

## SELECT CASES

AND

## OTHER AUTHORITIES

ON THE LAW OF

# PRIVATE CORPORATIONS

BY

EDWARD H. WARREN
Story Professor of Law in Harvard University

LANGDELL HALL, CAMBRIDGE PUBLISHED BY THE EDITOR 1922

### PREFACE.

The first edition of Cases on Corporations was published seven years ago. In this second edition there are large changes.

The following subjects are considered at much greater length: Unincorporated Associations; Issues of Stock at a Discount or for Overvalued Property; Offenses Against the Sherman Anti-Trust Act; Reorganizations of Corporations.

The more important cases decided since 1909 are set forth, or cited in the notes.

There are numerous notes by the editor.

E. H. W.

Langdell Hall, Cambridge, April, 1916.

# TABLE OF CASES.

Andrews Bros. Co. v. Youngstown	i	Charlestown Boot Co. v. Duns-	
Coke Co	74	more	483
Ashbury Railway Carriage and Iron		Chestnut Hill Turnpike Co. v.	
	657	Rutter	500
Athol Music Hall Company v.		Chicago City Railway Co. v. Aller-	
	173	ton	466
Attorney-General for Canada v.		Citizens National Bank v. Apple-	
	337	ton	740
Automatic Self-Cleansing Filter		Clapp $v$ . Peterson	446
Co. v. Cuninghame	477	Clews v. Friedman	897
Ayers v. The South Australian			230
	664	Coit v. Gold Amalgamating Co	242
		Cole v. Millerton Iron Co	989
Bahia & San Francisco Ry. Co.,	- 1	Commercial National Bank v.	
	901		468
	488	Continental Securities Co. v. Bel-	200
	125	mont	875
Bank of Topeka v. Eaton	45	Continental Tyre & Rubber Co.,	0.0
Bank of United States v. Deveaux.		Ltd., v. Daimler Co., Ltd	157
Baroness Wenlock v. River Dee	100	Cook v. Burlington	90
	668	Coppin v. Greenlees & Ransom	00
Bartholomew v. Derby Rubber	000		442
	961		636
	407		970
		Cotton v. Imperial Corporation .	798
	731	Crowell v. Jackson	190
Boston & Albany R.R. Co. v. Rich-	000	D D	873
	902	Davenport v. Dows	010
	600	Davenport v. Peoria Insurance	40-
	857	Co	487
	645		666
	921	Davis v. Las Ovas Co	346
British South Africa Co. v. De		Davis v. Stevens	628
Beers Consolidated Mines, Ltd			409
	148	Denver Fire Insurance Co. v. Mc-	
	393		720
Bryant's Pond Steam Mill Co. v.			868
	176		265
Burroughs v. North Carolina R.R.		Downing v. Mount Washington	
	841	Road Company	380
Bushnell v. Consolidated Ice Ma-		Dunphy v. Traveller Newspaper	
	603		874
Butler Paper Co. v. Cleveland	25	Dupee v. Boston Water Power Co.	449
Clif D L Z		D + D' + 1 I I I G	
	415	East Birmingham Land Co. v.	000
	694	Dennis	890
Callender v. Painesville R.R. Co		East Norway Lake Church v.	0.10
Carmichael's Case	179	Froislie	646
Central Railroad Company v. Col-		Easton National Bank v. Ameri-	000
	410		300
	682	Eliot $v$ . Freeman	48
Central Transportation Co. v.		Ellis v. Marshall	17
	728	Elyton Land Co. v. Birmingham	
Chambers v. McKee & Bros 8	859 l	Co	255

