

THE ALL ENGLAND LAW REPORTS

Consolidated Tables and Index 1936–1986

VOLUME 3 Subject Index J–Z United Kingdom Butterworth & Co (Publishers) Ltd

88 Kingsway, London WC2B 6AB and 61A North Castle Street, Edinburgh EH2 3LJ

Australia Butterworths Pty Ltd, Sydney, Melbourne,

Brisbane, Adelaide, Perth, Canberra and

Hobart

Canada Butterworths. A division of Reed Inc, Toronto and

Vancouver

New Zealand Butterworths of New Zealand Ltd, Wellington and

Auckland

Singapore Butterworth & Co (Asia) Pte Ltd, Singapore

South Africa Butterworth Publishers (Pty) Ltd, Durban and Pretoria

USA Butterworth Legal Publishers, Seattle, Washington,

Boston, Massachusetts, Austin, Texas and

St Paul, Minnesota

D & S Publishers, Clearwater, Florida

© Butterworth & Co (Publishers) Ltd 1977–1987

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying and recording, without the written permission of the copyright holder, application for which should be addressed to the publisher. Such written permission must also be obtained before any part of this publication is stored in a retrieval system of any nature.

ISBN for the complete set of 3 volumes: 0 406 86226 5

ISBN for this volume: 0 406 86229 X

SUBJECT INDEX

JACK RUSSELL TERRIERS

Attack on child-

Liability of owner in negligence. See Animal (Negligence—Domestic animal—Liability of owner or keeper—Liability in negligence for personal injuries caused by animal—

JAMAICA

Constitutional law-

Entrenched provisions of Constitution-

Trial by jury—Grave crimes tried by judge with jury when Constitution came into force—Statute enacting that certain crimes to be tried by judge without a jury—Statute not enacted by special procedure for amending entrenched provisions—Whether trial by jury entrenched in Constitution—Whether statute required to be passed by special procedure—Jamaica (Constitution) Order in Council 1962 (SI 1962 No 1550), art 13(1), Sch 2, s 97(1). Stone v The Queen [1980] 3 148, PC.

Fundamental rights and freedoms—

Constitution prohibiting inhuman or degrading punishment—Prohibition not extending to punishment which was lawful immediately prior to constitution coming into force—Sentences of dealth for murder passed under pre-existing law—Long delays in execution of sentences—Whether execution of sentences after such delays constituting inhuman or degrading punishment—Whether exception for punishment under pre-existing law applicable—Jamaica (Constitution) Order in Council 1962 (SI 1962/1550), Sch 2, s 17. Riley v Attorney General of Jamaica [1982] 3 469, PC.

Criminal proceedings to be in public—Presumption that proceedings in camera reasonably required in interests of public safety, public order or protection of private lives of persons concerned in proceedings—Special court established to deal with firearms offences—Provision that court should sit in camera—Whether provision unconstitutional—Jamaica (Constitution) Order 1962 (S I 1962 No 1550), Sch 2, s 20(3)(4)—Gun Court Act 1974 (Jamaica), s 13(1). Hinds v The Queen [1976] 1 353, PC.

Right of defendant in criminal proceedings to be permitted to defend himself by legal representative of his choice—Permitted—Defendant charged with capital offence of murder—Defendant not seeking legal aid—Defendant's counsel withdrawing from case without consent of trial judge because they had not been put in funds—Judge ordering trial to continue with defendant unrepresented—Whether defendant's constitutional rights infringed—Jamaica (Constitution) Order in Council 1962, Sch 2, s 20(6)(c). Robinson v R [1985] 2 594, PC.

Right to 'fair hearing within a reasonable time—Reasonable time—Delay—Factors to be considered in determining whether delay unreasonable—Accused ordered to be retried in March 1979—Accused discharged in November 1981—Accused rearrested in February 1982 and new trial set for May 1982—Whether unreasonable delay in bringing accused to trial—Whether accused required to show prejudice—Jamaica (Constitution) Order in Council 1962, Sch 2, s 20(1). Bell v Director of Public Prosecutions of Jamaica [1985] 2 585, PC.

Judicature-

Higher judiciary—Jurisdiction—Constitution impliedly prohibiting transfer of jurisdiction to courts consisting of members of lower judiciary—Constitution containing special provisions for appointment and tenure of office of members of higher judiciary—Statute establishing special court to deal with firearm offences—Division of court consisting of members of lower judiciary—Division having jurisdiction over offences previously cognisable only by higher judiciary—Whether statutory provisions establishing provision void as being inconsistent with Constitution—Jamaica (Constitution) Order 1962 (S I 1962 No 1550), Sch 2, ss 2, 97(1)—Gun Court Act 1974 (Jamaica), ss 4(b), 5(2). Hinds v The Queen [1976] 1 353, PC.

JAMAICA (cont)

Constitutional law (cont)-

Separation of powers—

Judicature—Punishment of offences—Transfer of power to executive body—Validity—Firearms offences—Statute establishing mandatory sentence—Detention at hard labour during Governor-General's pleasure—Convicted person only to be discharged at direction of Governor-General acting in accordance with recommendation of review board—Majority of members of review board not members of judiciary—Whether provision for mandatory sentence void—Jamaica (Constitution) Order 1962 (S I 1962 No 1550), Sch 2, s 2—Gun Court Act 1974 (Jamaica), ss 8(2), 22(1). Hinds v The Queen [1976] 1 353, PC.

Criminal law-

Appeal-

Allowing appeal—New trial—Venire de novo—Court quashing conviction on ground that trial was a nullity—Majority verdict returned prematurely—Whether jurisdiction to order new trial—Whether 'new trial' including venire de novo—Judicature (Appellate Jurisdiction) Act (Jamaica), s 14(2). Director of Public Prosecutions v White [1977] 3 1003, PC.

Appeal against conviction—Prescribed grounds of appeal—Wrong decision of a question of law—Miscarriage of justice—Error on face of record—Record showing majority verdict returned prematurely—Appeal court allowing appeal and quashing conviction on ground that trial was a nullity—Whether error on face of record constituting wrong decision of a question of law or a miscarriage of justice—Judicature (Appellate Jurisdiction) Act (Jamaica), s 14(1). Director of Public Prosecutions v White [1977] 3 1003, PC.

Sentence-

Age of offender. See Sentence (Age of offender).

Income tax-

Appeal-

Right of any person who objects to the amount of any repayment by commissioner to appeal—Meaning of amount—Whether including a nil amount—Whether person to whom no repayment made having right of appeal—Income Tax Law (No 59 of 1954), s 63(3). Seramco Ltd Superannuation Fund Trustees v Income Tax Comr [1976] 2 28, PC.

Avoidance-

Artificial or fictitious transaction reducing amount of tax payable—Right of commissioner to disregard artificial transaction—Sale of shares in company to trustees of exempt superannuation fund—Purchase price payable by instalments—Trustees having no moneys to invest in purchase of shares—Trustees paying instalments from dividends declared by company—Dividends paid after deduction of tax—Whether transaction 'artificial or fictitious'—Whether commissioner entitled to disregard transaction and refuse to repay tax—Income Tax Law (No 59 of 1954), s 10(1). Seramco Ltd Superannuation Fund Trustees v Income Tax Comr [1976] 2 28, PC.

Wear and tear allowance—

Business—Carrying on business—Acquisition and letting of premises—Whether acquisition and letting of premises was a business—Whether building let was used by owner for the purpose of acquiring income from a business carried on by him—Income Tax Law (No 59 of 1954), s 8(o). Commissioner of Income Tax v Hanover Agencies Ltd [1967] 1 954, PC.

Local authority-

Action against authority-

Notice—Action improperly constituted in absence of notice—Action against corporation and not individual officer—Whether notice required—Public Health Law 1925 (Jamaica), ss 22(2)(a), 89(1), (2)—Kingston and St Andrew Corporation Law 1931, s 223. Hall v Kingston and St Andrew Corpor [1941] 2 1, PC.

