Hy	dualera	:. of T	i and	Dolreso	a a b a mi d		63
C.	Diges	ive ny	Corb	bridge	too in A	rorysa	cenario-	es	64
D.		ions of							64
E.		ions of							66
F.		fication				Suga	rs as	their	00
0		henylos		3	× ×		* *	* *	68
G.		charides					•11•		69
Н.	Polys	accharid	ies						70

PROTEINS

CONTENTS

CHAPTER IV

PAGE

	A. B. C. D. E.	General Chemical The "R" group Classification of Precipitation of Precipitation of Responses	ps of the of Prote of Prote	Proteins leins b	ins ins by Heav y Acidic	or "A	tals	 lal"	75 78 81 83
	F.	Reagents Precipitation	of Prot	eins 1	by Etha	nol			84
	G.	Heat Coagulat	ion of	Albu	mins an	d Glo			85
	H.	"Derived" Pro	oteins						87
	I.	Scleroproteins	and th	neir I	erivativ	es			88
	J.	Phosphoprotei	ns; Cas	sein			* *		91
	K.	Glycoproteins	(Muco	protei	ns)	• : •			93
			CHA	APTE	R V				
Ттрі	ıns.	FATS AND ASSO	OCIATE	D SHE	RSTANCES	· Bir	F		
LIII		Composition a							05
	А. В.	Emulcification	of Fo	te ta	Froperti	es			95
	C.	Emulsification Saponification	OI I'd	.15	• •		• •		97 98
		Unsaturation:	the To	dina	Number			• •	100
	F.	Properties of	Sterole	(Cho	lesterol)		**	• •	101
	F.	Bile Salts		(0110)	icstcroi)				101
					R VI				
THE	Сн	emistry of So	ME FO	ODS					
	A.	Milk							105
	В.	Cheese							108
	C.	Potatoes							109
	D.	Flour			* *		* *		110
	E.	No. 4							III
	F.	and the second s							II2
		Vitamins			***	* *			116
			СНА	PTFI	R VII				
_		-							
Dig	ESTI	ON AND THE D	IGESTIV	E EN	ZYMES				
	A.	Enzymes			* *	* *			120
	В.	Saliva Gastric Juice					14.14		126
	C.	Gastric Juice	* 25	* *	* *				131
	D.	Pepsin			* *				135
	E.	Rennin							140
	F.	Trypsin and I Intestinal Pep Pancreatic An	Erepsin	Ĺ	**				142
	G.	Intestinal Per	tidases	("E1	repsin'')				148
	H.	Pancreatic An	nylase	("Am	ylopsin"	')	* *		149
	I.	Autolysis: Ka	thepsir	ıs					150

CONTENTS	ix
CHAPTER VIII	
The Red Blood Corpuscles: Pigments of Blood and Bile	PAGE
A. The Laking of Blood: HaemolysisB. Haemoglobin and its DerivativesC. The Absorption Spectra of Haemoglobin and its	152 154
Derivatives	158 161 166
CHAPTER IX	
URINE: QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS	
 A. Formation and General Properties B. Constituents of Normal Urine and their Origins C. Properties and Reactions of Constituents of Normal 	168 171
Urine D. Properties and Reactions of Abnormal or Patho-	172
logical Constituents of Urine	184
CHAPTER X	
QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS: GENERAL TECHNIQUE	
A. Introductory	194
B. Some General Notes on Microchemical Analysis C. Removal of Proteins and other Interfering	195
Substances from Blood and Tissue Extracts D. Method for obtaining small Samples of Blood from	196
the Finger	198
CHAPTER XI	
QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF BLOOD	
A. General Aspects	199
B. Some Non-protein Constituents of Blood C. Some Organic Constituents of Blood	200
C. Some Organic Constituents of Blood	203
CHAPTER XII	
QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF URINE	
A. General Aspects	216
B. Nitrogenous Constituents of Urine	217
C. Reducing Sugar	226
D. Inorganic Constituents	228 231
	231
CHAPTER XIII	
DETECTION OF SUBSTANCES OF PHYSIOLOGICAL INTEREST	
A. Fluids	234
B. Solids	242