	947		
Erlanger v. New Sombrero Phos-		missioners of Inland Revenue .	94
phate Co	334	Joint Stock Discount Co. v. Brown	
Ewing v. Composite Brake Shoe	000	Jourdan v. Long Island R.R. Co.	494
Co	982	Volume Postos	107
Finley Shoe & Leather Co. v. Kurtz	101	Kelner v. Baxter	187 689
First National Bank v. National	491	Kraft v. Griffon Co	943
	423	Kuser v. Wright	764
Ford v. Easthampton Rubber			.01
Thread Co	837	Lake Superior Iron Co. v. Drexel .	250
Fort Payne Rolling Mill v. Hill .	785	Lantz v. Moeller	290
Foss $v$ . Harbottle	862	Linn Timber Co. v. United States.	137
Franklin Bridge Co. v. Wood	9	Liverpool Insurance Co. v. Massa-	
Franklin National Bank v. White-	400	chusetts	51
head	402	Luthy $v$ . Ream	912
Furnivall $v$ . Coombes	670	Malone v. Lancaster Gas-Light Co.	388
Gallagher v. Germania Brewing Co.	98	Marvin v. Anderson	451
General Rubber Co. v. Benedict .	809	Mason v. Pewabic Mining Co	955
Gilbert v. Finch	779	MacGregor v. Dover & Deal Ry.	000
Gillett v. Chicago Title & Trust		Co	672
Co	276		184
Goodnow v. American Writing		$McClure\ v.\ Law\ .\ .\ .\ .$	818
Paper Co	832		908
Great Southern Fire Proof Hotel		McDonald, Receiver, v. Williams.	
Co. v. Jones	78		699
Groel v. United Electric Company	800	McNab v. McNab & Harlin Mfg.	925
Guarantee Trust Co. $v$ . Dilworth Coal Co	222	McNeil v. Tenth National Bank .	894
Guckert v. Hacke	653	Meyer v. Mining & Milling Co	303
Gudior VII III Cito	000	Middlesex Husbandmen v. Davis .	20
Hall's Safe Co. v. Herring-Hall-		Minnesota Gas-Light Co. v. Dens-	
Marvin Safe Co	145	low	605
Handley $v$ . Stutz	937	Mobile & Ohio R.R. Co. v. Nicho-	
Harris v. Gas Co	756	las	917
Heckman's Estate	191	Mobile Improvement Co. v. Gass .	782
Henry v. Babcock & Wilson Co	$829 \\ 270$	Mokelumne Co. $v$ . Woodbury Monk $v$ . Barnett	$\frac{28}{237}$
Herron Co. $v$ . Shaw Hibbs $v$ . Brown	63	Monument National Bank v. Globe	201
$Hill \ v. \ Nisbet \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ .$	429	Works	712
Hodges v. New England Screw Co.	777	Moore & Handley Co. v. Towers	
Hoisting Machinery Co. v. Goeller		Hardware Co	140
Iron Works	492	Morgan $v$ . Lewis	456
Hong Kong & China Gas Co., Ltd.,		Munson $v$ . Syracuse R.R. Co	784
v. Glen	216	National Daula Matthama	000
Hospes v. Northwestern Mfg. &	292	National Bank v. Matthews	686
Car Co Hubbard $v$ . Worcester Art Mu-	292	National Home Building Ass'n v. Home Savings Bank	714
seum	704	Natusch v. Irving	850
Hun v. Cary	772	New Bedford Railroad v. Old Col-	
Hutchinson v. Green	473	ony Railroad	983
		New England Trust Co. v. Abbott	905
Imperial Building Co. v. Chicago Open Board of Trade	040	New York Cable Co. v. Mayor, etc.,	
Upen Board of Trade	618	of New York	651
Indianapolis Furnace Co. v. Herk- imer	649	Nims v. Mount Hermon Boys' School	677
	984	North Milwaukee Town Site No. 2	511
	001	v. Bishop	464
	100	Northern Pacific Railway Co. v.	_
Jacobus v. Jamestown Mantel Co.		Boyd	995
Janney v. Minneapolis Industrial		Northern Securities Co. v. United	*00
Exposition	793	States	532