Moneylending-

Mortgage-

Variation of contract—Interest—Rate of interest raised—Increase accepted by mortgagor by letter—No provision in mortgage for raising rate of interest but principal repayable on demand—No written memorandum of variation complying with Moneylending Law—No memorandum in writing of original mortgage necessary—Whether mortgage enforceable without the variation in rate of interest—Moneylending Law of Jamaica, s 8. United Dominions Corpn (Jamaica) Ltd v Shoucair [1968] 2 904, PC.

JERSEY

Désastre proceedings-

Order in aid. See Bankruptcy (Order in aid of British court—Scope of orders in aid—British court having jurisdiction in matters of bankruptcy—Désastre proceedings in Royal Court of Jersey against insolvent debtor).

JEWELLER

Ear-piercing operation—

Duty to exercise reasonable skill and care. See Negligence (Professional person—Duty to exercise reasonable skill and care—Minor surgical operation—Jeweller undertaking minor surgical operation often done by jewellers).

此为试读,需要完整PDF请1952问: www.ertongbook.com

JEWELLERY

Will-

Gift-

Specific bequests. See Will (Gift-Specific bequests-Jewellery).

JEWISH SHOP

Sunday closing

Exemption. See Shop (Sunday closing — Exemption — Jewish shop).

JOB EVALUATION STUDY

Employment— See Employment (Equality of treatment of men and women).

JOCKEY CLUB

Trainer's licence-

Withdrawal-

Natural justice. See Natural justice (Domestic tribunal-Jockey Club-Withdrawal of trainer's licence).

JOINDER

Accused-

Committal proceedings before justices. See Criminal law (Committal-Preliminary hearing before justices-Joinder of two or more accused). Indictment. See Indictment (Joinder of two or more accused).

Causes of action. See Practice (Joinder of causes of action).

Charges.

Indictment. See Indictment (Joinder of charges).

Originating summons-

Joinder of Crown as party. See Originating summons (Parties-Joinder of Crown as party).

Parties-

Bankruptcy-

Proceedings for declaration of fraudulent preference—Joinder of surety. See Bankruptcy (Fraudulent preference—Joinder of parties—Bankrupt's account guaranteed by surety).

Defendants-

Several defendants-Alternative claims-Costs. See Costs (Action-Several defendants-Alternative claims against one defendant or against the others).

Wardship and custody proceedings-

Joinder of child as party. See Minor (Practice-Wardship and custody proceedings-Joinder of child as party).

JOINDER OF PARTIES

Civil action. See Practice (Parties-Joinder of parties).

JOINT ACCOUNT

Bank. See Bank (Account-Joint account). Husband and wife. See Husband and wife (Property-Joint

JOINT CUSTODY ORDER

Divorce. See Divorce (Custody-Joint custody order).

JOINT ENTERPRISE

Criminal liability-

Concerted action. See Criminal law (Concerted action).

Conspiracy. See Criminal law (Conspiracy).

JOINT TENANCY

Bankruptcy of one of joint tenants-

Husband and wife-

Matrimonial home. See Bankruptcy (Property available for distribution-Matrimonial home-Husband and wife joint tenants).

Joint tenancy of property charged to secure loan. See Bankruptcy (Proof-Secured creditor-Joint tenancy of property charged to secure loan).

Business premises-

New tenancy-

Application. See Landlord and tenant (Business premises-Application for new tenancy-Joint

Charging order. See Execution (Charging order-Land-Interest in land-Joint tenancy).

JOINT TENANCY (cont)

Death of one of two joint tenants-

Rent-

Liability of executors. See Landlord and tenant (Rent—Joint tenancy—Death of one of two joint tenants—Tenancy of committee rooms—Liability of executors).

Equitable charge-

Creation of charge. See Equity (Charge—Creation of equitable charge—Joint tenancy).

Land held on trust for sale-

Joint tenants legally and beneficially entitled-

Whether tenants' interest an interest in land. See Execution (Charging order—Land—Interest in land—Property held legally and beneficially by joint tenants).

Matrimonial home-

Deserted wife in occupation-

Bankruptcy of husband. See Bankruptcy (Property available for distribution—Matrimonial home— Deserted wife).

Injunction -

Exclusion of party from matrimonial home —Respondent having proprietary interest in home. See Injunction (Exclusion of party from matrimonial home —County court —Respondent having proprietary interest in home —Joint tenancy).

Means of effecting severance-

Agreement or conduct-

Agreement—Unenforceable agreement—Agreement indicating parties no longer intended tenancy to operate as joint tenancy—Agreement subsequently repudiated by one party—Whether agreement effecting severance of joint tenancy. **Burgess v Rawnsley** [1975] **3** 142, CA.

Conduct falling short of agreement—Conduct indicating common intention that joint tenancy should be regarded as severed—Whether conduct effecting severance. **Burgess v Rawnsley** [1975] **3** 142, CA.

Inference of agreement—Conduct giving rise to inference of agreement to sever—Letter and subsequent transfer of share. Hawksley v May [1955] 3 353, QBD.

Inference of agreement—Conduct giving rise to an inference of agreement to sever—Two joint tenants negotiating agreement to sever joint tenancy—Death of one joint tenant before agreement concluded—Whether conduct of joint tenants supporting an inference of agreement to sever. Nielson-Jones v Fedden [1974] 3 38, ChD.

Intention of parties—Partnership accounts—Parties living together as man and wife—Parties purchasing farm in joint names as beneficial joint tenants—One party providing most of purchase money—Farm run as guest house on partnership basis—Farm included in partnership accounts as partnership asset—One party dying intestate—Whether intention of parties that property be held on joint tenancy—Whether joint tenancy severed before death of deceased. Barton v Morris [1985] 2 1032, ChD.

Application to determine interests in matrimonial property-

Service of summons and supporting affidavit—Land—Dwelling-house conveyed to husband and wife in fee simple as joint tenants at law and in equity—Wife subsequently obtained decree nisi and applied under s 17 of the Married Women's Property Act 1882, for sale and distribution of the proceeds of sale—Husband remained in possession until shortly before his death—Whether beneficial joint tenancy severed in lifetime of husband—Law of Property Act 1925, s 36(2). Re Draper's Conveyance [1967] 3 853, ChD.

Notice in writing of desire to sever joint tenancy in equity-

Prayer in divorce petition—Prayer for transfer of or settlement or variation of settlement of former matrimonial home—Whether effective to constitute severance of joint tenancy in equity—Law of Property Act 1925, s 36(2). Harris v Goddard [1983] 3 242, CA.

Unilateral declaration-

Unequivocal declaration by one of two joint tenants that he wishes to sever joint tenancy—Whether unilateral declaration capable of severing joint tenancy. Nielson-Jones v Fedden [1974] 3 38, ChD.

Notice of severance-

Form of notice-

Irrevocable notice—Originating summons supported by affidavit—Power of plaintiff to withdraw proceedings—Whether originating summons capable of constituting effective notice of severance—Law of Property Act 1925, s 36(2). Nielson-Jones v Fedden [1974] 3 38, ChD.

Personalty-

Written notice of desire to sever joint tenancy communicated by one joint tenant to the other— Whether written notice capable of effecting severance of joint tenancy of personalty. Burgess v Rawnsley [1975] 3 142, CA.

Service-

Registered post—Recorded delivery—Law of Property Act 1925, ss 36(2), 196(4). Re 88 Berkeley Road, London NW9 [1971] 1 254, ChD.

Settlement-

Disagreement as to exercise of power of sale. See Settlement (Powers of tenant for life—Joint tenants).

Severance-

Land held as joint tenancy at law-

Purchase price paid in unequal shares—Lease of business premises—Two companies sharing leased accommodation for separate commercial interests—Parties dividing floor space unequally and paying all costs pro rata—Whether parties holding beneficial interests joint tenants or as tenants in common in unequal shares. Malayan Credit Ltd v Jack Chia-MPH Ltd [1986] 1 711, PC.