API	PEN	DICES				PAGE
Weights and Measures				* *	4.1	244
Tension of Aqueous Vapour			* 16			245
International Atomic Weights	S				* 2	245
Specific Gravities Tables		**			* *	245
Boiling Points		* *	* *			247
Standard Acids and Alkalis						248
D' 11				***		249
Use of the Centrifuge		* *				250
Use of the Hand Spectroscop	oe .					251
Use of Colorimeters						252
Routine Clinical Examination	of	Urine				254
Index						256
Logarithm Tables						of book

ILLUSTRATIONS AND FIGURES

FIG.					PAGE
I.	Titration curve of a weak acid				10
2.	Paraffined bottle for standard alkali				15
3.	Dissociation curves of indicators		* **		20
4.	Indicator chart			* *	21
5.	Dropping pipette				23
6.	Cole and Onslow's Comparator				28
7-	Arrangement of tubes in comparator				29
8.	Comparator for 4 tubes				30
9.	Comparator for Gillespie's method				30
IO.	Arrangement of tubes for Gillespie's				31
II.	Concentration cell with two hydrogen	electro	odes		33
12.	Diagram of compensation method				35
13.	The Cole potentiometer				36
14.	Wiring diagram of the Cole potention	neter			36
15.	Cole's hydrogen electrodes				37
16.	Water bath for electrodes				38
17.	Determination of Osmotic pressure				53
18.	Beckmann's freezing point apparatus				57
19.					58
20.	Absorption spectra of reduced and ox	yhaemo	oglobin	١	160
21.	Method of drawing blood	**			198
22.	Estimation of urea by hypobromite				220
23.	Estimation of ammonia, using suction	n pump			224

CHAPTER ONE

HYDROGEN ION CONCENTRATION

A. The Dissociation of Water

BIOCHEMICAL processes are profoundly affected by the acidity or alkalinity, i.e. by the "reaction," of the dilute aqueous media in which they take place. It is therefore necessary to become familiar with the factors that determine this "reaction," with the mode of its expression and with methods for its estimation.

Pure water itself is very slightly dissociated into hydrogen ions and hydroxyl ions, the extent of this dissociation increasing with rising temperature:

$$H_2O \rightleftharpoons H^+ + OH^-$$
.

By determinations of electrical conductivity and other physical measurements it has been found that at 22° C. the concentration of hydrogen ions in pure water is only one ten-millionth (10^{-7}) of a gram per litre. The number of hydroxyl ions is equal to that of the hydrogen ions but, because the hydroxyl ion is 17 times heavier, the concentration of hydroxyl ions in pure water at this temperature is 17×10^{-7} g. per litre. However, it is usual for theoretical reasons to express concentrations in terms not of g. per litre but of g. ions per litre, i.e. in terms of molarity. This is indicated by putting the symbol for the ion or molecule in square brackets. Thus, in pure water at 22° C. we can write:

$$[H^+] = [OH^-] = 10^{-7} \text{ g. ions/litre.}$$

The relationship between the concentrations of the two ions can be worked out by applying the Law of Mass Action. The fundamental principle expressed by this law is that, at a given temperature, the velocity of a chemical reaction is proportional to the product of the molecular concentrations of the reacting substances.

Suppose, for example, that substances A and B react together in solution forming C and D as products, and that C and D can also react together to form A and B; then:

$$A + B \rightarrow C + D$$
 .. (a)

$$C + D \rightarrow A + B$$
 .. (b)

The rate of reaction (a) depends upon the frequency of collisions between molecules of A and B. Similarly, the rate of the reverse reaction (reaction b) depends upon the frequency of molecular collisions between C and D. At first, only reaction (a) will take

place and its velocity will fall off as A and B are used up. At the same time, since C and D are being formed, the reverse reaction, which starts at zero velocity, increases in speed as more and more molecules of C and D are produced. Eventually a point will be reached at which the forward and backward reactions take place at the same rate, so that an equilibrium condition is reached and may be represented as follows:

$$A + B \rightleftharpoons C + D$$
.

According to the law of mass action the rate of the forward reaction is proportional to the *product* of the molar concentrations of A and B. Thus, if the concentration of A is increased, say, 3 times, the chances of molecular collisions between A and B are increased threefold and the reaction velocity is trebled. If now the concentration of B is also increased 3 times, the chances of molecular collisions between A and B are trebled once more and the reaction velocity is increased again by a factor of three, i.e. to 9 times its original velocity. Thus the rate of reaction is proportional to the *product* and not to the sum of the concentrations of A and B.