Northwestern Transportation Co. $v$ . Beatty 787	2.0
Oakes v. Turquand	Seeberger v. McCormick 747 Seymour v. Spring Forest Cemetery Association 796
nace Co	Sherman v. Fitch 498 Small v. Minneapolis Electro-
low	Smith v. Hurd 805
sohn	Society Perun v. Cleveland 638
Parker v. Bethel Hotel Co 84	Spering's Appeal
Parsons $v$ . Joseph	
Co	State v. Dawson 15
Pennsylvania Transportation Company's Appeal	
Penobscot Boom Corporation v. Lamson	R.R. Co
People ex rel. Manice v. Powell 484 People v. North River Sugar Refin-	
ing Co	Tappan v. Bailey
People v. Rochester Railway & Light Co	Timmis, Matter of
People v. Stockton R.R. Co 24 People ex rel. Tiffany & Co. v.	Trevor v. Whitworth 439
Campbell 382 Phillips $v$ . Blatchford 33 Phillips $v$ . Providence Steam En-	United States v. American Tobacco Co 574 United States v. E. C. Knight Co 516
gine Co 951 Pollitz $v$ . Gould 881	United States v. Freight Association 520
Provident Bank & Trust Co. v. Saxon 631	United States v. John Kelso Co 503 United States v. Milwaukee Re- frigerator Transit Co 116
Reed v. The Richmond Street R.R. Co 21	United States v. Winslow 595 U.S. Brewing Co. v. Dolese 384
Richards v. Wiener Co	U.S. Express Co. v. Bedbury 613 U.S. Steel Corporation v. Hodge . 786
Richardson Fueling Co. v. Sey- mour 611 Riker & Son Co. v. United Drug	Varney v. Baker
Co	Wathen v. Jackson Oil Co 878
Russell v. Temple 82 St. Louis Railroad v. Terre Haute	Weatherford Ry. Co. v. Granger . 192 Whittenton Mills v. Upton 405 Wilder Mfg. Co. v. Corn Products
Railroad	Co
Sanford v. McArthur 743 Sawyer v. Hoag 925 Schwab v. Potter Co 974	Williams v. Milton
	Wragg, Ltd., in re 213

## TABLE OF CONTENTS.

## BOOK I.

## THE NATURE OF A CORPORATION.

### CHAPTER I.

THE FORMATION OF A CORPORATION:	
A. Necessity of Authority from the State	1
B. Grant of Authority from the State	9
CHAPTER II.	
Distinguishing a Corporation from an Unincorporated Association:	
A. Where there is no Legislative Enactment	31
B. Where there is some Legislative Enactment	51
CHAPTER III.	
Under what, if any, Circumstances the Corporate Fiction should be disregarded	82
BOOK II.	
THE PROMOTION OF CORPORATIONS.	
CHAPTER I.	
Subscriptions to Stock of a Corporation to be formed	173
CHAPTER II.	
Conveyances to Promoters, and Contracts with Promoters relating to Property or Services	183
CHAPTER III.	
Issues of Stock at a Discount or for Overvalued Property	200
CHAPTER IV.	
Transactions between Promoters and the Corporation Promoted	334

### BOOK III.

# THE POWERS OF CORPORATIONS.

### CHAPTER I.

EXTENT OF THE POWERS:
Section 1. In General
Section 2. To enter into a Partnership
Section 3. To hold Stock in other Corporations 409
SECTION 4. To hold their own Stock
CHAPTER II.
THE EXERCISE OF THE POWERS:
Section 1. In whom the Powers are vested
Section 2. Mode of exercising the Powers 487
BOOK IV.
LIABILITY FOR TORTS AND CRIMES.
CHAPTER I.
In General
CHAPTER II.
Offenses under the Sherman Anti-Trust Act 514
BOOK V.
UNAUTHORIZED CORPORATE ACTION.
CHAPTER I.
COLLATERAL ATTACK UPON THE FORMATION OF A CORPORATION. HEREIN OF THE EXPRESSION "DE FACTO CORPORATION":
Section 1. Where there have been Dealings between the Parties on a Corporate Basis
Section 2. Where there have been no Dealings between the Parties on a Corporate Basis
CHAPTER II.
COLLATERAL ATTACK UPON THE POWERS OF A CORPORATION. HEREIN OF THE EXPRESSION "ULTRA VIRES":
Section 1. The English Authorities

CONTENTS.	VII
Section 2. United States Authorities:	
A. Torts	677
B. Transfers of Property Rights	686
C. Contracts	712
D. Quasi Contracts	740
E. Liability of Human Beings	743
F. Setting aside an Ultra Vires Transaction	<b>753</b>
BOOK VI.	
OFFICERS, STOCKHOLDERS, AND CREDITORS.	
CHAPTER I.	
DIRECTORS AND OTHER OFFICERS:	
A. Unauthorized Action by de jure Officers	761
	764
C. Liability of Directors for Action or Inaction	
D. Contracts with the Corporation	
E. Purchases of Corporate Property or Obligations	
	798
	818
H. Executive Officers	821
CHAPTER II.	
STOCKHOLDERS:	
SECTION 1. Rights of a Stockholder even when he is in the Minority:	
A. To inspect the Corporate Books and Records	827
B. To Dividends	
C. To subscribe to New Issues of Stock	843
D. To enjoin any Act which the Corporation is unautho-	
rized to do, or which it was unauthorized to do	
when Plaintiff became a Stockholder	850
E. To prevent and redress an Appropriation of Corpo-	
rate Assets by the Majority	857
F. To compel the Corporation to assert Valid Claims,	
and to resist Invalid Claims	
G. Procedure in a Suit by Stockholder to assert a Cor-	
porate Right	873
H. Rights of Persons who became Stockholders at a time	
subsequent to the Commission of the Alleged Wrong	
Section 2. Transfer of Shares	888
Section 3. Voting Trusts	912