Land held on trust for sale-

Severance of joint tenancy—Creation of charge by joint tenant on property held jointly—Charge effectual to create charge of 'interest...in..property'—Whether beneficial interest of joint tenant under statutory trust for sale an 'interest...in...property'—Whether joint tenancy severed—Law of Property Act 1925, s 63. Cedar Holdings Ltd v Green [1979] 3 117, CA.

Severance of joint tenancy—Beneficial interests—Conveyance to plaintiff and defendant as joint tenants—Declaration of trust that plaintiff and defendant to hold on trust for themselves as joint tenants—Whether terms of declaration conclusive of parties' beneficial interests. Goodman v Gallant [1986] 1 311, CA.

Means of effecting severance. See Means of effecting severance, ante.

JOINT TENANCY (cont)

Statutory tenancy

Termination of protected tenancy-

One only of two joint tenants in occupation on termination of protected tenancy. See Rent restriction (Statutory tenancy-Protected tenant in occupation on termination of protected tenancy-Joint tenancy.)

Statutory trust for sale-

Application to court for order for sale-

Contract not to sell without unanimous consent—Overriding trust—Law of Property Act 1925, ss 30, 35, 36. Re Buchanan-Wollaston's Conveyance [1939] 2 302, CA.

Continuance of trust-

Whole property accruing to survivor. See Trust and Trustee (Joint tenants-Statutory trust for sale-Whole property accruing to survivor).

Surrender-

Surrender by one tenant of rights held jointly-

Absence of express authority from other tenant-Whether joint tenancy terminated. Leek and Moorlands Building Society v Clark [1952] 2 492, CA.

Tenants in common. See Tenants in common

JOINT TORTFEASORS

Contribution between joint tortfeasors. See Tort (Contribution between joint tortfeasors).

Costs. See Costs (Joint tortfeasors).

Generally. See Tort (Joint tortfeasors)

Limitation of action-

When time begins to run. See Limitation of action (When time begins to run—Joint tortfeasors).

Negligence-

Generally. See Negligence (Joint tortfeasors).

Offer. See Practice (Joint tortfeasors-Offer).

Practice-

Generally. See Practice (Joint tortfeasors).

JOINT WILL

Probate. See Probate (Grant-Joint will).

JOINTURE RENTCHARGE

Settlement. See Settlement (Jointure rentcharge).

JOURNAL

Passing off-

Alteration of copies without authority. See Passing off (Journal).

JOURNALIST

Contempt of court-

Publications concerning legal proceedings. See Contempt of court (Publications concerning legal proceedings).

Privilege-

Evidence. See Evidence (Privilege-Press-Newspaper reporter).

JUDGE

Adjournment to judge-

Chambers proceedings. See Practice (Chambers proceedings-Adjournment to judge).

Appeal-

Presence of judge in court-

Presence at time of judgment—Dismissal of appeal in Fiji on reading of reserved written judgments of judges who had come to Fiji to hear appeal but were not present when judgments were read-Validity. Bharat, Son of Dorsamy v R [1959] 3 292, PC.

Circuit judge-

Circuit judges sitting as judges of High Court-

Hearing of 'short' High Court matrimonial causes. See Practice (Hearing-Matrimonial causes-Royal Courts of Justice—Circuit judges sitting as judges of High Court).

Deputy circuit judge-

Mode of address-Style of reference in court list. Practice Direction [1982] 1 320, CA. Recorder-Mode of address-Style of reference in court list. Practice Note [1978] 1 64, CA.

Colonial judge-Appointment-

Trinidad and Tobago. See Trinidad and Tobago (Colonial courts-Judges-Appointment as acting judge of Supreme Court).

Tenure of office-

Tenure during pleasure—Appointment by letter from Secretary of State—Letter stating retiring age 62—Appointment terminated when judge 61—Whether contract to employ judge until 62. Terrell

v Secretary of State for the Colonies [1953] 2 490, QBD. County court-Notes of evidence. See County court (Appeal-Evidence-Judge's notes of evidence).

Criminal trial. See Criminal law (Trial-Judge).

Crown Court-

Justices. See Crown Court (Justices as judges).

Direction to jury-

Criminal trial-

Standard of proof. See Criminal law (Trial-Direction to jury-Standard of proof).

Discretion

Reasons for exercise of discretion. See Reasons-Exercise of discretion, post.

Reference to European Court. See European Economic Community (Reference to European Court-Request for preliminary ruling concerning interpretation of treaty—Power of national court to refer questions it considers necessary to enable it to give judgmen

Review of exercise of discretion on appeal. See Court of Appeal (Discretion of judge-Review of exercise of discretion).

JUDGE (cont)

Function of judge-

Civil action-

Intervention on examination of witnesses. Jones v National Coal Board [1957] 2 155, CA. Negligence—Latent defect—System of maintenance—Decision on evidence before judge—Whether he should pursue enquiries on his own. Henderson v Henry E Jenkins & Sons [1969] 1 401, CA.

High Court-

Hearings of longer applications in county court matrimonial causes. See Practice (Hearing-Matrimonial

causes-Royal Courts of Justice).

Jurisdiction to set aside order of another High Court judge on ground fresh evidence obtained. See Evidence (Fresh evidence—Application to High Court for order for new trial—Original trial by High Court judge).

Immunity from suit-

Circuit judge-

Crown Court—Appeal from magistrate—Appeal against recommendation for deportation—Order by magistrate that appellant be not detained pending decision on recommendation—Appeal dismissed—Magistrate's order remaining in force—Crown Court having jurisdiction to amend so as to authorise detention—Jurisdiction not exercised—Judge mistakenly believing appellant liable to detention—Judge ordering detention—Whether Crown Court exercising appellate jurisdiction superior or inferior court—Whether judge immune from action for assault and false imprisonment. Sirros v Moore [1974] 3 776, CA.

Scope of immunity-

Acts done in judicial capacity—Acts outside jurisdiction—Act done in honest but mistaken belief that within jurisdiction—Distinction between immunity of judges of superior and inferior courts— Liability of judge of inferior court for acts outside jurisdiction—Whether immune provided he is acting bona fide. Sirros v Moore [1974] 3 776, CA.

Inspection by. See Practice (Inspection by judge).

Jurisdiction-

Criminal contempt-

Summary committal. See Contempt of court (Criminal contempt-Jurisdiction-Summary committal—Power of judge on own motion to commit summarily for contempt).

Jury-

Criminal proceedings-

Communication between judge and jury after retirement of jury, See Criminal law (Trial-Retirement of jury-Communication with judge).

Mode of address-

Style of reference in court list. Practice Direction [1982] 1 320, CA.

Notes

Appeal. See Court of Appeal (Judge's note).

County court. See County court (Appeal-Evidence-Judge's notes of evidence).

Reasons-

Exercise of discretion-

Duty of judge to give reasons for exercise of discretion. Eagil Trust Co Ltd v Pigott-Brown [1985] 3 119, CA.

Retirement-

Evidence taken before his retirement. See Admiralty (Practice-Evidence-New trial-Retirement of trial judge during trial).

Single judge-

Refusal of leave to appeal-

Criminal proceedings. See Criminal law (Appeal-Leave to appeal-Refusal-Single judge).

Visitor of Inns of Court-

Disciplinary jurisdiction. See Counsel (Disciplinary jurisdiction-Judges as visitors of Inns of Court).

JUDGE ADVOCATE

See Court-martial (Judge Advocate).

JUDGES' RULES

Court-martial-

Application of Judges' Rules to. See Court-martial (Evidence-Admissibility-Judges' Rules). Generally. See Criminal evidence (Admissions and confessions—Answers and statements to police).

JUDGMENT Action on-

Limitation period. See Limitation of action (Action on judgment).

Admission of facts. See Judgment on admission of facts, post.

Alteration-

Court of Appeal-

Criminal appeal. See Criminal law (Court of Appeal-Order of court-Alteration).