The rates or velocities of the two reactions taking place in the equilibrium system (v_a and v_b in the following equations) are proportional, then, to the *products of the molar concentrations of the reactants*, and may be expressed by multiplying these products by a constant which is characteristic of each particular reaction and, as a rule, increases with temperature for any given reaction. This constant is the *reaction velocity constant* for the particular reaction. Thus, for reactions (a) and (b) we can write:

$$v_a = k_a [A][B]$$

 $v_b = k_b [C][D]$

When equilibrium is attained, $v_a = v_b$ and hence:

$$k_{\mathrm{a}}\left[A\right]\left[B\right] = k_{\mathrm{b}}\left[C\right]\left[D\right] \quad or \quad \frac{k_{\mathrm{b}}}{k_{\mathrm{a}}} = \frac{\left[A\right]\left[B\right]}{\left[C\right]\left[D\right]}.$$

Since the ratio of two constants is itself a constant we arrive at the following important equation:—

$$\mathbf{K} = \frac{[A][B]}{[C][D]}$$

where K is the equilibrium constant of the reversible reaction,

$$A + B \rightleftharpoons C + D$$
.

For the simpler system $A+B\rightleftharpoons C$ we can similarly arrive at the formula,

$$K = \frac{[A][B]}{[C]}.$$

It follows that, if C represents water and A and B the hydrogen and hydroxyl ions respectively;

$$\mathrm{H^+} + \mathrm{OH^-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H_2O}$$
 and $\mathrm{K} = \frac{\mathrm{[H^+][OH^-]}}{\mathrm{[H_2O]}}$.

Now the amount of water actually ionised is, as we have seen, extremely small, so that the concentration of unionised water, $[H_2O]$, is virtually constant at any given temperature. It follows that, in dilute solutions,

$$[H^+][OH^-] = K_w \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

 K_w is called the ion product of water.

This is a fundamental proposition. It means that the product of the molar concentrations of the H^+ and OH^- ions in dilute aqueous solutions is always the same at any given temperature, no matter what other substances may be present. This is not necessarily true of concentrated solutions, but in dilute solutions the proposition holds, even if acids, alkalis or salts are present. In what follows it is assumed that we are dealing with dilute solutions.

The value of K_w has been determined in various ways and the mean results obtained at different temperatures are listed in Table I. It will be noticed that K_w increases appreciably with rising temperature and has a temperature coefficient of about 2, i.e. it is about doubled for a rise in temperature of 10° C.

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE I} \\ \text{Change of } K_w \text{ with temperature} \end{array}$

Temp. (° C.)	$K_w \times 10^{-14}$	$-\log_{10}K_{\mathbf{w}}$ $(pK_{\mathbf{w}})$	pH of neutral point
16	0.63	14.20	7.10
	o·68	14.17	7.08
17 18	0.74	14.13	7.07
19	0.79	14.10	7.05
20	o·86	14.07	7.03
21	0.93	14.03	7.01
22	1.01	14.00	7.00
25	1.27	13.90	6.95
30	1.89	13.73	6.86
35	2.71	13.57	6.78
35 38 40	3.35	13.48	6.74
40	3.80	13.42	6.71

B. Acids and Bases; The pH Scale

All acids have one property in common: they all dissociate in aqueous solution to yield hydrogen ions. Now "strong" acids, such as hydrochloric, are almost completely ionised in aqueous

solutions whereas "weak" acids, such as acetic, ionise to only a small extent. If we compare, say, N solutions of hydrochloric and acetic acids we find that, while the molar concentrations of the two acids are the same, the acidities of the solutions are very different. Hydrochloric acid ionises extensively and yields a strongly acid solution containing a large proportion of hydrogen ions. Acetic acid on the other hand ionises to a relatively small extent and yields only a weakly acid solution containing relatively few hydrogen ions. In short, the acidity of a solution depends, not upon the molarity of the acid but upon the concentration of the hydrogen ions, [H+], to which the acid gives rise. It follows that, if we know [H+] for a given solution, we can express the acidity of that solution in quantitative terms.