#### CONTENTS.

CHAPTER III.
Creditors
BOOK VII.
THE REORGANIZATION OF CORPORATIONS.
CHAPTER I.
Issues of Stock by a Corporation with Impaired Capital $$ . 937
CHAPTER II.
RIGHT OF STOCKHOLDERS TO PREVENT A SALE, OR LEASE, OF CORPORATE ASSETS
CHAPTER III.
RIGHTS OF CREDITORS AS AFFECTED BY REORGANIZATIONS . 982
APPENDIX OF CORPORATE FORMS.
CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION OF UNITED STATES STEEL
Corporation
By-Laws
MINUTES OF FIRST MEETING OF INCORPORATORS 1019
MINUTES OF FIRST MEETING OF DIRECTORS 1022
Listing of Securities
SYNDICATE AGREEMENT
Voting Trust Agreement
CERTIFICATE OF COMMON STOCK
CERTIFICATE OF PREFERRED STOCK
BOND
COTTRON 1048

VOTING TRUST CERTIFICATE.

### CASES ON CORPORATIONS.

### BOOK I.

## THE NATURE OF A CORPORATION.

### CHAPTER I.

#### THE FORMATION OF A CORPORATION.

A. Necessity of Authority from the State.

### BLACKSTONE, COMMENTARIES.

Book 1, pp. 468, 469, 470, 472, 473.

THE honor of originally inventing these political constitutions entirely belongs to the Romans. They were introduced, as Plutarch says, by Numa; who finding, upon his accession, the city torn to pieces by the two rival factions of Sabines and Romans, thought it a prudent and politic measure to subdivide these two into many smaller ones, by instituting separate societies of every manual trade and profession. They were afterwards much considered by the civil law, in which they were called universitates as forming one whole out of many individuals; or collegia, from being gathered together: they were adopted also by the canon law, for the maintenance of ecclesiastical discipline; and from them our spiritual corporations are derived. But our laws have considerably refined and improved upon the invention, according to the usual genius of the English nation: particularly with regard to sole corporations, consisting of one person only, of which the Roman lawyers had no notion; their maxim being that "tres faciunt collegium." Though they held, that if a corporation, originally consisting of three persons, be reduced to one, "si universitas ad unum redit," it may still subsist as a corporation. "et stet nomen universitatis."

Before we proceed to treat of the several incidents of corporations, as regarded by the laws of England, let us first take a view of the several sorts of them; and then we shall be better enabled to apprehend their respective qualities.

The first division of corporations is into agaregate and sole. Corporations aggregate consist of many persons united together into one society, and are kept up by a perpetual succession of members, so

as to continue forever: of which kind are the mayor and commonalty of a city, the head and fellows of a college, the dean and chapter of a cathedral church. Corporations sole consist of one person only and his successors, in some particular station, who are incorporated by law, in order to give them some legal capacities and advantages, particularly that of perpetuity which in their natural persons they could not have had. In this sense the king is a sole corporation; so is a bishop; so are some deans, and prebendaries, distinct from their several chapters; and so is every parson and vicar. And the necessity, or at least use, of this institution will be very apparent, if we consider the case of a parson of a church. At the original endowment of parish churches, the freehold of the church, the churchyard, the parsonage house, the glebe, and the tithes of the parish, were vested in the then parson by the bounty of the donor, as a temporal recompense to him for his spiritual care of the inhabitants, and with intent that the same emoluments should ever afterwards continue as a recompense for the same care. But how was this to be effected? The freehold was vested in the parson; and, if we suppose it vested in his natural capacity, on his death it might descend to his heir, and would be liable to his debts and encumbrances: or at best, the heir might be compellable, at some trouble and expense, to convey these rights to the succeeding incumbent. The law therefore has wisely ordained, that the parson, quaterus parson, shall never die, any more than the king: by making him and his successors a corporation. By which means all the original rights of the parsonage are preserved entire to the successor; for the present incumbent, and his predecessor who lived seven centuries ago, are in law one and the same person; and what was given to the one was given to the other also. . . .