Variation of decision after judgment delivered-

Judge's power to recall and change judgment—Judgment dismissing application—Order not drawn up or perfected—Judge changing mind and deciding to grant application—Whether judge entitled to recall judgment and change decision. Pittalis v Sherefettin [1986] 2 227, CA.

Amendment-

Correction of clerical mistakes or accidental slips or omissions. See Order—Correction—Accidental slip or omission, post.

Restrictive Practices Court. See Restrictive trade practices (Appeal to Court of Appeal by way of case stated—Application after judgment for judgment to be amplified or amended).

Appeal-

Prior reading of judgment or decision and cases cited therein. See Court of Appeal (Practice-Prior reading of judgment or decision and cases cited therein).

Arbitrator's award. See Arbitration (Award).

Assignment-

Recovery of possession-

Judgment for recovery of land—Whether judgment passes with land on conveyance thereof. Chung Kwok Hotel Co Ltd v Field [1960] 3 143, CA.

Bankruptcy notice-

Notice requiring debtor to pay in accordance with judgment or order-

Defect in notice. See Bankruptcy (Bankruptcy notice—Validity—Prescribed form—Formal defect or irregularity—Jurisdiction of court to cure defect—Judgment or order).

Charging order. See Execution (Charging order).

Compromise of action-

Approval of court. See Practice (Compromise of action—Approval of court).

Consent-

Amendment of title of action after judgment. See Practice (Parties—Substitution—Substitution after judgment).

County court-

Appeal-

Provision of note of county court judgment. See County court (Appeal—Note of county court judgment—Provision of note).

Generally. See County court (Judgment or order).

Damages-

Contract and tort-

Power of court to enter judgment for damages for breach of contract and tort. See Damages (Contract and tort—Power of court to enter judgment for damages for breach of contract and tort).

Debt-

Interest. See Interest (Judgment debt).

Non-payment of judgment debt-

Committal. See Debt (Non-payment of judgment debt-Committal).

Debtor-

Costs-

Unsuccessful garnishee proceedings. See Costs (Garnishee proceedings—Discretion of court— Unsuccessful garnishee proceedings—Power of court to award costs against judgment debtor).

Declaratory judgment-

Agent's right to commission. See Agent (Commission—Right to commission—Declaratory judgment). Generally. See Declaration.

Default of appearance—

Application for leave to enter judgment—

Dismissal—Application dismissed as to part of sum claimed on ground that it might be a penalty—Whether RSC Ord 13, r 19, ultra vires—Alternative procedures for obtaining judgment—RSC Ord 13, rr 12, 19, Ord 27, r 11. Lombank Ltd v Cook [1962] 3 491, QBD.

Jurisdiction—Writ containing or including claim other than for liquidated demand etc—Writ containing claim for liquidated demand and for injunction to restrain defendant from removing assets out of jurisdiction—Plaintiff granted injunction—Whether claim for injunction in writ precluding plaintiff from entering judgment in claim for liquidated demand in default of appearance by defendant—RSC Ord 13, r 6. Stewart Chartering Ltd v C & O Managements SA [1980] 1 718, OBD.

Costs-

New practice and procedure. See Practice (Queen's Bench Division and Chancery Division—New practice and procedure).

Discovery of mistake-

Motion to set aside order—Inherent jurisdiction of court not to be invoked where alternative remedy available. Perry v St Helens Land and Construction Co Ltd [1939] 3 113, CA.

Discrepancy between writ and statement of claim-

Discretion of court—Amendment of writ—RSC Ord 28, r 1. Mann v Phillips [1948] 1 138, ChD. Interest—

Jurisdiction to include interest in default judgment. See Interest (Debt—Jurisdiction to include interest in default judgment).

Interlocutory judgment-

Liquidated demand—Writ claiming price of goods and damages for breach of contract—Value of goods and damages claimed in statement of claim—RSC Ord 13, r 7. Abbey Panel and Sheet Metal Co Ltd v Barson Products (a firm) [1947] 2 809, CA.

Liquidated demand—

Claim for specific sum fraudulently converted—RSC Ord 13, r 3. **GL Baker Ltd v Barclays Bank Ltd** [1956] **3** 519, CA.

Possession-

Rent controlled premises. See Rent restriction (Posession—Procedure—Court—Judgment for possession signed in High Court by landlord in default of appearance).

Postal delay. See Practice (Post—Postal delay—Time limited for entry of appearance—Entry of judgment in default of appearance).

Setting aside judgment-

Discretion of judge—Power of Court of Appeal to interfere with discretion exercised by judge in chambers—RSC Ord 13, r 10—RSC Ord 27, r 15. Evans v Bartlam [1937] 2 646, HL.

Excessive amount to plaintiffs' knowledge—Acceptance iy plaintiffs, entitled to enter judgment in default of appearance, of cheque for part of sum claimed—Judgment entered for full amount after cheque taken—Cheque subsequently met—defendants' right to have judgment set aside and to have order as to costs. Bolt & Nut Co (Tipton) Ltd v Rowlands, Nicholls & Co Ltd [1964] 1 137, CA.

Summary judgment—Specific performance—Purchaser's action—Appearance not entered by vendor—Judgment obtained under RSC Ord 14A—Judgment not carried into effect—Action commenced to have judgment set aside on ground of vendor's contractual incapacity and of undue influence—Application by purchaser to have statement of claim struck out—Whether second action appropriate procedure—Whether judgment under RSC Ord 14A was a judgment by default within RSC Ord 27, r 15. Dsane v Hagan [1961] 3 380, ChD.

Writ not received. See Writ (Service on company—Service by post—Writ not received by company—Judgment in default of appearance).

Default of defence-

Defence struck out for failure to comply with order for discovery-

Judgment in default of defence entered against defendant as personal representative—Amendment of defence at trial or hearing. See Pleading (Amendment—Amendment at trial or hearing—Defence—Defence struck out for failure to comply with order for discovery—Judgment in default of defence entered against defendant as personal representative).

Duty of court-

Court to give such judgment as plaintiff entitled to—Requirement directory not mandatory— Allegations of fraud—Open to defendant to show he has good defence—Defendant only to be shut out from defending charge of fraud if admissions or evidence showing no defence—RSC Ord 19, r 7(1). Wallersteiner v Moir [1974] 3 217, CA.

Elapse of one year or more since last proceeding in action-

Notice of intention to proceed—Notice to proceed served shortly before expiry of one year from last proceeding—Judgment in default of defence entered after expiry of one year from last proceeding—Whether notice to proceed can be served only after expiry of one year from last proceeding—Whether judgment would be set aside as irregular—RSC Ord 3, r 6. Suedeclub Co Ltd v Occasions Textiles Ltd [1981] 3 671, ChD.

Form of order-

Declaratory relief—Declaration only appropriate where justice to plaintiff requires it—In general declaratory relief to be granted only after trial. Wallersteiner v Moir [1974] 3 217, CA.

Indorsement of court copy of judgment-

RSC Ord 19. Practice Direction [1979] 2 1062, QBD.

Detinue. See Detinue (Judgment).

Divorce-

Reading judgment in previous suit between same parties-

Discretion case. Sharma v Sharma and Davis [1959] 3 321, CA.

Drawing-up. See Practice (Order-Drawing-up).

Effect—

ect— Order made but irregular in form—

Want of jurisdiction to make order in that form. See Order-Form-Irregularity, post.

Enforcement-

Leave. See Emergency legislation (Leave to enforce judgment or order).

Stay of execution. See Execution (Stay).

Estoppel by. See Estoppel (Res judicata).

Execution-

Anton Piller order in aid of execution See Practice (Pre-trial relief—Anton Piller order—Ex parte application for Anton Piller order—Application after judgment).

Generally. See Execution.

Final or interlocutory order-

Legal aid. See Legal aid (Unassisted person's costs out of legal aid fund—Order by judge in chambers—Final or interlocutory order).

Test to be applied-

Leave granted to enter final judgment—Judge not certifying that he required further argument—No leave obtained from Federal Court of Malaysia or judge of High Court to bring appeal—Whether appeal competently brought—Courts of Judicature Act 1964 (Malaysia), s 68(2). Haron bin Mohd Zaid v Central Securities (Holdings) Bhd [1982] 2 481, PC.