It will be convenient to define first of all what we mean by the terms "acid," "neutral" and "alkaline." Neutrality is conveniently defined in terms of the properties of pure water, which has always been regarded as an ideally neutral substance. As we have seen, in pure water $[H^+] = [OH^-] = ro^{-7}$. Since $[H^+][OH^-] = K_w$ it follows that, if the concentration of the one ion is known, that of the other can be calculated from the known values of the constant K_w ; generally speaking, however, we work in terms of $[H^+]$. By definition, then, a solution is:

neutral if $[H^+] = 10^{-7}$, acid if $[H^+]$ is greater than 10^{-7} , alkaline if $[H^+]$ is less than 10^{-7} .

Since it is possible to measure [H+] with considerable accuracy by suitable methods, we can express acidity or alkalinity quantitatively in terms of hydrogen ion concentration, [H+]. This is a great advance over the use of vague and purely qualitative terms such as "weakly acid," "strongly alkaline" and the like.

For reasons of practical convenience the acidity or alkalinity of a solution is not nowadays usually expressed in terms of hydrogen ion concentration itself, but in the logarithmic terms introduced in 1909 by Sörensen. Hydrogen ion concentrations of physiological importance can usually be expressed as $[H^+] = 10^{-x}$; for example, the $[H^+]$ of N/100-HCl is very nearly 1/100 or 10^{-2} . Now if, to take a general case, $[H^+] = 10^{-x}$, it follows as a matter of definition that

$$-x = \log_{10}[H^+].$$

The quantity x is known as the pH of the solution, i.e.

$$x = pH$$

and hence, by definition,

$$pH = -\log_{10}[H^{+}].$$

Thus we may define pH as the logarithm of the hydrogen ion concentration with the sign reversed.

We can now amplify our definitions in terms of the pH scale. A solution is

neutral if
$$[H^+] = 10^{-7}$$
, or pH = 7,
acid if $[H^+] > 10^{-7}$, or pH < 7,
alkaline if $[H^+] < 10^{-7}$, or pH > 7.

It cannot be too clearly realised that the pH scale is a logarithmic and not an arithmetical one and that as $[H^+]$ increases, pH decreases because of the reversal of the sign. Thus a solution of pH 2 does not have one-half the $[H^+]$ of a solution of pH 4, but its acidity is $10^2 = 100$ times greater.

The conversion of [H+] to pH is done by reference to a table of common (decadic) logarithms (see the last pages of this book).

Thus if
$$[H^+] = 4 \times 10^{-7}$$
 then, since log $4 = 0.602$, $[H^+] = 10^{0.602} \times 10^{-7} = 10^{-6.398} = 10^{-6.4}$ (approx.),

and therefore

$$pH = 6.4$$
 (approx.).

Conversely, we can convert pH into [H+] as follows:-

If pH = 6.6, then

$$[H^+] = 10^{-6.6} = 10^{0.4-7.0} = 10^{0.4} \times 10^{-7}$$
.

The antilog of 0.4 is 2.51 and hence

$$[H^+] = 2.51 \times 10^{-7}$$
.

It is worth while to notice that, since $\log 2 = 0.30$, a decrease in pH of 0.3 corresponds to a two-fold increase of [H+] and therefore of acidity.

C. The Dissociation of Acids and Bases

An *acid* is an electrically neutral substance which, in solution, gives rise to H^+ or combines with and thereby removes OH^- . Since $[H^+][OH^-] = K_w$, either the addition of H^+ or the removal of OH^- results in an increase in acidity. A *base* is a substance which yields OH^- when dissolved in water, or which combines with and removes H^+ . An *ampholyte* is a substance that possesses both these properties.

Ions which are negatively charged and can therefore combine with the positively charged hydrogen ions are called *anions*, since they migrate towards the anode if placed in an electric field. Examples are the acetate ion, CH₃COO⁻, and the bicarbonate ion, HCO₃⁻. Positively charged ions such as those of Na⁺ or K⁺, which migrate towards the cathode, are called *cations* and can combine with the negatively charged hydroxyl ions.