Corporations, by the civil law, seem to have been created by the mere act, and voluntary association of their members: provided such convention was not contrary to law, for then it was illicitum collegium. It does not appear that the prince's consent was necessary to be actually given to the foundation of them; but merely that the original founders of these voluntary and friendly societies, for they were little more than such, should not establish any meetings in

opposition to the laws of the state.

But, with us in England, the king's consent is absolutely necessary to the erection of any corporation, either impliedly or expressly given. The king's implied consent is to be found in corporations which exist by force of the common law, to which our former kings are supposed to have given their concurrence; common law being nothing else but custom, arising from the universal agreement of the whole community. Of this sort are the king himself, all bishops, parsons, vicars, churchwardens, and some others; who by common law have ever been held, as far as books can shew us, to have been corporations, virtute officii: and this incorporation is so inseparably

annexed to their offices, that we cannot frame a complete legal idea of any of these persons, but we must also have an idea of a corporation, capable to transmit his rights to his successors at the same time. Another method of implication, whereby the king's consent is presumed, is as to all corporations by prescription, such as the city of London, and many others, which have existed as corporations, time whereof the memory of man runneth not to the contrary; and therefore are looked upon in law to be well created. For though the members thereof can shew no legal charter of incorporation, yet in cases of such high antiquity the law presumes there once was one; and that by the variety of accidents which a length of time may produce, the charter is lost or destroyed. The methods by which the king's consent is expressly given are either by act of parliament or charter. By act of parliament, of which the royal assent is a necessary ingredient, corporations may undoubtedly be created: but it is observable, that, till of late years, most of these statutes which are usually cited as having created corporations do either confirm such as have been before created by the king, as in the case of the College of Physicians, erected by charter 10 Hen. VIII, which charter was afterwards confirmed in parliament; or they permit the king to erect a corporation in futuro with such and such powers, as is the case of the Bank of England, and the society of the British Fishery. So that the immediate creative act was usually performed by the king alone, in virtue of his royal prerogative.

All the other methods, therefore, whereby corporations exist, by common law, by prescription, and by act of parliament, are for the most part reducible to this of the king's letters patent, or charter of incorporation.

Note. — From very early times the courts recognized some corporations as existing by force of the common law alone. See Y.B. 11 Hen. IV,2; Y.B. 37 Hen. VI, 30; Y.B. 8 Edw. IV,6; Y.B. 20 Edw. IV, 12; Y.B. 14 Hen. VIII, 2; Co. Lit. 3a; Finch's Law, c. xvII; Keilw. 32a; 2 P. Wms. 125; 4 Vin. Abr. 525. There is also evidence tending to show that, in early times, the Court of Exchequer, in revenue matters, allowed unchartered bodies of men to be sued, and even to sue, as a unit. Madox, Firma Burgi, 85, 91.

"Anciently a Gild either Religious or Secular could not legally be set-up without the Kings Licence. If any Persons erected a Gild without Warrant, that is, without the Kings leave, it was a Trespass, and they were lyable to be punished for it. For example. In the Twenty-sixth year of K. Henry II (1179), several Gilds in London were amerced to the Crown as Adulterine, that is, as set-up without Warrant from the King." Madox, Firma Burgi, 26.

In De Libertates, Lib. II, c. 24, fol, 56, Bracton puts the case that the king should grant some liberty "ut si alicui universitati, sicut

civibus vel burgensibus vel aliquibus alis q. mercatum habeant." It appears, from the chapter as a whole, that he considered this liberty, or franchise, together with various other liberties, to be under the control of the King ("in manu sua"); and that private persons

might enjoy it, "sed de gratia ipsuis Regis speciali."