Foreign. See Conflict of laws (Foreign judgment).

Foreign currency

Jurisdiction to order payment of sum expressed in foreign currency-

contract—Proper law of contract English—Currency of account and payment foreign—Whether court having jurisdiction to order payment of sum expressed in foreign currency. Barclays Bank International Ltd v Levin Bros (Bradford) Ltd [1976] 3 900, QBD.

Award of arbitrator. See Arbitration (Award—Foreign currency).

Jurisdiction to order payment of sum expressed in foreign currency-

Contract—currency of contract foreign currency—European Économic Community—Creditor of member state entitled against debtor of another member state to payment in own currency—Circumstances in which judgment should be given for payment of a sum expressed in foreign currency—EEC Treaty, art 106. Schorsch Meier GmbH v Hennin [1975] 1 152, CA.

Contract—Law of foreign country proper law of contract—Money of account and payment expressed in currency of that country—Action in English court for price of goods sold and delivered under contract—Whether court having jurisdiction to give judgment for sum expressed in foreign currency. Miliangos v George Frank (Textiles) Ltd [1975] 3 801, HL.

Damages for breach of contract—Law of foreign country proper law of contract—Money of account and payment expressed in currency of that country—Action in English court for damages for non-acceptance of goods—Whether court having jurisdiction to give judgment for sum expressed in foreign currency. (Jean) Kraut AG v Albany Fabrics Ltd [1977] 2 116, QBD.

Damages for breach of contract—Currency in which damages to be awarded—Award of arbitrator. See Arbitration (Award—Foreign currency—Damages—Breach of contract—Currency in which damages to be awarded).

Damages for breach of contract—Currency in which damages to be awarded—Charterparty governed by English law—Currency of contract US dollars—Damage discovered when cargo unloaded in France—French cargo receivers dealing in cargo as a dollar commodity—Whether judgment for damages should be expressed in dollars or francs. Société Française Bunge SA v Belcan NV, The Federal Huron [1985] 3 378, QBD.

Damages for tort—Currency in which judgment to be given—Loss and expenditure incurred in several foreign currencies in consequence of tort—Whether court having jurisdiction to give judgment for sum expressed in currency other than sterling—Whether damages to be awarded in plaintiff's own currency or currencies in which expenditure or loss directly and immediately incurred. Owners of the my Eleftherotria v Owners of the my Despina R [1979] 1 421, HL.

Proper law of contract English—Currency of contract foreign—Charterparty—Demurrage—Demurrage expressed to be payable in dollars—Charterparty governed by English law—Whether jurisdiction to award demurrage in dollars. Federal Commerce and Navigation Co Ltd v Tradax Export SA [1977] 2 41, CA.

此为试读,需要完整PDF请够问: www.ertongbook.com

Foreign currency (cont)

Pleading claims in foreign currency-

Statement in writ—Pleading of facts relied on to support claim. Practice Direction [1977] 1 544.

Form of judgment-

Claim for possession by mortgagee-

Irregular procedure—RSC Ord 55, r 8A. Redditch Benefit Building Society v Roberts [1940] 1 342, CA.

Contributory negligence-

Both parties to blame—Claim and counterclaim—Equal share of blame—Judge dismissing claim and counterclaim—Proper form of order judgment for both parties for half damages proved by each—Law Reform (Contributory Negligence) Act 1945, s 1(1). Smith v WH Smith & Sons Ltd [1952] 1 528, CA.

Defendants joined on different causes of action-

Causes of action in respect of same subject-matter—Supreme Court of Judicature (Consolidation) Act 1925, s 41—RSC Ord 16, r 4. B O Morris Ltd v Perrott and Bolton [1945] 1 567, CA.

Detinue. See Detinue (Forms of judgment).

Indorsement-

Default of defence. See Default of defence—Indorsement of court copy of judgment, ante.

Industrial tribunal—

Decision-

Reasons of decision. See Industrial tribunal (Procedure—Decision—Reasons for decision).

Interest-

Damages. See Interest (Damages).

Judgment debt. See Interest (Judgment debt).

Proceeds of sale. See Interest (Proceeds of sale).

Judgment on admission of facts-

Availability of defence—

Tort—Criminal conviction of defendant. See Tort (Availability of defence—Criminal conviction of defendant—Judgment on admission of facts).

Discretion of court-

Specially indorsed writ—Unconditional leave to defend granted although claim partially admitted—Defence partially admitting claim—Payment into court—RSC Ord 32, r 6. Lancashire Welders Ltd v Harland and Wolff Ltd [1950] 2 1096, CA.

Interlocutory or final judgment-

Appeal—Whether appeal against judgment ranking as an interlocutory or final appeal—RSC Ord 27, r 3. Technistudy Ltd v Kelland [1976] 3 632, CA.

Negligence-

Admission of negligence but denial of damage—Payment into court—Interlocutory judgment—Interest on damages—Plaintiff not entitled to judgment on admission of negligence only—Proof of damage necessary to establish liability—Failure to obtain judgment disentitling plaintiff to claim interest on money paid into court—RSC Ord 27, r 3. Blundell v Rimmer [1971] 1 1072, QBD. Admission of negligence by defendant—Right of plaintiff to judgment—Plaintiff not entitled to

Admission of negligence by defendant—right of plantiff to Judgment—Plantiff not entitled to judgment on admission of negligence only—Proof required that plantiff suffered damage as result of negligence—RSC Ord 27, r 3. Rankine v Garton Sons & Co Ltd [1979] 2 1185, CA.

Judgments, orders and other documents for use abroad. See Practice (Documents—Documents for use abroad).

Judicial decision as authority. See Precedent.

Merger of cause of action in judgment-

Effect on recovery of interest. See Interest (Debt—Merger of right to interest in judgment for principal sum and interest).

Order-

Affiliation order. See Affiliation (Affiliation order).

Amendment-

Correction of accidental slip or omission. See Order—Correction—Accidental slip or omission, post.

Interlocutory appeal—Costs—RSC Ord 20, r 11. Adam & Harvey Ltd v International Maritime Supplies Co Ltd [1967] 1 533, CA.

Ante-dating of order-

Death of party after judgment reserved. RSC Ord 41, r 3. Bonsor v Musicians' Union [1954] 1 822, CA.

Compensation-

Criminal proceedings. See Sentence (Compensation—Order).

Compromise of action—

Approval of court. See Practice (Compromise of action—Approval of court).

Consent order-

Amendment—Addition of 'liberty to apply'—Order for forfeiture. Chandless-Chandless v Nicholson [1942] 2 315, CA.

Appeal—Order made by registrar—Appeal without registrar's leave—Supreme Court of Judicature (Consolidation) Act 1925, s 31(1)(h). Purcell v FC Trigell Ltd [1970] 3 671, CA.

Compromise of action. See Practice (Compromise of action-Consent order).

Construction—Evidence of surrounding circumstances—Consent order on appeal construed having regard to limits of order at first instance. General Accident Fire and Life Assurance Corpn Ltd v Inland Revenue Comrs [1963] 3 259, CA.

Counsel entering compromise agreement without authority. See Counsel (Authority—Limitation—Compromise—Consent order based on compromise—Power to set aside order).

Drawing-up in Chancery Division. Practice Direction [1960] 3 415, ChD.

Financial provision—Divorce. See Divorce (Financial provision—Consent order).

Interlocutory order—Setting aside order—Contractual effect of order—Grounds for setting aside order. Purcell v FC Trigell Ltd [1970] 3 671, CA.