A strong acid such as HCl is freely dissociated in aqueous solution, so that a high concentration of H+ results. The "strength" of an acid depends upon the concentration of H+ to which it gives

rise in aqueous solution. By contrast with HCl, acetic acid is very weak, since it dissociates only feebly in aqueous solution and gives rise to correspondingly low concentrations of hydrogen ions. Now, whether we consider a strong or a weak acid we can represent its condition in aqueous solution by the following equilibrium,

$$HA \rightleftharpoons H^+ + A^-$$

where A⁻ represents the anion. The essential difference between a strong acid such as HCl and a weak acid such as acetic lies in the extent to which the reverse reaction takes place. Free hydrogen ions show very little tendency to combine with chloride ions to re-form HCl. Acetate ions, on the other hand, combine readily with H⁺ to re-form the feebly ionising acetic acid so that, while the hydrogen ion concentration in a solution of HCl is very high, that in a solution of acetic acid of the same molar concentration is always low.

To make this clear we may take the following example. o·1 N-HCl behaves as though it were about $83\,\%$ dissociated at room temperature. Hence

$$[H^+] = 0.83 \times 10^{-1} = 10^{0.92 - 2} = 10^{-1.08}$$

so that pH=1.08. Similarly, o.1 N-acetic acid behaves as though it were 1.35% dissociated at room temperature, so that

$$[H^+] = 0.0135 \times 10^{-1} = 10^{-0.13 - 3} = 10^{-2.87}$$

so that pH=2.87. Thus a decinormal solution of HCl is about 6 times as strongly acid as a decinormal solution of acetic acid (a difference of 1.8 pH units).

Let us now consider the dissociation of some arbitrary weak acid such as acetic or lactic acid, writing A⁻ as before to represent the anion. In aqueous solution an acid of this kind is ionised to a small extent, depending upon temperature and dilution:

$$HA \rightleftharpoons H^+ + A^-$$
.

By applying the law of mass action we can derive the following equation:

$$\frac{[H^+][A^-]}{[HA]} = K \qquad . . \qquad (2)$$

Here [HA] is the concentration of the undissociated acid and [A-] that of the anion, e.g. acetate. K is the characteristic dissociation constant of the particular acid. Transposing and taking logarithms we get

$$[\mathrm{H^+}] = \mathrm{K} \; \frac{[\mathrm{HA}]}{[\mathrm{A}^-]}$$

. . . log H⁺ = log K + log
$$\frac{\text{[HA]}}{\text{[A-]}}$$
 .

Expressing this relationship in terms of pH we get

$$- pH = \log K + \log \frac{[HA]}{[A]}$$

or

$$pH = -\log K - \log \frac{[HA]}{\lceil A^- \rceil} = -\log K + \log \frac{[A^-]}{\lceil HA \rceil}.$$

Here K, the dissociation constant of HA, is an important quantity. It will be observed that $-\log K$ corresponds to that pH at which $[A^-] = [HA]$, i.e. when $\log \frac{[A^-]}{[HA]} = \log r = o$; in other words, $-\log K$ is that pH at which exactly one-half of HA is dissociated.

Since, therefore, $-\log K$ has the dimensions of pH, it is usually written in comparable terms and expressed as pK. Our equation can therefore be rewritten thus:

$$pH = pK + \log \frac{[A^{-}]}{[HA]} \dots \qquad (3)$$

where pK is that pH at which the acid is half dissociated.

Similar considerations show that dibasic acids, e.g. oxalic and succinic, have two dissociation constants, one corresponding to the ionisation of each of the two acidic radicals. A particularly important dibasic acid in biological systems in carbonic acid, which ionises thus:

(i)
$$H_2CO_3 \rightleftharpoons H^+ + HCO_3^-$$
,

(ii)
$$HCO_3^- \rightleftharpoons H^+ + CO_3^-$$
,

and the following equations can be written to correspond to the two stages of its dissociation:—

$${\rm K_1} = \frac{\rm [H^+][HCO_3^-]}{\rm [H_2CO_3]} \text{ and } {\rm K_2} = \frac{\rm [H^+][CO_3^-]}{\rm [HCO_3^-]} \,.$$

Tribasic acids such as phosphoric and citric have three dissociation constants, and so on. Values for the dissociation constants and pK values of a number of weak acids are given in Table II.

D. Mixtures of a Weak Acid with its Salt

Although weak acids are only feebly ionised in aqueous solution, the salts they form with alkali metals are strongly dissociated and are strong electrolytes. Thus, whereas o'I N-acetic acid behaves as if only I·35% is dissociated, o'I N-sodium acetate behaves as if no less than 79% dissociates. Similarly, while ammonia, a weak