Y.B. 49 Edw. III, 3 (1375). A devised lands to B for life, remainder "a deux des meliour homes de la Guild de la Fraternity de Whitawyers en Londres" forever. A died without heirs, and on the death of B the king claimed the land by escheat. The court held that the devise (after B's life estate) was void. Belknap expressed his opinion that, even if the devise had been to "the Fraternity," it would not have been good, because the commonalty of London cannot by their own act create a community within the community without the charter of the king. A "Fraternity" is not a term known to the law, nor can a community exist without a charter. commen de Londres ne poet my d'eux mesme faire comen deins cest comen sans chartr le Roy. . . . Fraternity n'est my terme de ley, ne comen ne puit my estre sans chre.") Knyvet, Chancellor, with greater precision of thought, said that this commonalty of the gild, which is not confirmed by the king, could not be adjudged a body capable of taking an estate by purchase. ("Il ne poet pas estre p. la ley q. c. cominalty de la Guilde, q. n'est affirme p. chre le Roy, purroit etre adjudgee un corps de purchace estate.")

Y.B. 20 Edw. IV, 2 (1480). B, alderman of the X gild, brought debt against C, and counted upon an obligation made to A, sometime alderman of the gild, and his successors. Objection that the plaintiff had not shown how the corporation was formed. Littleton took a distinction between a "corporation of common right" and a gild. The judges were all of opinion that, if suit could be maintained, it would be by the executor of A. See also Y.B. 22 Edw.

IV, 34.

In Y.B. 14 Hen. VIII, 2 (1522), Fineux remarked: "There is a corporation by the Pope alone, as those mendicant brothers who cannot purchase." But Brooke, writing after the Reformation, laid it down that if the Pope purports to create a corporation, "ideo ceo est usurpation et voyd a cest jour et fuit imperpetuum." 1 Brooke, Abr. 'Corp. 33. See also Dyer, 81, pl. 64.

In Terrett v. Taylor, 9 Cranch, 43, Story, J., said (p. 46): "At a very early period the religious establishment of England seems to have been adopted in the colony of Virginia; and, of course, the common law upon that subject, so far as it was applicable to the circumstances of that colony. The local division into parishes for ecclesiastical purposes can be very early traced; and the subsequent laws enacted for religious purposes evidently presuppose the existence of the Episcopal church with its general rights and authorities growing out of the common law. What those rights and authorities

are, need not be minutely stated. It is sufficient that, among other things, the church was capable of receiving endowments of land, and that the minister of the parish was, during his incumbency, seized of the freehold of its inheritable property, as emphatically persona ecclesiae, and capable, as a sole corporation, of transmitting that inheritance to his successors. The church wardens, also, were a corporate body clothed with authority and guardianship over the repairs of the church and its personal property; and the other temporal concerns of the parish were submitted to a vestry composed of persons selected for that purpose."

In The Governor v. Allen, 8 Humph. (Tenn.) 176, the court held that the governor of the State was, by force of the common law, a

corporation sole.

In People v. Mackey, 255 Ill. 144, Mr. Justice Vickers said (p. 156): "A private corporation is an organization for the benefit of its members. When brought into being it enjoys certain rights and privileges of great value that are not enjoyed by natural persons. The right to be a corporation is not a natural or a civil right of any person, and such right can only be acquired from the sovereign State. It is a matter exclusively within the power of the legislature to determine whether it will grant or withhold the privilege of forming corporations. If the legislature determines to exercise its discretion and grant the privilege it may prescribe the terms and conditions upon which the right is to be exercised."

This general statement by Mr. Justice Vickers must, it is submitted, be qualified in so far as, but only in so far as, the ancient doctrine of corporations at the common law still has virility.

### ST. 6 GEORGE I.

C. 18, §§ xvIII and xIX (1719).



xvIII. And whereas it is notorious, that several undertakings or projects of different kinds have, at some time or times since the four and twentieth day of June one thousand seven hundred and eighteen, been publicly contrived and practised, or attempted to be practised, within the city of London and other parts of this kingdom, as also in Ireland, and other his Majesty's dominions, which manifestly tend to the common grievance, prejudice, and inconvenience of great numbers of your Majesty's subjects in their trade or commerce, and other their affairs; and the persons who contrive or attempt such dangerous and mischievous undertakings or projects, under false pretences of public good, do presume, according to their own devices and schemes, to open books for public subscriptions, and draw in many unwary persons to subscribe therein towards raising great sums of money, whereupon the