Order (cont)-

Consent order (cont)-

Interlocutory order—Setting aside—Grounds for setting aside interlocutory order—Defendants giving undertaking on hearing of interlocutory motion—Undertaking embodied in consent order until trial or further order-Point at issue subsequently decided by Court of Appeal in another case—Defendants applying to have undertaking discharged—Judge refusing to discharge undertaking and refusing leave to appeal-Whether defendants entitled to leave to appeal-Whether contractual effect of undertaking making it binding until trial-Whether defendants required to adduce grounds sufficient to set aside a contract—Whether acquisition of subsequent evidence and Court of Appeal decision justifying discharge of undertaking and rehearing of interlocutory motion. Chanel Ltd v F W Woolworth & Co Ltd [1981] 1 745, ChD & CA.

Maintenance-Divorce-Effect of order. See Divorce (Maintenance-Order by consent).

Maintenance—Divorce—Variation of order. See Divorce (Maintenance—Variation of order—Consent order).

Misrepresentation, Thorne v Smith [1947] 1 39, CA.

Procedure for obtaining. See Practice (Consent order-Summons issued and indorsed with consent-Procedure for obtaining order).

Construction-

Unambiguous judgment-Pleadings and history of action not regarded for purpose of construing judgment. Gordon v Gonda [1955] 2 762, CA.

Correction-

Accident slip or omission—Divorce—Ancillary proceedings—Wife consenting to dismissal of claim for periodical payments if husband's half share in home transferred to her-Judge making order transferring half share to her subject to a charge in husband's favour for half the value of his share—Judge making 'no order' for periodical payments—Subsequently judge purporting to vary order under slip rule by substituting 'application ... dismissed' instead of 'no order'—Whether variation of order permitted under slip rule—CCR Ord 15, r 12. Carter v Carter [1980] 1 827,

Accidental slip or omission-Damages awarded against two defendants-Judgment entered as 'each defendant liable for half judgment'—Whether error can be corrected under slip rule. Smith v Harris [1939] 3 960, CA

Accidental slip or omission—Omission of counsel to apply for certain costs—Costs incurred before issue of summons-RSC Ord 28, r 11. Re Inchcape [1942] 2 157, ChD.

Accidental slip or omission-Right to apply for correction-RSC Ord 28, r 11. Re An Application by Marly Laboratory Ltd [1952] 1 1057, CA.

Accidental slip or omission-Divorce decree-Application to amend to refer to prior marriage-Matrimonial Causes Rules 1950 (S I 1950 No 1940), r 80-RSC Ord 28, r 11. Thynne v Thynne [1955] 3 129, CA.

Accidental slip or omission-Discretion to refuse correction-No intervening rights of third parties in ignorance of error-Discretion wherever something intervened since date of order rendering correction inexpedient or inequitable-Stay of execution pending appeal omitted from order as drawn up-Appeal prosecuted to House of Lords-Subsequent application to insert stay of execution in judgment refused-RSC Ord 20, r 8, r 11. Moore v Buchanan, Buchanan v Moore-Pataleewa [1967] 3 273, CA.

Accidental slip or omission-Judgment awarding damages-Accidental omission of award of interest—Application by summons inter partes for award of interest—Summons dismissed for want of jurisdiction—Subsequent application under slip rule for amendment of judgment by inclusion of award of interest-Whether court precluded from amending judgment by reason of dismissal of earlier summons. Tak Ming Co Ltd v Yee Sang Metal Supplies Co [1973] 1 569, PC.

Accidental slip or omission-Election court for local election-Correction of order for costs-Whether correction permissible—RSC Ord 20, r 11. R v Cripps, ex p Muldoon [1984] 2 705, CA.

Omission of remuneration of trustees of debenture trust deed-Matter not in mind of court when order made—Fund from which remuneration to be paid still in hand—Correction of date—RSC Ord 55, r 71. Re City Housing Trust Ltd [1942] 1 369, ChD.

Costs. See Costs (Order for costs).

County court. See County court (Judgment or order).

Court of Appeal-

Criminal appeal. See Criminal law (Court of Appeal-Order of court).

Discharge-

Motion to discharge district registrar's order—Action in Chancery Division of High Court in London-Action in warned list at date of application to district registrar to alter venue. Fullerton v Ryman [1956] 2 232, ChD.

Motion to discharge order made in chambers-Time for. Re Eastcheap Alimentary Products Ltd [1936] 3 276, ChD.

Drawing-up-

Chancery Division. See Practice (Chancery Division-Order-Order on motion-Drawing up of order).

Generally. See Practice (Order-Drawing-up).

Enforcement-

Leave. See Emergency legislation (Leave to enforce judgment or order).

Ex parte order-

Setting aside-General rule-Exclusion by statute. See Limitation of action (Extension of time limit-Order for extension).

Examination of officer of company-

Compulsory winding-up of company. See Company (Compulsory winding-up-Public examination-Order for attendance of directors).

Irregularity-Effectiveness of order until varied-Order for family provision for widow of deceased-Made in form in which there was not jurisdiction to make it. In the Estate of Gale (decd) [1966] 1 945, CA.

Form of order-

Divorce proceedings-Expression of sums of money. See Divorce (Practice-Order-Form-Expression of sums of money).

Order (cont)-

Form of order (cont)-

Judgment requiring execution of deed—Judgment in default of appearance. See Practice (Chancery Division—Order—Order requiring execution of deed—Judgment in default of appearance—Form of order).

Order dismissing action unless fixed for trial within six years from 'date of alleged loss'—Order inoperative as uncertain. Abalian v Innous [1936] 2 834, CA.

Four-day order-

Whether available to enforce order for payment out of testator's estate. See Family provision (Order—Order for payment of sum out of capital of testator's estate—Enforcement—No payment made under order—Application for four day order for payment).

Injunction-

Form of order. See Injunction (Form of order).

Generally. See Injunction.

Interlocutory order-

Leave to appeal against order. See Court of Appeal (Interlocutory appeal—Leave to appeal). Matrimonial order. See Husband and wife (Matrimonial order).

Minutes of order drawn by counsel-

Chancery Division. Practice Direction [1960] 3 415, ChD.

Possession-

Protected tenancy. See Rent restriction (Possession-Order).

Procedural orders made in chambers-

Drawing up in Chancery Division. Practice Direction [1960] 3 415, ChD.

Production of books-

Voluntary winding-up of company—Examination of officer of company. See Company (Voluntary winding-up—Examination of officer of company, etc—Order to produce books).

Production of register of title to registered land. See Land registration (Production of register of title—Order for production).

Recall by Judge-

Chancery Division. Re Harrison's Share Under a Settlement [1955] 1 185, CA.

Setting aside order-

Consent order—Interlocutory order. See Order—Consent order—Interlocutory order—Settinc aside order, ante.

Order of court of unlimited jurisdiction. See Court (Order-Court of unlimited jurisdiction).

Specific performance. See Specific performance.

Supplemental order-

Jurisdiction to make supplemental order—New facts—Plaintiffs obtaining order for specific performance—Subsequent application by plaintiffs for supplemental order for enquiry into damages—Supplemental order limited to damage arising after date of order for specific performance. Ford-Hunt v Raghbir Singh [1973] 2 700, ChD.

Winding-up of company. See Company (Compulsory winding-up-Winding-up order).

Payment of sum of money-

Foreign currency-

Claims in foreign currency—Applications for transfer of claims to county court—Payment of foreign currency into court—Interest—Enforcement of judgment debt in foreign currency—Forms.

Practice Direction [1976] 1 669.

Conversion date—Bill of exchange—Bills payable in foreign currency—Bills accepted but dishonoured on presentment—Appropriate date for conversion—Whether judgment sum to be calculated in accordance with rate of exchange in force on days bills payable or on date of payment or enforcement of judgment—Bills of Exchange Act 1882, s 72(4). Barclays Bank International Ltd v Levin Bros (Bradford) Ltd [1976] 3 900, QBD.

Enforcement of judgment—Judgment for payment of sum of money expressed in foreign currency—Conversion of sum into sterling—Appropriate date for conversion—Whether sum to be converted in accordance with rate of exchange prevailing on date leave given to enforce judgment Miliangos v George Frank (Textiles) Ltd [1975] 3 801, HL.