subscribers or claimants under them do paysmall proportions thereof, and such proportions in the whole do amount to very large sums; which dangerous and mischievous undertakings or projects do relate to several fisheries, and other affairs, wherein the trade, commerce, and welfare of your Majesty's subjects, or great numbers of them, are concerned or interested: and whereas in many cases the said undertakers or subscribers have, since the said four and twentieth day of June one thousand seven hundred and eighteen, presumed to act as if they were corporate bodies, and have pretended to make their shares in stock transferable or assignable, without any legal authority, either by act of parliament, or by any charter from the crown for so doing; and in some cases the undertakers or subscribers, since the said four and twentieth day of June one thousand seven hundred and eighteen, have acted or pretended to act under some charter or charters formerly granted by the crown for some particular or special purposes therein expressed, but have used or endeavored to use the same charters for raising joint stocks, and for making transfers or assignments, or pretended transfers or assignments for their own private lucre, which were never intended or designed by the same charters respectively; and in some cases the undertakers or subscribers, since the said four and twentieth day of June one thousand seven hundred and eighteen, have acted under some obsolete charter or charters, although the same became void or voidable by non-user or abuser, or for want of making lawful elections, which were necessary for the continuance thereof; and many other unwarrantable practices (too many to enumerate) have been, and daily are and may hereafter be contrived, set on foot, or proceeded upon, to the ruin and destruction of many of your Majesty's good subjects, if a timely remedy be not provided: and whereas it is become absolutely necessary, that all public undertakings and attempts, tending to the common grievance, prejudice, and inconvenience of your Majesty's subjects in general, or great numbers of them, in their trade, commerce, or other lawful affairs, be effectually suppressed and restrained for the future, by suitable and adequate punishments for that purpose to be ascertained and established: now for suppressing such mischievous and dangerous undertakings and attempts, and preventing the like for the future, may it please your most excellent Majesty, at the humble suit of the said lords spiritual and temporal and commons, in this present parliament assembled, that it may be enacted; and be it enacted by authority of this present parliament, that from and after the four and twentieth day of June one thousand seven hundred and twenty, all and every the undertakings and attempts described, as aforesaid, and all other public undertakings and attempts, tending to the common grievance, prejudice, and inconvenience of his Majesty's subjects, or great numbers of them, in their trade, commerce, or other lawful affairs, and all public subscriptions, receipts, payments, assignments, transfers, pretended assignments and transfers, and all other matters and things, whatsoever, for furthering, countenancing or proceeding in any such undertaking or attempt, and more particularly the acting or presuming to act as a corporate body or bodies, the raising or pretending to raise transferable stock or stocks, the transferring or pretending to transfer or assign any share or shares in such stock or stocks, without legal authority, either by act of parliament, or by any charter from the crown, to warrant such acting as a body corporate, or to raise such transferable stock or stocks, or to transfer shares therein, and all acting or pretending to act under any charter, formerly granted from the crown, for particular or special purposes therein expressed, by persons who do or shall use or endeavor to use the same charters, for raising a capital stock, or for making transfers or assignments, or pretended transfers or assignments of such stock, not intended or designed by such charter to be raised or transferred, and all acting or pretending to act under any obsolete charter become void or voidable by non-user or abuser, or for want of making lawful elections, which were necessary to continue the corporation thereby intended, shall (as to all or any such acts, matters, and things, as shall be acted, done, attempted, endeavored, or proceeded upon, after the said four and twentieth day of June one thousand seven hundred and twenty) forever be deemed to be illegal and void, and shall not be practised or in any wise put in execution.

XIX. And be further enacted by the authority aforesaid, that from and after the said four and twentieth day of June one thousand seven hundred and twenty, all such unlawful undertakings and attempts, so tending to the common grievance, prejudice, and inconvenience of his Majestv's subjects, or a great number of them, in their trade, commerce, or other lawful affairs, and the making or taking of any subscriptions for that purpose, the receiving or paying of any money upon such subscriptions, the making or accepting of any assignment or transfer, or pretended assignment or transfer, of any share or shares upon any such subscription, and all and every other matter and thing whatsoever, for furthering, countenancing, or proceeding in any such unlawful undertaking or attempt, and more particularly the presuming or pretending to act as a corporate body, or to raise a transferable stock or stocks, or to make transfers or assignments of any share or shares therein, without such legal authority, as aforesaid, and all acting or pretending to act under any charter formerly granted from the crown for any particular or special purposes therein expressed, by persons making or endeavoring to make use of such charter for any such other purpose not thereby intended, and all acting or pretending to act under any such obsolete charter as is before described, and every of them (as to all or any such acts, matters or things as shall be so acted, done, attempted, en-