Jurisdiction to order payment of sum expressed in foreign currency. See Foreign currency— Jurisdiction to order payment of sum expressed in foreign currency, ante.

Possession-

Enforcement. See Landlord and tenant (Recovery of possession—Judgment for possession— Enforcement).

Precedent. See Precedent.

Setting aside-

Judgment in default of appearance. See Default of appearance—Setting aside judgment, ante.

Judgment obtained by fraud-

Power of court to impose terms—RSC Ord 27, r 15—RSC Ord 36, r 33. Kennedy v Dandrick [1943] 2 606, ChD.

Judgment without jurisdiction-

Applicant in contempt—Applicant failing to comply with order for discovery and having defence struck out—Applicant taking no further part in action—Judgment entered against applicant but proceedings not finalised—Applicant applying to set aside judgment on ground that statement of claim not able in law to support plaintiffs' claim—Applicant not offering to purge contempt—Whether application to set aside judgment should be granted where applicant in contempt—RSC Ord 35, r 2(1). Midland Bank Trust Co Ltd v Green (No 3) [1979] 2 193, ChD.

Inherent power of court to set aside. Chief Kofi Forfie v Barima Kwabena Seifah [1958] 1 289, PC.

Order for extension of time limit. See Limitation of action (Extension of time limit—Order for extension).

Specific performance—

Judgment in default of defence. See Specific performance (Judgment in default of defence). Summary judgment—

Generally. See Practice (Summary judgment).

Injunction. See Injunction (Summary procedure—Practice—Summary judgment for injunction).

Summary judgment (cont)-

Award of interest on summary judgment. See Interest (Damages-Jurisdiction to include interest in award of damages-Summary judgment).

Tender-

Claim for £149-

£106 paid into court-£136 awarded to plaintiff-Amount for which judgment should be given-Costs—County Courts Act 1934, s 47. Read's Trustee in Bankruptcy v Smith (Portman Building Society, third party) [1951] 1 406, ChD.

Title-

Infant plaintiff having attained full age-

Action started by next friend-Adoption of action by infant on attaining full age-Proper title of proceedings. Carberry v Davies [1968] 2 817, CA.

Transcript-

Correction and alteration by judge-

Appeal—Whether original version admissible to be looked at on appeal. Bromley v Bromley [1964] 3 226, CA.

West Africa-

Judgment without jurisdiction. See West Africa (Judgment-Judgment without jurisdiction).

JUDGMENT DEBT

Deceased's estate. See Administration of estates (Order of application of assets-Judgment debt). Examination of judgment debtor-

Practice. See Execution (Discovery in aid of execution-Examination of judgment debtor-Practice). Interest-

Generally. See Interest (Judgment debt).

Income tax. See Income tax (Interest-Judgment debt).

Non-payment of-

Committal. See Debt (Non-payment of judgment debt-Committal).

Secured debt-

Bankruptcy petition by creditor. See Bankruptcy (Petition-Creditor's petition-Secured creditor-Judgment debt).

JUDICATURE

Constitutional position-

Jamaica, See Jamaica (Constitutional law-Judicature).

JUDICIAL COMMITTEE

See Privy Council.

JUDICIAL IMMUNITY

See Judge (Immunity from suit).

JUDICIAL NOTICE

Offensive weapons. See Criminal evidence (Judicial notice-Offensive weapons).

JUDICIAL OFFICE

Characteristics. See Court (Judicial office-Characteristics).

JUDICIAL PRECEDENT

See Precedent.

JUDICIAL REVIEW

Housing authority's decision in respect of homeless person-

Decision adverse to applicant-

Whether applicant's only remedy application for judicial review. See Housing (Homeless person-Duty of housing authority to provide accommodation—Remedy for breach of duty).

Acts done in pursuance of statute-

Protection in respect of acts done in pursuance of statute-

Extent of protection-Mental health. See Mental health (Protection in respect of acts done in pursuance of statute-Extent of protection-Judicial review).

Alternative remedy available. See Availability of remedy-Alternative remedy available, post.

Court of Appeal-

Jurisdiction. See Court of Appeal (Jurisdiction-Appeal from Divisional Court-Application for judicial review).

House of Lords-

Jurisdiction. See House of Lords (Appeal from Court of Appeal, Civil Division—Jurisdiction—Judicial review).

Application for judicial review-

Application for leave to apply for judicial review-

Requirement that 'application be made promptly and in any event within three months'-Whether application' referring to application for leave or substantive application—RSC Ord 53, r 4(1). R v Stratford-on-Avon DC, ex p Jackson [1985] 3 769, CA.

Availability of remedy-

Alternative remedy available-

Alternative remedy by way of appeal-Whether availability of alternative remedy precluding application for judicial review. R v Inland Revenue Comrs, ex p Opman International UK [1986] 1 328, QBD.

Alternative remedy not pursued—Whether appropriate to grant leave to apply for judicial review. R v Epping and Harlow General Comrs, ex p Goldstraw [1983] 3 257, QBD & CA.

Alternative remedy not pursued-Immigration officer refusing applicant leave to enter United Kingdom—Applicant not pursuing statutory right of appeal—Whether appropriate to grant leave to apply for judicial review-Immigration Act 1971, s 13. R v Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex p Swati [1986] 1 717, CA.

Discretion of court to grant relief—Factors to be taken into account. R v Huntingdon DC, ex p Cowan [1984] 1 58, QBD.

JUDICIAL REVIEW (cont)

Availability of remedy (cont)-

Alternative remedy available (cont)-

Discretion of court to grant relief—Disciplinary proceedings—Complaint against police officer—Police regulations requiring notification of complaints to officer 'as soon as practicable'—Officer not notified until two years after complaints made—Chief constable determining charge arising out of complaint despite delay in giving notice—Officer not pursuing internal appeal—Officer applying for judicial review of chief constable's determination—Whether judicial review should be granted despite availability of internal appeal—Police (Discipline) Regulations 1977, reg 7. R v Chief Constable of the Merseyside Police, ex p Calveley [1986] 1 257, CA.

Discretion of court to grant relief—Appeal against assessments to income tax—Benefit to applicants—Transaction involving acquisition and disposal of land—Inspector making assessments on grounds that applicants had directly or indirectly provided opportunity for another person to realise a gain—No evidence that applicants beneficially entitled to land or gain—Whether inspector acting improperly in exercising his power to raise assessments—Whether applicants' inability to recover costs if successful in appeal before Special Commissioners a ground for granting leave to apply for judicial review. R v Inspector of Taxes, ex p Kissane [1986] 2 37, ORD

Caravan sites-

Review of decision of local authority regarding provision of site. See Local authority (Caravan sites—Provision of caravan sites—Duty of local authority—Judicial review of authority's decision).

Certiorari-

Declaration or injunction—Dismissal of employee—Disciplinary procedure for dismissal forming part of contract of employment—Whether relief by way of judicial review of dismissal available—Supreme Court Act 1981, s 31(1)—RSC Ord 53, r 1(1)(a)(2). R v British Broadcasting Corp, ex p Lavelle [1983] 1 241, OBD.

Companies registrar-

Decision to register charge—Charge registered despite defects in application for registration—Whether registrar's decision to register charge open to judicial review—Whether fact that certificate of registration conclusive precluding judicial review of decision—Companies Act 1948, s 98(2)—Tribunals and Inquiries Act 1971, s 14(1). R v Registrar of Companies, ex p Central Bank of India [1986] 1 105, CA.

Correction of mistakes of law-

Distinction between courts of law and administrative tribunals exercising quasi-judicial functions. Re Racal Communications Ltd [1980] 2 634, HL.

Declaration or injunction-

Relief sought in action begun by originating summons claiming declaration or injunction— Suspension of greyhound trainer by stewards controlling greyhound racing—Disciplinary procedure derived from contract between trainer and domestic body which controlled greyhound racing—Whether relief by way of judicial review of trainer's suspension available—Supreme Court Act 1981, s 31(1)(2)—RSC Ord 53. Law v National Greyhound Racing Club Ltd [1983] 3 300, CA.

Relief sought in action begun by writ claiming declaration or injunction—Dismissal of employee—Disciplinary procedure for dismissal forming part of contract of employment—Criminal proceedings for theft pending against employee—Whether jurisdiction to interfere with dismissal by injunctive or declaratory relief—Whether pending criminal proceedings requiring proceedings under disciplinary procedure to be postponed until conclusion of criminal proceedings—Supreme Court Act 1981, s 31(2)—RSC Ord 53, r 9(5). R v British Broadcasting Corp, ex p Lavelle [1983] 1 241, QBD.

Employee of public authority-

Dismissal of employee—Conditions of employment approved by Secretary of State and required by statutory instrument to be incorporated in contract of employment—Whether employee having public law rights—Whether employee entitled to judicial review of dismissal—RSC Ord 53. R v East Berkshire Health Authority, ex p Walsh [1984] 3 425, CA.

Employment by public authority-

Dismissal of employee—Dismissal of prison officer—Prison officer's employment governed by code of discipline deriving authority from statute—Application by prison officer for judicial review of dismissal—Whether prison officer's dismissal having public law element—Whether prison officer entitled to judicial review of dismissal—Prison Act 1952, s 47(1)—Prison Rules 1964, r 84. R v Secretary of State for the Home Dept, ex p Benwell [1984] 3 854, QBD.

Exercise of discretion on behalf of Crown-

Inland Revenue Commissioners—Powers to initiate machinery for cancellation of tax advantage—Assurance given to taxpayer by Revenue in 1978 that no further investigation would be made into sale of certain shares—Taxpayer forgoing claims for tax relief in reliance on assurance—Revenue deciding in 1982 to reopen investigation into sale of shares—Whether court could review decision to reopen investigation—Whether decision to reopen investigation an abuse of power—Income and Corporation Taxes Act 1970, ss 460, 465. Preston v IRC [1985] 2 327, HL.

Legitimate expectation-

Denial of legitimate expectation—Minister exercising prerogative power to deprive civil servants of benefits of trade union membership—Minister not consulting civil servants before acting—Whether civil servants having legitimate expectation that they would be consulted—Whether denial of legitimate expectation grounds for judicial review. Council of Civil Service Unions v Minister for the Civil Service [1984] 3 935, HL.

Expectation of consultation—Minister directing Greater London Council to make grant to London Regional Transport—Direction stipulating maximum grant permitted under statute—Council not given opportunity to make representations that less than maximum grant should be directed—Whether council having legitimate expectation that it would be consulted before direction made—London Regional Transport Act 1984, s 49. R v Secretary of State for Transport, ex p Greater London Council [1985] 3 300, OBD.

Magistrate declining jurisdiction to hear information-

Whether appropriate remedy application for judicial review or appeal by way of case stated. R v Clerkenwell Metropolitan Stipendiary Magistrate, ex p DPP [1984] 2 193, QBD.

JUDICIAL REVIEW (cont)

Availability of remedy (cont)-

Mandamus-

Wrongful dismissal of police constable—Rules of natural justice not observed in dismissal procedure—Impractical to order reinstatement—Whether mandamus should be issued requiring constable to be reinstated—Whether constable merely entitled to declaration that he was entitled to rights and remedies not including reinstatement. Chief Constable of North Wales Police v Evans [1982] 3 141, HL.

National security-

Exercise of prerogative on grounds of national security—Whether national security preventing judicial review of exercise of prerogative. Council of Civil Service Unions v Minister for the Civil Service [1984] 3 935, HL.

Boundary Commission-

Redistribution of seats at Parliamentary elections-

Review of exercise of commission's powers. See Elections (Parliamentary—Redistribution of seats— Discretion of Boundary Commission—Judicial review of commission's exercise of powers).

Certiorari—

Generally. See Certiorari.

Challenge to validity of public authority's decision-

Circumvention of judicial review procedure—

Decision of public authority challenged in defence to action brought by it—Defendant occupying council flat—Local authority resolving to increase rent of flat—Defendant refusing to pay increase—Local authority bringing claim for arrears of rent—Whether defendant entitled to challenge validity of resolution increasing rent by way of defence to claim for arrears of rent—Whether defendant only entitled to challenge validity of resolution by way of application for judicial review—RSC Ord 53. Wandsworth London BC v Winder [1984] 3 976, HL.

Circumvention of judicial review procedure-

Challenge to validity of public authority's decision. See Challenge to validity of public authority's decision—Circumvention of judicial review procedure, ante

Declaration. See Declaration-Circumvention of judicial review procedure, post.

Coroner's inquest. See Coroner (Inquest-Judicial review).

Costs of application-

Application abandoned-

Revenue delaying determination on company's liability to tax—Company granted leave to apply for judicial review—No warning of proceedings given to Revenue—Revenue making determination in company's favour after granting of leave—Company abandoning application—Whether company entitled to costs of application for judicial review. R v Inland Revenue Comrs, ex p Opman International UK [1986] 1 328, QBD.

Declaration-

Circumvention of judicial review procedure—

Action for declaration circumventing procedure for judicial review—Plaintiffs found guilty of disciplinary offences and penalties imposed by board of prison visitors—Plaintiffs commencing action by writ and originating summons for declaration that board's findings and awards null and void by reason of breach of natural justice—Application by board to strike out actions as abuse of court's process—Whether judicial review the only remedy to impugn adjudications by prison visitors—RSC Ord 53. O'Reilly v Mackman [1982] 3 1124, HL.

Landowner applying to Chancery Division for declaration entitling him to have land removed from commons register—Whether landowner required to apply to Queen's Bench Division for judicial review. Re Tillmire Common, Heslington [1982] 2 615, ChD.

Plaintiff bringing action for damages in negligence against local authority for advice given in respect of enforcement notice—Local authority seeking to strike out statement of claim on ground that abuse of the process of the court—Whether claim in negligence involving public or private rights—Whether plaintiff entitled to protection of public law and therefore unable to defend right by way of ordinary action. Davy v Spelthorne BC [1983] 3 278, HL.

Circumvention of procedure for application for judicial review by way of declaration-

Plaintiff found guilty of disciplinary offences by board of prison visitors—Plaintiff issuing writ in Chancery Division claiming declaration that adjudication of board null and void—Application by board for order to stop plaintiff proceeding except by way of application for judicial review in Queen's Bench Division—Whether proceedings in Chancery Division should be allowed to continue—RSC Ord 53. Heywood v Board of Visitors of Hull Prison [1980] 3 594, ChD.

Locus standi-

Sufficient interest—Revenue introducing special arrangement to prevent tax evasion by certain casual workers in future—Revenue agreeing not to assess and collect tax due from workers in respect of years prior to April 1977—Taxpayers' association applying for judicial review in form of declaration that Revenue acting unlawfully in agreeing not to assess and collect tax due from workers—Whether applicants having 'sufficient interest' to apply for judicial review—RSC Ord 53, r3(5). Inland Revenue Comrs v National Federation of Self-Employed and Small Businesses Ltd [1981] 2 93, HL.

Sufficient interest—United Kingdom undertaking to finance supplementary budget of European Community from Consolidated Fund—Undertaking purporting to be a treaty 'ancillary' to Community treaties—United Kingdom taxpayer seeking judicial review of determination that undertaking is ancillary to Community treaties—Whether taxpayer having sufficient locus standi. R v HM Treasury, ex p Smedley [1985] 1 589, CA.

Delay-

Reasons for delay—

Application to set out reasons for delay—Extension of time—Consent of proposed respondent—Application by proposed respondent to set aside leave or direction given—RSC Ord 53, r 4. Practice Note [1983] 2 1020, QBD.

Disciplinary proceedings-

Judicial review of decision in disciplinary proceedings—

Availability of remedy—Alternative remedy available. See Availability of remedy—Alternative remedy available—Discretion of court to grant relief—Disciplinary proceedings, ante.

此为试读, 需要完整PDF请1964问: www.ertongbook.com